# COLLOQUIUM MATHEMATICUM

VOL. XII

1964

FASC. 2

## COMMUTATIVE REGULAR SEMIGROUPS

### BY

# P. JAREK (TORUŃ)

Dubikajtis and Jarek [3] have investigated connection between commutative regular semigroups and elementary pseudogroups (<sup>1</sup>).

This paper is devoted to the study of the structure of commutative regular semigroups and their homomorphisms. I show that it is possible to associate with every commutative regular semigroup a direct system of Abelian groups over a semilattice. This correspondence enables us to describe all homomorphic images of a commutative regular semigroup and thus to generalize the well known theorem concerning homomorphic images of Abelian groups.

§ 1. Partially ordered sets. Direct systems of Abelian groups. A set T is said to be *partially ordered* if there is in T a binary relation  $\leq$  which is defined for certain (not necessarily for all) pairs  $t_1, t_2 \in T$  and which is reflexive, transitive and antisymmetric.

A partially ordered set T is said to be a *directed set* if for any  $t_1, t_2 \in T$ there exists an element  $t_3 \in T$  such that  $t_1 \leq t_3$  and  $t_2 \leq t_3$ .

A partially ordered set T is called a *semilattice* if for any  $t_1, t_2 \in T$ there exists their smallest upper bound  $t_1 + t_2 \in T$  (<sup>2</sup>).

Any semilattice is of course a directed set.

Let T be a partially ordered set and  $\sim$  an equivalence relation in T (i. e. a binary relation, which is reflexive, transitive and symmetric). We shall denote by  $\tilde{t}$  the equivalence class of t, i. e., the set of all elements  $t' \in T$  such that  $t' \sim t$ , and by  $\tilde{T}$  the set of all equivalence classes  $\tilde{t}$ .

It is well known (see [4]) that if the relation  $\sim$  satisfies the conditions

<sup>(&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>) The notion of an elementary pseudogroup has been introduced by Dubikajtis in [2].

 $<sup>(^2)</sup>$  We shall use the notation  $t_1 + t_2$  for the smallest upper bound of  $t_1$  and  $t_2$ . This shall be convenient, since all semilattices considered in this paper are semigroups with addition as the group operation, and the smallest upper bound always coincides with the sum of two elements.

Р.	J	A	R	Е	ĸ

(a) if  $t_1 \leq t \leq t_2$  and  $t_1 \sim t_2$ , then  $t \sim t_2$ ,

(b) if  $t_1 \leq t_2$ , then for every  $t'_1 \sim t_1$  there exists an element  $t'_2 \sim t_2$ such that  $t'_1 \leq t'_2$ ,

then the ordering relation  $\leq$  induces a partially ordering relation in  $\tilde{T}$ , defined as follows:

 $\tilde{t}_1 \leqslant \tilde{t}_2$  if and only if there exist elements  $t'_1 \sim t_1$  and  $t'_2 \sim t_2$  such that  $t'_1 \leqslant t'_2$ .

An equivalence relation  $\sim$  defined in a semilattice T is said to be a congruence relation, if

(c) for any  $t_1, t_1', t \in T$  if  $t_1 \sim t_1'$ , then  $t_1 + t \sim t_1' + t$ .

It is evident that a congruence relation satisfies conditions (a) and (b) and that the set  $\tilde{T}$  is again a semilattice. Moreover, the smallest upper bound of  $\tilde{t}_1$  and  $\tilde{t}_2$  in  $\tilde{T}$  is  $\overline{t_1+t_2}$  (see [1], p. 44).

Two partially ordered sets T and S are called *similar* if there exists a one-to-one transformation  $\varphi$  of T onto S such that for any  $t_1, t_2 \in T$  the relation  $t_1 \leq t_2$  holds if and only if  $\varphi(t_1) \leq \varphi(t_2)$ .  $\varphi$  will be called a *similar*ity-function of T and S.

Let T be a directed set. Suppose that to every  $t \in T$  there corresponds an Abelian group  $G_t$ , and to every pair  $t, t' \in T$  such that  $t \leq t'$  there corresponds a homomorphism  $h_{t,t'}$  of  $G_t$  in  $G_{t'}$ . The system  $\{G_t, h_{t,t'}\}_{t\in T}$  of groups  $G_t$  and homomorphisms  $h_{t,t'}$  is said to be a *direct system* over the directed set T, if

(1) for any  $t \in T$ ,  $h_{t,t}$  is the identity isomorphism of  $G_t$ ,

(2) for any  $t, t', t'' \in T$  such that  $t \leq t' \leq t''$  the diagram



is commutative (3).

Two direct systems  $\{G_t, h_{t,t'}\}_{t\in T}$  and  $\{F_s, f_{s,s'}\}_{s\in S}$  over directed sets T and S are called *isomorphic*, if there exists a similarity-function  $\varphi_0: T \to S$  and a family of mappings  $\{\varphi_t\}_{t\in T}$  such that

(3) Commutativity of diagrams



means that f = hg and kf = hg respectively.

1°  $\varphi_t$  is for any  $t \in T$  an isomorphism of  $G_t$  on  $F_{\varphi_0(t)}$ , 2° for any  $t \leq t'$  the diagram



where  $\varphi_0(t) = s$ ,  $\varphi_0(t') = s'$ , is commutative.

A direct system  $\{G_t, h_{t,t'}\}_{t\in T}$  over T will be said to be a regular system, if T is a semilattice and the groups  $G_t$  are mutually disjoint.

Suppose that to every  $t \in T$  there corresponds a subgroup  $H_t$  of  $G_t$  such that

(3) for any  $t, t' \in T$  if  $t \leq t'$ , then  $h_{t,t'}(H_t) \subset H_{t'}$ .

