

From (I) and (V) we obtain

$$(VI) \quad \begin{aligned} z \in X &\equiv \sum_r \{E(r) = 1 \cdot M(r, z)\}, \\ z \in -X &\equiv \sum_r \{E(r) = 0 \cdot M(r, z)\}, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$M(r, z) \equiv \sum_u \prod_t T(k_0, P(z, r), u, t) \cdot \prod_{x < r} \sum_u \prod_t T(k_1, P(z, x), u, t).$$

From (VI) we conclude that  $X$  and  $-X$  belong to the class  $\Sigma II$ .

Conversely, if  $X, -X \in \Sigma II$ , then there exist two sets  $Z_1$  and  $Z_2$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} z \in X &\equiv \sum_v \sim P(z, v) \in Z_1, \\ z \in -X &\equiv \sum_v \sim P(z, v) \in Z_2. \end{aligned}$$

If one of the sets  $Z_1, Z_2$  is empty, then also one of the sets  $X, -X$  is empty, and  $X$  and  $-X$  are computable. Hence  $e_X$  is also computable. If both the sets  $Z_1$  and  $Z_2$  are not empty, then they are recursively enumerable ones. Hence there are two computable functions  $f$  and  $g$  such that

$$(VII) \quad \begin{aligned} z \in X &\equiv \sum_v \sim \sum_y P(z, v) = f(y), \\ z \in -X &\equiv \sum_y \sim \sum_v P(z, v) = g(y). \end{aligned}$$

Let  $U$  be the set of the values of the functions  $2f$  and  $2g+1$ :

$$(VIII) \quad x \in U \equiv \sum_y \{x = 2f(y) \vee x = 2g(y) + 1\}.$$

From (VII) and (VIII) we obtain

$$(IX) \quad \begin{aligned} z \in X &\equiv \sum_v \sim (2P(z, v) \in U) \equiv \sum_v e_U(2P(z, v)) = 0, \\ z \in -X &\equiv \sum_v \sim (2P(z, v) + 1 \in U) \equiv \sum_v e_U(2P(z, v) + 1) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Also

$$(X) \quad e_X(z) = 1 - e_U(2P(z, (\min v)[e_U(2P(z, v)) = 0 \cdot v \cdot e_U(2P(z, v) + 1) = 0])).$$

The function  $e_U$  satisfies the following condition:

$$\prod_z \sum_v \{e_U(2P(z, v)) = 0 \cdot v \cdot e_U(2P(z, v) + 1) = 0\}.$$

This follows at once from (IX). Therefore the operation of minimum used in (X) is effective, and the function  $e_X$  is computable with respect to the function  $e_U$ <sup>5)</sup>.

<sup>5)</sup> An extension of the Theorem 2 was proved by S. C. Kleene: *Introduction to Metamathematics*, Amsterdam 1952, p. 293, Theorem XI.

## SOME REMARKS ON PARTIALLY RECURSIVE FUNCTIONS

BY

A. JANICZAK †

EDITED BY A. GRZEGORCZYK \*

The class **PR** of partially recursive functions is a natural generalization of the class of computable functions. In this note I shall mention some properties of the class **PR**. Let  $D^*(f)$  be the set of arguments and  $D(f)$  the set of values of a function  $f$ . We shall consider only such functions for which both the sets  $D^*(f)$  and  $D(f)$  are not empty and are contained in the set of positive integers.

1. DEFINITION<sup>1)</sup>.  $f \in \mathbf{PR}$  if and only if there exist two computable functions  $g$  and  $h$  such that

$$(1) \quad \prod_z z \in D^*(f) \equiv \sum_x h(z, x) = 0,$$

$$(2) \quad \prod_z z \in D^*(f) \cdot \supset \cdot f(z) = g((\min x)[h(z, x) = 0]),$$

where  $(\min x)[h(z, x) = 0] =$  the smallest  $x$  such that  $h(z, x) = 0$ .

The definition of partially recursive functions of many arguments is similar.

2. The above definition is equivalent to the following two:

$$f \in \mathbf{PR} \equiv \sum_g \{g \in \mathbf{R} \cdot D(g) = D^*(f) \cdot fg \in \mathbf{R}\},$$

$$f \in \mathbf{PR} \equiv D^*(f) \text{ is a recursively enumerable set}$$

$$\text{and } \prod_g \{g \in \mathbf{R} \cdot D(g) \subset D^*(f) \cdot \supset \cdot fg \in \mathbf{R}\},$$

where **R** is the class of computable functions, and  $fg$  is the superposition of the functions  $f$  and  $g$ .

3. There exists a function  $f \in \mathbf{PR}$  which assumes only two values 0 and 1, and which cannot be extended to any computable function. This means that

$$\prod_g \{g \in \mathbf{R} \cdot \supset \cdot f \neq g[D^*(f)]\}.$$

\* See the footnote \* on page 33.

<sup>1)</sup> See S. C. Kleene, *Recursive predicates and quantifiers*, Transactions of the Am. Math. Soc. 53 (1943), p. 41-73. The first definition was proposed by Kleene in the paper *On notation for ordinal numbers*, Journal of Symb. Logic 3 (1938), p. 152.

4. There exists a function  $f \in \mathbf{PR}$  which cannot be majorized by any function  $g \in \mathbf{R}$ . This means that

$$\prod_g \{g \in \mathbf{R} \cdot \neg \sum_x [x \in D^*(f) \cdot f(x) > g(x)]\}.$$

5. If the set  $D^*(f)$  is the complement of a recursively enumerable set, and  $f$  can be extended to a function  $g \in \mathbf{PR}$ , then  $f$  can be extended to a function  $h \in \mathbf{R}$ .

