

REGULAR ELEMENTS IN COMPLETE UNIQUELY COMPLEMENTED LATTICES

V. N. SALII

Saratov State University, 410601 Saratov, U.S.S.R.

Since Dilworth [2] discovered that any lattice may be isomorphically embedded in a suitable uniquely complemented lattice (i.e. in a lattice with 0 and I in which every element has one and only one complement), not much about this class of close-to-Boolean lattices has become known. For example, it is an open problem if such lattice may be complete without being distributive. Birkhoff and Ward [1] have proved that it does not have to be atomic and in [4] it was shown that the property of being compactly generated also implies distributivity. Another fact concerning complete uniquely complemented lattices was stated in [5], namely that every such lattice is isomorphic to a direct product of a complete atomic Boolean lattice and a complete atomless uniquely complemented lattice.

In the present note we deal with so-called regular elements in a uniquely complemented lattice. It is shown that regular elements form a complete Boolean sublattice (Theorem 1) and the above-mentioned result on direct decomposition is generalized in a natural way (Theorem 2).

Let L be a uniquely complemented lattice (sometimes a "UC-lattice" to be short). An element $a \in L$ is called *regular* if

$$(\forall x, y \in L) (a \wedge x = a \wedge y = 0 \Rightarrow a \wedge (x \vee y) = 0).$$

Obviously, 0 and I are regular elements. And here is a less trivial example.

PROPOSITION. *Every atom of a uniquely complemented lattice is a regular element.*

Proof. Let a be an atom of a UC-lattice L . Assume that a is not regular. Then there exists an $x \in L$ such that $a \wedge x = 0$ and x is not contained in a' . Take an element $y = (x \wedge a')' \wedge x$. It is easy to see that $y \neq 0$ because

otherwise $(x \wedge a)'$ would have two different complements: $x \wedge a'$ and x .

Since a' is evidently coatom, we find y to be a complement for a' and different from a (because $a \wedge y = 0$).

So we have a contradiction, which proves that a is regular.

We shall often use the fact which is stated in the following

Remark. $(\forall x, y \in L) (x < y \Rightarrow x' \wedge y \neq 0)$.

(Indeed, if $x' \wedge y = 0$ then x' has two different complements: x and y .)

Now let $R(L)$ denote the set of all regular elements of a UC-lattice L .

LEMMA 1. $(\forall a \in R(L), x \in L) (a \wedge x = 0 \Rightarrow x \leq a')$.

Proof. If x is not contained in a' , let us take $y = (a' \wedge x)' \wedge x$.

Then $a \wedge a' = 0 = a \wedge y$ but $a \wedge (a' \vee y) \neq 0$ because otherwise $a' \vee y$ would be a complement for a different from a' .

So we get a contradiction with the regularity of a .

LEMMA 2. $(\forall a \in R(L), x \in L) ((a \wedge x) \vee (a' \wedge x) = x)$.

Proof. Let us assume that $(a \wedge x) \vee (a' \wedge x) = y < x$. Then $x \wedge y' \neq 0$ (see Remark) and

$$a \wedge (x \wedge y') = (a \wedge x) \wedge y' \leq y \wedge y' = 0.$$

Thus $a \wedge a' = 0 = a \wedge (x \wedge y')$ and so we have a contradiction with the regularity of a because $a \wedge (a' \vee (x \wedge y')) \neq 0$ (equality would imply the existence of two complements for a , namely a' and $a' \vee (x \wedge y')$, which are different since $a' \wedge (x \wedge y') = 0$).

LEMMA 3. $(\forall a \in R(L), x \in L) (x \leq a \Leftrightarrow x' \geq a')$.

Proof. Suppose that $x \leq a$ but x' does not contain a' , that is, $a' \wedge x' < a'$. Then using Lemma 2 we get

$$\begin{aligned} a \vee (a' \wedge x') &\geq (x \vee (a \wedge x')) \vee (a' \wedge x') \\ &= x \vee ((a \wedge x') \vee (a' \wedge x')) = x \vee x' = I. \end{aligned}$$

Now it follows that $a' \wedge x'$ is a complement for a , which is impossible.

On the other hand, let us assume that $x' \geq a'$ but x is not contained in a , that is, $a \wedge x < x$. Then for $y = (a \wedge x)' \wedge x$ it follows that $a' \wedge y \leq x' \wedge y \leq x' \wedge x = 0$, and so we must have $a \wedge (a' \vee y) \neq 0$, which prevents a from having two different complements (a' and $a' \vee y$). But $a \wedge a' = 0 = a \wedge y$, and so we have got a contradiction with the regularity of a .

