

ON HYPERGRAPHS OF MAXIMAL SIMPLE PATHS
 OF A CLASS OF HAMILTONIAN GRAPHS

L. SZAMKOŁOWICZ

*Institute of Computer Sciences, University of Wrocław,
 Wrocław, Poland*

Let $G = \langle V, X, \varphi \rangle$ be an arbitrary simple graph. A hypergraph of maximal simple paths of G is a hypergraph $H = \langle X, \mathcal{E} \rangle$, where $\mathcal{E} = \{E_i\}_{i \in I}$, $E_i = E_j \Leftrightarrow i = j$ is a family of subsets of X corresponding to subsets of edges of an arbitrary maximal path of G . In [1] some fundamental problems in structural hypergraph theory have been formulated. Some solutions to these problems related to hypergraphs of maximal simple paths of a graph are given in [2]. In this paper necessary and sufficient conditions for a hypergraph H to be a hypergraph of maximal simple paths of G in certain subclass \mathcal{G} of Hamiltonian graphs are established. Also, the unicity of reconstruction of $G \in \mathcal{G}$ based on corresponding hypergraph H will be proved. The class \mathcal{G} contains, as a proper subclass, all Hamiltonian graphs for which $r(v) \geq 3$.

A graph $G \in \mathcal{G}$ iff there exists a Hamiltonian cycle C of G such that if a vertex $v \in C$ is not incident with any chord of cycle C , then there is a chord d linking both neighbours of v in C . The class of hypergraphs of maximal paths of elements in \mathcal{G} is denoted by \mathcal{H} . The following properties of a hypergraph $H = \langle X, \mathcal{E} \rangle \in \mathcal{H}$ are evident:

- (1) $E_i \in \mathcal{E}$, $A \subset E_i$, $A \neq E_i \Rightarrow A \notin \mathcal{E}$.
- (2) There exists a set $C \subset X$ such that $A \subset C$, $|A| = |C| - 1 \Rightarrow A \in \mathcal{E}$.

The set C called a *Hamiltonian cycle of hypergraph H* . The set $X \setminus C$ will be called a *set of chords of cycle C* and its elements—*chords of cycle C* .

Let d be an arbitrary chord of a Hamiltonian cycle of G . There exist in $D = C \cup \{d\}$ exactly two maximal paths of G with the length $|D| - 2$ containing edge d . Hence, hypergraph H has to satisfy:

- (3) $d \in X \setminus C \Rightarrow$ there exist exactly two sets $E_i, E_j \in \mathcal{E}$; $E_i, E_j \subset C \cup \{d\}$, $d \in E_i, d \in E_j$, $|E_i| = |E_j| = |C \cup \{d\}| - 2$.

Let E_i and E_j be maximal paths in G determined by chord d in condition (3). Then, it is easy to notice that

- (4) For every $x \in C \setminus E_i$ there exists exactly one $y \in C \setminus E_j$ such that $\{x, y, d\} \notin E_k$ for every $E_k \in \mathcal{E}$.

Let us denote $\{x_1, x_2\} = C \setminus E_i$ and $\{y_1, y_2\} = C \setminus E_j$. By condition (4) it follows that for a fixed chord d three cases are possible:

- (a) $\{x_1, y_1, d\}$ and $\{x_2, y_2, d\}$ are not contained in any set $E_k \in \mathcal{E}$; for the remaining two sets: $\{x_1, y_2, d\} \in E_p$, $\{x_2, y_1, d\} \in E_q$; $p, q \in I$,
- (b) only $\{x_2, y_1, d\}$ is contained in E_k , $k \in I$,
- (c) none of the sets $\{x_i, y_j, d\}$ is contained in $E_k \in \mathcal{E}$.

Let us form a family of subsets of X denoted by \mathcal{F} . Let d be an arbitrary chord of cycle C . In case (a) we include sets $\{x_1, y_1, d\}$ and $\{x_2, y_2, d\}$ into family \mathcal{F} , in case (b) the sets $\{x_1, y_1, d\}$, $\{x_2, y_2, d\}$ and $\{x_1, y_2, d\}$, and in case (c): $\{x_1, y_1, d\}$, $\{x_2, y_2, d\}$, $\{x_1, y_2, d\}$, $\{x_2, y_1, d\}$ or $\{x_1, y_2, d\}$, $\{x_2, y_1, d\}$, $\{x_1, y_1, d\}$, $\{x_2, y_2, d\}$.

Now, let \mathcal{F} be an arbitrary family of subsets of X and C an arbitrary non-empty subset of the set. Let us denote by $\mathcal{F}^{(2)}$ the least family of a subset in X such that

- (i) $\mathcal{F} \subset \mathcal{F}^{(2)}$,
- (ii) $F_i, F_j \in \mathcal{F}^{(2)}, |F_i \cap F_j| \geq 2 \Rightarrow F_i \cup F_j \in \mathcal{F}^{(2)}$.

