

ON THE REPRESENTATION OF THE CONTINUOUS FUNCTIONS  
OF TWO VARIABLES BY MEANS OF ADDITION  
AND CONTINUOUS FUNCTIONS OF ONE VARIABLE

BY

RAOUF DOSS (CAIRO)

A. N. Kolmogoroff<sup>(1)</sup> has proved the following important theorem:

For every  $n \geq 2$  there exists functions  $\psi^{pq}(x)$  real, increasing and continuous in the interval  $E^1 = [0, 1]$ , such that every function of  $n$  variables  $f(x_1, \dots, x_n)$  real and continuous in the cube  $E^n$  may be represented in the form:

$$f(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \sum_{q=1}^{2n+1} \chi_q \left[ \sum_{p=1}^n \psi^{pq}(x_p) \right],$$

where the functions  $\chi_q(y)$  are real and continuous.

For  $n = 2$  we get the representation

$$(1) \quad f(x_1, x_2) = \sum_{q=1}^5 \chi_q [\psi^{1q}(x_1) + \psi^{2q}(x_2)].$$

Our aim is to prove that the representation (1) above cannot be improved:

**THEOREM.** *The representation (1) of Kolmogoroff is best possible in the sense that if  $\psi^{pq}(x)$ ,  $p = 1, 2$ ,  $q = 1, \dots, 4$ , are 8 fixed functions, real, increasing and continuous in  $E^1$ , then there exists a real and continuous function of 2 variables  $f(x_1, x_2)$  which cannot be put in the form*

$$(2) \quad f(x_1, x_2) = \sum_{q=1}^4 \chi_q [\psi^{1q}(x_1) + \psi^{2q}(x_2)],$$

where the  $\chi_q(y)$  are real and continuous.

<sup>(1)</sup> А. Н. Колмогоров, О представлении непрерывных функций нескольких переменных в виде суперпозиций непрерывных функций одного переменного и сложения, Доклады Академии Наук СССР 114 (1957), № 5, p. 953-956.

For the proof we use the following notation:  $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta$  being real numbers, the level curves

$$\psi^{11}(x_1) + \psi^{21}(x_2) = \alpha,$$

$$\psi^{12}(x_1) + \psi^{22}(x_2) = \beta,$$

$$\psi^{13}(x_1) + \psi^{23}(x_2) = \gamma,$$

$$\psi^{14}(x_1) + \psi^{24}(x_2) = \delta$$

will be denoted by  $[\alpha], [\beta], [\gamma], [\delta]$  respectively. A point common to two level curves, say  $[\alpha]$  or  $[\beta]$ ,  $[\gamma]$ , will be denoted  $[\alpha\delta]$  or  $[\beta\gamma]$  respectively. Also we put

$$\chi_1(\alpha) = A, \quad \chi_2(\beta) = B, \quad \chi_3(\gamma) = C, \quad \chi_4(\delta) = C,$$

and if a subscript or a superscript occurs in  $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta$  it appears again in  $A, B, C, D$ , e. g.  $\chi_3(\gamma'_2) = C'_2$ .

LEMMA 1. Let  $\psi^{pq}(x)$ ,  $p = 1, 2$ ,  $q = 1, 2$ , be 4 real functions, increasing and continuous in the interval  $E^1 = [0, 1]$ . There exists a function  $f(x_1, x_2)$  of 2 variables, continuous in  $E^2$  and which cannot be written in the form

$$(3) \quad f(x_1, x_2) = \sum_{q=1}^2 \chi_q[\psi^{1q}(x_1) + \psi^{2q}(x_2)],$$

where the  $\chi_q(y)$  are real.

Proof. Suppose we have obtained 4 distinct points of the form

$$(4) \quad [\alpha_1\beta_1], \quad [\alpha_1\beta_2], \quad [\alpha_2\beta_1], \quad [\alpha_2\beta_2].$$

Consider a continuous function  $f(x_1, x_2)$  equal to 1 at the points  $[\alpha_1\beta_1]$ ,  $[\alpha_2\beta_2]$  and equal to  $-1$  at  $[\alpha_1\beta_2]$ ,  $[\alpha_2\beta_1]$ . If  $f$  admitted the representation (3) we should have

$$\begin{aligned} A_1 + B_1 &= 1, & A_2 + B_2 &= 1, \\ A_1 + B_2 &= -1 & A_2 + B_1 &= -1. \end{aligned}$$

These equations are contradictory, for by addition they give  $2 = -2$ . Hence  $f$  is not representable in the form (3).

To complete the proof we have to construct the 4 distinct points mentioned above.

We may suppose that two level curves  $[\alpha]$ ,  $[\beta]$  do not meet in two distinct points  $P_1, P_2$ , for, in this case, every function of the form (3) would have the same value at  $P_1$  and  $P_2$ .

