

## CONCERNING ALMOST PERIODIC EXTENSIONS OF FUNCTIONS

BY

C. RYLL-NARDZEWSKI (WROCŁAW)

One says that a set  $Z$  of real numbers has the property  $I_0$  ( $Z \in I_0$ ) if

- (1) every real and bounded function defined on  $Z$  can be extended to a uniformly almost periodic (u. a. p.) function on the real line.

More detailed informations on the property  $I_0$  and other related notions can be found in [1]. Let us reproduce here only two simple propositions concerning  $I_0$  (cf. [1], p. 25):

PROPOSITION 1. *Sets  $Z$  with property  $I_0$  are either finite or denumerable and discrete.*

PROPOSITION 2. *In the definition (1) of the class  $I_0$  it suffices to assume that only functions taking on two values only (e. g. zero or one) on  $Z$  admit u. a. p. extensions.*

We will prove the following property of the class  $I_0$ :

THEOREM 1. *If  $Z \in I_0$  and  $F$  is a finite set of real numbers, then  $Z \cup F \in I_0$ .*

Proof. Obviously we can restrict ourselves to the case when the set  $Z$  is denumerable,  $F$  is a one-point set  $\{z_0\}$  and  $z_0 \notin Z$ . Let us observe that it suffices to prove the existence of a u. a. p. function  $e(t)$  such that

$$(2) \quad e(z_0) = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad e(z) = 0 \quad \text{for all} \quad z \in Z.$$

In fact, in such a case the formula

$$(3) \quad f = f(z_0)e + (1-e)\overline{(f|_Z)},$$

where  $\overline{(f|_Z)}$  denotes a u. a. p. extension of the restriction to  $Z$  of a bounded and continuous function  $f$  given on the set  $Z \cup \{z_0\}$ , yields a u. a. p. extension of  $f$ .

Let us assume now for a moment that (2) fails, i. e., the following uniqueness condition holds:

- (4) If  $f_1$  and  $f_2$  are u. a. p. functions and  $f_1(z) = f_2(z)$  for all  $z \in Z$ , then  $f_1(z_0) = f_2(z_0)$ .

We will show that (4) produces a contradiction. On the ring  $B(Z)$  of all real and bounded functions on  $Z$  we define the functional  $\varphi$ :

$$(5) \quad \varphi(g) = \bar{g}(z_0), \text{ where } \bar{g} \text{ is a u. a. p. extension of } g \in B(Z).$$

In view of (4) the value  $\varphi(g)$  is well defined. It is easy to see that  $\varphi$  is a homomorphism of the ring  $B(Z)$  onto the ring of real numbers. The ring  $B(Z)$  can be treated as a subset of the topological space  $R^Z$  (= the Cartesian product of  $\aleph_0$  copies of the real line  $R$ ). The diagram of  $\varphi$  is an analytical set. In fact, we can write:

$$\begin{aligned} \{ \langle g, y \rangle : \varphi(g) = y \} \\ = \bigvee_{\bar{g}} \{ \langle g, \bar{g}, y \rangle : \bar{g} \in \text{u. a. p. and } \bar{g}|_Z = g \text{ and } \bar{g}(z_0) = y \}, \end{aligned}$$

and it is easy to see that the set in the brackets is a Borel subset of the Cartesian product  $B(Z) \times C(R) \times R$ , where  $C(R)$  denotes the space of real and continuous functions on  $R$ . The analyticity of the diagram of  $\varphi$  implies that  $\varphi$  is a Borel functional, i. e., it is measurable with respect to the field of Borel subsets of  $B(Z)$  (see [2], p. 398).

Now the following theorem of Sierpiński can be directly applied (see [3]; our formulation differs slightly from the original one):

**THEOREM (Sierpiński).** *Every Borel homomorphism  $\psi$  from  $B(N)$  ( $N$  is an arbitrary denumerable set) onto  $R$  is trivial, i. e., it is determined by a point  $n_1 \in N$ :*

$$\psi(g) = g(n_1) \quad \text{for all } g \in B(N).$$

Hence there exists a number  $z_1 \in Z$  such that  $\varphi(g) = \bar{g}(z_0) = g(z_1)$  for all  $g \in B(Z)$ , which immediately yields a contradiction, since there are u. a. p. functions separating the points  $z_0$  and  $z_1$ . In this way the proof of the existence of a u. a. p. function  $e$  satisfying (2) is completed.

**Remark 1.** Theorem 1 remains valid if we replace in its formulation the property  $\mathbf{I}_0$  by the property  $\mathbf{I}$  (cf. [1]);  $Z \in \mathbf{I}$  means that every bounded and uniformly continuous function on  $Z$  has a u. a. p. extension. In this way we obtain

**THEOREM 1.** *If  $Z \in \mathbf{I}$  and  $F$  is a finite set of real numbers, then  $Z \cup F \in \mathbf{I}$ .*

**Remark 2.** In [1] the following conjecture was stated as a part of P 452:

(H) If  $Z \in \mathbf{I}$ , then the weak closure of  $Z$  is of the Haar measure zero.

The Haar measure and weak closure are understood with respect to the so-called Bohr compactification of the real line (cf. [1], p. 24).

By methods similar to those presented above we can easily reduce (H) to the following conjecture:

(C) Every linear, non-negative and Borel functional  $\psi$  defined on  $B(N)$  has the form

$$\psi(g) = \sum_{n \in N} \lambda_n g(n) \quad \text{for all } g \in B(N),$$

where  $\lambda_n \geq 0$  and  $\sum_{n \in N} \lambda_n < \infty$ .

It is easy to see that (C) implies the theorem of Sierpiński. The author is rather inclined to believe that (C) holds.

Remark 3. Finally, let us mention that every functional  $\psi$  (see (C)) can be written in the integral form

$$\psi(g) = \int_N g(n) d\mu \quad \text{for } g \in B(N),$$

where  $\mu$  is a finitely additive and non-negative measure defined on the set  $2^N$  of all subsets of  $N$ , and the correspondence between  $\psi$  and  $\mu$  is one-to-one. The set  $2^N$  will be considered as a topological space with the product-topology ( $2^N$  is homeomorphic with the Cantor dyadic set). Now we are able to reformulate the conjecture (C):

(C') Every finitely additive, non-negative, Borel measurable measure  $\mu$  on  $2^N$  vanishing on finite sets is identically equal to zero.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] S. Hartman and C. Ryll-Nardzewski, *Almost periodic extensions of functions*, Colloquium Mathematicum 12 (1964), p. 23-29.
- [2] C. Kuratowski, *Topologie I*, Warszawa-Wrocław 1948.
- [3] W. Sierpiński, *Fonctions additives non complètement additives et fonctions non mesurables*, Fundamenta Mathematicae 33 (1938), p. 96-99.

Reçu par la Rédaction le 15. 9. 1963