

GROUPS CONNECTED WITH BOOLEAN ALGEBRAS
(PARTIAL SOLUTION OF THE PROBLEM P 92)

BY

A. MOSTOWSKI (WARSAW)

The aim of this note is to solve the problem proposed in the remark 5^o of the preceding paper¹⁾ for a special kind of Boolean rings A , namely for the so called rings with an ordered basis²⁾.

We use symbols defined in the remark 5^o of [1]. Besides this we put

$$(a \leq b) \equiv (a \cdot b = a), \quad (a < b) \equiv ((a \neq b) \text{ and } (a \leq b)),$$

for arbitrary $a, b \in A$. We denote by 0 and 1 the zero and the unit of A ; by \ominus the operation inverse to the group-theoretic addition \odot . If T is an arbitrary set, then G^T will denote the T -fold Cartesian power of G , i.e. the group of functions defined over T and assuming values of G , the addition of two functions being defined by the formula (*) of [1]. If $S \subset T$ and $\nu \in G^T$, then $\nu|_S$ denotes the function ν restricted to the set S .

We recall now the definition and the simplest properties of Boolean rings with an ordered basis.

A set $M \subset A$ is an ordered basis of A if $0, 1 \in M$, M is ordered by the relation $<$, and A is the smallest ring containing M .

It is easily proved³⁾ that if M is an ordered basis of A , then every element $a \neq 0$ of A is uniquely representable as a sum:

$$(1) \quad a = a_1 + b_1 + a_2 + b_2 + \dots + a_n + b_n,$$

where a_i, b_i , are elements of M ($i = 1, 2, \dots, n$), and

$$(2) \quad a_1 > b_1 > a_2 > b_2 > \dots > a_n > b_n.$$

¹⁾ Kuratowski-Mostowski, [1], p. 214. (Numbers in brackets refer to the bibliography at the end of the paper).

²⁾ Mostowski-Tarski, [2], p. 70.

³⁾ Mostowski-Tarski, [2], p. 71.

Formula (1) is called the canonical representation of a .

A simple example of a ring with an ordered basis is furnished by the ring A_H of sets which are simultaneously open and closed in a closed subset H of the Cantor's discontinuous set C .

Indeed, every element of A_H can be obtained by means of the Boolean operations $+$ and \cdot from sets of the form $H \cdot \langle 0, a \rangle$, where $\langle 0, a \rangle$ is a closed interval the endpoint a of which lies outside C . All sets $H \cdot \langle 0, a \rangle$ form thus an ordered basis of A_H . Note that every finite and every denumerable Boolean ring is isomorphic to a ring A_H , where H is a closed subset of C homeomorphic to Stone's representative space of the ring⁴⁾.

Theorem. If M is an ordered basis of A , then the group Γ is isomorphic to $G^{M'}$, where $M' = M - \{0, 1\}$.

Proof. From the fact that the group-operations in Γ and in $G^{M'}$ are identical it follows easily that the correspondence

$$(3) \quad \nu \rightarrow \nu' = \nu|_{M'}$$

determines a homomorphic mapping of Γ into $G^{M'}$.

Assume that $\nu' = \nu|_{M'}$ is the constant function z . Since $\nu(1) = z$ by the definition of Γ and since $\nu(0) = \nu(0) \odot \nu(0) = z$, we infer that $\nu(b) = z$ for each element b of the basis M . Observe now that if $a_i > b_i$, then $(a_i + b_i) + b_i = a_i$ and $(a_i + b_i) \cdot b_i = 0$, whence $\nu(a_i + b_i) \odot \nu(b_i) = \nu(a_i)$ and therefore $\nu(a_i + b_i) = \nu(a_i) \ominus \nu(b_i)$. Observe further that if the conditions (2) are satisfied, then $(a_i + b_i) \cdot (a_j + b_j) = 0$. Taking both these observations together we obtain the formula

$$\nu(a) = [\nu(a_1) \ominus \nu(b_1)] \odot [\nu(a_2) \ominus \nu(b_2)] \odot \dots \odot [\nu(a_n) \ominus \nu(b_n)],$$

which proves that if ν vanishes for the elements of M , it vanishes identically.

Hence the kernel of the homomorphism (3) contains only the constant function z and (3) defines an isomorphic mapping of Γ into $G^{M'}$.

It remains now to prove that every $\mu \in G^{M'}$ has the form ν' for a suitable $\nu \in \Gamma$. To show this we assume that $\mu \in G^{M'}$ and we put $\mu(1) = \mu(0) = z$, and

⁴⁾ Horn-Tarski, [3], p. 484.

(4) $\nu(a) = [\mu(a_1) \ominus \mu(b_1)] \circ \dots \circ [\mu(a_n) \ominus \mu(b_n)]$
 for every element defined by the formula (1).

It is evident that if $a \in M$, then $\nu(a) = \mu(a)$, because (1) takes then the form $a = a + 0$. Hence $\mu = \nu|_M$ and it remains to prove that $\nu \in \mathcal{I}$. The condition $\nu(0) = z$ being obviously satisfied, we must only show that

$$\nu(a + \bar{a}) = \nu(a) \circ \nu(\bar{a}) \quad \text{for } a \cdot \bar{a} = 0.$$

Let us assume that the formulae (1) and (2) hold and so do the two similar formulae for the element \bar{a} :

$$(5) \quad \bar{a} = \bar{a}_1 + \bar{b}_1 + \bar{a}_2 + \bar{b}_2 + \dots + \bar{a}_m + \bar{b}_m,$$

$$(6) \quad \bar{a}_1 > \bar{b}_1 > \bar{a}_2 > \bar{b}_2 > \dots > \bar{a}_m > \bar{b}_m,$$

where \bar{a}_j and \bar{b}_j are elements of M for $j = 1, 2, \dots, m$.

