

[4] J. J. Lewin, *First order partial differential equations containing a small parameter*, Journal of the Rational Mechanics and Analysis 4 (1955), N° 3, стр. 481-501.

[5] N. Levinson, *The first boundary value problem for $\epsilon \Delta u + A(x, y)u_x + B(x, y)u_y + C(x, y)u = D(x, y)$ for small ϵ* , Annals of Mathematics 51 (1950), N° 2, стр. 428-445.

[6] О. А. Олейник, *Об уравнениях эллиптического типа с малым параметром при старших производных*, Математический Сборник 31 (1952), стр. 104-118.

[7] — *О краевых задачах для уравнений с малым параметром при старших производных*, Доклады АН СССР 85 (1952), стр. 493-495.

[8] — *Краевые задачи для уравнений с частными производными с малым параметром при старших производных и задача Коши для нелинейных уравнений в целом*, Успехи Математических Наук 10 (1955), N° 3, стр. 229-234.

Reçu par la Rédaction le 14. 8. 1956

REMARKS ON INVARIANT FUNCTIONS IN MARKOV PROCESSES

BY

K. URBANIK (WROCLAW)

1. Let X be a finite or denumerable set. By $\langle \Omega(X), B_{\Omega(X)}, P \rangle$ we shall denote the stochastic process satisfying the following conditions:

1° The sample functions $\omega \in \Omega(X)$ are X -valued step functions defined for $t \geq 0$;

2° $B_{\Omega(X)}$ is the Borel field of subsets of $\Omega(X)$ generated by the class of sets of the form

$$(1) \quad A(t, x) = \{\omega: \omega(t) = x\} \quad (t \geq 0, x \in X).$$

3° P is a probability measure in $B_{\Omega(X)}$.

4° There is a continuous function $p(t, x, y)$ of the variable t ($t \geq 0$), $x, y \in X$) satisfying the following conditions:

$$(\alpha) \quad p(t, x, y) \geq 0,$$

$$(\beta) \quad \sum_{y \in X} p(t, x, y) = 1,$$

$$(\gamma) \quad p(t_1 + t_2, x, y) = \sum_{z \in X} p(t_1, x, z) p(t_2, z, y),$$

$$(\delta) \quad P\left(\prod_{i=0}^n \{\omega: \omega(t_i) = x_i\}\right) = P(\{\omega: \omega(0) = x_0\}) \prod_{j=1}^n p(t_j - t_{j-1}, x_{j-1}, x_j) \quad \text{for} \\ 0 = t_0 < t_1 < \dots < t_n.$$

In the present note a stochastic process $\langle \Omega(X), B_{\Omega(X)}, P \rangle$ is called briefly a *Markov process*, and a function $p(t, x, y)$ is called a *transition probability*.

Let us consider a Markov process $\langle \Omega(X), B_{\Omega(X)}, P \rangle$. In view of a theorem of Lévy ([2], p. 362) for every $x \in X$ exists the limit

$$(2) \quad Q(x) = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} P(\{\omega: \omega(t) = x\}).$$

The function $Q(x)$ is called the *limit distribution* of the process $\langle \Omega(X), B_{\Omega(X)}, P \rangle$.

Obviously $\sum_{x \in X} Q(x) \leq 1$. Moreover, from the conditions (γ) and (δ)

it follows that the equality

$$(3) \quad Q(y) = \sum_{x \in X} p(t, x, y) Q(x)$$

is true.

By $B_{\Omega(X)}(\tau)$ ($-\infty < \tau < \infty$) we shall denote the Borel field of subsets of $\Omega(X)$ generated by the class of sets of the form (1) for which $t \geq \max(0, \tau)$. Obviously, for $\tau \leq 0$ the following equality holds: $B_{\Omega(X)}(\tau) = B_{\Omega(X)}$.

We shall define the transformation T_τ ($-\infty < \tau < \infty$) for the sets of the form (1) with $t \geq \tau$ by the formula

$$T_\tau\{\omega: \omega(t) = x\} = \{\omega: \omega(t-\tau) = x\}.$$

Putting

$$T_\tau \bigcup_j E_j = \bigcup_j T_\tau E_j, \quad T_\tau E' = (T_\tau E)',$$

we obtain the definition of transformation T_τ for each set belonging to $B_{\Omega(X)}(\tau)$.