Then, the subgroups  $H_t$  together with the homomorphisms  $h_{t,\nu}$  restricted to  $H_t$  form again a direct system over T, called the *subsystem* of the system  $\{G_t, h_{t,\nu}\}_{t\in T}$  (<sup>4</sup>).

Let  $\{H_t, h_{t,t'}\}_{t\in T}$  be a subsystem of the direct system  $\{G_t, h_{t,t'}\}_{t\in T}$ . In view of (3), every homomorphism  $h_{t,t'}$  induces a homomorphism  $\overline{h}_{t,t'}$  of the factor group  $G_t/H_t$  in  $G_{t'}/H_{t'}$ . The family of factor groups  $G_t/H_t$  together with the induced homomorphisms  $\overline{h}_{t,t'}$  form again a direct system  $\{G_t/H_t, \overline{h}_{t,t'}\}_{t\in T}$  over T, which we shall call a factor system of  $\{G_t, h_{t,t'}\}_{t\in T}$  with respect to the subsystem  $\{H_t, h_{t,t'}\}_{t\in T}$ .

Let  $T_0$  be a subset of T, which is again a directed set. We may consider the direct system composed of all these groups  $G_t$  and homomorphisms  $h_{t,t'}$ , whose indices belong to  $T_0$ . We shall call this system a *partial direct* system determined by the subset  $T_0$ .

Let  $\{G_t, h_{t,t'}\}_{t\in T}$  be a direct system over T.  $\sum_{t\in T} G_t$  will denote the direct sum of groups  $G_t$ . Let Q be the subgroup of  $\sum_{t\in T} G_t$  generated by all elements of the form  $g_t - h_{t,t'}(g_t)$ , t and t' being arbitrary elements of T such that  $t \leq t'$ , and  $g_t$  being an arbitrary element of  $G_t$ . The factor group  $G^{\infty} =$  $= \sum_{t\in T} G_t/Q$  will be called the *direct limit* of the direct system  $\{G_t, h_{t,t'}\}_{t\in T}$ .

It is well known that direct limits of isomorphic systems are isomorphic.

For any  $t \in T$  a natural homomorphism  $h_t$  of  $G_t$  in  $G^{\infty}$  may be defined as follows:  $h_t$  is the superposition of the natural embedding of  $G_t$  in  $\sum_{t \in T} G_t$ and the canonical homomorphism of  $\sum_{t \in T} G_t$  on  $G^{\infty}$ .

<sup>(4)</sup> We shall denote the restricted homomorphisms of the subsystem by the same symbol  $h_{t,\nu}$ .

The following properties of this homomorphism are known (see [5]): (A) For any  $t, t' \in T$  such that  $t \leq t'$  the diagram



is commutative.

(B)  $\bigcup_{t \in T} \operatorname{Im} h_t = G^{\infty}.$ 

(C) Ker  $h_t = \bigcup_{t \leq t'} \operatorname{Ker} h_{t,t'}$  for any  $t \in T$  (5).

(D) For any  $t_1, t_2 \in T$  and  $g_{t_1} \in G_{t_1}, g_{t_2} \in G_{t_2}$  the equality  $h_{t_1}(g_{t_1}) = h_{t_2}(g_{t_2})$ holds if and only if there exists an index t such that  $t_1 \leq t, t_2 \leq t$  and  $h_{t_1,t}(g_{t_1}) = h_{t_2,t}(g_{t_2})$ .

(E) Let G be an arbitrary Abelian group,  $\{G_t\}_{t\in T}$  — a family of subgroups of G such that  $\bigcup_{t\in T} G_t = G$  and for any groups  $G_t$  and  $G_s$  there exists a subgroup  $G_r$  containing  $G_t$  and  $G_s$ . The group G is then isomorphic with the direct limit of the direct system composed of the groups  $G_t$  and embeddings  $i_{t,t'}$  of  $G_t$  in  $G_{t'}$ , where  $G_t = G_{t'}$ .

We shall prove the following

THEOREM 1. Let  $\{G_t, h_{t,t'}\}_{t\in T}$  and  $\{F_s, f_{s,s'}\}_{s\in S}$  be two direct systems of groups over directed sets T and S respectively. Suppose that to every  $t \in T$ there corresponds at least one  $s \in S$  and a homomorphism  $\varphi_{t,s}$  of  $G_t$  in  $F_s$  in such a way that following conditions are fulfiled:

1° If  $\varphi_{t,s}$  is defined, then for any  $s' \ge s$ ,  $\varphi_{t,s'}$  is defined.

2° For any  $t \leq t'$  and  $s \leq s'$ , if  $\varphi_{t,s}$  and  $\varphi_{t',s'}$  are defined, then the diagram



is commutative.

Under these assumptions there exists a homomorphism  $\varphi^{\infty}: G^{\infty} \to F^{\infty}$  such that the diagram



(4)

is commutative, whenever  $\varphi_{t,s}$  is defined.

(5) Im  $h_t$  and Ker  $h_t$  denote the image of  $G_t$  under the homomorphism  $h_t$  and the kernel of  $h_t$  respectively.

COMMUTATIVE REGULAR SEMIGROUPS

Proof. Let g be an arbitrary element of  $G^{\infty}$ . From (B) it follows that there exists an index  $t \in T$  and an element  $g_t \in G_t$  such that  $h_t(g_t) = g$ . Let s be an arbitrary element of S such that  $\varphi_{t,s}$  is defined.