We conclude that a level curve  $[\beta]$  cannot cover a square. Hence one of the increasing functions  $\psi^{12}(x_1)$ ,  $\psi^{22}(x_2)$  is strictly increasing and

therefore the coordinates of a point  $P$  of  $[\beta]$  are continuous functions of a parameter  $t$ .

We deduce that if  $[\alpha_1]$ ,  $[\alpha_2]$  meet  $[\beta]$ , then every level curve  $[\alpha]$  for which  $\alpha$  lies between  $\alpha_1$  and  $\alpha_2$  meets also  $[\beta]$ . In fact,  $t_1, t_2$  being the values of the parameter  $t$  for the points  $[\alpha_1\beta]$ ,  $[\alpha_2\beta]$  and putting

$$\psi^{11}(x_1(t)) + \psi^{21}(x_2(t)) = \psi^1(t),$$

we have  $\psi^1(t_1) = \alpha_1$ ,  $\psi^1(t_2) = \alpha_2$ . Hence, since  $\psi^1$  is continuous:  $\psi^1(t) = \alpha$ , for some  $t$  lying between  $t_1$  and  $t_2$ . This shows that  $[\alpha]$  and  $[\beta]$  intersect.

Let now  $[\alpha_1]$  be any level curve. Choose 3 distinct points  $P_1, P_2, P_3$  on  $[\alpha_1]$  and let  $[\beta_1], [\beta_2], [\beta_3]$  be the (distinct) 3 level curves through  $P_1, P_2, P_3$ . Let  $P'_1, P'_2, P'_3$  be 3 points situated on  $[\beta_1], [\beta_2], [\beta_3]$  respectively but not on  $[\alpha_1]$  and let  $[\alpha'_1], [\alpha'_2], [\alpha'_3]$  be the 3 level curves through these points. Two at least of the differences  $\alpha_1 - \alpha'_1$ ,  $\alpha_1 - \alpha'_2$ ,  $\alpha_1 - \alpha'_3$  will have the same sign, say  $\alpha_1 < \alpha'_1$ ,  $\alpha_1 < \alpha'_2$ . Let  $\alpha_2$  be any number in the open intervals  $(\alpha_1, \alpha'_1)$ ,  $(\alpha_1, \alpha'_2)$ . Since  $[\alpha_1]$ ,  $[\alpha'_1]$  meet  $[\beta_1]$  (in  $P_1$  and  $P'_1$ ) we conclude that  $[\alpha_2]$  meets  $[\beta_1]$ . In the same way  $[\alpha_2]$  meets  $[\beta_2]$ . The 4 distinct points (4) are now constructed.

LEMMA 2. Suppose that  $\psi^{pq}(x)$ ,  $p = 1, 2$ ,  $q = 1, 2, 3$ , are 6 fixed functions, real, increasing and continuous in  $E^1$  and suppose that every function of 2 variables  $f(x_1, x_2)$  continuous in  $E^2$  may be written in the form:

$$(5) \quad f(x_1, x_2) = \sum_{q=1}^3 \chi_q[\psi^{1q}(x_1) + \psi^{2q}(x_2)],$$

where the  $\chi_q(y)$  are continuous.  $\gamma$  being any number interior to the interval of variation of  $\psi^{13}(x_1) + \psi^{23}(x_2)$  in  $E^2$  and  $\varepsilon > 0$  being given denote by  $\Gamma_\gamma^\varepsilon$  the closed set of points  $(x_1, x_2)$  of  $E^2$  for which  $\gamma - \varepsilon \leq \psi^{13}(x_1) + \psi^{23}(x_2) \leq \gamma + \varepsilon$ . Then to every  $\Gamma_\gamma^\varepsilon$  and every positive number  $k$  we can associate a continuous function  $g(x_1, x_2)$  of modulus  $\leq 1$ , vanishing outside  $\Gamma_\gamma^\varepsilon$ , and 4 numbers  $\beta, \beta', \beta'', \beta'''$  such that for every continuous function  $f(x_1, x_2)$  coinciding with  $g$  in  $\Gamma_\gamma^\varepsilon$  we have

$$\chi_2(\beta) - \chi_2(\beta') - \chi_2(\beta'') + \chi_2(\beta''') \geq k.$$

Proof. We shall give the proof for  $k = 8$ . For  $k = 12, 16, 20, \dots$  the proof would be the same with one or several more steps.

