EVOLUTION EQUATIONS: EXISTENCE, REGULARITY AND SINGULARITIES BANACH CENTER PUBLICATIONS, VOLUME 52 INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS POLISH ACADEMY OF SCIENCES WARSZAWA 2000

BEHAVIOUR OF SOLUTIONS TO

 $u_t - \Delta u + |\nabla u|^p = 0$ **AS** $p \to +\infty$

PHILIPPE LAURENÇOT

CNRS & Institut Elie Cartan – Nancy, Université de Nancy I BP 239, F-54506 Vandœuvre-lès-Nancy Cedex, France E-mail: laurenco@iecn.u-nancy.fr

1. Introduction. We study the behaviour as $p \to +\infty$ of the non-negative and integrable solution u_p to the Cauchy problem

$$u_{p,t} - \Delta u_p + |\nabla u_p|^p = 0 \quad \text{in} \quad (0, +\infty) \times \mathbb{R}^N, \tag{1}$$

$$u_p(0) = u_0 \quad \text{in} \quad \mathbb{R}^N,\tag{2}$$

where u_0 is a non-negative function in $L^1(\mathbb{R}^N) \cap W^{1,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^N)$. To explain in a formal way our result let us assume for the moment that the sequence $(u_p)_{p>1}$ converges to some u_∞ as $p \to +\infty$ and try to obtain some information about u_∞ . We first observe that the non-negativity and integrability of u_p entail that the sequence $(|\nabla u_p|^p)$ is bounded in $L^1((0, +\infty) \times \mathbb{R}^N)$. The Fatou lemma then implies that

$$|\nabla u_{\infty}| \le 1$$
 a.e. in $(0, +\infty) \times \mathbb{R}^{N}$. (3)

We will actually prove a stronger assertion, namely that $(|\nabla u_p|^p)$ converges to zero in $L^1_{loc}(0, +\infty; L^1(\mathbb{R}^N))$, from which we deduce that u_∞ is a solution to the linear heat equation. It remains to identify $u_\infty(0)$. Recalling (3) we expect that $|\nabla u_\infty(0)| \le 1$ a.e. in \mathbb{R}^N . Consequently $u_\infty(0)$ does not coincide with $u_0 = \lim_{p \to +\infty} u_p(0)$ if $||\nabla u_0||_{L^\infty} > 1$. Also, u_p being a non-negative subsolution to the heat equation we expect that $0 \le u_\infty(0) \le u_0$. Summarizing, a possible limit u_∞ of $(u_p)_{p>1}$ as $p \to +\infty$ would be a solution to the linear heat equation with an initial datum $u_\infty(0)$ satisfying

$$0 \le u_{\infty}(0) \le u_0$$
 and $|\nabla u_{\infty}(0)| \le 1$ a.e. in \mathbb{R}^N . (4)

We will in fact prove that $u_{\infty}(0)$ is a suitably defined projection of u_0 on the convex subset of $L^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$ defined by the constraints (4).

Before stating precisely our result we first recall the well-posedness of the Cauchy problem (1)-(2) in $L^1(\mathbb{R}^N) \cap W^{1,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^N)$.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: 35K55, 35B30.

The paper is in final form and no version of it will be published elsewhere.

^[153]

PROPOSITION 1. Let $p \in (1, +\infty)$ and u_0 be a non-negative function in $L^1(\mathbb{R}^N) \cap W^{1,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^N)$. There is a unique non-negative function

$$u \in \mathcal{C}([0,+\infty); L^1(\mathbb{R}^N)) \cap L^\infty(0,+\infty; W^{1,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^N))$$

satisfying

$$u(t) = G(t)u_0 - \int_0^t G(t-s)|\nabla u(s)|^p \, ds, \quad t \in [0, +\infty).$$
(5)

Here G(t) denotes the linear heat semigroup. In addition, for each $t \in [0, +\infty)$ we have

$$0 \le u(t) \le G(t)u_0,\tag{6}$$

$$\|u(t)\|_{L^1} \le \|u_0\|_{L^1},\tag{7}$$

$$\|\nabla u(t)\|_{L^{\infty}} \le \|\nabla u_0\|_{L^{\infty}}.$$
(8)