Let

$$\varphi^{\infty}(g) = f_s(\varphi_{t,s}(g_t)),$$

where  $f_s$  is the natural homomorphism of  $F_s$  in  $F^{\infty}$ .

We shall first prove that  $\varphi^{\infty}$  is well defined, i. e., if for arbitrary  $t_1, t_2 \in T, g_{t_1} \in G_{t_1}, g_{t_2} \in G_{t_2}$  the equality

(5) 
$$h_{t_1}(g_{t_1}) = h_{t_2}(g_{t_2})$$

holds, then for arbitrary  $s_1, s_2 \in S$  we have

(6) 
$$f_{s_1}(\varphi_{t_1,s_1}(g_{t_1})) = f_{s_2}(\varphi_{t_2,s_2}(g_{t_2}))$$

whenever  $\varphi_{t_1,s_1}$  and  $\varphi_{t_2,s_2}$  are defined.

Indeed, equality (5) implies, by (D), that there exists an index t such that  $t_1 \leq t$ ,  $t_2 \leq t$  and

(7) 
$$h_{t_1,t}(g_{t_1}) = h_{t_2,t}(g_{t_2}).$$

Let s be an element of S such that  $s_1 \leq s$ ,  $s_2 \leq s$  and that  $\varphi_{t,s}$  is defined (existence of such an index follows immediately from assumption 1°). Consider the diagram



in which every square and every triangle is commutative (see assumption 2° and (A)). We have  $f_{s_i}(\varphi_{t_i,s_i}(g_{t_i})) = f_s[\varphi_{t_i,s}(h_{t_i,t}(g_{t_i}))]$ , i = 1, 2, whence, by (7), equality (6) holds true.

Now we shall prove that  $\varphi^{\infty}$  is a homomorphism. Let  $g_1, g_2 \in G^{\infty}$  and let  $g_{t_1} \in G_{t_1}, g_{t_2} \in G_{t_2}$  be two elements such that  $h_{t_i}(g_{t_i}) = g_i$  (i = 1, 2). Let  $t \in T$  be such that  $t_1 \leq t$  and  $t_2 \leq t$ , and let  $g'_t = h_{t_1,t}(g_{t_1}), g''_t = h_{t_2,t}(g_{t_2})$ . From the commutativity of the diagram



we obtain  $h_t(g'_t) = h_t(h_{t_1,t}(g_{t_1})) = h_{t_1}(g_{t_1}) = g_1$  and  $h_t(g'_t) = h_t(h_{t_2,t}(g_{t_2})) = h_{t_2}(g_{t_2}) = g_2$ . We have  $h_t(g'_t + g''_t) = g_1 + g_2$ , since  $h_t$  is a homomorphism. Hence, for a suitable  $s \in S$ , we have  $\varphi^{\infty}(g_1) + \varphi^{\infty}(g_2) = f_s(\varphi_{t,s}(g'_t)) + f_s(\varphi_{t,s}(g'_t)) = f_s(\varphi_{t,s}(g'_t + g''_t)) = \varphi^{\infty}(g_1 + g_2)$ .

To end the proof we observe that commutativity of diagram (4) is an immediate consequence of the definition of homomorphism  $\varphi^{\infty}$ .

COROLLARY 1. Let  $\{G_t, h_{t,t'}\}_{t\in T}$ ,  $\{F_s, f_{s,s'}\}_{s\in S}$  and  $\{D_r, d_{r,r'}\}_{r\in R}$  be direct systems of groups over directed sets T, S and R respectively,  $\{\varphi_{t,s}\}, \{\varphi_{s,r}\}$  and  $\{\lambda_{t,r}\}$  — families of homomorphisms of  $\{G_t, h_{t,t'}\}_{t\in T}$  in  $\{F_s, f_{s,s'}\}_{s\in S}$ , of  $\{F_s, f_{s,s'}\}_{s\in S}$  in  $\{D_r, d_{r,r'}\}_{r\in R}$  and of  $\{G_t, h_{t,t'}\}_{t\in T}$  in  $\{D_r, d_{r,r'}\}_{r\in R}$  respectively, satisfying the assumptions of theorem 1. Moreover, suppose that for any  $t \in T$ ,  $s \in S$  and  $r \in R$  the diagram





is commutative, whenever  $\varphi_{l,s}$ ,  $\psi_{s,r}$  and  $\lambda_{l,r}$  are defined. Then the diagram



where  $\varphi^{\infty}$ ,  $\psi^{\infty}$  and  $\lambda^{\infty}$  are homomorphisms defined in the proof of theorem 1, is commutative.

Proof. For an arbitrary  $g \in G^{\infty}$  and  $g_t \in G_t$  such that  $h_t(g_t) = g$  the commutativity of diagram (8) implies  $\lambda^{\infty}(g) = d_r(\lambda_{t,r}(g_t)) = d_r[\psi_{s,r}(\varphi_{t,s}(g_t))]$  for suitable  $r \in R$  and  $s \in S$ , where  $d_r$  is the natural homomorphism of  $D_r$  in  $D^{\infty}$ .

On the other hand, we have  $\varphi^{\infty}(g) = f_s(\varphi_{t,s}(g_t))$  and therefore, in view of the definition of homomorphism  $\psi^{\infty}$ , we obtain  $\psi^{\infty}(\varphi^{\infty}(g)) =$  $= d_r[\psi_{s,r}(\varphi_{t,s}(g_t))]$ . Thus  $\lambda^{\infty}(g) = \psi^{\infty}(\varphi^{\infty}(g))$ , which ends the proof.