Let $P(E|\omega(t) = x)$ ($E \in B_{\Omega(X)}(t)$, $x \in X$) be the conditional probability of E if $\omega(t) = x$ (cf. e.g. [1], p. 258). It is easy to prove that the equality

$$(4) \quad P(T_\tau E|\omega(t) = x) = P(E|\omega(t+\tau) = x) \quad (t \geq \tau; E \in B_{\Omega(X)}(\tau))$$

is true.

A set E is called *invariant under the transformation* T_τ if $E \in B_{\Omega(X)}(\tau)$ and $T_\tau E = E$.

A real-valued function f defined on $\Omega(X)$ is called an *invariant function* of the process $\langle \Omega(X), B_{\Omega(X)}, P \rangle$ if for every Borel set U of real numbers the set $\{\omega: f(\omega) \in U\}$ is invariant under all transformations T_τ ($-\infty < \tau < \infty$).

The purpose of this note is to examine the power of sets A for which $P(\{\omega: f(\omega) \in A\}) = 1$, where f is an invariant function of a Markov process. This problem has been raised by C. Ryll-Nardzewski.

II. From a theorem of Doob ([1], pp. 460, 511) we obtain the following proposition:

If the equality

$$(5) \quad \sum_{x \in \bar{X}} Q(x) = 1$$

is satisfied for the limit distribution of a Markov process, then each invariant function of this process assumes essentially \bar{X} values at the most¹⁾.

¹⁾ i. e., for each invariant function f there exists a set A , such that $\bar{A} \leq \bar{X}$ and $P(\{\omega: f(\omega) \in A\}) = 1$ (where \bar{B} denotes the power of the set B).

Proof. Let $\langle \Omega(X), B_{\Omega(X)}, P \rangle$ be a Markov process with transition probabilities $p(t, x, y)$. Let us suppose that equality (5) holds. We shall introduce the following probability measure:

$$(6) \quad P^*(E) = \sum_{x \in X} P(E|\omega(0) = x) Q(x).$$

It is easy to verify that $\langle \Omega(X), B_{\Omega(X)}, P^* \rangle$ is also a Markov process with transition probabilities $p(t, x, y)$. Moreover, $\langle \Omega(X), B_{\Omega(X)}, P^* \rangle$ is a stationary Markov process, i. e., for each $t \geq 0$ and $y \in X$ the equality

$$(7) \quad P^*(\{\omega: \omega(t) = y\}) = P^*(\{\omega: \omega(0) = y\})$$

is true. In fact, definition (6) implies that the following equalities hold:

$$P^*(\{\omega: \omega(t) = y\}) = \sum_{x \in X} p(t, x, y) Q(x), \quad P^*(\{\omega: \omega(0) = y\}) = Q(y).$$

Hence, according to (3), we obtain formula (7).

Let f be an invariant function of the process $\langle \Omega(X), B_{\Omega(X)}, P \rangle$. Obviously, f is also an invariant function of the process $\langle \Omega(X), B_{\Omega(X)}, P^* \rangle$. Since for each Borel set A of real numbers $\{\omega: f(\omega) \in A\} \in B_{\Omega(X)}(\tau)$ for every τ , formula (4) implies

$$\begin{aligned} P(\{\omega: f(\omega) \in A\}|\omega(\tau) = x) &= P(T_\tau\{\omega: f(\omega) \in A\}|\omega(\tau) = x) \\ &= P(\{\omega: f(\omega) \in A\}|\omega(0) = x). \end{aligned}$$

Consequently, for each A ,

$$P(\{\omega: f(\omega) \in A\}) = \sum_{x \in X} P(\{\omega: f(\omega) \in A\}|\omega(0) = x) P(\{\omega: \omega(0) = x\}).$$

Then if $\tau \rightarrow \infty$ according to (2) and (6), we obtain

$$(8) \quad P(\{\omega: f(\omega) \in A\}) = P^*(\{\omega: f(\omega) \in A\}).$$

We have proved that f is an invariant function of the stationary Markov process $\langle \Omega(X), B_{\Omega(X)}, P^* \rangle$. According to the theorem of Doob ([1], pp. 460, 511) there is a function f^* measurable relative to the Borel field \mathfrak{F} generated by the class of sets of the form $\{\omega: \omega(0) = x\}$ ($x \in X$), such that

$$(9) \quad P^*(\{\omega: f(\omega) = f^*(\omega)\}) = 1.$$

It is easy to see that there exist at most \bar{X} disjoint sets belonging to \mathfrak{F} . There is then a set A of power at most \bar{X} , such that $P^*(\{\omega: f^*(\omega) \in A\}) = 1$. Consequently, according to (8) and (9), $P(\{\omega: f(\omega) \in A\}) = 1$.