Let  $[\gamma_1]$  be a level curve in  $\Gamma_\gamma^\varepsilon$ . On  $[\gamma_1]$  the function  $\alpha_1 = \psi^{11}(x_1) + \psi^{21}(x_2)$  cannot remain constant. In fact, suppose that  $\psi^{11}(x_1) + \psi^{21}(x_2)$  is constant on  $[\gamma_1]$ . The function  $\psi^{12}(x_1) + \psi^{22}(x_2)$  may not take the same value at 2 distinct points  $P_1, P_2$  of  $[\gamma_1]$ , for, in such a case, we should have for any continuous function  $f$  of 2 variables:  $f(P_1) = f(P_2)$ . Thus the image of  $[\gamma_1]$  by  $\psi^{12}(x_1) + \psi^{22}(x_2)$  is an interval  $I$  not reduced to one point. Choose in  $E^2$  a closed square  $S$  not meeting  $[\gamma_1]$ , but so small (and close to  $[\gamma_1]$ ) that the value of  $\psi^{12}(x_1) + \psi^{22}(x_2)$ , for  $(x_1, x_2)$  in  $S$ , is in  $I$ . Let

$f(x_1, x_2)$  be a continuous function, vanishing in  $[\gamma_1]$ , but arbitrary in  $S$ . We may suppose, by adding a constant to each of the 2 functions  $\chi_1, \chi_3$ , that we have  $\chi_1(a_1) = \chi_3(\gamma_1) = 0$ . We conclude that  $\chi_2 = 0$  along the curve  $[\gamma_1]$ , i. e. that  $\chi_2(\beta) = 0$  for any  $\beta \in I$ . In the square  $S$  we should have  $f = \chi_1 + \chi_3$ , which is impossible for the arbitrary function  $f$ , according to Lemma 1. This contradiction shows that  $\psi^{11}(x_1) + \psi^{21}(x_2)$  is not constant on  $[\gamma_1]$  and a similar argument shows that  $\psi^{11}(x_1) + \psi^{21}(x_2)$  is not constant on any part of  $[\gamma_1]$  situated in a square  $T$  no matter how small. In particular  $[\gamma_1]$  or any other level curve cannot cover  $T$ .

Thus on  $[\gamma_1]$  the function  $\psi^{11}(x_1) + \psi^{21}(x_2)$  takes at least 9 distinct values, say  $a_1^1, \dots, a_9^1$ . On each curve  $[a_i^1]$  choose a point  $P^i$  not situated on  $[\gamma_1]$  and let  $[\gamma^i]$  be the level curve through  $P^i$ . 5 at least of the differences  $(\gamma_1 - \gamma^i)$  will have the same sign, say  $\gamma_1 - \gamma^i > 0$  for  $i = 1, \dots, 5$ . If  $\gamma_2$  is any number situated in the intervals  $(\gamma_1, \gamma^i)$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, 5$ , we see, as in the proof of Lemma 1 that  $[\gamma_2]$  meets each of the curves  $[a_i^1]$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, 5$ , at some point  $[a_i^1 \gamma_2]$  and we can chose  $\gamma_2$  as close as we like to  $\gamma_1$ .

Let  $[\beta_2^i]$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, 5$ , be the 5 level curves through the points  $[a_i^1 \gamma_2]$ . We can manage to obtain 5 distinct values  $\beta_2^i$ . To see this we show that one cannot have e. g.  $\beta_2^1 = \beta_2^2$ , except for one value of  $\gamma_2$ . In fact suppose that  $\beta_2^1 = \beta_2^2$  and that for  $\gamma_2' \neq \gamma_2$  the curves  $[\beta_2^{1'}]$  and  $[\beta_2^{2'}]$  through  $[a_1^1 \gamma_2']$  and  $[a_2^1 \gamma_2']$  coincide. The 4 points  $[a_1^1 \gamma_2]$ ,  $[a_2^1 \gamma_2]$ ,  $[a_1^1 \gamma_2']$ ,  $[a_2^1 \gamma_2']$  are distinct since  $a_1^1 \neq a_2^1$  and  $\gamma_2 \neq \gamma_2'$ . If  $f(x_1, x_2)$  is a continuous function equal to  $+1, -1, -1, +1$  respectively at the mentioned points and if  $f(x_1, x_2)$  admits the representation (5) we should have

$$\begin{aligned} A_1^1 + B_2^1 + C_2 &= 1, & A_1^1 + B_2^{1'} + C_2' &= -1, \\ A_1^2 + B_2^1 + C_2 &= -1, & A_1^2 + B_2^{1'} + C_2' &= 1, \end{aligned}$$

whence the contradiction  $0 = 4$ .

Thus we can find a value  $\gamma_2$  as close as we like to  $\gamma_1$ , and 5 distinct level curves  $[\beta_2^i]$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, 5$ , meeting  $[\gamma_2]$  at the points  $[a_i^1 \gamma_2]$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, 5$ .

Next we can find a value  $\gamma_3$  as close as we like to  $\gamma_2$  and 3 distinct level curves  $[a_3^i]$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, 3$ , meeting  $[\gamma_3]$  at the points  $[\beta_2^i \gamma_3]$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, 3$ .

Finally we can find a value  $\gamma_4$  as close as we like to  $\gamma_3$  and 2 distinct level curves  $[\beta_4^i]$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ , meeting  $[\gamma_4]$  at the points  $[a_3^i \gamma_4]$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ .

Consider now the sequence of 8 points

$$\begin{aligned} [a_1^1 \gamma_1], & [a_2^1 \gamma_1], \\ [a_1^1 \beta_2^1 \gamma_2], & [a_1^1 \beta_2^2 \gamma_2], \\ [a_3^1 \beta_2^1 \gamma_3], & [a_3^2 \beta_2^2 \gamma_3], \\ [a_3^1 \beta_4^1 \gamma_4], & [a_3^2 \beta_4^2 \gamma_4]. \end{aligned}$$

Let  $[\beta_0^1]$  and  $[\beta_0^2]$  be the level curves through the first two of these points and let  $g(x_1, x_2)$  be a continuous function of two variables, of modulus  $\leq 1$ , vanishing outside  $I_{\gamma_1}^e$ , and taking the values  $+1, -1, -1, +1, +1, -1, -1, +1$ , respectively at the 8 points mentioned above.

If  $f(x_1, x_2)$  is any function of 2 variables, continuous in  $E^2$ , coinciding with  $g$  in  $I_{\gamma_1}^e$ , and admitting the representation (5), then

$$\begin{aligned} A_1^1 + B_0^1 + C_1 &= 1, & A_1^2 + B_0^2 + C_1 &= -1, \\ A_1^1 + B_2^1 + C_2 &= -1, & A_1^2 + B_2^2 + C_2 &= 1, \\ A_3^1 + B_2^1 + C_3 &= 1, & A_3^2 + B_2^2 + C_3 &= -1, \\ A_3^1 + B_4^1 + C_4 &= -1, & A_3^2 + B_4^2 + C_4 &= 1. \end{aligned}$$

Whence

$$B_0^1 - B_4^1 - B_0^2 + B_4^2 = 8,$$

i. e.

$$\chi_2(\beta_0^1) - \chi_2(\beta_4^1) - \chi_2(\beta_0^2) + \chi_2(\beta_4^2) = 8.$$

Lemma 2 is now proved.

LEMMA 3. If  $f^{pq}(x)$ ,  $p = 1, 2$ ,  $q = 1, 2, 3$ , are 6 fixed functions, real, increasing and continuous in  $E^1$ , then there exists a real continuous function of 2 variables  $f(x_1, x_2)$  which cannot be written in the form

$$(5) \quad f(x_1, x_2) = \sum_{q=1}^3 \chi_q[\psi^{1q}(x_1) + \psi^{2q}(x_2)],$$

where the  $\chi_q(y)$  are continuous.

Proof. Let  $I_{\gamma_n}^{u_n}$  be a sequence of closed disjoint sets of the form indicated in the statement of Lemma 2. To every  $n$  we can associate a continuous function  $g_n(x_1, x_2)$  of modulus  $\leq 1/n^2$ , vanishing outside  $I_{\gamma_n}^{u_n}$  and 4 numbers  $\beta_n, \beta'_n, \beta''_n, \beta'''_n$  such that, if  $f(x_1, x_2)$  coincides with  $g_n$  in  $I_{\gamma_n}^{u_n}$  and if  $f$  admits the representation (5) then

$$(6) \quad \chi_2(\beta_n) - \chi_2(\beta'_n) - \chi_2(\beta''_n) + \chi_2(\beta'''_n) \geq n.$$

Put

$$f(x_1, x_2) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} g_n(x_1, x_2).$$

Then  $f$  is continuous in  $E^2$ . If  $f$  could be written in the form (5), relation (6) would be true for every  $n$  and the continuous function  $\chi_2$  would not be bounded. Thus  $f$  cannot be written in the form (5) with continuous  $\chi_q(y)$ .

COROLLARY. Under the same conditions as in Lemma 3, if  $S$  is any square (closed or open) in  $E^2$ , then there exists a function  $f(x_1, x_2)$  real and

continuous in  $E^2$  which does not admit the representation (5) in  $S$  with continuous  $\chi_q(y)$ .

LEMMA 4. Suppose that  $\psi^{pq}(x)$ ,  $p = 1, 2$ ,  $q = 1, 2, 3, 4$ , are 8 fixed functions real, increasing and continuous in  $E^1$ , and suppose that every function of 2 variables  $f(x_1, x_2)$ , continuous in  $E^2$  may be written in the form

$$(2) \quad f(x_1, x_2) = \sum_{q=1}^4 \chi_q[\psi^{1q}(x_1) + \psi^{2q}(x_2)],$$

where the  $\chi_q(y)$  are continuous. To every open square  $S_0$  in  $E^2$  we can associate an open square  $S_1 \subset S_0$  such that if  $P_1 = [a_1 \delta_1]$ ,  $P_2 = [a_2 \delta_2]$  are any two points in  $S_1$ , then there are two points  $Q_1, Q_2$  in  $S_0$  of the form  $Q_1 = [a_2 \delta_1]$ ,  $Q_2 = [a_1 \delta_2]$ .

