

Similarly, when we cancel in the matrix  $\overline{A}$  the column  $j_1$  for which

$$\sum_{i} a_{ij_1} x_i^0 < M(\bar{A})^2)$$

we get the matrix  $\overline{A}'$  which satisfies the equation  $M(\overline{A}') = M(\overline{A})$ . In virtue of (10) and (6) we also have the inequality

$$(11) M(\overline{A}') = M(\overline{A}) \geqslant M(A) \geqslant m(A) = m(\overline{A}) \geqslant m(\overline{A}').$$

Hence

(11a) 
$$M(\bar{A}') \geqslant M(A) \geqslant m(A) \geqslant m(\bar{A}').$$

Repeating, if necessary, the above process of cancelation of rows and columns, we finally get the matrix  $B = \{b_{ij}\}$ , which satisfies the inequality

(11b) 
$$M(B) \geqslant M(A) \geqslant m(A) \geqslant m(B)$$

and the equations 3)

$$\sum_{j} a_{ij} y_{j}^{0} = m(B), \qquad \sum_{i} a_{ij} x_{i}^{0} = M(B)$$

$$(i = 1, 2, \dots, p' \leq p; j = 1, 2, \dots, q' \leq q).$$

From these equations we immediately find m(B) = M(B), as the left sides of these equations are equal to

$$\sum_{ij} a_{ij} x_i^0 y_j^0,$$

and hence considering (11b), we get the theorem.

· MATHEMATICAL INSTITUTE OF THE WROCLAW UNIVERSITY

## ON THE GAME OF BANACH AND MAZUR

 $\mathbf{BY}$ 

## S. ZUBRZYCKI (WROCŁAW)

In this note\*) I am speaking about a game which H. Steinhaus calls a game of Banach and Mazur. This game is defined in the following way.

On an infinite half-line  $0 \le x \le \infty$  a set Z is given. There are two players, A and B. Player A begins the play by choosing, in the first move, a positive number  $a_1$ . Subsequently in the second move, the player B chooses a positive number  $b_1$  smaller than  $a_1$ . Then, in the third move, the player A chooses a positive number  $a_2$  smaller than  $b_1$ . They do so by turns infinitely many times. When the play is finished, an infinite decreasing sequence

(1) 
$$a_1 > b_1 > a_2 > b_2 > \dots$$

of positive numbers is obtained. In this sequence the numbers  $a_i$  are chosen by the player A and numbers  $b_i$  are chosen by the player B. If the number

$$g = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} (a_i + b_i)$$

is in the set Z, the player A wins, if it is not in the set Z, the player B wins.

In other words, the player A chooses a function a which, for each n, given the numbers  $a_1, b_1, \ldots, a_{n-1}, b_{n-1}$ , prescribes the value of  $a_n$ . The player B chooses an analogous function b which, for each n, given the numbers  $a_1, b_1, \ldots, b_{n-1}, a_n$ , prescribes the value of  $b_n$ . Each choice is made in complete ignorance of the others. The functions a and b are called *strategies*. They determine the sequence (1) and therefore the winner.

In the theory of games, a game is called *closed* 1) if for one of the players there exists a strategy which makes him win, no matter what strategy is used by his opponent.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2)</sup>  $x^0$  is an extremal point for the matrix  $\overline{A}$ .

<sup>3)</sup>  $y^0$  is an extremal point for the matrix B.

<sup>\*)</sup> Presented to the Polish Mathematical Society, Section of Wrocław, the 15. X. 1954.

<sup>1)</sup> This definition was first given in [3]. In [1] the term "determined game" is used.

It is intuitively felt that for small sets Z the game of Banach and Mazur should be closed to the advantage of the player B. Now the question arises for what sets Z this game is really closed to the advantage of the player B. Turowicz [4] has shown that it is so if Z is the set of rational numbers. In this note I wish to generalize his result by proving the following  $^2$ )

THEOREM. If Z is a denumerable set, then the game of Banach and Mazur is closed to the advantage of the player B.

Proof. Let us arrange the elements of the set Z in a sequence  $z_1, z_2, \ldots$ . For each natural k let us denote by  $s_k$  the sum  $a_1+b_1+a_2+b_2+\ldots+b_{k-1}+a_k$ . We shall prove the theorem by defining a method of choosing the numbers  $b_i$  in order to have  $g \neq z_i$  for each i. It is the following method:

The player B decides at the 2k-th move of the play (that is at his k-th move) what inequalities must be fulfilled by the numbers  $b_k$ ,  $b_{k+1}$ ,  $b_{k+2}$ , ... in order to have  $g \neq z_k$ . These inequalities, imposed upon the numbers  $b_k$ ,  $b_{k+1}$ ,  $b_{k+2}$ , ... in the 2k-th move, depend on the sum  $s_k$  and the number  $z_k$ . Namely, the sum  $s_k$  being given, the player B chooses the positive numbers  $\beta_k^{(k)}$ ,  $\beta_{k+1}^{(k)}$ , ... so that, if  $s_k < z_k$ , we have the inequality

(2) 
$$\sum_{k=k}^{\infty} \beta_k^{(k)} < (z_k - s_k)/2;$$

if  $z_k \leqslant s_k$ , he puts, for instance,  $1 = \beta_k^{(k)} = \beta_{k+1}^{(k)} = \dots$  Then he chooses  $b_n$ , for  $n = k, k+1,\dots$  so that the inequality

$$(3) b_n < \beta_n^{(k)}$$

holds. Thus, if  $s_k < z_k$ , then, in view of (1) and (2), we shall have

$$g = s_k + (b_k + a_{k+1}) + (b_{k+1} + a_{k+2}) + \dots$$

$$< s_k + 2 \sum_{i=k}^{\infty} \beta_i^{(k)} < s_k + 2 \cdot \frac{z_k - s_k}{2} = z_k,$$

and, if  $z_k \leq s_k$  we shall have  $z_k < g$  in virtue of the positiveness of the numbers  $a_n$  and  $b_n$ , and thus in both cases we shall have  $g \neq z_k$ .

According to the method described, the player B has to choose his k-th number,  $b_k$ , so that the following k+1 inequalities be fulfilled:

$$b_k < a_k, b_k < \beta_k^{(1)}, \ldots, b_k < \beta_k^{(k)},$$



the first of them following from the definition of the game and the other k being imposed by the player B himself in his first, second, ..., k-th move respectively.

Since the player B, according to the method described, ensures the inequality  $g \neq z_k$  in his k-th move, we shall have  $g \neq z_i$  for every i. The theorem is proved.

Note that in this proof we have indicated a whole class of winning strategies of the player B.

## REFERENCES

- [1] J. von Neumann and O. Morgenstern, Theory of Games and Economic Behaviour, Princeton 1944.
- [2] M. Reichbach, Ein Spiel von Banach und Mazur, Colloquium Mathematicum (in press).
- [3] H. Steinhaus, Definicje potrzebne do teorii gier i pościgu, Złota Myśl Akademicka, Lwów 1929.
- [4] A. Turowicz, Sur une propriété des nombres irrationnels, Annales Polonici Mathematici 2.1 (1955), p. 103-105.

MATHEMATICAL INSTITUTE OF THE POLISH ACADEMY OF SCIENCES

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>) Recently M. Reichbach [2] has shown that there exists a perfect set Z of measure zero for which the game of Banach and Mazur is closed, but to the advantage of the player A.