The theorem is thus proved.

III. Let X_0 be an arbitrary finite or denumerable set. We shall prove the following proposition:

For each sequence $q(x)$ ($x \in X_0$) of non-negative real numbers satisfying the inequality

$$(10) \quad \sum_{x \in X_0} q(x) < 1,$$

there is a Markov process $\langle \Omega(X), B_{\Omega(X)}, P \rangle$ such that

$$X \supset X_0,$$

$$Q(x) = \begin{cases} q(x) & \text{for } x \in X_0, \\ 0 & \text{for } x \in X - X_0, \end{cases}$$

and there exists an invariant function of this process which assumes essentially non-denumerably many values²⁾.

Proof. Let us denote by X^* the set of all systems $\langle i_1, i_2, \dots, i_n \rangle$, where $i_j = 0$ or 1 ($j = 1, 2, \dots, n$) and $n = 1, 2, \dots$

Without restricting generality we can assume $X^* \cap X_0 = \emptyset$. Put $X = X^* \cup X_0$. Obviously, X is a denumerable set.

We shall define the function $p(t, x, y)$ ($t \geq 0; x, y \in X$) by the following formula:

$$(11) \quad p(t, x, y) = \begin{cases} \frac{e^{-t} t^k}{2^k k!} & \text{if } x, y \in X^* \text{ and, for some } n, x = \langle i_1, \dots, i_n \rangle, \\ & y = \langle i_1, \dots, i_n, i_1, \dots, i_k \rangle \quad (k \geq 0), \\ 1 & \text{if } x = y \in X_0, \\ 0 & \text{in the other case.} \end{cases}$$

It is easy to verify that the function $p(t, x, y)$ satisfies the conditions (α) , (β) and (γ) .

Let us write

$$(12) \quad p(x) = \begin{cases} q(x) & \text{if } x \in X_0, \\ 1 - \sum_{x \in X_0} q(x) & \text{if } x = \langle 1 \rangle, \\ 0 & \text{if } x \in X^* \text{ and } x \neq \langle 1 \rangle. \end{cases}$$

Obviously, the following relations hold:

$$p(x) = 0, \quad \sum_{x \in X} p(x) = 1.$$

²⁾ A function f assumes essentially non-denumerably many values, if for each denumerable set A the inequality $P(\{\omega: f(\omega) \in A\}) < 1$ is true.

Considering the cited properties of the functions $p(t, x, y)$ and $p(x)$, it follows from the well-known theorem of Kolmogorov ([3], III, § 4) that there is a stochastic process $\langle \Omega, B_{\Omega}, P \rangle$ satisfying the following conditions:

- (i) the sample functions $\omega \in \Omega$ are X -valued functions defined for $t \geq 0$;
- (ii) B_{Ω} is the Borel field of subsets of Ω generated by the class of sets of the form (1);
- (iii) P is the probability measure in B_{Ω} satisfying (δ) and

$$(13) \quad P(\{\omega: \omega(0) = x\}) = p(x) \quad (x \in X).$$

Formula (1) implies

$$(14) \quad p(t, x, x) = \begin{cases} e^{-t} & \text{for } x \in X^*, \\ 1 & \text{for } x \in X_0. \end{cases}$$

Consequently $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0+} p(t, x, x) = 1$ uniformly in x . Hence, according to theorems of Dooblin (cf. e. g. Doob [1], pp. 57, 266), we can assume that the sample functions $\omega \in \Omega$ are step functions.

Formula (14) implies

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0+} \frac{1 - p(t, x, x)}{t} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{for } x \in X^*, \\ 0 & \text{for } x \in X_0. \end{cases}$$

Therefore, in view of a theorem of Doob ([1], p. 260, 261), we obtain the decomposition $\Omega = \Omega_1 \cup \Omega_2 \cup \Omega_3$, where the sample functions $\omega \in \Omega_1$ are constants, $\omega(t) \equiv x \in X_0$, the sample functions $\omega \in \Omega_2$ are X^* -valued step functions with infinitely many jumps and $P(\Omega_3) = 0$.