LEMMA 4. $(\forall a \in R(L); x, y \in L) (a \wedge (x \vee y) = (a \wedge x) \vee (a \wedge y))$.

Proof. Let us assume that $(a \wedge x) \vee (a \wedge y) = z < a \wedge (x \vee y)$. Then, using Lemmas 3 and 2, we get what follows:

$$\begin{aligned} (a \wedge (x \vee y))' \vee z &= ((a \wedge (x \vee y))' \vee a') \vee z \\ &= (a \wedge (x \vee y))' \vee (a' \vee z) \\ &\geq (a \wedge (x \vee y))' \vee [(a' \wedge x) \vee (a' \wedge y) \vee z] \\ &= (a \wedge (x \vee y))' \vee [(a' \wedge x) \vee (a' \wedge y) \vee (a \wedge x) \vee (a \wedge y)] \\ &= (a \wedge (x \vee y))' \vee (x \vee y) \geq (a \wedge (x \vee y))' \vee (a \wedge (x \vee y)) = I. \end{aligned}$$

Thus z is a complement for $(a \wedge (x \vee y))'$ different from $a \wedge (x \vee y)$, which is impossible.

THEOREM 1. *The set of all regular elements of a complete uniquely complemented lattice forms its complete Boolean sublattice.*

Proof.

1) $(\forall a \in R(L)) (a' \in R(L))$.

Indeed, let $a \in R(L)$ and $a' \wedge x = 0$. Assume that $a \wedge x < x$. Then $y = (a \wedge x)' \wedge x \neq 0$ and $a \wedge a' = 0 = (a \wedge x)' \wedge (a \wedge x) = ((a \wedge x)' \wedge x) \wedge a = y \wedge a$. But $a \wedge (a' \vee y) \neq 0$, which contradicts the regularity of a . So $a' \wedge x = 0$ implies $x \leq a$. This means that a' is a regular element.

2) $(\forall (a_i)_{i \in I} \subset R(L)) (\bigvee_{i \in I} a_i \in R(L))$.

Indeed, let $(a_i)_{i \in I}$ be any set of regular elements of L and let $a = \bigvee_{i \in I} a_i$.

Then, using Lemma 3, we get

$$\bigwedge_{i \in I} a'_i \leq a'_i \Rightarrow (\bigwedge_{i \in I} a'_i)' \geq a_i \Rightarrow (\bigwedge_{i \in I} a'_i)' \geq \bigvee_{i \in I} a_i = a$$

and

$$a_i \leq \bigvee_{i \in I} a_i \Rightarrow a'_i \geq (\bigvee_{i \in I} a_i)' \Rightarrow \bigwedge_{i \in I} a'_i \geq (\bigvee_{i \in I} a_i)' = a'.$$

So $a' \leq \bigwedge_{i \in I} a'_i$ and $a \leq (\bigwedge_{i \in I} a'_i)'$.

Assuming now that $a' < \bigwedge_{i \in I} a'_i$, we shall get two different complements for a , namely a' and $\bigwedge_{i \in I} a'_i$.

Thus $(\bigvee_{i \in I} a_i)' = \bigwedge_{i \in I} a'_i$.

Then for an arbitrary $x \in L$

$$a \wedge x = 0 \Rightarrow (\forall i \in I) (a_i \wedge x = 0) \Rightarrow (\forall i \in I) (x \leq a'_i) \Rightarrow x \leq \bigwedge_{i \in I} a'_i = a',$$

from which it follows that $a = \bigvee_{i \in I} a_i$ is regular.

We have proved that regular elements form in L a complete sublattice which is closed under complementation. Moreover, De Morgan laws being established for this uniquely complemented (sub)lattice, we find also that distributivity holds in it.

COROLLARY 1. *A complete uniquely complemented lattice is distributive if and only if each of its non-zero elements contains some non-zero regular element.*

Proof. It is evident that every element of a Boolean lattice is regular, and so we need to prove only one implication.

Let x be an arbitrary non-zero element of such a lattice and let a denote the join of all regular elements contained in x . If $a < x$, then $y = a' \wedge x$ is not zero and does not contain any regular element. This contradiction shows that every element of the lattice in question is regular, and so this lattice is Boolean.

COROLLARY 2 (Birkhoff and Ward; [1]). *Every complete atomic uniquely complemented lattice is distributive.*

Proof. Follows from Proposition and Corollary 1.