Let  $\{G_t, h_{t,t'}\}_{t \in T}$  be a direct system of groups over the directed set

 $T, \sim -$  an equivalence relation in T satisfying conditions (a) and (b). Moreover, suppose that

(d) for any  $t, t' \in T$ , if  $t \sim t'$ , then there exists an element  $t'' \in T$  such that  $t \leq t'', t' \leq t''$  and  $t \sim t''$ .

In this situation every equivalence class  $\tilde{t}$  is again a directed set and so we can take into consideration the partial direct system  $\{G_{t'}, h_{t',t''}\}_{t'\in \tilde{t}}$ determined by  $\tilde{t}$ .

For any  $\tilde{t}$ ,  $\tilde{s}$ ,  $\tilde{r} \in \tilde{T}$  such that  $\tilde{t} \leq \tilde{s} \leq \tilde{r}$  the families of homomorphisms  $\{h_{t',s'}\}, \{h_{s',r'}\}$  and  $\{h_{t',r'}\}$  of  $\{G_{t'}, h_{t',t''}\}_{t'\in\tilde{t}}$  in  $\{G_{s'}, h_{s',s''}\}_{s'\in\tilde{s}}$ , of  $\{G_{s'}, h_{s',s''}\}_{s'\in\tilde{s}}$  in  $\{G_{r'}, h_{r',r''}\}_{r'\in\tilde{r}}$  and of  $\{G_{t'}, h_{t',t''}\}_{t'\in\tilde{t}}$  in  $\{G_{r'}, h_{r',r''}\}_{r'\in\tilde{r}}$  satisfy conditions of Corollary 1.

Denote by  $G_{\tilde{t}}^{\infty}$  the direct limit of the partial system  $\{G_{t'}, h_{t',t''}\}_{t'\in \tilde{t}}$  and, for  $\tilde{t} \leq \tilde{s}$ , let  $h_{\tilde{t},\tilde{s}}^{\infty}$  be the homomorphism of  $G_{\tilde{t}}^{\infty}$  in  $G_{\tilde{s}}^{\infty}$  as defined in the proof of theorem 1.

The following statement follows immediately from Corollary 1: COROLLARY 2. The family of groups  $G_{\tilde{t}}^{\infty}$  together with the homomorphisms  $h_{\tilde{t},\tilde{s}}^{\infty}$  form a direct system over  $\tilde{T}$ .

Remark. If  $\{G_t, h_{t,t'}\}_{t\in T}$  is a regular system,  $\sim -$  a congruence relation in the semilattice T, then the derived system  $\{G_{\tilde{t}}^{\infty}, h_{\tilde{t},\tilde{t}'}^{\infty}\}_{\tilde{t}\in\tilde{T}}$  is again regular.

§ 2. Commutative regular semigroups. A set G in which a binary associative operation is defined (called *addition* and denoted by +) is called a *semigroup*.

A semigroup G is called *commutative* if the operation + is commutative, and it is said to be *regular* if for any  $g \in G$  there exists an element  $a \in G$  such that g + a + g = g (<sup>6</sup>).

A subset H of the semigroup G is called a subsemigroup if  $g_1 + g_2 \in H$ for any  $g_1, g_2 \in H$ .

We shall call zero every element x of the semigroup G such that x + x = x. The set of all zeros of G will be denoted by  $G_0$ .

A subsemigroup of a regular semigroup which is again regular will be called a *regular subsemigroup*.

If G is a commutative regular semigroup, then  $G_0$  is, of course, a regular subsemigroup.

<sup>(6)</sup> Regular commutative semigroups have been studied in [3]. We have used there multiplicative notation for the semigroup operation. In this paper additive notation will be more convenient on account of similarity of the theory of commutative regular semigroups to that of Abelian groups. Just for that reason we shall modify the terminology and some notation, for example the direction of the partially ordering relation introduced in [3]. A larger class of semigroups has been studied by Clifford [1a].

P. JAREK

A regular subsemigroup of a commutative regular semigroup G is said to be a *characteristic subsemigroup* if it contains the zero-subsemigroup  $G_0$  of G.

We shall use later on in this paper four results, listed below, which have been proved in [3] or which are immediate consequences of theorems proved in [3]. In this list G always denotes a commutative regular semigroup.

(I) For any  $g \in G$  there exists exactly one element  $O(g) \in G_0$  and one element  $-g \in G$  such that g + O(g) = g, g + (-g) = O(g), (-g) + O(g) = -g, O(-g) = O(g).

(II) For any  $g_1, g_2 \epsilon G$  we have  $O(g_1 + g_2) = O(g_1) + O(g_2), -(g_1 + g_2) = (-g_1) + (-g_2)$ . If  $g \epsilon G_0$ , then O(g) = g.

(III) For any  $x \in G_0$  let  $G_x$  be the set of all  $g \in G$  such that O(g) = x.  $G_x$  is an Abelian group with respect to the operation +, x is the zero of  $G_x$ , -g the inverse of g. If  $x \neq y$ , then the groups  $G_x$  and  $G_y$  are disjoint.  $G = \bigcup_{x \in G_0} G_x$ .

(IV) For arbitrary  $x, y \in G_0$  let  $x \leq y$  if and only if x+y = y. The relation  $\leq$  is a partially ordering relation and  $G_0$  is a semilattice with respect to  $\leq$ , x+y being the smallest upper bound of x and y (<sup>7</sup>).

THEOREM 2. Let  $x, y \in G_0$  and  $x \leq y$ . Define a function  $h_{x,y}$  in  $G_x$  by the following formula:

 $h_{x,y}(g) = g + y$  for any  $g \in G_x$ .