Let $\tau_1(\omega) < \tau_2(\omega) < \dots$ be the sequence of all jump points of a sample function $\omega \in \Omega_2$ and

$$\omega(t) = \begin{cases} z_1(\omega) & \text{for } 0 < t < \tau_1(\omega), \\ z_k(\omega) & \text{for } \tau_{k-1}(\omega) < t < \tau_k(\omega), \quad k = 2, 3, \dots \end{cases}$$

Obviously, $z_k(\omega)$ ($k = 1, 2, \dots$) are X^* -valued measurable functions. Let Ω_0 denote the set of all sample functions $\omega \in \Omega_2$ satisfying for each k the following condition: if $z_k(\omega) = \langle i_1(\omega), \dots, i_{n(\omega)}(\omega) \rangle$, then $z_{k+1}(\omega) = \langle i_1(\omega), \dots, i_{n(\omega)}(\omega), j_1(\omega), \dots, j_{r(\omega)}(\omega) \rangle$.

Let $\{t_j\}$ be the sequence of all positive rational numbers. Then the following inclusion holds:

$$\Omega_2 - \Omega_0 \subset \bigcup_{t_j < t_k} \bigcup_{*} \{\omega: \omega(t_j) = \langle i_1, \dots, i_n \rangle, \omega(t_k) = \langle j_1, \dots, j_m \rangle\},$$

where \bigcup_{*} run over all systems $\langle i_1, \dots, i_n \rangle \neq \langle j_1, \dots, j_m \rangle$ satisfying the condition $n > m$ or $n \leq m$ and $j_k \neq i_k$ for some $k \leq n$. This inclusion implies the inequality

$$P(\Omega_2 - \Omega_0) \leq \sum_{j_k < i_k} \sum_{*} P(t_k - t_j, \langle i_1, \dots, i_n \rangle, \langle j_1, \dots, j_m \rangle) P(\{\omega: \omega(t_j) = \langle i_1, \dots, i_n \rangle\}).$$

Hence, according to (11), $P(\Omega_2 - \Omega_0) = 0$.

Finally, we have $P(\Omega_0 \cup \Omega_1) = 1$. Setting $\Omega(X) = \Omega_0 \cup \Omega_1$ we obtain the Markov process $\langle \Omega(X), B_{\Omega(X)}, P \rangle$.

From the conditions (γ) , (δ) and from the definition of the probability measure P follows the formula

$$P(\{\omega: \omega(t) = x\}) = \sum_{y \in X_0} p(t, y, x) p(y).$$

Hence in, view of (11) and (12),

$$(15) \quad P(\{\omega: \omega(t) = x\}) = \begin{cases} \left(1 - \sum_{y \in X_0} q(y)\right) \frac{e^{-t} t^{n-1}}{2^{n-1}(n-1)!} & \text{if } x = \langle 1, i_2, \dots, i_n \rangle, \\ q(x) & \text{if } x \in X_0, \\ 0 & \text{if } x = \langle 0, i_2, \dots, i_n \rangle. \end{cases}$$

Consequently

$$Q(x) = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} P(\{\omega: \omega(t) = x\}) = \begin{cases} q(x) & \text{for } x \in X_0, \\ 0 & \text{for } x \in X - X_0. \end{cases}$$

Moreover, for each $\omega \in \Omega_0$ there exists a zero-one sequence $r_1(\omega)$, $r_2(\omega)$, ... and a sequence of integers $n_1(\omega) < n_2(\omega) < \dots$ such that

$$z_k(\omega) = \langle r_1(\omega), \dots, r_{n_k(\omega)}(\omega) \rangle \quad (k = 1, 2, \dots).$$