From this it follows that if  $D^*(f)$  is a computable set and  $f \in \mathbf{PR}$ , then  $f$  can be extended to a computable function.

6. The class  $\mathbf{PR}$  is closed under the operation of substitution, but is not closed under the operation of minimum. Namely there exists a function  $f \in \mathbf{PR}$  such that setting  $f_n(x) = f(n, x)$  we find that the function

$$g(n) = \text{the smallest } x \text{ such that } x \in D^*(f_n) \text{ and } f(n, x) = 0$$

is not partially recursive.

7. If  $g, f \in \mathbf{PR}$ ,  $X$  is a recursively enumerable set  $X \subset D^*(f)$ , and  $X \subset D(g)$ , then both the sets  $f(X)$  and  $g^{-1}(X)$  (image and counter-image of the set  $X$ ) are recursively enumerable. In particular the set  $D(f)$  is recursively enumerable provided that  $f \in \mathbf{PR}$ .

Using partially recursive functions we can define in a very simple way some recursively enumerable sets. E. g. if  $F_n(x)$  is the universal function for the class of primitive recursive functions and we put

$$f(n) = F_n(\langle \min x [F_n(x) > n^2] \rangle),$$

then the set  $D(f)$  is a simple set (i. e. a recursively enumerable set with infinite complement which intersects all infinite recursively enumerable sets<sup>2</sup>).

<sup>2</sup>) The definition and the first example of a simple set was given by Post. The example of Post is more complicated and obtained in a different way. See E. L. Post, *Recursively enumerable sets of positive integers and their decision problems*, Bulletin of the Am. Math. Soc. 50 (1944), p. 310.

## ÜBER EINE EIGENSCHAFT DER SINGULÄREN KARDINALZAHLEN

VON

G. FODOR UND L. KETSKEMÉTY (SZEGED)

Aus einem Jourdain'schen Satz<sup>1</sup>) kann folgende Behauptung hergeleitet werden:

Wenn  $\aleph_\alpha$  eine singuläre Kardinalzahl und  $\omega_\beta$  die kleinste mit  $\omega_\alpha$  konfinale Anfangszahl ist, dann ist

$$\aleph_\alpha^{\omega_\beta} > \aleph_\alpha.$$

In diesem Artikel geben wir einen direkten, einfachen Beweis dieser Behauptung an.

Beweis.  $E$  sei eine Menge von der Mächtigkeit  $\aleph_\alpha$ . Betrachten wir die Menge  $H$  sämtlicher Teilmengen von  $E$ , die die Mächtigkeit  $\aleph_\alpha$  haben. Offenbar ist  $\bar{H} = \aleph_\alpha^{\aleph_\alpha}$ . Nehmen wir an, dass  $\aleph_\alpha^{\aleph_\alpha} = \aleph_\alpha$  ist. Sei

$$(1) \quad H_1, H_2, \dots, H_\omega, H_{\omega+1}, \dots, H_\xi, \dots \quad (\xi < \omega_\alpha)$$

eine wohlgeordnete Folge vom Typus  $\omega_\alpha$  sämtlicher Elemente von  $H$ . Sei  $y_1$  ein beliebiges Element von  $H_1$ . Wählen wir aus  $H_2$  ein Element  $y_2$ , das nicht  $y_1$  gleich ist. Hat  $H_2$  kein solches Element, so sei  $y_2$  ein beliebiges Element von  $H_2$ . Nehmen wir an, dass wir  $y_\xi$  schon für jedes  $\xi < \gamma < \omega_\alpha$  definiert haben. Dann sei  $y_\gamma$  ein von jedem  $y_\xi$  ( $\xi < \gamma$ ) verschiedenes Element von  $H_\gamma$ ; wenn  $H_\gamma$  kein solches Element hat, sei  $y_\gamma$  ein beliebiges Element von  $H_\gamma$ . Setzen wir dieses Verfahren für jedes  $\gamma < \omega_\alpha$  fort. Offenbar die Folge  $y_\xi$  enthält dann  $\aleph_\alpha$  verschiedene Elemente.

Wir definieren eine wohlgeordnete Folge vom Typus  $\omega_\alpha$

$$(2) \quad x_1, x_2, x_3, \dots, x_\omega, x_{\omega+1}, \dots, x_\eta, \dots \quad (\eta < \omega_\alpha)$$

der Elemente von  $E$  folgendermassen. Sei  $x_1 = y_1$ . Haben wir schon jedes  $x_\eta$  für  $\eta < \delta$  definiert, so definieren wir  $x_\delta$  als das erste von allen diesen  $x_\eta$  verschiedene Element der Folge  $y_\xi$ .

Bezeichnen wir mit  $N$  die Menge derjenigen Elemente  $H_\xi$  der Folge (1), zu denen Elemente von (2) mit beliebig grossen Indizes gehören. Offensichtlich hat  $N$  die Mächtigkeit  $\aleph_\alpha$ . Jedem Element  $H_\xi$  von  $N$  sei nun  $y_\xi = x_\omega$  zugeordnet. Aus der Konstruktion von (2) erhellt, dass diese Zuordnung eine eindeutige Abbildung zwischen  $N$  und einer Teilmenge  $T$  von (2) von der Mächtigkeit  $\aleph_\alpha$  ist.

<sup>1</sup>) Ph. E. Jourdain, *Quarterly Journal of Mathematics* 39 (1908), p. 375-384.