Then

1°  $h_{x,y}$  is a homomorphism of  $G_x$  in  $G_y$ , 2° for any  $x \leq y \leq z$  the diagram



is commutative.

Proof. 1° In view of  $x \leq y$ , (II) implies O(g+y) = O(g) + O(y) = x+y = y, whence  $g+y \epsilon G_y$ .

By (II), for any  $g_1, g_2 \epsilon G_x$  we have  $h_{x,y}(g_1+g_2) = (g_1+g_2)+y = (g_1+y)+(g_2+y) = h_{x,y}(g_1)+h_{x,y}(g_2)$ .

<sup>(7)</sup> In [3], O(g) was denoted by a(g), and -g by  $\overline{g}$  (df. S5 and df. S1). (I) follows from theorem S2 and theorem S4 in [3]. Similarly, (II) is the form of theorem S7 and theorem S8. (III) follows from theorem 3 and theorem 4. Relation  $\leq$  (with inverse direction) was introduced in [3] in the whole semigroup G (df. S4). (IV) follows from the remark after theorem S10.

 $2^{\circ}$  If  $x \leqslant y \leqslant z$ , then we have  $h_{y,z}(h_{x,y}(g)) = h_{y,z}(g+y) = (g+y)+z$ =  $g+z = h_{x,z}(g)$ .

COROLLARY 3. For any  $g_1 \epsilon G_x$  and  $g_2 \epsilon G_y$  we have  $h_{x,x+y}(g_1) + h_{y,x+y}(g_2) = g_1 + g_2$ .

Proof.  $h_{x,x+y}(g_1) + h_{y,x+y}(g_2) = g_1 + (x+y) + g_2 + (x+y) = (g_1 + g_2) + (x+y).$ 

By (II) we have  $O(g_1+g_2) = O(g_1)+O(g_2) = x+y$ , whence, by (I),  $(g_1+g_2)+(x+y) = g_1+g_2$ , which ends the proof.

Remark. In view of theorems (III), (IV) and theorem 2 the family of groups  $G_x$  together with homomorphisms  $h_{x,y}$  form a regular system of groups over the semilattice  $G_0$ . This system will be said to be associated with the commutative regular semigroup G.

THEOREM 3. Commutative regular semigroups G and F are isomorphic if and only if their associated direct systems  $\{G_x, h_{x,x'}\}_{x \in G_0}$  and  $\{F_y, f_{y,y'}\}_{y \in F_0}$ are isomorphic.

Proof. Let  $\varphi$  be an isomorphism of G onto F, let  $\varphi_0$  be the restriction of  $\varphi$  to  $G_0, \varphi_x$  — restriction of  $\varphi$  to  $G_x$ . It is easy to see that  $\varphi_0$  is a similarityfunction of  $G_0$  and  $F_0, \varphi_x$  — an isomorphism of  $G_x$  onto  $F_{\varphi(x)}$ . Let  $x, x' \in G_0$ ,  $x \leq x', \ \varphi(x) = y, \ \varphi(x') = y'$ . For any  $g \in G_x$  we have  $\varphi_{x'}(h_{x,x'}(g)) =$  $= \varphi(g+x') = \varphi(g) + \varphi(x') = \varphi(g) + y = f_{y,y'}(\varphi(g)) = f_{y,y'}(\varphi_x(g))$ , thus  $\varphi_0$ and the family  $\{\varphi_x\}_{x \in G_0}$  establishes an isomorphism of the associated systems of G and F.

On the other hand, suppose that associated systems  $\{G_x, h_{x,x'}\}_{x \in G_0}$ and  $\{F_y, f_{y,y'}\}_{y \in F_0}$  of G and F are isomorphic,  $\varphi_0$  and  $\{\varphi_x\}_{x \in G_0}$  being the corresponding mappings. Then the function  $\varphi$  defined for  $g \in G$  by  $\varphi(g) =$  $= \varphi_x(g)$  if  $g \in G_x$  is an isomorphism of G onto F.

In fact,  $\varphi$  is one-to-one and, for any  $g_1 \epsilon G_{x_1}$  and  $g_2 \epsilon G_{x_2}$ , if we write  $x_1 + x_2 = x$ ,  $\varphi_0(x_1) = y_1$ ,  $\varphi_0(x_2) = y_2$ ,  $\varphi(x) = y$ , then in virtue of Corollary 3, of the commutativity of suitable diagrams and of the fact that  $\varphi_x$  is an isomorphism, we get

$$egin{aligned} &arphi(g_1+g_2) = arphiigh(h_{x_1,\,x}(g_1)+h_{x_2,\,x}(g_2)igh) = arphi_xigh(h_{x_1,\,x}(g_1)+h_{x_2,\,x}(g_2)igh) \ &= arphi_xigh(h_{x_1,\,x}(g_1)igh)+arphi_xigh(h_{x_2,\,x}(g_2)igh) = f_{y_1,\,y}igh(arphi_{x_1}(g_1)igh)+f_{y_2,\,y}igg(arphi_{x_2}(g_2)igh) \ &= arphi_{x_1}(g_1)+arphi_{x_2}(g_2) = arphi(g_1)+arphi(g_2). \end{aligned}$$

Now we shall prove that every regular system of groups is the associated system of a suitable commutative regular semigroup.

THEOREM 4. Let  $\{G_x, h_{x,y}\}_{x \in G_0}$  be a regular system of Abelian groups over a semilattice  $G_0$ . The set  $G = \bigcup_{x \in G_0} G_x$  is a commutative regular semigroup with respect to operation + defined as follows: for any  $g_1, g_2 \in G$  if  $g_1 \in G_x$ and  $g_2 \in G_y$ , we set

$$g_1 + g_2 = h_{x,x+y}(g_1) + h_{y,x+y}(g_2)$$
 (8).