It is easy to see that $r_k(\omega)$ ($k = 1, 2, \dots$) are measurable functions and for each τ the equality

$$\begin{aligned} \{\omega: \omega \in \Omega_0, r_k(\omega) = i\} \\ = \bigcap_{t_j > \tau} \bigcup_{n=k+1}^{\infty} \bigcup_{j_1, \dots, j_{k-1}, j_{k+1}, \dots, j_n} \{\omega: \omega(t_j) = \langle j_1, \dots, j_{k-1}, i, j_{k+1}, \dots, j_n \rangle\} \end{aligned}$$

is true. This implies

$$\begin{aligned} \{\omega: \omega \in \Omega_0, r_k(\omega) = i\} \in B_{\Omega(X)}(\tau) \\ (i = 0, 1; k = 1, 2, \dots; -\infty < \tau < \infty) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$T_{\tau} \{\omega: \omega \in \Omega_0, r_k(\omega) = i\} = \{\omega: \omega \in \Omega_0, r_k(\omega) = i\} \quad (i = 0, 1; k = 1, 2, \dots, -\infty < \tau < \infty).$$

Thus the sets

$$(16) \quad \{\omega: \omega \in \Omega_0, r_k(\omega) = i\} \quad (i = 0, 1; k = 1, 2, \dots)$$

are invariant under all transformations T_{τ} ($-\infty < \tau < \infty$).

For each $\omega \in \Omega(X)$ we shall define

$$(17) \quad f_0(\omega) = \begin{cases} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{r_n(\omega)}{3^n} & \text{if } \omega \in \Omega_0, \\ 2 & \text{if } \omega \in \Omega_1. \end{cases}$$

The set of all values of this function is equal to $C \cup \{2\}$ (C denotes the Cantor set).

We shall prove that f_0 is an invariant function of the process $\langle \Omega(X), B_{\Omega(X)}, P \rangle$.

Let I be an interval of the form

$$(18) \quad \left[\sum_{n=1}^k \frac{i_n}{3^n}, \sum_{n=1}^k \frac{i_n}{3^n} + \frac{1}{2 \cdot 3^k} \right] \quad (i_n = 0, 1; k = 1, 2, \dots).$$

Then

$$\{\omega: f_0(\omega) \in I\} = \bigcap_{j=1}^k \{\omega: \omega \in \Omega_0, r_j(\omega) = i_j\}.$$

From the invariance of sets (16) it follows that $\{\omega: f_0(\omega) \in I\}$ is invariant under all transformations T_{τ} ($-\infty < \tau < \infty$). From the definition of Ω_1 and from (17) it follows that $\{\omega: f_0(\omega) = 2\} = \Omega_1$ is also invariant under all transformations T_{τ} . Obviously, the Borel field generated by the class of sets (18) and set $\{2\}$ is equal to the field of all Borel subsets of $C \cup \{2\}$. Consequently, for each Borel set U , $\{\omega: f_0(\omega) \in U\}$ is invariant under all transformations T_{τ} . We have thus proved that f_0 is the invariant function of the process $\langle \Omega(X), B_{\Omega(X)}, P \rangle$.

Now, we shall prove that f_0 assumes essentially non-denumerably many values.

Let

$$x = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{i_n}{3^n} \in C.$$

Then, in view of (17),

$$\{\omega: f_0(\omega) = x\} = \bigcap_{t \geq 0} \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \{\omega: \omega(t) = \langle i_1, \dots, i_n \rangle\}.$$

Hence, according to (15),

$$(19) \quad (P\{\omega: f_0(\omega) = x\}) \leq \left(1 - \sum_{y \in X_0} q(y)\right) \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{e^{-t} t^n}{2^n n!} = 0.$$

Let A be an arbitrary denumerable set of real numbers. Then

$$\{\omega: f_0(\omega) \in A\} \subset \{\omega: f_0(\omega) = 2\} \cup \bigcup_{x \in A \cap G} \{\omega: f_0(\omega) = x\}.$$

Hence, according to (10), (15), (17) and (19),

$$P\{\omega: f_0(\omega) \in A\} \leq P\{\omega: f_0(\omega) = 2\} = \sum_{x \in X_0} q(x) < 1.$$

Then f_0 assumes essentially non-denumerably many values. The theorem is thus proved.

REFERENCES

- [1] J. L. Doob, *Stochastic processes*, New York-London 1953.
 [2] P. Lévy, *Systèmes markoviens et stationnaires. Cas dénombrable*, Ann. Ec. Norm. Sup. 68 (1951), p. 327-381.
 [3] A. N. Kolmogorov, *Grundbegriffe der Wahrscheinlichkeitsrechnung*, Erg. Math. 2 (3), (1933).

Reçu par la Rédaction le 19. 11. 1956