

We may suppose that X is a subset of the Hilbert cube. Let $Z = \bar{X}$, $F_1 = \bar{X} - X$ and $F_n = 0$ for $n \geq 2$. Since X is locally compact, the set F_1 is closed. The sequence $\{F_n\}$ satisfying the assumptions of theorem (iii), the space X possesses the property (B), q. e. d.

Theorem (iv) can be deduced directly from (i). Namely, it is sufficient to pose $X_0 = \bar{X}$ and $X_1 = X$ in (i), and to denote by F the collection containing the set $\bar{X} - X + (x_0)$, where x_0 is a point of X , and all one-point sets (x) , where $x \in \bar{X} - (x_0)$.

By (iv) every open subset of a Euclidean space (or of the Hilbert cube) possesses the property (B).

LINEAR FUNCTIONALS ON DENJOY-INTEGRABLE FUNCTIONS

BY

A. ALEXIEWICZ (POZNAŃ)

1. All the functions appearing throughout this paper are defined on an arbitrary but fixed closed interval $\langle a, b \rangle$.

Denote by (D) the linear space composed of the Denjoy-integrable functions $x = x(t)$, with the usual definition of addition and multiplication by real numbers. In this space we introduce a norm by the formula

$$\|x\|^* = \max_{a \leq s < b} \left| (D) \int_a^s x(t) dt \right|.$$

We consider two arts of convergence in (D) . A sequence $\{x_n\}$ of elements of (D) will be called to be $(*)$ -convergent to x_0 if $\|x_n - x_0\|^* \rightarrow 0$ ¹⁾; a sequence $\{x_n\}$ of elements of (D) will be called η -convergent to x_0 if the sequence $(D) \int_a^s x_n(t) dt$ is

1° uniformly bounded,

2° asymptotically convergent to $(D) \int_a^s x_0(t) dt$,

3° convergent to $(D) \int_a^s x_0(t) dt$ for $s = b$.

A functional $F(x)$ defined in (D) is called *additive* if $F(\lambda x_1 + \mu x_2) = \lambda F(x_1) + \mu F(x_2)$, where λ and μ are arbitrary numbers. An additive functional will be called $(*)$ -linear or η -linear respectively if, given any sequence $\{x_n\}$ $(*)$ -convergent or η -convergent to x_0 respectively, we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} F(x_n) = F(x_0).$$

The purpose of this paper is to characterize the $(*)$ -linear and η -linear functionals in the space (D) .

¹⁾ The space (D) normed by this formula is not complete.

2. We shall denote by (M) the linear space composed of the bounded and measurable functions $x = x(t)$. The norm being defined by the formula $\|x\| = \text{ess sup}_{a < t < b} |x(t)|$ ²⁾, (M) is a Banach space.

(C) will denote the linear subspace of (M) composed of the continuous functions and (\hat{C}) — the linear subset of (C) composed of the functions vanishing for $t = a$ and being indefinite Denjoy-integrals.

Theorem 1. The general form of the $()$ -linear functionals in (D) is*

$$(1) \quad F(x) = (D) \int_a^b x(t) h(t) dt,$$

where $h(t)$ is a function of bounded variation, continuous at the right, and such that $h(b) = 0$; the norm of this functional is

$$\|F\| = \text{var}_{a < t < b} h(t).$$

Proof. Given any element $x = x(t)$ of (D) , write

$$(2) \quad \hat{x} = \hat{x}(s) = (D) \int_a^s x(t) dt;$$

by this formula an equivalence (i. e. an one-to-one and isometrical mapping) between the spaces (D) and (\hat{C}) is defined; thus $\|x\|^* = \|\hat{x}\|$. Let $F(x)$ be any $(*)$ -linear functional in (D) . Writing $\hat{F}(\hat{x}) = F(x)$ we get a linear functional in (\hat{C}) for which $\|F\| = \|\hat{F}\|$. By the well-known theorem of Banach-Hahn the functional $\hat{F}(\hat{x})$ may be extended to the whole of (C) without altering the norm. Hence we have by the Riesz theorem

$$\hat{F}(\hat{x}) = \int_a^b \hat{x}(t) dg(t),$$

where $g(t)$ is a function of bounded variation; we may assume $g(t)$ to be continuous at the right and $g(b) = 0$; the norm of the functional is

$$\|\hat{F}\| = \text{var}_{a < t < b} g(t).$$

²⁾ i. e. $\|x\| = \inf_E \left[\sup_{x \in E} |x(t)| \right]$, where E is any set of measure $b - a$.

If $\hat{x} \in (\hat{C})$, we get integrating by parts,

$$\hat{F}(\hat{x}) = -(D) \int_a^b x(t) g(t) dt.$$

Putting $h(t) = -g(t)$ we obtain the formula (1) as $\hat{F}(\hat{x}) = F(x)$ for $\hat{x} \in (\hat{C})$. Hence any $(*)$ -linear functional in (D) is of the form (1).

To prove the converse, it suffices to remark that, given any linear functional $\hat{F}(y)$ in (C) , the formula $F(x) = \hat{F}(\hat{x})$ defines a $(*)$ -linear functional in (D) .