We next consider a non-negative function u_0 in $L^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$ and define a convex subset $\mathcal{C}(u_0)$ of $L^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$ by

$$\mathcal{C}(u_0) = \left\{ w \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^N) \cap W^{1,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^N), 0 \le w \le u_0 \text{ and } |\nabla w| \le 1 \text{ a.e. in } \mathbb{R}^N \right\}.$$
(9)

A crucial step in our analysis is the existence of a projection from $L^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$ on $\mathcal{C}(u_0)$ with suitable properties and is given by [3, Proposition 5.3].

PROPOSITION 2. Let u_0 be a non-negative function in $L^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$. For each $v \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$ there is a unique $\mathbb{P}v \in \mathcal{C}(u_0)$ such that

$$\int j(w - IPv) dx \le \int j(w - IPv + \lambda (IPv - v)) dx$$
(10)

for every $w \in \mathcal{C}(u_0)$, $\lambda \in [0, +\infty)$ and $j \in \mathcal{J}_0$, where

 $\mathcal{J}_0 = \{ \textit{convex lower semicontinuous maps } j : \mathbb{R} \to [0, +\infty] \ \textit{satisfying } j(0) = 0 \}.$

The mapping $I\!\!P: L^1(I\!\!R^N) \to C(u_0)$ satisfies $I\!\!Pv = v$ if $v \in C(u_0)$ and

$$\int j \left(I\!\!P v - I\!\!P \hat{v} \right) \, dx \le \int j \left(v - \hat{v} \right) \, dx \tag{11}$$

for every $v \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$, $\hat{v} \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$ and $j \in \mathcal{J}_0$.

We may now state our main result.

THEOREM 3. Let u_0 be a non-negative function in $L^1(\mathbb{R}^N) \cap W^{1,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ and denote by $\mathbb{P}u_0$ the projection of u_0 on the convex set $\mathcal{C}(u_0)$ defined by (9). For $p \in (1, +\infty)$ we denote by u_p the unique solution to (1)-(2) given by Proposition 1. For every $t_1 \in (0, +\infty)$ and $t_2 \in (t_1, +\infty)$ we have

$$\lim_{p \to +\infty} \sup_{t \in [t_1, t_2]} \|u_p(t) - G(t) \mathbb{P} u_0\|_{L^1} = 0.$$
(12)

Let us mention at this point that related results have been obtained for the solutions to the equation

$$u_t - \Delta u + u^p = 0$$

and its generalisations in [4] and [5].

An interesting consequence of Theorem 3 is that it allows to identify the behaviour of

$$\lim_{t \to +\infty} \|u_p(t)\|_{L^1}$$

as $p \to +\infty$. More precisely we have the following result.

COROLLARY 4. With the assumptions and notations of Theorem 3 we define

$$I_p(u_0) = \lim_{t \to +\infty} \|u_p(t)\|_{L^1},$$
(13)

(which exists as $t \mapsto ||u_p(t)||_{L^1}$ is non-increasing and bounded from below). We have

$$\lim_{p \to +\infty} I_p(u_0) = \| I\!\!P u_0 \|_{L^1}.$$
(14)

We now briefly describe the remainder of the paper: in the next section we sketch the proof of Proposition 1 and derive some bounds on the gradients of solutions to (1)-(2). In Section 3 we check that the convex set $C(u_0)$ defined by (9) enjoys the desired properties which allow us to apply [3, Proposition 5.3]. The proof of Theorem 3 is done in Section 4 while the last section is devoted to the proof of Corollary 4.

2. Preliminaries. We first briefly recall some arguments towards the proof of Proposition 1. If u_0 is a non-negative function in $\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ there exists a unique non-negative classical solution to (1)-(2) (see, e.g., [7] or [1]) and (6), (8) follow from the comparison principle while (7) follows from (1) and the non-negativity of u. For a non-negative function u_0 in $L^1(\mathbb{R}^N) \cap W^{1,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ the existence part of Proposition 1 and (6)-(8) are obtained by approximation and weak compactness arguments while the uniqueness is a consequence of the Gronwall lemma and the Duhamel formula.

