

*SOME REMARKS ON HOLOMORPHIC EXTENSION  
IN INFINITE DIMENSIONS*

BY

PHAM KHAC BAN (HANOI)

In finite-dimensional complex analysis, the extension of holomorphic maps has been investigated by many authors. In recent years some authors have considered this problem in the infinite-dimensional case. The aim of the present note is to study the extension of holomorphic maps with values in some Banach complex manifolds.

Let  $X$  be a Banach complex manifold. We say that  $X$  has the *holomorphic extension property* (briefly HEP) if every holomorphic map from a Riemann domain  $\Omega$  over a Banach space having a Schauder basis to  $X$  can be extended holomorphically to  $\hat{\Omega}$ , the envelope of holomorphy of  $\Omega$ . Now as in [10],  $X$  is said to satisfy the *weak disc condition* if every sequence  $\{f_n\}$  of holomorphic maps from the unit open disc  $D$  into  $X$ , convergent in  $H(D^*, X)$ , where  $D^* = \{\lambda \in D : \lambda \neq 0\}$ , is convergent in  $H(D, X)$ , the space of holomorphic maps from  $D$  into  $X$  equipped with the compact-open topology.

In this note we shall prove the following two theorems.

**THEOREM A.** *Let  $X$  be a Banach manifold satisfying the weak disc condition. Then  $X$  has the HEP.*

**THEOREM B.** *Let  $X$  be a pseudoconvex Banach manifold having  $C^1$ -partitions of unity such that every holomorphic map from  $D^*$  into  $X$  can be extended holomorphically to  $D$ . Then  $X$  has the HEP.*

Here  $X$  is called *pseudoconvex* if

$$\hat{K}_P := \{z \in X : \varphi(z) \leq \sup_K \varphi \text{ for all plurisubharmonic functions } \varphi \text{ on } X\}$$

is compact for each compact subset  $K$  of  $X$ .

Cover  $X$  by a locally finite system of coordinates  $\{(U_i, \varphi_i)\}$ . Let  $\{V_i\}$  be another open cover of  $X$  such that  $V_i \subset U_i$ ,  $\text{dist}(V_i, \partial U_i) > 0$  and  $\varphi_i(V_i)$  is isomorphic to a ball in a Banach space for every  $i$ .

---

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: Primary 58B12.

By hypothesis there exists a  $C^1$ -partition of unity  $\{h_i\}$  such that  $h_i = 1$  on  $V_i$  and  $\text{supp } h_i \subset U_i$  for every  $i$ . Let  $p : TX \rightarrow X$  be the tangent bundle of  $X$ . For each  $u \in TX$ , put

$$\|u\| = \sum h_i(pu) \|D\varphi_i(pu)(u)\|.$$

Denote by  $\varrho_X$  the integral distance on  $X$  associated with  $\|\cdot\|$ . Then  $\varrho_X$  defines the topology of  $X$  (cf. [1]).

**Proof of Theorem A.** Let  $f : \Omega \rightarrow X$  be a holomorphic map, where  $\Omega$  is a Riemann domain over a Banach space  $B$  with a Schauder basis. By  $S_X$  we denote the sheaf of germs of holomorphic maps on  $\widehat{\Omega}$  with values in  $X$  and by  $S_X^f$  the domain of existence of  $f$ . Then  $S_X^f$  is the component of  $S_X$  containing the set  $\{(x, f_x) : x \in \Omega\}$ , where  $f_x$  denotes the germ of  $f$  at  $x$ . We have the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \Omega & \xrightarrow{f} & X \\ e \downarrow & \searrow \alpha & \uparrow \tilde{f} \\ \widehat{\Omega} & \xleftarrow{\beta} & S_X^f \end{array}$$

in which  $e, \alpha, \beta$  are canonical maps and  $\tilde{f}$  is the canonical extension of  $f$ .

(i) First we shall prove that  $S_X^f$  satisfies the weak disc condition. Given a sequence  $\{u_n\} \subset H(D, S_X^f)$  convergent to  $u$  in  $H(D^*, S_X^f)$ . By hypothesis  $\{\tilde{f}u_n\}$  converges to  $h$  in  $H(D, X)$ . Take a neighbourhood  $U$  of  $h(0)$  in  $X$  which is isomorphic to an open subset of a Banach space  $E$ . Then we can assume that  $\tilde{f}u_n(\delta D) \subset U$  for  $n \geq 1$ .

Put  $K = \text{cl conv}(\bigcup_{n \geq 1} \tilde{f}u_n((\delta/2)D))$ . Let  $F$  be the canonical Banach space spanned by  $K$ . Then

$$\tilde{f}u_n : \partial((\delta/2)D) \rightarrow F$$

is continuous for  $n \geq 1$ . Hence by the Cauchy formula

$$\tilde{f}u_n(z) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{|\lambda|=\delta/2} \tilde{f}u_n(\lambda)(\lambda - z)^{-1} d\lambda$$

it follows that  $\tilde{f}u_n : (\delta/2)D \rightarrow F$  is holomorphic for all  $n \geq 1$ .