Proof. It is impossible that for every point  $P = [a\delta]$  of  $S_0$  the two curves  $[a]$  and  $[\delta]$  coincide in  $S_0$ . For, in such a case, we should have for some function  $\varphi(y)$ :

$$(7) \quad \psi^{14}(x_1) + \psi^{24}(x_2) = \varphi[\psi^{11}(x_1) + \psi^{21}(x_1)],$$

for every  $(x_1, x_2)$  in  $S_0$ . We see immediately that  $\varphi$  is continuous. But then, relations (2) and (7) show that an arbitrary continuous function of 2 variables would be representable in  $S_0$  as sum of 3 functions  $\chi_q$ . This is impossible by the Corollary to Lemma 3 and our assertion is proved.

Also, by the same Corollary, no level curve may cover a square, so that we conclude, as in the proof of Lemma 1, that the coordinates of a level curve are continuous functions of a parameter  $t$ .

Thus there exists a level curve  $[a'_1]$  such that along this curve and inside  $S_0$  the function  $\delta = \psi^{14}(x_1) + \psi^{24}(x_2)$  takes at least 2 values (and even 3 values). We conclude as in the proof of Lemma 1 that there are 4 distinct points

$$P_1 = [a'_1 \delta'_1], \quad P'_2 = [a'_2 \delta'_2], \quad Q'_1 = [a'_2 \delta'_1], \quad Q'_2 = [a'_1 \delta'_2]$$

situated in  $S_0$  (with  $a'_1 \neq a'_2$ ,  $\delta'_1 \neq \delta'_2$ ).

Observe now, since the  $\psi^{pq}(x_p)$  are increasing, that every portion of a level curve limited by 2 points  $R_1, R_2$  is entirely contained in the vertical rectangle of  $E^2$  whose diagonal is  $R_1 R_2$ .

Suppose, to fix the ideas, that  $a'_1 < a'_2$ ,  $\delta'_1 < \delta'_2$ . Then, for every  $a \in (a'_1, a'_2)$ ,  $\delta \in (\delta'_1, \delta'_2)$  there exists a point  $P = [a\delta]$  situated in  $S_0$ . In fact, since  $[a'_1]$  and  $[a'_2]$  meet  $[\delta'_1]$  in  $P'_1$  and  $Q'_1$ , then the curve  $[a]$  meets  $[\delta'_1]$  at a point  $R_1$  situated in the vertical rectangle whose diagonal is  $P'_1 Q'_1$ . Similarly,  $[a]$  meets  $[\delta'_2]$  at a point  $R_2$  situated in the vertical rectangle whose diagonal is  $P'_2 Q'_2$ . We conclude that  $[\delta]$  meets  $[a]$  at

a point  $P$  situated in the vertical rectangle whose diagonal is  $R_1 R_2$  and therefore situated in  $S_0$ .

Choose now an open square  $S_1 \subset S_0$ , containing  $P$ , and such that if  $P_1 = [a_1 \delta_1] \in S_1$ , then  $a_1 \in (a'_1, a'_2)$  and  $\delta_1 \in (\delta'_1, \delta'_2)$ . If therefore  $P_1$  and  $P_2 = [a_2 \delta_2]$  are two points of  $S_1$ , then there exists two points  $Q_1, Q_2$  of the form  $Q_1 = [a_2 \delta_1]$ ,  $Q_2 = [a_1 \delta_2]$  situated in  $S_0$ . Lemma 4 is now proved.

LEMMA 5. Let  $\mathcal{U}$  be an open square,  $2k$  an even integer. We can find an open square  $\mathcal{U}' \subset \mathcal{U}$  such that if  $[a_1], \dots, [a_{2k}]$  are level curves meeting  $\mathcal{U}'$ , for which an  $a$  with an even subscript differs from an  $a$  with an odd subscript and if we start from a point  $[a_1 \gamma_0]$  in  $\mathcal{U}'$ , then the " $\beta\gamma$ -alternating column of length  $2k$  for the  $a$ ":

$$(8) \quad \begin{array}{l} [a_1 \beta_1 \gamma_0] \\ [a_3 \beta_1 \gamma_2] \\ [a_5 \beta_3 \gamma_2] \\ [a_4 \beta_3 \gamma_4] \\ \dots \dots \dots \\ [a_{2k} \beta_{2k-1} \gamma_{2k}] \end{array}$$

exists and the points are in  $\mathcal{U}$ .

Proof. For simplicity we take  $2k=4$ , so that the last point is  $[a_4 \beta_3 \gamma_4]$ .

Put  $\mathcal{U}_4 = \mathcal{U}$  and let  $\mathcal{U}_3 \subset \mathcal{U}_4$  be such that if  $[a_3 \beta_3]$  and  $[a_4 \beta_4]$  are in  $\mathcal{U}_3$ , then a point  $[a_4 \beta_3]$  exists in  $\mathcal{U}_4$ . This comes to say that if  $[a_3 \beta_3 \gamma_2]$  is in  $\mathcal{U}_3$  and if  $[a_4]$  meets  $\mathcal{U}_2$  then  $[a_4 \beta_3]$  is in  $\mathcal{U}_4$ .