(*Note.* Now we prove directly the statement of Corollary 1 without the assumption of completeness for the lattices in question (and then Corollary 2 may be modified into the Ogasawara–Sasaki Theorem [3]).

So let L be any UC-lattice in which every non-zero element contains some non-zero regular element and let x, y, z be arbitrary elements of L . Assume that $t = (x \wedge z) \vee (y \wedge z) \neq (x \vee y) \wedge z = u$ (that is, $t < u$). Now

$$(t' \wedge u) \wedge x \leq (t' \wedge z) \wedge x = t' \wedge (x \wedge z) \leq t' \wedge t = 0$$

and similarly $(t' \wedge u) \wedge y = 0$. So, if a is a regular element contained in $t' \wedge u$, then $a \wedge (x \vee y) = 0$. But $a \leq u < x \vee y$. Thus $a = 0$ and we conclude that $t' \wedge u$ ($\neq 0$ by Remark) contains no non-zero regular elements, which is impossible.)

LEMMA 5. $(\forall a \in R(L))$ $([0, a]$ is a UC-lattice).

Proof. Let $x \in [0, a]$. We shall show that $a \wedge x'$ is a unique complement for x in $[0, a]$. In fact, assuming that $x \vee (a \wedge x') < a$, we get using Lemmas 3 and 2

$$\begin{aligned} (x \vee (a \wedge x')) \vee a' &= x \vee ((a \wedge x') \vee a') = x \vee ((a \wedge x') \vee (a' \wedge x')) \\ &= x \vee x' = I. \end{aligned}$$

But then a' has $x \vee (a \wedge x')$ as a complement, which is impossible. Thus $a \wedge x'$ is a complement for x in $[0, a]$.

Let y be another complement for x in $[0, a]$, that is, let $x \wedge y = 0$, $x \vee y = a$ and $y \neq a \wedge x'$. Then according to Lemma 4 $(a' \vee y) \wedge a = y$,

and so

$$x \wedge (a' \vee y) = (x \wedge a) \wedge (a' \vee y) = x \wedge (a \wedge (a' \wedge y)) = x \wedge y = 0.$$

But $x \vee (a' \vee y) = a \vee a' = I$, which means that $a' \vee y$ is a complement for x in L different from x' because $(a' \vee y) \wedge a = y \neq x' \wedge a$.

The contradiction we have got proves the uniqueness of complements in $[0, a]$.

THEOREM 2. *Let a be any regular element of a complete uniquely complemented lattice L . Then L is isomorphic to the direct product of the complete uniquely complemented lattices $[0, a]$ and $[0, a']$.*

Proof. Consider a mapping $f: L \rightarrow [0, a] \times [0, a']$ such that $f(x) = (a \wedge x, a' \wedge x)$ for every $x \in L$. According to Lemma 2, f is one-to-one. Further, if $x \in [0, a]$ and $y \in [0, a']$, then by Lemma 4 $a \wedge (x \vee y) = x$ and $a' \wedge (x \vee y) = y$, so that $(x, y) = f(x \vee y)$, providing the surjectivity of f .

It is evident that f preserves meets and, by Lemma 4, f is also a join-preserving map.

COROLLARY (Salii [5]). *Every complete uniquely complemented lattice is isomorphic to a direct product of a complete atomic Boolean algebra and a complete atomless uniquely complemented lattice.*

Proof. Let L be a complete uniquely complemented lattice which is not distributive and contains atoms. Then the join of all atoms (which are regular by the Proposition) is a regular element and now we can use Theorem 2 to provide direct decomposability and the Corollary 1 for proving distributivity of the atomic component.

References

- [1] G. Birkhoff, *Lattice theory*, Amer. Math. Soc. Coll. Publ., vol. 25, N. Y., 1948.
- [2] R. P. Dilworth, *Lattices with unique complements*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 57 (1945), 123–154.
- [3] T. Ogasawara and U. Sasaki, *On a theorem in lattice theory*, J. Sci. Hiroshima Univ. Ser. A, 14 (1949), 13.
- [4] V. N. Salii, *Compactly generated lattice with unique complements is distributive* (in Russian), Matem. Zametki, 12 (1972), 619–621.
- [5] —, *On complete lattices with unique complements* (in Russian), XIII All-Union Algebraic Conference, Abstracts of lectures and reports, Gornel, 1975, 191–192.

*Presented to the Semester
Universal Algebra and Applications
(February 15 – June 9, 1978)*