Now for each  $n \geq 1$ , consider the map

$$\tilde{u}_n : (\delta/2)D \rightarrow \lim_{0 \in W} \text{ind } H^\infty(W, F)$$

where  $H^\infty(W, F)$  is the Banach space of bounded holomorphic functions on  $W$  with values in  $F$ , defined by

$$\tilde{u}_n(\lambda) = [u_n(\lambda) \circ \theta_{\gamma u_n(\lambda)}]_0$$

where  $\gamma = \widehat{p}\beta$ ,  $\widehat{p} : \widehat{\Omega} \rightarrow B$  defining  $\Omega$  as a Riemann domain over  $B$  and  $\theta_v(r) = v + r$ .

Then  $\{\tilde{u}_n\}$  converges to  $\tilde{u}$  in  $H((\delta/2)D^*, \lim \text{ind}_{0 \in W} H^\infty(W, F))$ , and hence in  $H((\delta/2)D, \lim \text{ind}_{0 \in W} H^\infty(W, F))$ . Since the above inductive limit is regular there exists a neighbourhood  $W$  of zero in  $B$  such that  $\{\tilde{u}_n\}$  is contained and bounded in  $H^\infty(W, F)$ . Extend  $u$  holomorphically to  $0 \in D$  by setting  $u(0) = \tilde{u}(0) \circ \theta_{\gamma u(0)}^{-1}$ . It remains to check that  $\{u_n\}$  converges to  $u$  in  $H(D, S_X^f)$ .

For this consider the neighbourhood  $\widetilde{W}$  of  $u(\partial((\delta/2)D))$  given by

$$\widetilde{W} = \bigcup \{(\gamma u(\lambda) + x, [u(\lambda)]_{\gamma u(\lambda)+x}) : |\lambda| = \delta/2, x \in W\}.$$

Take  $n_0$  such that  $u_n(\partial((\delta/2)D)) \subset \widetilde{W}$  for all  $n > n_0$ . Now for each  $|\lambda| = \delta/2$  and  $n > n_0$  there exists a neighbourhood  $W(n, \lambda)$  of  $\gamma u(\lambda)$  in  $\gamma u(\lambda) + W$  such that  $u_n(\lambda)x = u(\lambda)x$  for all  $x \in W(n, \lambda)$ . Hence  $u_n(\lambda)x = u(\lambda)x$  for all  $x \in \gamma u(\lambda) + W$  and  $|\lambda| = \delta/2$ . This shows that the above equality holds for all  $|\lambda| \leq \delta/2$ . Hence  $u_n \rightarrow u$  in  $H(D, S_X^f)$ .

(ii) Now by (i),  $S_X^f$  is pseudoconvex.

(iii) Since  $B$  has a Schauder basis,  $S_X^f$  is the domain of existence of a holomorphic function [5]. This implies that the canonical map  $\alpha : \Omega \rightarrow S_X^f$  can be extended holomorphically to  $\widehat{\Omega}$ . Hence  $\beta : S_X^f \rightarrow \widehat{\Omega}$  is a biholomorphism and  $\tilde{f}\beta^{-1}$  is a holomorphic extension of  $f$  to  $\widehat{\Omega}$ . The theorem is proved.

*Remark.* In the finite-dimensional case Theorem A was proved by Shiffman in [10]. Our proof is different.

*Proof of Theorem B.* By Theorem A it suffices to show that  $X$  satisfies the weak disc condition. Consider a sequence  $\{f_n\} \subset H(D, X)$  converging to  $f$  in  $H(D^*, X)$ . By hypothesis  $f \in H(D, X)$ . Put

$$K = \bigcup_{n \geq 1} f_n(\partial((1/2)D)) \quad \text{and} \quad Z = (\overline{K})_P^\wedge.$$

Since  $\overline{K}$  is compact and  $X$  is pseudoconvex,  $Z$  is also compact. Moreover, by the maximum principle for plurisubharmonic functions we have

$$\left( \bigcup_{n \geq 1} f_n((1/2)\partial D) \right)_P^\wedge \supseteq \bigcup_{n \geq 1} f_n((\delta/2)\overline{D}).$$

Hence

$$Z \supseteq \bigcup_{n \geq 1} f_n((1/2)\overline{D}).$$

To complete the proof of Theorem B it remains to show that  $Z$  has a hyperbolic neighbourhood  $W$ . Indeed, let  $d_W$  denote the hyperbolic distance of  $W$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} d_W(f_n(0), f(0)) &\leq d_W(f_n(0), f_n(z)) + d_W(f_n(z), f(z)) + d_W(f(z), f(0)) \\ &\leq 2d_W(0, z) + d_W(f_n(z), f(z)) \end{aligned}$$

and these inequalities imply that  $f_n \rightarrow f$  in  $H(D, X)$ .