Next let  $\mathcal{U}_2 \subset \mathcal{U}_3$  be such that if  $[a_2 \gamma_2]$  and  $[a_3 \gamma_3]$  are in  $\mathcal{U}_2$ , then a point  $[a_3 \gamma_2]$  exists in  $\mathcal{U}_3$ . This comes to say that if  $[a_2 \beta_1 \gamma_2]$  is in  $\mathcal{U}_2$  and if  $[a_3]$  meets  $\mathcal{U}_1$ , then a point  $[a_3 \gamma_2]$  exists in  $\mathcal{U}_3$ .

Finally, take  $\mathcal{U}_1 \subset \mathcal{U}_2$  such that if  $[a_1 \beta_1 \gamma_0]$  is in  $\mathcal{U}_1$  and if  $[a_2]$  meets  $\mathcal{U}_1$ , then  $[a_2 \beta_1]$  exists in  $\mathcal{U}_2$ .

Therefore, putting  $\mathcal{U}' = \mathcal{U}_1$  and starting with a point  $[a_1 \beta_1 \gamma_0]$  in  $\mathcal{U}'$ , we find successively that  $[a_2 \beta_1 \gamma_2] \in \mathcal{U}_2$ ,  $[a_3 \beta_3 \gamma_2] \in \mathcal{U}_3$ ,  $[a_4 \beta_3] \in \mathcal{U}_4 = \mathcal{U}$ . Lemma 5 is now proved.

Definition. Instead of a  $\beta\gamma$ -alternating column of length  $2k$  for the  $a$ , we define what may be called a *double  $\beta\gamma$ -alternating column of length  $k$  for the  $\delta$*  as follows (where we suppose that all points of intersection considered do exist).

We start from 2 different level curves  $[\gamma_0]$ ,  $[\gamma'_0]$  meeting  $[\delta_0]$  and look for a  $\delta_1 > \delta_0$  such that the 2 points

$$P_1 = [\beta_1 \gamma_0 \delta_1], \quad P'_1 = [\beta'_1 \gamma'_0 \delta_1]$$

have different  $\beta$ 's. Then take a  $\delta_2 > \delta_1$  such that the 2 points

$$P_2 = [\beta_1 \gamma_2 \delta_2], \quad P'_2 = [\beta'_1 \gamma'_2 \delta_2]$$

have different  $\gamma$ 's. Next take a  $\delta_3 > \delta_2$  such that the 2 points

$$P_3 = [\beta_3 \gamma_2 \delta_3], \quad P'_3 = [\beta'_3 \gamma'_2 \delta_3]$$

have different  $\beta$ 's and then  $\delta_4 > \delta_3$  such that the 2 points

$$P_4 = [\beta_3 \gamma_4 \delta_4], \quad P'_4 = [\beta'_3 \gamma'_4 \delta_4]$$

have different  $\gamma$ 's, and so on.

If the process stops, i. e., if, for example, for every  $\delta_s > \delta_4$ , in some neighbourhood of  $\delta_4$ , the points

$$P_5 = [\beta_5 \gamma_4 \delta_5], \quad P'_5 = [\beta'_5 \gamma'_4 \delta_5]$$

have the same  $\beta$ 's, then take an increasing sequence  $\delta_6, \dots, \delta_k$ , and put

$$P_6 = [\beta_6 \gamma_4 \delta_6], \quad P'_6 = [\beta'_6 \gamma'_4 \delta_6],$$

$$\dots \dots \dots$$

$$P_k = [\beta_k \gamma_4 \delta_k], \quad P'_k = [\beta'_k \gamma'_4 \delta_k].$$

Remark 1. We see easily, by using Lemmas 4 and 5 that we can always construct a double  $\beta\gamma$ -alternating column for the  $\delta$ , for which all points are in a given open square  $\mathfrak{Q}$ .

Remark 2. If a function  $f(x_1 x_2)$  admits the representation (2) and if it takes the values  $+1, -1, \dots, +1, -1$ , at the points of a  $\beta\gamma$ -alternating column of length  $2k$  for the  $\alpha$ , then

$$(A_1 - A_2 + \dots + A_{2k-1} - A_{2k}) + (D_1 - D_2 + \dots + D_{2k-1} - D_{2k}) + C_0 - C_{2k} = 2k.$$

While if  $f$  takes the values

$$f(P_1) = +1, \quad f(P'_1) = -1,$$

$$f(P_2) = -1, \quad f(P'_2) = +1,$$

$$f(P_3) = +1, \quad f(P'_3) = -1,$$

$$f(P_4) = -1, \quad f(P'_4) = +1,$$

$$\dots \dots \dots$$

at the points of a double  $\beta\gamma$ -alternating column of length  $k$  for the  $\delta$ , then

$$(A_1 - A_2 + \dots \pm A_k) - (A'_1 - A'_2 + \dots \pm A'_k) +$$

$$+ 4 \text{ terms at most in } B \text{ or } C = 2k.$$

In this case all the  $D$ 's disappear.

