

CONCERNING THE EULER CHARACTERISTIC
OF NORMAL SPACES

BY

K. BORSUK (WARSAW)

Let A be a normal space¹⁾ whose all Betti numbers $p^k(A)$ ²⁾ are finite and vanish for k above a certain value. The number

$$(1) \quad \chi(A) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (-1)^k \cdot p^k(A)$$

is called the *Euler characteristic* of A . If A is a polytope decomposed into a simplicial complex containing a_k different k -dimensional simplexes then the Euler characteristic of A can be expressed by the following Euler-Poincaré formula³⁾

$$\chi(A) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (-1)^k a_k.$$

By a *homologically regular decomposition* of A we mean a finite sequence

$$\mathfrak{A} = \{A_1, A_2, \dots, A_m\}$$

of closed subsets of A such that

$$A = A_1 + A_2 + \dots + A_m$$

and that for every sequence i_1, i_2, \dots, i_ν of indices $\leq m$ the set $A_{i_1} \cdot A_{i_2} \cdot \dots \cdot A_{i_\nu}$ is either empty or acyclic⁴⁾. In particular, every one of the sets A_1, A_2, \dots, A_m is either empty or acyclic.

¹⁾ A topological space is said to be *normal*, whenever for every two disjoint closed sets there exist disjoint neighbourhoods.

²⁾ $p^k(A)$ denotes the ordinary k -dimensional Betti number of A , that is the rank of the k -dimensional discrete homology group of A (in the sense of E. Čech, *Théorie générale de l'homologie dans un espace quelconque*, *Fundamenta Mathematicae* 19 (1932), p. 147-183) with rational coefficients.

³⁾ See, for instance, S. Lefschetz, *Algebraic Topology*, American Mathematical Society Colloquium Publications 27, New York 1942, p. 104.

⁴⁾ A normal space A is said to be acyclic, if $p^0(A)=1$ and $p^k(A)=0$ for every $k=1, 2, \dots$

Let $\beta_k(\mathfrak{A})$ denote, for $k=1, 2, \dots, m$, the number of all different increasing sequences i_1, i_2, \dots, i_k of indices $\leq m$ for which $A_{i_1} \cdot A_{i_2} \cdot \dots \cdot A_{i_k} \neq 0$. Using the notion of the nerve of a covering, introduced by Alexandroff⁵⁾, we can also define the number $\beta_k(\mathfrak{A})$ as the number of all $(k-1)$ -dimensional simplexes of the nerve $N(\mathfrak{A})$ of the decomposition \mathfrak{A} .

Theorem. Let $\mathfrak{A} = \{A_1, A_2, \dots, A_m\}$ be a homologically regular decomposition of a normal space A . Then:

$$1^0 \quad p^k(A) < \infty \text{ for every } k=0, 1, \dots,$$

$$2^0 \quad p^k(A) = 0 \text{ for every } k \geq m,$$

$$3^0 \quad \chi(A) = \sum_{k=1}^m (-1)^{k+1} \beta_k(\mathfrak{A}).$$

Evidently, the statement 3^0 may be also formulated in the following manner:

$$3^{0'} \quad \chi(A) = \chi(N(\mathfrak{A})).$$

Proof. If $A=0$ then $p^k(A) = \beta_k(\mathfrak{A}) = \chi(A) = 0$ for every $k=0, 1, \dots$, and the theorem is true. Consequently we can further assume that $A \neq 0$. Hence at least one of the sets A_1, A_2, \dots, A_m is not empty; we can assume that $A_m \neq 0$.

We apply the induction on the number m . For $m=1$ the space $A=A_m$ is acyclic and we have $p^0(A)=1$ and $p^k(A)=0$ for every $k>0$ and $\chi(A) = \beta_1(\mathfrak{A}) = 1$. Hence for $m=1$ the theorem is true.

Now let $m=m_0+1>1$ and assume the validity of the theorem for $m=m_0$. Setting

$$A' = A_1 + A_2 + \dots + A_{m_0}, \quad A'' = A_m$$

we have

$$(2) \quad A = A' + A''.$$

It is clear that $\mathfrak{A}' = \{A_1, A_2, \dots, A_{m_0}\}$ constitutes a homologically regular decomposition of A' and

$$\mathfrak{A}'' = \{A_1 \cdot A_m, A_2 \cdot A_m, \dots, A_{m_0} \cdot A_m\}$$

⁵⁾ The *nerve* of the decomposition $\mathfrak{A} = \{A_1, A_2, \dots, A_m\}$ is a simplicial complex $N(\mathfrak{A})$ having the not empty of the sets A_1, A_2, \dots, A_m as vertices and the systems $(A_{i_1}, A_{i_2}, \dots, A_{i_k})$ with $A_{i_1} \cdot A_{i_2} \cdot \dots \cdot A_{i_k} \neq 0$ as simplexes. See P. Alexandroff, *Über den allgemeinen Dimensionsbegriff und seine Beziehungen zur elementaren geometrischen Anschauung*, *Mathematische Annalen* 98 (1928), p. 634.