3. A sequence $\{x_n\}$ of elements of (M) will be termed η -convergent to x_0 if

$$1^0 \|x_n\| \leq k, \quad 2^0 \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n(t) = x_0(t) \text{ }^3) \quad \text{and} \quad 3^0 x_n(b) \rightarrow x_0(b).$$

An additive functional $F(x)$ defined in (M) will be called η -linear if $F(x_n) \rightarrow F(x_0)$ for any sequence $\{x_n\}$ η -convergent to x_0 . It follows easily by a theorem of Fichtenholz⁴⁾ that the general form of the η -linear functionals in (M) is

$$F(x) = \int_a^b x(t) g(t) dt + Bx(b),$$

where $g(t)$ is a Lebesgue-integrable function.

A functional $F(x)$ defined in the linear subset (\hat{C}) of (M) will be termed η -linear if, given any sequence $\{x_n\}$ of elements of (\hat{C}) η -convergent to an element $x_0 \in (\hat{C})$, we have $F(x_n) \rightarrow F(x_0)$.

Lemma. Given any η -linear functional $\hat{F}(\hat{x})$ in (\hat{C}) , there exists a η -linear functional $F(x)$ defined in (M) such that $F(x) = \hat{F}(\hat{x})$ for $x \in (\hat{C})$.

Proof. Let x_0 be an arbitrary element of (M) , $\{x_n\}$ any sequence of elements of (\hat{C}) , η -convergent to x_0 . The limit $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \hat{F}(x_n)$ exists for, given two arbitrary sequences $\{p_n\}$ and $\{q_n\}$

³⁾ $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n(t)$ denotes the asymptotical limit of the sequence $\{x_n(t)\}$.

⁴⁾ G. Fichtenholz, *Sur les fonctionnelles linéaires continues au sens généralisé*, Recueil Mathématique 4 (1938), p. 193-214, especially p. 200.

of indices, the sequence $\{x_{p_n} - x_{q_n}\}$ is obviously η -convergent to 0; hence $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} [\hat{F}(x_{p_n}) - \hat{F}(x_{q_n})] = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \hat{F}(x_{p_n} - x_{q_n}) = 0$. We define

$$F(x_0) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \hat{F}(x_n).$$

To prove that $F(x)$ is η -linear in (M) , consider a sequence $\{x_n\}$ of elements of (M) η -convergent to x_0 (vid. 1^o-3^o, p. 291). There exists a sequence $\{\hat{x}_n\}$ of elements of (\hat{C}) η -convergent to x_0 such that $\hat{F}(\hat{x}_n) \rightarrow F(x_0)$; for this sequence we have $\|\hat{x}_n\| \ll L$, $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \hat{x}_n(t) = x_0(t)$ and $\hat{x}_n(b) \rightarrow x_0(b)$. Given any n , we can choose a sequence $\{x_{ni}\}$ such that

$$(i) \quad x_{ni} \in (\hat{C}), \quad \|x_{ni}\| \leq 2K, \quad |x_{ni}(t) - x_n(t)| < 1/n$$

on a set of measure greater than $b - a - 1/in$;

$$(ii) \quad x_{ni}(b) = x_n(b).$$

Hence $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \hat{F}(x_{ni}) = F(x_n)$. Choose $m = m(n)$ so as to have

$$|\hat{F}(x_{nm}) - F(x_n)| < 1/n.$$

We observe easily that the sequence $\{x_{nm} - \hat{x}_n\}$ is η -convergent to 0. It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} & \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} [F(x_n) - F(x_0)] = \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} [F(x_n) - F(x_{nm})] + \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} [F(x_{nm}) - F(\hat{x}_n)] + \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} [F(\hat{x}_n) - F(x_0)] = \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} [F(x_n) - \hat{F}(x_{nm})] + \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \hat{F}(x_{nm} - \hat{x}_n) + \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} [\hat{F}(\hat{x}_n) - F(x_0)] = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Theorem 2. The general form of the η -linear functionals in (D) is

$$F(x) = (D) \int_a^b x(t) h(t) dt,$$

where $h(t)$ is an absolutely continuous function.

Proof. Given any η -linear functional $F(x)$ in (D), put using the operation (2)

$$F^*(\hat{x}) = F(x).$$

$F^*(\hat{x})$ being an η -linear functional in (\hat{C}) , there exists by the Lemma a functional F η -linear in (M) , such that $F(y) = F^*(y)$ for $y \in (\hat{C})$. Conversely, given any η -linear functional F in (M) , the formula $F(x) = F^*(\hat{x})$ defines an η -linear functional in (D) .

Since $F(y) = \int_a^b y(t) g(t) dt + By(b)$, where $g(t)$ is a Lebesgue-integrable function, we get for $\hat{x} \in (\hat{C})$, integrating by parts,

$$F^*(\hat{x}) = F(\hat{x}) = \hat{x}(b) \hat{g}(b) - (D) \int_a^b x(t) \hat{g}(t) dt + B \hat{x}(b),$$

where $\hat{g}(t) = \int_a^t g(s) ds$. Hence

$$F(x) = \int_a^b x(t) [\hat{g}(b) + B - \hat{g}(t)] dt.$$