By [1] it suffices to show that there exists a neighbourhood  $W$  of  $Z$  in  $X$  for which  $\sup\{\|\sigma'(0)\| : \sigma \in H(D, W)\} < \infty$ . Otherwise we can find a decreasing neighbourhood basis  $\{W_n\}$  of  $Z$  such that for each  $n \geq 1$  there exists a holomorphic map  $\sigma_n$  from  $D$  to  $W_n$  such that  $\|\sigma_n'(0)\| \geq n$ . As in [3] there exists a sequence  $\{\beta_n\}$  of holomorphic maps from  $nD$  to  $X$  such that  $\beta_n(nD) \subseteq \sigma_n(D)$ ,  $\|\beta_n'(0)\| = 1$  for  $n \geq 1$  and  $\{\beta_n\}$  is equicontinuous on every compact set in  $\mathbb{C}$ . Since  $Z = \bigcap_{n \geq 1} W_n$ , by the compactness of  $Z$  it follows that  $\{\beta_n\}$  contains a subsequence  $\{\alpha_n\}$  converging to a holomorphic map  $\alpha : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow Z$ . Obviously  $\alpha \neq \text{const}$  because  $\|\alpha'(0)\| = 1$ .

By hypothesis  $\alpha$  can be extended to a holomorphic map  $\hat{\alpha}$  from  $\mathbb{C}P^1$  into  $X$ . Now take a holomorphic function  $\sigma$  on  $D^*$  which cannot be extended to a holomorphic map from  $D$  into  $\mathbb{C}P^1$ . By hypothesis  $\gamma = \hat{\alpha}\sigma$  extends to a holomorphic map  $\hat{\gamma} : D \rightarrow X$ . Since  $\hat{\alpha}$  is nonconstant, it follows that  $\hat{\alpha}$  is a finite map. Hence we can find a neighbourhood  $U$  of  $\hat{\gamma}(0)$  such that  $\hat{\gamma}^{-1}(U)$  is a bounded domain in  $\mathbb{C}$ . Thus  $\sigma$  extends holomorphically to  $0 \in D$ . This is impossible so the theorem is proved.

**Remark.** In the case when  $X$  is a holomorphically convex space with  $\dim X < \infty$  such that every holomorphic map from  $D^*$  into  $X$  extends holomorphically to  $D$ , Theorem B was proved by D. D. Thai in [12].

**Acknowledgements.** The author would like to thank Professor N. V. Khue and Dr. B. D. Tac for suggestions that led to an improvement of the presentation of this note.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] P. K. Ban, *Banach hyperbolicity and the extension of holomorphic maps*, Acta Math. Vietnam. 16 (1991), 187–200.
- [2] T. J. Barth, *Convex domains and Kobayashi hyperbolicity*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 79 (1980), 556–558.
- [3] R. Brody, *Compact manifolds and hyperbolicity*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 235 (1978), 213–219.
- [4] F. Docquier und H. Grauert, *Levisches Problem und Rungescher Satz für Teilgebiete Steinscher Mannigfaltigkeiten*, Math. Ann. 140 (1960), 94–123.
- [5] L. Gruman et C. O. Kiselman, *Le problème de Levi dans les espaces de Banach à base*, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. A 274 (1972), 1296–1298.

- [6] A. Hirschowitz, *Prolongement analytique en dimension infinie*, Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble) 22 (2) (1972), 255–292.
- [7] S. M. Ivashkovich, *Hartogs' phenomenon for holomorphically convex Kähler manifolds*, Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR Ser. Mat. 50 (1986), 866–873 (in Russian).
- [8] S. Kobayashi, *Hyperbolic Manifolds and Holomorphic Maps*, Dekker, New York, 1970.
- [9] P. Noverraz, *Pseudo-convexité, Convexité Polynomiale et Domaines d'Holonomie en Dimension Infinie*, North-Holland Math. Stud. 3, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1973.
- [10] B. Shiffman, *Extension of holomorphic maps into Hermitian manifolds*, Math. Ann. 194 (1971), 249–258.
- [11] B. D. Tac, *Extending holomorphic maps in infinite dimensions*, Ann. Polon. Math. 54 (1991), 241–253.
- [12] D. D. Thai, *On the  $D^*$ -extension and the Hartogs extension*, Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa 18 (1991), 13–38.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS  
PEDAGOGICAL INSTITUTE HANOI I  
HANOI, VIETNAM

*Reçu par la Rédaction le 5.8.1992*