Proof of the Theorem. Suppose that every continuous function  $f(x_1, x_2)$  admits the representation (2).

Let  $S$  be an open square and  $k$  a given positive integer. We suppose, for the moment, that all points occurring in our construction exist and are in  $S$ , and that if a function is supposed to take the values  $+1$  and  $-1$  at 2 points, then these 2 points are different.

Consider a  $\beta\gamma$ -alternating column of length  $2k$  for the  $\alpha$ , say the column (8), and a function  $f$  taking values  $+1, -1, \dots, +1, -1$  at the points of this column. Then

$$\{1\} \quad (A_1 - A_2 + \dots + A_{2k-1} - A_{2k}) + (D_1 - D_2 + \dots + D_{2k-1} - D_{2k}) + \\ + 2 \text{ terms at most in } C = 2k.$$

Some of the  $\delta$ 's in  $\{1\}$  with an even subscript may be equal to some  $\delta$ 's with an odd subscript. In that case an even number of  $D$ 's disappear from  $\{1\}$  leaving only e. g.

$$\{1'\} \quad (A_1 - A_2 + \dots + A_{2k-1} - A_{2k}) + (D_{2k'+1} - D_{2k'+2} + \dots + D_{2k-1} - D_{2k}) + \\ + 2 \text{ terms at most in } C = 2k.$$

But now a  $\delta$  occurring in  $\{1'\}$  with an even index is different from a  $\delta$  with an odd index.

Consider a  $\beta\gamma$ -alternating column on length  $2k - 2k'$  for these remaining  $\delta$ 's and suppose that  $f$  takes the values  $-1, +1, \dots, -1, +1$  at the points of this column. Then

$$\{1''\} \quad -(D_{2k'+1} - D_{2k'+2} + \dots + D_{2k-1} - D_{2k}) - \\ -(A'_{2k'+1} - A'_{2k'+2} + \dots + A'_{2k-1} - A'_{2k}) + 2 \text{ terms at most in } C = 2(k - k').$$

To this we add the points of a double  $\beta\gamma$ -alternating column of length  $k'$  for the  $\delta$  and suppose that  $f$  takes the values  $-1, +1, -1, \dots$  and  $+1, -1, +1, \dots$  at the points of this double column; then, with a convenient notation

$$\{1'''\} \quad -(A'_1 - A'_2 + \dots + A'_{2k'-1} - A'_{2k'}) + \\ + 4 \text{ terms at most in } B \text{ or } C = 2k'.$$

Then, by  $\{1'\}, \{1''\}, \{1'''\}$ :

$$\{2\} \quad (A_1 - A_2 + \dots + A_{2k-1} - A_{2k}) - (A'_1 - A'_2 + \dots + A'_{2k-1} - A'_{2k}) + \\ + 2 \cdot 6 \text{ terms at most in } B \text{ or } C = 2 \cdot 2k.$$

There is no harm in writing "2·6 terms at most" instead of 8 terms.



Now we deal with the expression  $(A'_1 - A'_2 + \dots + A'_{2k-1} - A'_{2k})$  as we have dealt before with  $(D_1 - D_2 + \dots + D_{2k-1} - D_{2k})$ , getting

$$\{3\} \quad (A_1 - A_2 + \dots + A_{2k-1} - A_{2k}) + (D'_1 - D'_2 + \dots + D'_{2k-1} - D'_{2k}) + \\ + 3 \cdot 6 \text{ terms at most in } B \text{ or } C = 3 \cdot 2k.$$

After  $2k$  steps in all we obtain

$$\{2k\} \quad (A_1 - A_2 + \dots + A_{2k-1} - A_{2k}) - (A_1^{(k)} - A_2^{(k)} + \dots + A_{2k-1}^{(k)} - A_{2k}^{(k)}) + \\ + 2k \cdot 6 \text{ terms at most in } B \text{ or } C = 2k \cdot 2k.$$

This relation will be used later.

But we want first to justify our procedure.

The open square  $S$  being given, choose an open square  $\mathfrak{D}_k$  whose closure is in  $S$  and let  $\mathfrak{D}'_k \subset \mathfrak{D}_k$  be the square associated with  $\mathfrak{D}_k$  by Lemma 5, so that if  $[\delta_1^{(k)}], \dots, [\delta_{2k}^{(k)}]$  is a sequence of level curves meeting  $\mathfrak{D}'_k$ , for which a  $\delta^{(k)}$  with an even index is different from a  $\delta^{(k)}$  with an odd index and if we start from a point  $[\delta_1^{(k)} \gamma_0^{(k)}]$  in  $\mathfrak{D}'_k$ , then the  $\beta\gamma$ -alternating column of length  $2k$  for the  $\delta$  exists and the points are in  $\mathfrak{D}_k$ .