Moreover, if we identify index x with the zero of the group  $G_x$ , then the system  $\{G_x, h_{x,y}\}_{x\in G_0}$  will be identical with the associated system of G.

**Proof.** From the disjointness of the groups  $G_x$  it follows at once that any  $g \in G$  belongs exactly to one group  $G_x$ , so the operation + in G is well defined.

Commutativity of the operation + in G follows from commutativity of the group operation in the groups  $G_x$ .

To prove the associativity observe that in virtue of the commutativity of suitable diagrams formed by the homomorphisms  $h_{x,y}$  we obtain for any  $g_1 \epsilon G_x$ ,  $g_2 \epsilon G_y$  and  $g_3 \epsilon G_z$  the equations  $(g_1 + g_2) + g_3 = (h_{x,x+y+z}(g_1) +$  $+ h_{y,x+y+z}(g_2)) + h_{z,x+y+z}(g_3)$  and  $g_1 + (g_2 + g_3) = h_{x,x+y+z}(g_1) + (h_{y,x+y+z}(g_2) +$  $+ h_{z,x+y+z}(g_3))$ , and thus the equality  $(g_1 + g_2) + g_3 = g_1 + (g_2 + g_3)$  immediately follows from the associativity of the group operation in  $G_{x+y+z}$ .

Observe that since  $h_{x,x}$  is the identity isomorphism of  $G_x$ , the operation + defined in G coincides for elements of  $G_x$  with the group operation in  $G_x$ . So for any  $g \epsilon G_x$  we have g + (-g) + g = g, where -g is the inverse of g in  $G_x$ , thus G is regular.

If we identify index x with the zero of  $G_x$  (the zero-subsemigroup of G is then identified with the semilattice  $G_0$ ), then the order relation  $\leq$  introduced in the zero-subsemigroup of G in the manner described in theorem (IV) will be identical with the previous order relation in  $G_0$ .

To end the proof that  $\{G_x, h_{x,y}\}_{x \in G_0}$  is the associated system of G we must show that  $h_{x,y}(g) = g + y$  for any  $x \leq y$ ,  $(x, y \in G_0)$  and for any  $g \in G_x$ .

In fact, it immediately follows from the definition of the operation + in G that  $g+y = h_{x,x+y}(g) + h_{y,y+y}(y) = h_{x,y}(g) + h_{y,y}(y) = h_{x,y}(g) + y = h_{x,y}(g)$ .

In view of theorems 2, 3 and 4 there is a one-to-one correspondence between commutative regular semigroups and regular systems of Abelian groups. Every commutative regular semigroup may be identified with its associated system. This identification will be used to determining all homomorphic images of a given commutative regular semigroup.

THEOREM 5. Let G be a commutative regular semigroup, H - a subset of G and let  $H_x = H \cap G_x$ . H is a characteristic subsemigroup of G if and only if  $\{H_x, h_{x,y}\}_{x \in G_0}$  is a subsystem of  $\{G_x, h_{x,y}\}_{x \in G_0}$ .

<sup>(\*)</sup> We use here the same symbol + for the group operation in any group  $G_x$  and for the smallest upper bound in the semilattice  $G_0$ .

Proof. 1) Let H be a characteristic subsemigroup of G. It follows immediately from the regularity of H that  $H_x$  is a subgroup of  $G_x$  for every  $x \in G_0$ . Moreover,  $h_{x,y}(g) = g + y \in H_y$  for any  $g \in H_x$  and an arbitrary  $y \in G_0$ such that  $x \leq y$ , since  $G_0 \subset H$ . Thus  $\{H_x, h_{x,y}\}_{x \in G_0}$  is a subsystem of  $\{G_x, h_{x,y}\}_{x \in G_0}$ .

2) Now, let  $\{H_x, h_{x,y}\}_{x \in G_0}$  be a subsystem of the associated system of G. We must prove that  $H = \bigcup_{x \in G_0} H_x$  is a characteristic subsemigroup of G.

 $H_x$  is a group, whence  $x \in H_x$ . Thus H contains the zero-subsemigroup  $G_0$  of G. If  $g_1 \in H_x$  and  $g_2 \in H_y$ , then, by Corollary 3, we have  $g_1 + g_2 = h_{x,x+y}(g_1) + h_{y,x+y}(g_2) \in H_{x+y}$ , thus H is a subsemigroup. The regularity of H follows at once from the fact that all  $H_x$  are groups.

Now we shall study homomorphic images of a given commutative regular semigroup. It is easy to see that a homomorphic image of a commutative regular semigroup is again commutative and regular.

Let  $\varphi$  be a homomorphism of the commutative regular semigroup G onto F. The set of all  $g \in G$  such that  $\varphi(g) \in F_0$  (i. e.,  $\varphi(g)$  is a zero in F) will be called the *kernel* of the homomorphism  $\varphi$  and will be denoted by Ker $\varphi$ .

THEOREM 6. For every homomorphism  $\varphi$  of an arbitrary commutative regular semigroup G, Ker $\varphi$  is a characteristic subsemigroup of G.

Proof. If  $x \epsilon G_0$ , then  $\varphi(x) = \varphi(x+x) = \varphi(x) + \varphi(x)$ , whence  $\varphi(x) \epsilon F_0$ , thus  $x \epsilon \operatorname{Ker} \varphi$ .