— a homologically regular decomposition of $A' \cdot A''$. By the hypothesis of the induction we have

$$(3) \quad p^k(A') < \infty \text{ and } p^k(A' \cdot A'') < \infty \text{ for every } k=0, 1, \dots,$$

$$(4) \quad p^k(A') = p^k(A' \cdot A'') = 0 \text{ for every } k \geq m_0,$$

$$(5) \quad \chi(A') = \sum_{k=1}^{m_0} (-1)^{k+1} \beta_k(\mathfrak{A}'), \quad \chi(A' \cdot A'') = \sum_{k=1}^{m_0} (-1)^{k+1} \beta_k(\mathfrak{A}^*).$$

Now let us apply the known formula of Mayer-Vietoris-Čech⁶⁾

$$(6) \quad p^k(A' + A'') + p^k(A' \cdot A'') = p^k(A') + p^k(A'') + \pi^k(A', A'') + \pi^{k-1}(A', A''),$$

where $\pi^l(A', A'')$ denotes (for $l \geq 0$) the rank of the subgroup of the l -dimensional homology group of $A' \cdot A''$ (with rational coefficients) constituted by all classes of cycles bounding in both sets A' and A'' , and $\pi^{-1}(A', A'') = 0$.

Obviously, it is

$$\pi^l(A', A'') \leq p^l(A' \cdot A'') \text{ for every } l=0, 1, \dots$$

By (3) and (4) we infer:

$$(7) \quad \pi^l(A', A'') < \infty \text{ for every } l=-1, 0, 1, \dots,$$

$$(8) \quad \pi^l(A', A'') = 0 \text{ for every } l \geq m_0.$$

From (2), (3), (6) and (7) we conclude that $p^k(A) = p^k(A' + A'')$ is finite for every $k=0, 1, \dots$, i. e. the statement 1^o is established. From (2), (4), (6) and (8) it follows that

$$p^k(A) = p^k(A' + A'') = p^k(A'') = 0 \text{ for every } k \geq m.$$

Hence the statement 2^o is established.

Now let us observe that $\beta_k(\mathfrak{A}^*)$ (for $k=1, 2, \dots, m_0$) denotes the number of all different increasing sequences i_1, i_2, \dots, i_k with natural terms $\leq m_0$ such that

$$(A_{i_1} \cdot A_m) \cdot (A_{i_2} \cdot A_m) \cdot \dots \cdot (A_{i_k} \cdot A_m) \neq 0.$$

To each such sequence corresponds the increasing sequence i_1, i_2, \dots, i_k, m such that

$$A_{i_1} \cdot A_{i_2} \cdot \dots \cdot A_{i_k} \cdot A_m \neq 0.$$

Obviously, if we adjoin the collection of all sequences of this last form to the collection of all increasing sequences j_1, j_2, \dots, j_{k+1} with natural terms not greater than m_0 and with

$$A_{j_1} \cdot A_{j_2} \cdot \dots \cdot A_{j_{k+1}} \neq 0,$$

then we obtain all increasing sequences j_1, j_2, \dots, j_{k+1} with natural terms $\leq m$ and with $A_{j_1} \cdot A_{j_2} \cdot \dots \cdot A_{j_{k+1}} \neq 0$. It follows

$$(9) \quad \beta_k(\mathfrak{A}^*) = \beta_{k+1}(\mathfrak{A}) - \beta_{k+1}(\mathfrak{A}') \text{ for every } k=1, 2, \dots, m_0.$$

Furthermore, immediately from the definition of $\beta_1(\mathfrak{A})$ we infer that

$$(10) \quad \beta_1(\mathfrak{A}') = \beta_1(\mathfrak{A}) - 1.$$

Applying the formulas (1), (5), (6), (8), (9) and (10) we have:

$$\begin{aligned} \chi(A) &= \chi(A' + A'') = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (-1)^k p^k(A' + A'') = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (-1)^k p^k(A') + \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (-1)^k p^k(A'') + \\ &\quad - \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (-1)^k p^k(A' \cdot A'') + \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (-1)^k \pi^k(A', A'') + \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (-1)^k \pi^{k-1}(A', A'') = \\ &= \chi(A') + 1 - \chi(A' \cdot A'') + \pi^{-1}(A', A'') = \sum_{k=1}^{m_0} (-1)^{k+1} \beta_k(\mathfrak{A}') + 1 - \sum_{k=1}^{m_0} (-1)^{k+1} \beta_k(\mathfrak{A}^*) = \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^{m_0} (-1)^{k+1} \beta_k(\mathfrak{A}') + 1 - \sum_{k=1}^{m_0} (-1)^{k+1} \beta_{k+1}(\mathfrak{A}) + \sum_{k=1}^{m_0} (-1)^{k+1} \beta_{k+1}(\mathfrak{A}') = \\ &= \beta_1(\mathfrak{A}') + 1 + \sum_{k=2}^{m_0+1} (-1)^{k+1} \beta_k(\mathfrak{A}) = \sum_{k=1}^m (-1)^{k+1} \beta_k(\mathfrak{A}). \end{aligned}$$

This concludes the proof of the statement 3^o and consequently, by induction, establishes the theorem.

⁶⁾ E. Čech, loco cit., p. 178.