We now fix a non-negative function u_0 in $L^1(\mathbb{R}^N) \cap W^{1,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ and for $p \in (1, +\infty)$ we denote by u_p the unique non-negative solution to (1)-(2) given by Proposition 1. The next result is a consequence of [2, Theorem 1].

LEMMA 5. For $p \in (1, +\infty)$ we have

$$|\nabla u_p(t,x)|^p \le \frac{u_p(t,x)}{(p-1)t}, \quad (t,x) \in (0,+\infty) \times \mathbb{R}^N.$$
(15)

PROOF. It follows from [2, Theorem 1] that

$$\|\nabla u_p^{(p-1)/p}(t)\|_{L^{\infty}} \le (p-1)^{(p-1)/p} p^{-1} t^{-1/p}, \quad t \in (0,+\infty).$$

As

$$\nabla u_p(t,x) = \frac{p}{p-1} \ u_p(t,x)^{1/p} \ \nabla u_p^{(p-1)/p}(t,x),$$

we easily deduce (15).

We next study the behaviour of u_p for large values of $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$.

LEMMA 6. For each $t \in [0, +\infty)$ we have

$$\lim_{R \to +\infty} \sup_{p \in (1, +\infty)} \sup_{s \in [0, t]} \int_{\{|x| \ge R\}} u_p(s, x) \, dx = 0, \tag{16}$$

$$\lim_{R \to +\infty} \sup_{p \in (1, +\infty)} \int_0^t \int_{\{|x| \ge R\}} |\nabla u_p(s, x)|^p \, dx ds = 0.$$
(17)

PROOF. Let ϑ be a \mathcal{C}^{∞} -smooth function such that $0 \leq \vartheta \leq 1$ and

$$\vartheta(x) = 0$$
 if $|x| \le 1/2$ and $\vartheta(x) = 1$ if $|x| \ge 1$.

For $R \geq 1$ we put $\vartheta_R(x) = \vartheta(x/R), x \in \mathbb{R}^N$. It follows from (1) and (7) that

$$\int u_p(t,x) \,\vartheta_R(x) \,dx + \int_0^t \int |\nabla u_p(s,x)|^p \,\vartheta_R(x) \,dxds$$

$$\leq \int u_0(x) \,\vartheta_R(x) \,dx + \frac{\|\vartheta\|_{W^{2,\infty}}}{R^2} \int_0^t \int u_p(s,x) \,dxds$$

$$\leq \int u_0(x) \,\vartheta_R(x) \,dx + \frac{t \,\|\vartheta\|_{W^{2,\infty}} \,\|u_0\|_{L^1}}{R^2}.$$

The function u_0 being integrable, (16) and (17) follow from the above inequality by letting $R \to +\infty$.

3. The convex set $\mathcal{C}(u_0)$. Consider a non-negative function u_0 in $L^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$. The set $\mathcal{C}(u_0)$ defined by

$$\mathcal{C}(u_0) = \{ w \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^N) \cap W^{1,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^N), 0 \le w \le u_0 \text{ and } |\nabla w| \le 1 \text{ a.e. in } \mathbb{R}^N \}$$
(18)
is a closed and convex subset of $L^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$ which is non-empty (as $0 \in \mathcal{C}(u_0)$). The following additional property is enjoyed by the set $\mathcal{C}(u_0)$.

LEMMA 7. Consider
$$w \in \mathcal{C}(u_0)$$
, $\hat{w} \in \mathcal{C}(u_0)$ and a function $\xi \in W^{1,\infty}(\mathbb{R})$ satisfying

$$\xi(0) = 0 \text{ and } 0 \le \xi' \le 1 \text{ a.e. in } \mathbb{R}.$$
 (19)

Then

$$w + \xi \left(\hat{w} - w \right) \in \mathcal{C}(u_0). \tag{20}$$

PROOF. We first notice that (19) ensures that

$$\min(w, \hat{w}) \le w + \xi \, (\hat{w} - w) \le \max(w, \hat{w}),$$

from which we deduce that

$$0 \le w + \xi (\hat{w} - w) \le u_0$$
 a.e.

