

ON A PROBLEM OF MARCZEWSKI

BY

J. L. DOOB (URBANA, ILL.)

Let $m(A)$ be a non-negative completely additive set function defined on a Borel field K of some space X , with $m(X)=1$. The sets of K will be called *measurable* below, and measurability of functions will be with reference to this field. Let $f(x)$ and $g(x)$ be real numerically valued measurable functions defined for $x \in X$. If they satisfy the equation

$$(1) \quad m\{f(x) \in F, g(x) \in G\} = m\{f(x) \in F\} \cdot m\{g(x) \in G\}$$

for all linear intervals F, G (and therefore for all linear Borel sets F, G) the functions are said to be *S-independent*. If (1) holds for all F and G for which the sets involved are measurable, the functions are said to be *K-independent*. Clearly *K-independence* implies *S-independence*.

Hartman¹⁾ has proved that *S-independence* implies *K-independence* if $m(A)$ is Lebesgue measure.

Marczewski²⁾ has proposed the problem „Does *S-independence* always imply *K-independence*?”.

The following example shows that the answer is „No”³⁾.

Let X be the unit square $0 \leq \xi, \eta \leq 1$. In the following $|L_i|$ will denote the i -dimensional Lebesgue measure of the set L . Let \hat{F} be a linear set in the interval $(0,1)$ of outer and inner one dimensional Lebesgue measures 1 and 0 respectively, and let F be the plane set $\{\xi \in \hat{F}, 0 \leq \eta \leq 1\}$. Then F has outer and inner two-dimensional Lebesgue measures 1 and 0 respectively. The field K is defined as the field of all sets of the form

$$(2) \quad A = L_1 F + L_2 (X - F)$$

where L_1 and L_2 are (two-dimensional) Lebesgue measurable sets in the unit square. Let $\varphi(\xi, \eta)$ be a numerically valued real Le-

besgue measurable function defined in the unit square, with $0 \leq \varphi \leq 1$, and define $m(A)$ by

$$(3) \quad m(A) = \iint_{L_1} \varphi(\xi, \eta) d\xi d\eta + \iint_{L_2} [1 - \varphi(\xi, \eta)] d\xi d\eta.$$

Since A in (2) determines L_1 and L_2 uniquely, neglecting sets of Lebesgue measure 0, (3) defines $m(A)$ uniquely. This set function is defined on the field K , is completely additive, and if G is Lebesgue measurable and in the unit square, the equality $G = GF + G(X - F)$ shows that G is in K and that

$$(4) \quad m(G) = \iint_G 1 d\xi d\eta = |G|_2.$$

The equality $F = XF$ shows that F is in K and that

$$(5) \quad m(F) = \iint_0^1 \int_0^1 \varphi(\xi, \eta) d\xi d\eta.$$

The two functions $f = \xi$ and $g = \eta$ are measurable and *S-independent* because $m(A)$ is an extension of Lebesgue measure in the unit square. We shall show that these functions are not *K-independent* unless φ has a very special character. In fact, if they were *K-independent*, and if G were a set of the form $\{\eta \in \hat{G}\}$ where \hat{G} is a linear Lebesgue measurable set of the interval $(0,1)$, we should have from (1)

$$(6) \quad m\{\xi \in \hat{F}, \eta \in \hat{G}\} = m\{\xi \in \hat{F}\} \cdot m\{\eta \in \hat{G}\},$$

that is

$$(7) \quad m(FG) = m(F) \cdot m(G),$$

which becomes

$$(8) \quad \int_{\hat{G}} d\eta \int_0^1 \varphi(\xi, \eta) d\xi = \int_0^1 \int_0^1 \varphi(\xi, \eta) d\xi d\eta \cdot |G|_1 = |G|_1 \int_0^1 \int_0^1 \varphi(\xi, \eta) d\xi d\eta.$$

If this is true for all \hat{G} , $\int_0^1 \varphi(\xi, \eta) d\xi = \text{const.}$ for almost all η ; any choice of φ not satisfying this condition gives a measure $m(A)$ in terms of which ξ and η are not *K-independent* although they are *S-independent*.

February 20, 1948.

¹⁾ This volume, p. 19-22.

²⁾ This volume, p. 29-30, Problem P3.

³⁾ Cf. also P3, R2, this volume p. 148, and the preceding paper of B. Jessen.