If  $g_1, g_2 \epsilon \operatorname{Ker} \varphi$ , then  $\varphi(g_1), \varphi(g_2) \epsilon F_0$ . Hence  $\varphi(g_1 + g_2) = \varphi(g_1) + \varphi(g_2) \epsilon F_0$  and  $g_1 + g_2 \epsilon \operatorname{Ker} \varphi$ .

It is evident that  $\operatorname{Ker} \varphi \cap G_x$  is a subgroup of  $G_x$  and therefore it follows at once that  $\operatorname{Ker} \varphi$  is regular. This ends the proof.

Let  $\varphi$  be a homomorphism of the commutative regular semigroup G onto F. We shall define a relation  $\sim$  in  $G_0$  as follows: for any  $x, x' \in G_0$ , let  $x \sim x'$  if and only if  $\varphi(x) = \varphi(x')$ . It is evident that

1° the relation  $\sim$  is a congruence relation in the semilattice  $G_0$ , 2° the set  $\tilde{G}_0$  of equivalence classes with the induced order is similar to  $F_0$ .

Thus every equivalence class  $\tilde{x}$   $(x \in G_0)$  is again a semilattice and so we may consider the partial system of  $\{G_x, h_{x,y}\}_{x \in G_0}$  determined by  $\tilde{x}$ .

On the other hand, since  $\operatorname{Ker} \varphi$  is a characteristic subgroup, it determines (see theorem 5) a subsystem  $\{K_x, h_{x,y}\}_{x \in G_0}$   $(K_x = \operatorname{Ker} \varphi \cap G_x)$  of  $\{G_x, h_{x,y}\}_{x \in G_0}$ .

The partial system  $\{K_z, h_{z,z'}\}_{z\in\tilde{x}}$  is of course a subsystem of the partial system  $\{G_z, h_{z,z'}\}_{z\in\tilde{x}}$ . Thus we can form the factor system  $\{G_z/K_z, \overline{h}_{z,z'}\}_{z\in\tilde{x}}$ . Let  $\overline{G}_{\tilde{x}}^{\infty}$  be the direct limit of the last system.

LEMMA 1. The group  $\overline{G}_{\widetilde{x}}^{\infty}$  is isomorphic with  $F_y$ , where  $y = \varphi(x)$ .

**Proof.** It is easy to see that the family of subgroups  $\{\varphi(G_z)\}_{z\in\tilde{x}}$  of the group  $F_y(\varphi(G_z)$  is the image of the group  $G_z$  under homomorphism  $\varphi$ ) satisfies the conditions of theorem (V). Thus  $F_y$  is isomorphic with the direct limit of the direct system composed of the groups  $\varphi(G_z)$  and embedding-homomorphisms  $i_{z,z'}$ .

On the other hand, let  $\overline{\varphi}_z$  be the natural isomorphism of  $G_z/K_z$  onto  $\varphi(G_z)$  (i. e., the isomorphism which maps the  $K_z$ -residue class of  $g \in G_z$  into  $\varphi(g)$ ).

It is easy to see that the diagram

$$\begin{array}{c|c} G_{z}/K_{z} & \overline{h}_{z,z'} \rightarrow G_{z'}/K_{z'} \\ \overline{\varphi}_{z} & & & \downarrow \overline{\varphi}_{z'} \\ \varphi(G_{z}) & & & \downarrow \varphi(G_{z'}) \end{array}$$

is commutative for any  $z, z' \in \tilde{x}$  such that  $z \leq z'$ .

Hence the systems  $\{G_z/K_z, \overline{h}_{z,z'}\}_{z\in\widetilde{x}}$  and  $\{\varphi(G_z), i_{z,z'}\}_{z\in\widetilde{x}}$  are isomorphic and therefore it follows that their direct limits are isomorphic too.

Remark. The natural isomorphism of  $\overline{G}_{\widetilde{x}}^{\infty}$  onto  $F_{y}$  may be defined as follows: for any  $g \in \overline{G}_{\widetilde{x}}^{\infty}$  we take an arbitrary  $\overline{g}_{z} \in G_{z}/K_{z}$   $(z \in \widetilde{x})$  such that  $\overline{h}_{z}(\overline{g}_{z}) = g$   $(\overline{h}_{z}$  is the natural homomorphism of  $G_{z}/K_{z}$  in  $\overline{G}_{\widetilde{x}}^{\infty}$ ) and we set  $\overline{\varphi}_{\widetilde{x}}^{\infty}(g) = \overline{\varphi}_{z}(\overline{g}_{z})$ .

Now, all the direct limits  $\overline{G}_{\tilde{x}}^{\infty}$  of partial direct systems determined by equivalence classes  $\tilde{x}$  together with homomorphisms  $\overline{h}_{\tilde{x},\tilde{x}}^{\infty}$  described in the proof of theorem 1 form again a direct system with  $\tilde{G}_0$  as its index set (see Corollary 2).

It is not hard to verify the following

**LEMMA** 2. Direct systems  $\{\overline{G}_{\tilde{x}}^{\infty}, \overline{h}_{\tilde{x},\tilde{x}'}^{\infty}\}_{\tilde{x},\tilde{G}_{0}}$  and  $\{F_{v}, f_{v,v'}\}_{v\in F_{0}}$  are isomorphic. The isomorphism is established by the similarity-mapping  $\overline{\varphi}_{0}$  of  $\widetilde{G}_{0}$  onto  $F_{0}$  which maps the class  $\tilde{x} \in \widetilde{G}_{0}$  onto  $\varphi(x)$  and the family of isomorphisms  $\{\overline{\varphi}_{\tilde{x}}^{\infty}\}_{\tilde{x},\tilde{G}_{0}}$ .