We next have

$$\nabla (w + \xi (\hat{w} - w)) = \xi' (\hat{w} - w) \ \nabla \hat{w} + (1 - \xi' (\hat{w} - w)) \ \nabla w.$$

We then infer from (19) and the convexity of the euclidean norm in \mathbb{R}^N that

$$\left|\nabla\left(w+\xi\left(\hat{w}-w\right)\right)\right| \le 1.$$

We have thus proved that $w + \xi (\hat{w} - w)$ belongs to $\mathcal{C}(u_0)$.

Thanks to Lemma 7, Proposition 2 is a straightforward consequence of [3, Proposition 5.3].

4. Convergence. In this section we prove Theorem 3. Let u_0 be a non-negative function in $L^1(\mathbb{R}^N) \cap W^{1,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ and, for $p \in (1, +\infty)$, denote by u_p the unique non-negative solution to (1)-(2) given by Proposition 1. We next define the set $\mathcal{C}(u_0)$ by (9) and denote by \mathbb{P} the projection on $\mathcal{C}(u_0)$ given by Proposition 2. We first notice the following consequence of (8) and (15).

LEMMA 8. For every $t_1 \in (0, +\infty)$ and $t_2 \in (t_1, +\infty)$ we have

p

$$\lim_{t \to +\infty} \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \int |\nabla u_p(s, x)|^p \, dx ds = 0.$$
⁽²¹⁾

Assume further that

$$\|\nabla u_0\|_{L^{\infty}} < 1. \tag{22}$$

Then for every $T \in (0, +\infty)$ we have

$$\lim_{p \to +\infty} \int_0^T \int |\nabla u_p(s, x)|^p \, dx ds = 0.$$
(23)

PROOF. By (15) and (7) we have

$$\begin{split} \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \int |\nabla u_p(s,x)|^p \, dx ds &\leq \frac{1}{p-1} \int_{t_1}^{t_2} s^{-1} \int u_p(s,x) \, dx ds \\ &\leq \frac{\|u_0\|_{L^1}}{(p-1)} \, \ln\left(\frac{t_2}{t_1}\right), \end{split}$$

hence (21).

Assuming now that u_0 fulfils (22) we deduce from (8) and (22) that

$$\lim_{p \to +\infty} |\nabla u_p(t, x)|^p = 0 \quad \text{for a.e.} \quad (t, x) \in (0, +\infty) \times \mathbb{R}^N.$$
(24)

Owing to (8), (17), (22) and (24) we may apply the Vitali convergence theorem (see, e.g., [6, p. 13]) and obtain (23). \blacksquare

The next result is a straightforward consequence of Lemma 8 and states that Theorem 3 is valid when u_0 fulfils (22). Note that in that case $\mathbb{P}u_0 = u_0$.

PROPOSITION 9. If u_0 satisfies the additional assumption (22) we have for each $T \in (0, +\infty)$

$$\lim_{p \to +\infty} \sup_{t \in [0,T]} \|u_p(t) - G(t)u_0\|_{L^1} = 0$$

PROOF. By the Duhamel formula (5) we have for $t \in [0, T]$

$$\begin{aligned} \|u_p(t) - G(t)u_0\|_{L^1} &\leq \int_0^t \|G(t-s)|\nabla u_p(s)|^p\|_{L^1} \, ds, \\ &\leq \int_0^T \int |\nabla u_p(s,x)|^p \, dxds, \end{aligned}$$

and Proposition 9 follows at once from (23) and the above inequality.