Take any curve  $[\delta]$  meeting  $\mathfrak{D}'_k$ . We can find an interval  $I_\delta$  such that if  $\delta^{(k)} \in I_\delta$ , then  $[\delta^{(k)}]$  meets  $\mathfrak{D}'_k$ . The curve  $[\delta]$  goes outside  $\mathfrak{D}_k$ . Take a point on  $[\delta]$  outside  $\mathfrak{D}_k$  and an open square  $\mathfrak{U}_k$  in  $S$ , whose closure does not meet  $\mathfrak{D}_k$ , such that if  $[\delta^{(k)}]$  meets  $\mathfrak{U}_k$  then  $\delta^{(k)} \in I_\delta$ , and consequently  $[\delta^{(k)}]$  meets  $\mathfrak{D}'_k$ . Then let  $\mathfrak{U}'_k$  be the open square associated to  $\mathfrak{U}_k$  by Lemma 5.

Now we operate with  $\mathfrak{U}_k$  as we operated with  $\mathfrak{D}_k$ . We define an open square  $\mathfrak{D}_{k-1}$  in  $S$ , whose closure does not meet  $\mathfrak{U}_k \cup \mathfrak{D}_k$ , and its associated square  $\mathfrak{D}'_{k-1}$ .

Next we define an open square  $\mathfrak{U}_{k-1}$  in  $S$ , whose closure does not meet  $\mathfrak{U}_k \cup \mathfrak{D}_k$  and its associated square  $\mathfrak{U}'_{k-1}$ .

The process can be continued until we reach an open square  $\mathfrak{U}_1$  in  $S$ , not meeting  $\mathfrak{D}_1 \cup \mathfrak{U}_2 \cup \mathfrak{D}_2 \cup \dots \cup \mathfrak{U}_k \cup \mathfrak{D}_k$ , and its associated square  $\mathfrak{U}'_1$ .

Now we start with a  $\beta\gamma$ -alternating column of length  $2k$  for the  $a$  say the column (8), for which the level curves  $[a_1], \dots, [a_{2k}]$  meet  $\mathfrak{U}'_1$  and for which the  $a$ 's with an even index are different from the  $a$ 's with an odd index. We start from a point  $[a_1 \gamma_0]$  in  $\mathfrak{U}'_1$ . Then all the points of the  $\beta\gamma$ -alternating column are in  $\mathfrak{U}_1$ . The conditions imposed on  $f$  in  $\mathfrak{U}_1$  can be realized.

Next the  $\delta$ 's occurring in column (8) are such that their level curves meet  $\mathfrak{U}_1$  and consequently meet  $\mathfrak{D}'_1$ . Now if we consider a  $\beta\gamma$ -alternating column of length  $2(k-k')$  for some of these  $\delta$ 's, the corresponding points will be in  $\mathfrak{D}_1$ , and consequently are different from the points which occurred earlier in  $\mathfrak{U}_1$ .

When  $k' > 0$  we have to add a double  $\beta\gamma$ -alternating column of length  $k'$  for the  $\delta$ . We can always manage to have the corresponding points in  $\mathfrak{D}_1$ , with  $\delta$ 's that are different from the finite number of  $\delta$ 's which already occurred in our construction.

Hence the conditions imposed on  $f(x_1, x_2)$  in  $\mathfrak{D}_1$  can be realized. And we can go on in this way.

Thus the procedure used to obtain equation  $\{2k\}$  is justified.

This equation contains  $4k + 12k = 16k$  terms at most on the l. h. s. while the r. h. s. is  $4k^2$ .

Take  $k = n^3$ . We may state the following result.

To every open square  $S_n$  we can associate a continuous function  $g_n(x_1, x_2)$ , equal to zero outside  $S_n$ , of modulus  $\leq 1/n^2$ , such that, for any continuous function  $f(x_1, x_2)$  coinciding with  $g_n$  in  $S_n$  and admitting the representation (2) we have, for some values of  $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta$ :

At most  $16n^3$  terms in  $A, B, C, D \geq (1/n^2)4n^6 = 4n^4$ .

If we choose a sequence of disjoint  $S_n$  and if we put

$$f(x_1, x_2) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} g_n(x_1, x_2),$$

then  $f(x_1, x_2)$  is continuous and coincides with  $g_n$  in  $S_n$ . Denote by  $M$  an upper bound for  $|A|, |B|, |C|, |D|$ . Then

$$16n^3 M \geq 4n^4, \quad M \geq n/4.$$

This last relation is impossible if the  $\chi_\alpha(y)$  are to be continuous. Therefore  $f(x_1, x_2)$  cannot admit the representation (2) and the theorem is proved.

CAIRO UNIVERSITY  
EGYPT U. A. R.

Reçu par la Rédaction le 17. 6. 1962