

# P R O B L È M E S

K. BORSUK (VARSOVIE)

**P 144.** Formulé dans la communication de J. Perkal, *Sur les ensembles  $\varepsilon$ -convexes.*

Ce fascicule, p. 9.

J. ACZÉL (DEBRECEN)

**P 145.** Formulé dans la communication *O теорuu средних.*

Ce fascicule, p. 40.

K. BORSUK (WARSAW)

**P 146.** A space  $M$  with a metric  $\rho$  is said to be *strongly convex* if for every two points  $a, b \in M$  there exists exactly one point  $c \in M$  such that  $\rho(a, c) = \rho(b, c) = \rho(a, b)/2$ . Does there exist for every polyhedron  $P$  a metrization by which every point of  $P$  has a strongly convex neighbourhood in  $P$ ?

Warsaw, 5. XI. 1954

**P 147.** Does there exist for every two polyhedrons  $A$  and  $B$  a finite system  $f_1, f_2, \dots, f_n$  of continuous mappings of  $A$  into  $B$  such that, for every space  $X \supset A$ , if there exists a continuous extension of  $f_i$  over  $X$  with respect to  $B$  for  $i=1, 2, \dots, n$ , then there exists also a continuous extension over  $X$  with respect to  $B$  of every continuous mapping of  $A$  into  $B$ ?

Warsaw, 5. XI. 1954

B. KNASTER (WROCLAW)

**P 148.** Appelons une décomposition continue en continus du cube à  $n$  dimensions

$$(*) \quad I^n = \sum_{0 \leq s \leq 1} A_s$$

orthogonale à une autre décomposition continue en continus de ce cube,

$$I^n = \sum_{0 \leq t \leq 1} B_t,$$

lorsque la décomposition

$$B_t = \sum_{0 \leq s \leq 1} A_s \cdot B_t$$

est, pour tout  $t$ , continue en continus. La relation est symétrique.

Existe-t-il, pour  $n > 1$ , une décomposition orthogonale à toute décomposition (\*) donnée d'avance?

**P 149.** Peut-il y avoir, dans une famille de décompositions continues en continus de  $I^n$ , plus que  $n$  qui soient orthogonales deux à deux?

Nouveau Livre Ecosais, Probl. 244 et 245, 12. XI. 1953

W. WOLIBNER (WROCLAW)

**P 150.** Titchmarsh's theorem on convolution is equivalent to the following one: if the functions  $f(x)$  and  $g(x)$  ( $0 \leq x \leq \lambda$ ) are integrable (L) and satisfy the equation

$$\int_0^x f(y)g(x-y)dy = 0, \quad 0 \leq x \leq \lambda,$$

then  $f(x)$  or  $g(x)$  vanishes almost everywhere for  $0 \leq x \leq \lambda/2$ .

If  $f$  and  $g$  belong to a certain compact class  $K$  of equicontinuous functions (e. g. bounded by a number  $c$  and fulfilling Hölder condition with a fixed exponent and coefficient), then the preceding theorem implies the existence of a non-decreasing and continuous function  $\varphi(\delta)$ ,  $\delta \geq 0$ , with  $\varphi(0) = 0$  such that if

$$\left| \int_0^x f(y)g(x-y)dy \right| \leq \delta, \quad 0 \leq x \leq \lambda,$$

then

$$\min \left[ \sup_{0 \leq x \leq \lambda/2} |f(x)|, \sup_{0 \leq x \leq \lambda/2} |g(x)| \right] \leq \varphi(\delta).$$

Find out  $\varphi(\delta)$  effectively for a certain compact class  $K$ .

Wrocław, 10. VI. 1954

<sup>1)</sup> J. G. Mikusiński, *A new proof of Titchmarsh's theorem on convolutions*, *Studia Mathematica* 13 (1953), p. 56-58.

**P 151.** Let us consider the class of analytic univalent (*schlicht*) functions, having for  $|z| > 1$  the expansion of the form

$$f(z) = z + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{b_n}{z^n}.$$

From Dieudonné's proof of Bieberbach conjecture for functions with real coefficients<sup>2)</sup> it follows directly, that if  $b_n$  are real, then

$$|b_n| \leq n(1-b_1), \quad n = 2, 3, \dots$$

(This estimation is better than

$$|b_n| \leq \sqrt{\frac{1-b_1^2}{n}},$$

which results from Bieberbach „Flächensatz“<sup>3)</sup>, only if  $1-b_1 < 2/(n^2+1)$ ).