We now turn to the general case. Consider $t_1 \in (0, +\infty)$ and $t_2 \in (t_1, +\infty)$. By (6)-(8) and (15) the sequence $(u_p)_{p>1}$ is bounded in $L^{\infty}(t_1, t_2; L^1(\mathbb{R}^N) \cap W^{1,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^N))$. We may then proceed as in [2, Section 3] to prove that the sequence $(u_p)_{p>1}$ is relatively compact in $\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{K})$ for every compact subset \mathcal{K} of $(0, +\infty) \times \mathbb{R}^N$. Therefore there is a sequence $(p_j)_{j\geq 1}, p_j \to +\infty$ and a function $u_{\infty} \in \mathcal{C}((0, +\infty) \times \mathbb{R}^N)$ such that

$$\lim_{j \to +\infty} \|u_{p_j} - u_{\infty}\|_{\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{K})} = 0 \tag{25}$$

for every compact subset \mathcal{K} of $(0, +\infty) \times \mathbb{R}^N$. Clearly u_{∞} is a non-negative function as the limit of non-negative functions. Observe next that, owing to (25) and (16) we may

apply the Vitali convergence theorem and obtain

$$\lim_{j \to +\infty} \|u_{p_j}(t) - u_{\infty}(t)\|_{L^1} = 0, \quad t \in (0, +\infty).$$
(26)

Recalling (21) we may then let $p_j \to +\infty$ in the Duhamel formula (5) and obtain

$$u_{\infty}(t_2) = G(t_2 - t_1)u_{\infty}(t_1), \quad 0 < t_1 < t_2.$$
(27)

Thanks to (5), (21), (26) and (27) we may improve (26) to

$$\lim_{j \to +\infty} \sup_{t \in [t_1, t_2]} \|u_{p_j}(t) - u_{\infty}(t)\|_{L^1} = 0, \quad 0 < t_1 < t_2.$$
(28)

We next derive some further properties of u_{∞} . First notice that (6) and (28) entail

$$0 \le u_{\infty}(t) \le G(t)u_0, \quad t \in (0, +\infty).$$
 (29)

In addition it follows from (6) and (15) that, for $t \in (0, +\infty)$

$$\limsup_{p \to +\infty} \|\nabla u_p(t)\|_{L^{\infty}} \le 1,$$

and (26) and a weak compactness argument yield

$$\|\nabla u_{\infty}(t)\|_{L^{\infty}} \le 1, \quad t \in (0, +\infty).$$
 (30)

In particular we infer from (29) and (30) that $(u_{\infty}(t))_{t \in (0,1)}$ is bounded in $L^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$ and in $W^{1,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^N)$. This fact, (27) and (29) allow us to conclude that there is a non-negative function

$$\bar{u}_0 \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^N) \cap W^{1,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^N)$$

such that

$$u_{\infty}(t) = G(t)\bar{u}_0, \quad t \in (0, +\infty).$$
 (31)

Moreover (29) and (30) yield

 $0 \leq \bar{u}_0 \leq u_0$ and $|\nabla \bar{u}_0| \leq 1$ a.e. in \mathbb{R}^N .

In other words

$$\bar{u}_0 \in \mathcal{C}(u_0). \tag{32}$$

We now proceed to show that in fact $\bar{u}_0 = \mathbb{P}u_0$. For that purpose we first notice that (32) ensures that $\mathbb{P}\bar{u}_0 = \bar{u}_0$ while (11) with $j(r) = r^+ = \max(r, 0)$ yields

$$\int \left(\mathbb{P}\bar{u}_0 - \mathbb{P}u_0 \right)^+ \, dx \le \int \left(\bar{u}_0 - u_0 \right)^+ \, dx = 0,$$

as $\bar{u}_0 \leq u_0$ by (32). We thus conclude that

$$\bar{u}_0 = \mathbb{P}\bar{u}_0 \le \mathbb{P}u_0 \quad \text{a.e. in} \quad \mathbb{R}^N.$$
(33)

We next consider $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$ and put

$$u_0^{\varepsilon} = \frac{\mathbb{P}u_0}{1+\varepsilon} = \frac{1}{1+\varepsilon} \cdot \mathbb{P}u_0 + \left(1 - \frac{1}{1+\varepsilon}\right) \cdot 0 \in \mathcal{C}(u_0).$$