The following theorem is an immediate consequence of lemma 2 and theorem 3:

THEOREM 7. The commutative regular semigroup determined by the system  $\{\overline{G}_{\tilde{x}}^{\infty}, \overline{h}_{\tilde{x},\tilde{x}}^{\infty}\}_{\tilde{x}\in \widetilde{G}_0}$  is isomorphic with the semigroup F.

Let H be a characteristic subgroup of a commutative regular semigroup G,  $\{G_x, h_{x,y}\}_{x\in G_0}$  and  $\{H_x, h_{x,y}\}_{x\in G_0}$  — their associated systems and let  $\sim$  be a congruence relation in the zero-subgroup  $G_0$  of G. We can construct the factor system  $\{G_x/H_x, \bar{h}_{x,y}\}_{x\in G_0}$  and further on, in the manner described in Corollary 2, the direct system  $\{\bar{G}_x^{\infty}, \bar{h}_{\tilde{x},\tilde{y}}^{\infty}\}_{\tilde{x}\in \tilde{G}_0}$ . The last system is regular, since  $\tilde{G}_0$  is a semilattice. Thus it determines a commutative regular semigroup which we shall call the *factor semigroup* of Gwith respect to the characteristic subsemigroup H and the congruence relation  $\sim$ . We shall denote it by  $G/(H, \sim)$ .

We are now in a position to formulate a theorem which is the inverse of theorem 7:

THEOREM 8. For every characteristic subsemigroup H of a commutative regular semigroup G and every congruence relation  $\sim$  in the zero-subsemigroup  $G_0$  of G the factor semigroup  $G/(H, \sim)$  is a homomorphic image of G.

Proof. Let g be an arbitrary element of G. There exists an element  $x \epsilon G_0$  such that  $g \epsilon G_x$ . We shall denote by  $\bar{g}$  the  $H_x$ -residue class of g. Let  $\varphi(g) = \bar{h}_x(\bar{g})$ , where  $\bar{h}_x$  is the natural homomorphism of  $G_x/H_x$  in  $\bar{G}_x^{\infty}$ .

We shall prove that  $\varphi$  is the required homomorphism of G onto  $G/(H, \sim)$ .

Let  $g_1 \epsilon G_{x_1}, g_2 \epsilon G_{x_2}, \bar{g}_1 = g_1 + H_{x_1}, \bar{g}_2 = g_2 + H_{x_2}$  and let  $x_1 + x_2 = x$ . Of course, we have  $\overline{g_1 + g_2} = \bar{g}_1 + \bar{g}_2 \epsilon G_x / H_x$  and  $\tilde{x}_1 + \tilde{x}_2 = \tilde{x}$ .

It follows from theorem 1 that diagrams

(i = 1, 2) are commutative, whence by Corollary 3 and the definition of operation + in  $G/(H, \sim)$  (see theorem 3) we obtain:  $\varphi(g_1) + \varphi(g_2) = \overline{h}_{x_1}(\bar{g}_1) + \overline{h}_{x_2}(\bar{g}_2) = \overline{h}_{x_1}^{\infty}, \tilde{x}(\overline{h}_{x_1}(\bar{g}_1)) + \overline{h}_{x_2}^{\infty}, \tilde{x}(\overline{h}_{x_2}(\bar{g}_2)) = \overline{h}_x(\overline{h}_{x_1,x}(g_1)) + \overline{h}_x(\overline{h}_{x_2,x}(\bar{g}_2)) = \overline{h}_x(\overline{h}_{x_1,x}(g_1)) + \overline{h}_x(\overline{h}_{x_2,x}(\bar{g}_2)) = \overline{h}_x(\overline{h}_{x_1,x}(g_1) + \overline{h}_{x_2,x}(\bar{g}_2)) = \overline{h}_x(\bar{g}_1 + \overline{g}_2) = \varphi(g_1 + g_2).$ 

It is evident that the image of G under homomorphism  $\varphi$  is the whole semigroup  $G/(H, \sim)$ .

It follows immediately from theorem 7 that if  $\varphi$  is a homomorphism of a commutative regular semigroup G onto F,  $H = \text{Ker}\varphi$ ,  $\sim$  — the congruence relation in  $G_0$  determined by  $\varphi$  (i. e.  $x \sim x'$  if and only if  $\varphi(x) = \varphi(x')$ ), then the semigroup F is isomorphic with the factor semigroup  $G/(H, \sim)$ .

Thus we have proved

COROLLARY 4. Factor semigroups of a given commutative regular semigroup G with respect to characteristic subsemigroups and congruence relations of the zero-subsemigroup  $G_0$  are the only homomorphic images of G.

#### P. JAREK

### REFERENCES

[1] G. Birkhoff, Lattice theory, New York 1948.

[1a] A. Clifford, Semigroups admitting relative inverses, Annals of Mathematics (2), 42 (1941), p. 1037-1049.

[2] L. Dubikajtis, Certaines extensions des notions du groupoïde inductif et du pseudogroupe, Colloquium Mathematicum 12 (1964), p. 163-185.

[3] L. Dubikajtis et P. Jarek, Pseudogroupe élémentaire commutatif, ibidem 12 (1964), p. 187-193.

[4] M. Dubreil-Jacotin, L. Lesieur et R. Croisot, Leçons sur la théorie des treillis, des structures algébriques ordonnés et des treillis géométriques, Paris 1953.

[5] S. Eilenberg and N. Steenrod, Foundations of algebraical topology, Princeton 1952.

[6] Е. С. Ляпин, Полугруппы, Москва 1960.

NICHOLAS COPERNICUS UNIVERSITY IN TORUŃ

Reçu par la Rédaction le 20.3.1963