The above estimation for  $|b_n|/(1-b_1)$  cannot be strengthened, as it is seen from the following example:

$$\begin{aligned} f(z) &= z + \frac{b_1}{z} - (1-b_1) \left[ \frac{1/rz}{(1-1/rz)^2} - \frac{1}{rz} \right] \\ &= z + \frac{b_1}{z} - \frac{2(1-b_1)}{r^2 z^2} - \dots - \frac{n(1-b_1)}{r^n z^n} - \dots, \quad 0 < b_1 < 1, \quad r > 1 \end{aligned}$$

(this is a modified Koebe function). In fact, taking  $r$  sufficiently near to 1, we obtain  $|b_n|/(1-b_1)$  arbitrarily little differing from  $n$ .

On the other hand, if, for a given  $r$ ,  $b_1$  is sufficiently near to 1, then this function is *schlicht* for  $|z| > 1$ .

What is the estimation for  $|b_n|/(1-b_1)$  when the coefficients of  $f$  are complex? This question seems to be interesting even for  $n=2$ .

Wrocław, 10. VI. 1954

**P 152.** Does there exist an analytical single-valued function fulfilling the following conditions:

1) it is a function of Pompeiu-Urysohn<sup>4)</sup> type, i. e. the set  $S$  of its essential singular points is non void, zero-dimensional and perfect,

<sup>2)</sup> J. Dieudonné, *Sur les fonctions univalentes*, *Comptes Rendus (Paris)*, 192 (1931), p. 1148-1150.

<sup>3)</sup> L. Bieberbach, *Lehrbuch der Funktionentheorie II*, Leipzig-Berlin 1931, p. 72.

<sup>4)</sup> G. Pompeiu, *Sur la continuité des fonctions de variables complexes*, *Annales de Toulouse* (2), 7 (1905), p. 314, et P. Urysohn, *Sur une fonction partout continue*, *Fundamenta Mathematicae* 4 (1923), p. 144-150.

and at every point of  $S$  the function is defined and finite (hence it is a continuous function on the whole plane);

2) it possesses a simple pole in infinity;

3) it is univalent (schlicht) on the whole plane (hence it establishes an autohomeomorphism of the whole complex plane).

Wrocław, 9. XI. 1954

**P 153.** In the Analysis one often considers some sequences  $\{P_n(x)\}$  of polynomials of increasing degree, with integral coefficients, e. g. 1°  $P_n(x) = x^n - 1$ , 2° the sequence of Tchebychev polynomials, 3° the sequence of Legendre polynomials. The problem arises whether two polynomials of the same sequence  $P_n$  have a common root.

In 1° and 2° the problem is trivial, whereas in 3° for non-vanishing roots it is open and seems to be difficult.

For every sequence  $P_n$  the problem reduces to the question if for two polynomials of this sequence their discriminant vanishes. Hence it is a problem of number theory. From other difficult problems of number theory it distinguishes itself by its connexion with Analysis.

Wrocław, 20. XI. 1954

J. JAWOROWSKI (WARSAW)

**P 154.** Let  $L_k^n$  ( $k < n$ ) be the set of all  $k$ -dimensional hyperplanes of the projective  $n$ -dimensional space (the so-called *Grassmann manifold*). Is it possible to assign continuously to every  $L \in L_k^n$  a point belonging to  $L$ ? For odd  $k$  — no, for even  $k$  and  $n = k + 1$  — yes. How is it in other cases (e. g. when  $n = 4$ ,  $k = 2$ )?

New Scottish Book, Probl. 267, 1. IV. 1955

H. STEINHAUS (WROCLAW)

**P 155.** The length of the circumference  $K$  is one,  $\{P_n\}$  is a sequence of points on  $K$ . For every  $n$  the points  $P_1, P_2, \dots, P_n$  divide  $K$  into  $n$  arcs of lengths  $l_k^{(n)}$  ( $k = 1, 2, \dots, n$ ). The expression

$$W = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n (l_k^{(n)})^2$$

may be treated as a measure of the uniformity of the distribution of  $\{P_n\}$  on  $K$  in the sense that, the less is  $W$ , the more uniformly distributed are the points  $P_n$  in  $K$ . The sequence  $\{P_n\}$  is called *golden* if the length of the arc  $P_n P_{n+1}$  measured in a fixed direction is  $(\sqrt{5} - 1)/2$  for every  $n$ . A conjecture: The golden sequence gives the

minimal value to  $W$ ; the same value is given obviously by its symmetric image, and for every other sequence  $\{P_n\}$  the number  $W$  is larger.