Note that, since $\mathbb{P}u_0 \in \mathcal{C}(u_0)$,

$$\|\nabla u_0^{\varepsilon}\|_{L^{\infty}} \le \frac{1}{1+\varepsilon} < 1.$$
(34)

158

For $p \in (1, +\infty)$ we denote by u_p^{ε} the solution to (1) with initial datum u_0^{ε} . On the one hand we infer from (34) and Proposition 9 that

$$\lim_{p \to +\infty} \left\| u_p^{\varepsilon}(t) - G(t)u_0^{\varepsilon} \right\|_{L^1} = 0, \quad t \in [0, +\infty).$$
(35)

On the other hand we have $u_0^{\varepsilon} \leq u_0$ as $u_0^{\varepsilon} \in \mathcal{C}(u_0)$ and the comparison principle entails

$$u_{p_j}^{\varepsilon}(t,x) \le u_{p_j}(t,x), \quad (t,x) \in (0,+\infty) \times \mathbb{R}^N.$$
(36)

Combining (28), (35) and (36) yields

 $G(t)u_0^{\varepsilon} \le u_{\infty}(t), \quad t \in (0, +\infty).$

Letting $t \to 0$ in the above inequality we deduce from (31) that

$$u_0^{\varepsilon} \leq \bar{u}_0$$
 a.e. in \mathbb{R}^N .

This inequality being valid for each $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$ we finally obtain

$$\mathbb{P}u_0 \leq \bar{u}_0.$$

Recalling (33) we have proved that $\bar{u}_0 = \mathbb{P}u_0$. Thus (31) reads

$$u_{\infty}(t) = G(t) \mathbb{P} u_0, \quad t \in [0, +\infty),$$

while (28) becomes

$$\lim_{j \to +\infty} \sup_{t \in [t_1, t_2]} \|u_{p_j}(t) - G(t)\mathbb{P}u_0\|_{L^1} = 0, \quad 0 < t_1 < t_2.$$
(37)

Finally, owing to the uniqueness of the cluster points of the sequence $(u_p)_{p>1}$ a standard argument ensures that the convergence (37) actually holds for the whole sequence $(u_p)_{p>1}$, which completes the proof of Theorem 3.

REMARK 1. Since the sequence $(u_p)_{p>1}$ is bounded in $L^{\infty}(0, +\infty; W^{1,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^N))$ it is easy to deduce from Theorem 3 that the convergence (12) also holds in $L^q(\mathbb{R}^N)$ for $q \in (1, \infty]$.

5. Behaviour of $I_p(u_0)$ as $p \to +\infty$. We now prove Corollary 4. Recall that the assumptions and the notations used are those of Theorem 3 and Corollary 4. For technical reasons we further assume that p > (N+2)/(N+1). Integrating (1) on $(t, +\infty) \times \mathbb{R}^N$ yields

$$I_p(u_0) + \int_t^\infty \int |\nabla u_p(s, x)|^p \, dx ds = \|u_p(t)\|_{L^1}, \quad t \in [0, +\infty).$$
(38)

We define

$$\tau_p = \frac{1}{\pi} \left(\pi \| u_0 \|_{L^1}^2 (p-1) \right)^{p/(p(N+1)-(N+2))},$$

and introduce

$$J_{1,p}(t) = \int_{t}^{\tau_p} \int |\nabla u_p(s,x)|^p \, dxds, \quad t \in (0,\tau_p)$$
$$J_{2,p} = \int_{\tau_p}^{\infty} \int |\nabla u_p(s,x)|^p \, dxds.$$

With these notations (38) reads

$$I_p(u_0) = \|u_p(t)\|_{L^1} - J_{1,p}(t) - J_{2,p}, \quad t \in (0, \tau_p).$$
(39)

We first estimate $J_{2,p}$. For that purpose we use an upper bound on $\|\nabla u_p^{(p-1)/p}\|_{L^{\infty}}$ obtained in [2, Theorem 1], namely

$$\|\nabla u_p^{(p-1)/p}(s)\|_{L^{\infty}} \le (p-1)^{1/2} \pi^{1/2} \|u_0\|_{L^1}^{(p-1)/p} (\pi s)^{-(p(N+1)-N)/2p}, \quad s \in (0, +\infty).$$