We shall show that if $a \cdot \bar{a} = 0$, then no \bar{a}_j and no \bar{b}_j lies between a_i and b_i . Indeed, assuming that $a_i > \bar{a}_j > b_i$, we have either $\bar{a}_j > b_j \geq b_i$ or $\bar{a}_j > b_i > \bar{b}_j$. In the first case $\bar{a}_j + \bar{b}_j \leq a_i + b_i$, and hence $a \cdot \bar{a}$ contains the element $\bar{a}_j + \bar{b}_j$ which is different from 0. In the second case $\bar{a}_j + b_i \leq \bar{a}_j + \bar{b}_j$ and $\bar{a}_j + b_i \leq a_i + b_i$, and hence $a \cdot \bar{a}$ contains the element $\bar{a}_j + b_i$ which is different from 0. We have thus proved that if $a \cdot \bar{a} = 0$, no \bar{a}_j can lie between a_i and b_i . The proof that no \bar{b}_j lies between a_i and b_i is similar.

It follows from what we have just proved that if we arrange the elements $a_1, b_1, \dots, a_n, b_n, \bar{a}_1, \bar{b}_1, \dots, \bar{a}_m, \bar{b}_m$ in decreasing order of magnitude, we shall obtain a sequence of the form

$$(7) \quad a_1 > b_1 > \dots > a_i > b_i \geq \bar{a}_1 > \bar{b}_1 > \dots > \bar{a}_j > \bar{b}_j \geq a_{i+1} > b_{i+1} > \dots$$

Let us first assume that no two elements of this sequence are identical. Hence the canonical representation of $a + \bar{a}$ is

$$a_1 + b_1 + \dots + a_i + b_i + \bar{a}_1 + \bar{b}_1 + \dots + \bar{a}_j + \bar{b}_j + a_{i+1} + b_{i+1} + \dots,$$

and we obtain according to the formula (4)

$$\begin{aligned} \nu(a + \bar{a}) &= [\mu(a_1) \ominus \mu(b_1)] \circ \dots \circ [\mu(a_i) \ominus \mu(b_i)] \circ \\ &\quad \circ [\mu(\bar{a}_1) \ominus \mu(\bar{b}_1)] \circ \dots \circ [\mu(\bar{a}_j) \ominus \mu(\bar{b}_j)] \circ \dots = \\ &= \{ [\mu(a_1) \ominus \mu(b_1)] \circ \dots \circ [\mu(a_n) \ominus \mu(b_n)] \} \circ \\ &\quad \circ \{ [\mu(\bar{a}_1) \ominus \mu(\bar{b}_1)] \circ \dots \circ [\mu(\bar{a}_m) \ominus \mu(\bar{b}_m)] \} = \nu(a) \circ \nu(\bar{a}). \end{aligned}$$

The same result holds if there are pairs of equal elements in the sequence (7). Suppose e.g. that $b_i = \bar{a}_1$. We have then

$$a + \bar{a} = a_1 + b_1 + \dots + a_i + b_i + \bar{a}_1 + \bar{b}_1 + \dots = a_1 + b_1 + \dots + a_i + \bar{b}_1 + \dots,$$

since $b_i + \bar{a}_1 = 0$. Hence the canonical representation of $a + \bar{a}$ is

$$a + \bar{a} = a_1 + b_1 + \dots + a_i + \bar{b}_1 + \dots,$$

and we obtain from the formula (4)

$$(8) \quad \nu(a + \bar{a}) = [\mu(a_1) \ominus \mu(b_1)] \circ \dots \circ [\mu(a_i) \ominus \mu(\bar{b}_1)] \circ \dots$$

On the other hand

$$\begin{aligned} \nu(a) &= [\mu(a_1) \ominus \mu(b_1)] \circ \dots \circ [\mu(a_i) \ominus \mu(b_i)] \circ \dots, \\ \nu(\bar{a}) &= [\mu(\bar{a}_1) \ominus \mu(\bar{b}_1)] \circ \dots \circ [\mu(\bar{a}_j) \ominus \mu(\bar{b}_j)] \circ \dots. \end{aligned}$$

If we add the formulae for $\nu(a)$ and $\nu(\bar{a})$, $\mu(\bar{a}_1)$ and $\mu(b_i)$ will cancel, and we obtain the same value as on the right hand-side of (8). Hence $\nu(a + \bar{a}) = \nu(a) \circ \nu(\bar{a})$ in all cases and the proof of the theorem is complete.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

[1] C. Kuratowski et A. Mostowski, *Sur un problème de la théorie des groupes et son rapport à la topologie*, Colloquium Mathematicum, this fascicle, p. 212-215.
 [2] A. Mostowski and A. Tarski, *Boolesche Ringe mit geordneter Basis*, Fundamenta Mathematicae 32 (1939), p. 69-86.
 [3] A. Horn and A. Tarski, *Measures in Boolean algebras*, Transactions of the American Mathematical Society 64 (1948), p. 467-497.