New Scottish Book, Probl. 268, 9. IV. 1955

JAN MYCIELSKI (WROCLAW)

**P 156.** Let  $G$  be a free group. For any set  $N \subset G$  we denote by  $\{N\}$  the least subgroup of  $G$  containing the set  $N$ .

The condition

(\*)  $\varphi^n \text{ non } \in \{M - (\varphi)\}$  for every  $\varphi \in M$  and  $n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$

is obviously necessary in order that  $M$  be a set of free generators for  $\{M\}$ .

Is (\*) a sufficient condition also?

New Scottish Book, Probl. 260, 28. XII. 1954

A. RÉNYI (BUDAPEST)

**P 157.** Let  $\alpha$  denote an irrational number. Let us consider the numbers  $n\alpha - m$ , where  $n$  and  $m$  run independently over all integers ( $n, m = 0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \dots$ ). Let us arrange the numbers  $n\alpha - m$  in a sequence  $w_k = n_k \alpha - m_k$ , where  $(n_k, m_k)$  runs over all pairs of integers. For which arrangements is the sequence  $w_k$  equidistributed in the whole interval  $(-\infty, +\infty)$ ? In other words, for which arrangements does the relation

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\sum_{\substack{\alpha_k \in I_1, 1 \leq k \leq N}} 1}{\sum_{\substack{\alpha_k \in I_2, 1 \leq k \leq N}} 1} = \frac{|I_1 I_2|}{|I_2|}$$

hold for any pair of intervals  $(I_1, I_2)$ , where  $I_2$  has a positive length (i. e. we suppose  $|I_2| > 0$ , where  $|I|$  denotes the length of the interval  $I$ )?

New Scottish Book, Probl. 262, 30. XII. 1954

**P 158.** Let  $\prod = (p_{jk})$  ( $j, k = 1, 2, \dots$ ) denote a double stochastic infinite matrix, all elements of which are positive, i. e. we suppose  $p_{jk} > 0$  ( $j, k = 1, 2, \dots$ ),

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} p_{jk} = 1 \quad (j = 1, 2, \dots), \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} p_{jk} = 1 \quad (k = 1, 2, \dots).$$

Let us put  $\prod^n = (p_{jk}^{(n)})$  ( $n=1, 2, \dots$ ), where  $\prod^n$  denotes the  $n$ -th power of the matrix  $\prod$ . Does the relation

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{p_{jk}^{(n)}}{p_{il}^{(n)}} = 1 \quad (i, j, k, l = 1, 2, \dots)$$

hold for all matrices of the considered type?

New Scottish Book, Probl. 263, 30. XII. 1954

**P 159.** Let  $Q(n, k)$  denote the shortest sequence which can be formed of the numbers  $1, 2, \dots, n$  and which has the property, that any two integers  $i$  and  $j$  ( $1 \leq i < j \leq n$ ) occur in the sequence in such a position, that they are separated by less than  $k$  elements of the sequence (*i. e.* they are neighbours, second neighbours, ...  $k$ -th neighbours). Does the limit

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{Q(n, k)}{n^2}$$

exist for every  $k=1, 2, 3, \dots$ ?

Remark. I have proved the existence of this limit for  $k=1$ ; for  $k=2$  the existence of the limit has been proved by N. N. de Bruijn (Amsterdam). For  $k=1$  or 2 the limit is  $1/2k$ . For arbitrary  $k$  I can prove only

$$\frac{1}{2k} \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{Q(n, k)}{n^2} \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{Q(n, k)}{n^2} \leq \frac{1}{k+1}.$$

New Scottish Book, Probl. 264, 30. XII. 1954

**P 159, R1.** Since the problem has been proposed, we have proved with P. Erdős the existence of the limit in question for all values of  $k$ . The proof will be published in our joint paper *On some combinatorical problems* (in print in *Publicationes Mathematicae*). The value of the limit  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Q(n, k)/n^2$  for  $k > 2$  is still unknown.

Added in proof