Therefore for $(s, x) \in (0, +\infty) \times \mathbb{R}^N$ we have

$$|\nabla u_p(s,x)| \le p \ (p-1)^{-1/2} \ \pi^{1/2} \ \|u_0\|_{L^1}^{(p-1)/p} \ (\pi s)^{-(p(N+1)-N)/2p} \ u_p(s,x)^{1/p}$$

Plugging this estimate in $J_{2,p}$ and using (7) yield

$$J_{2,p} \leq \left(\frac{p}{p-1}\right)^p (\pi(p-1))^{p/2} \|u_0\|_{L^1}^{p-1} \int_{\tau_p}^{\infty} (\pi s)^{-(p(N+1)-N)/2} \int u_p(s,x) \, dx \, ds$$
$$\leq \left(\frac{p}{p-1}\right)^p (\pi(p-1)\|u_0\|_{L^1}^2)^{p/2} \frac{2(\pi\tau_p)^{-(p(N+1)-(N+2))/2}}{\pi(p(N+1)-(N+2))}$$
$$J_{2,p} \leq \left(\frac{p}{p-1}\right)^p \frac{2}{\pi(p(N+1)-(N+2))}$$

(recall that p > (N+2)/(N+1)). Consequently

$$\lim_{p \to +\infty} J_{2,p} = 0. \tag{40}$$

Next, by (7) and (15) we have

$$J_{1,p}(t) \leq \frac{\|u_0\|_{L^1}}{p-1} \int_t^{\tau_p} s^{-1} ds$$

$$\leq \frac{\|u_0\|_{L^1}}{p-1} \left(\ln\left(\frac{1}{\pi t}\right) + \frac{p}{p(N+1) - (N+2)} \ln\left(\pi \|u_0\|_{L^1}^2 (p-1)\right) \right),$$

hence

$$\lim_{p \to +\infty} J_{1,p}(t) = 0, \quad t \in (0, \tau_p).$$
(41)

As $\tau_p \to +\infty$ we may choose t > 0 such that $t \in (0, \tau_p)$ for p large enough and we may let $p \to +\infty$ in (39) and use (40), (41) and Theorem 3 to obtain

$$\lim_{p \to +\infty} I_p(u_0) = \|G(t)\mathbb{P}u_0\|_{L^1} = \|\mathbb{P}u_0\|_{L^1}.$$

Acknowledgments. I thank S. Benachour and Ph. Bénilan for helpful discussions during the preparation of this work.

References

- L. AMOUR and M. BEN-ARTZI, Global existence and decay for viscous Hamilton-Jacobi equations, Nonlinear Anal. 31 (1998), 621–628.
- S. BENACHOUR and Ph. LAURENÇOT, Global solutions to viscous Hamilton-Jacobi equations with irregular initial data, Comm. Partial Differential Equations 24 (1999), 1999–2021.
- [3] Ph. BÉNILAN and M. G. CRANDALL, Completely accretive operators, in: Semigroup Theory and Evolution Equations, Ph. Clément et al. (eds.), Lecture Notes in Pure and Appl. Math. 135, Dekker, New York, 1991, 41–75.

- Ph. BÉNILAN and P. WITTBOLD, Absorptions non linéaires, J. Funct. Anal. 114 (1993), 59–96.
- [5] K. M. HUI, Asymptotic behaviour of solutions of $u_t = \Delta u^m u^p$ as $p \to \infty$, Nonlinear Anal. 21 (1993), 191–195.
- [6] O. KAVIAN, Introduction à la Théorie des Points Critiques et Applications aux Problèmes Elliptiques, Math. Appl. 13, SMAI, Springer-Verlag, Paris, 1993.
- [7] O. A. LADYŽENSKAJA, V. A. SOLONNIKOV and N. N. URAL'CEVA, *Linear and Quasilinear Equations of Parabolic Type*, Transl. Math. Monogr. 23, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, 1968.