Let us denote by $\mathcal{F}_{\max}^{(2)}$ the subfamily of all maximal sets of family $\mathcal{F}^{(2)}$. We say that family \mathcal{F} determines a Hamiltonian structure in X with respect to a set C if the following conditions are satisfied:

- (iii) $F_i \in \mathcal{F}_{\max}^{(2)} \Rightarrow |F_i \cap C| = 2$,
- (iv) $x \in X \Rightarrow$ there exist exactly two sets $F_i, F_j \in \mathcal{F}_{\max}^{(2)}$ such that $x \in F_i, x \in F_j$,
- (v) $x \in X; F_i, F_j \in \mathcal{F}_{\max}^{(2)}, F_i \neq F_j \Rightarrow (y \in X, y \neq x, y \in F_i \Rightarrow y \notin F_j)$.

Let \mathcal{F} determine in X a Hamiltonian structure with respect to C . A subset S of X is called elementary if for every $F_i \in \mathcal{F}_{\max}^{(2)}$ we have $|F_i \cap S| \leq 2$. In particular, C is an elementary set. $n(s)$ denotes the number of those $F_i \in \mathcal{F}_{\max}^{(2)}$ for which $|F_i \cap S| = 1$. The number $n(s)$ is an index of an elementary set S . \mathcal{S} denotes a family of subsets of X , all elementary maximal sets of a given Hamiltonian structure with index 2.

It is easy to see that if \mathcal{F} is a family of subsets of X determined by conditions (a), (b), (c), then for a hypergraph H of maximal paths of a Hamiltonian graph G the following condition should be satisfied:

- (5) the family \mathcal{F} determines a Hamiltonian structure in X with respect to cycle C and $E_i \in \mathcal{E}$ iff $E_i \in \mathcal{S}$.

Now, we shall prove the following

THEOREM. *Hypergraph $H \in \langle X, \mathcal{E} \rangle$ is a hypergraph of maximal simple paths for a graph $G = \langle V, X, \varphi \rangle \in \mathcal{G}$ iff for H conditions (1)–(5) are satisfied. There is a one-to-one correspondence (to isomorphism) between $H \in \mathcal{H}$ and $G \in \mathcal{G}$.*

Proof. The necessity of conditions (1)–(5) is evident.

Let $H = \langle X, \mathcal{E} \rangle$ be a hypergraph satisfying (1)–(5) and C its Hamiltonian cycle. We form graph G in the following way: let $G = \langle V, X, \varphi \rangle$ where $V = \mathcal{F}_{\max}^{(2)}$ function $\varphi: X \rightarrow V^2$ is defined according to condition (iv): $x \in F_i, x \in F_j \Leftrightarrow \varphi(x) = (F_i, F_j)$.

By condition (5), and taking into account (iii), (iv), and (v), we obtain the fact that G is a simple graph and for every vertex $F_j \in \mathcal{F}_{\max}^{(2)}$ (iii) it follows that there exist exactly two edges $x \in C$ of the graph which are incident with F_j and hence C is a Hamiltonian cycle of G . By conditions (2)–(4) the unicity of construction for family \mathcal{F} follows, and so it follows consequently for $\mathcal{F}_{\max}^{(2)}$. Further, by condition (5) and (iv) the unicity of construction for G is obtained. Only in case (c) a fictitious ambiguity occurs for family \mathcal{F} when for a chord d none of the sets $\{x_i, x_j, d\}$ is contained in $E_k \in \mathcal{E}$. By conditions (i)–(iv) it follows that if G has a chord with this property, then its Hamiltonian cycle contains exactly four edges and one or two diagonals. Here, the unicity (to isomorphism) of G is evident. The vertices of G , as it follows by construction of \mathcal{F} , are determined either by a chord incident with a vertex or by a pair of edges belonging to a Hamiltonian cycle and incident with the same chord d . Hence, it follows that $G \in \mathcal{G}$.

By the construction of sets in family \mathcal{S} it follows that each $S \in \mathcal{S}$ is a set of edges of G belonging to an arbitrary maximal path of G . A set of edges of G belonging to an arbitrary maximal simple path of the graph is an elementary set with index 2 and it belongs to \mathcal{S} . Hence, according to condition (5) hypergraph $H = \langle X, \mathcal{E} \rangle$ is a hypergraph of maximal simple paths of G .

The set of conditions (1)–(5) allows to formulate a simple algorithm for verification whether $H \in \mathcal{H}$. It seems that analysis of independence and reduction of the set of conditions should be interesting.

References

- [1] L. Szamkołowicz, *On problems of the elementary theory of graphical matroids*, in: *Recent advances in graph theory*, Praha 1975, 501–505.
- [2] —, *On reproducibility of a hypergraph of maximal paths in a graph*, in: *Graphs, hypergraphs and block systems*, Zielona Góra 1976, 297–303.

*Presented to the Semester
Discrete Mathematics
(February 15–June 16, 1977)*