

ON THE EXISTENCE OF PRIME IDEALS IN BOOLEAN ALGEBRAS

JÖRG FLUM

*Mathematisches Institut, Universität Freiburg
Eckerstr. 1
79104 Freiburg, Germany
E-mail: flum@ruf.uni-freiburg.de*

Abstract. Rasiowa and Sikorski [5] showed that in any Boolean algebra there is an ultrafilter preserving countably many given infima. In [3] we proved an extension of this fact and gave some applications. Here, besides further remarks, we present some of these results in a more general setting.

1. Introduction. Let E be a subset and a an element of a Boolean algebra \mathcal{B} , $E \subseteq B$ and $a \in B$. Assume that a is the infimum of E , $a = \bigwedge E$. An ultrafilter U preserves $a = \bigwedge E$, if

$$a \notin U \text{ implies } e \notin U \text{ for some } e \in E.$$

In the section entitled “A theorem on the existence of prime ideals in Boolean algebras” of their paper “A proof of the completeness theorem of Gödel” (cf. [5]), Rasiowa and Sikorski prove the following theorem which is sometimes (cf. [4]) called *the Lemma of Rasiowa and Sikorski*.

THEOREM 1.1. *Given infima $a_1 = \bigwedge E_1$, $a_2 = \bigwedge E_2, \dots$ in a non-trivial (i.e., $0 \neq 1$) Boolean algebra there is an ultrafilter preserving all these infima.*

Since

$$a = \bigwedge E \quad \text{implies} \quad 0 = \bigwedge \{e \cap \sim a \mid e \in E\},$$

this result can be rephrased as:

COROLLARY 1.2. *Let E_1, E_2, \dots be subsets of a non-trivial Boolean algebra with $0 = \bigwedge E_1 = \bigwedge E_2 = \dots$. Then*

(*) *there is an ultrafilter U s.t. for all n there is $e \in E_n$ with $\sim e \in U$.*

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: 03G05, 03E50, 06E10, 54D80.

The paper is in final form and no version of it will be published elsewhere.

In [3] we gave necessary and sufficient “absolute” conditions for the existence of an ultrafilter as in this corollary in case we omit the hypothesis $0 = \bigwedge E_1 = \bigwedge E_2 = \dots$ (As shown by $E_1 = \{a\}$ and $E_2 = \{\sim a\}$ with an arbitrary element a the hypothesis cannot simply be omitted.)

Our result and proof method were inspired by a corresponding characterization of the omissible types of (incomplete) first-order theories contained in [1], rediscovered and applied in [2]. It is well-known that one of the first important applications of the Lemma of Rasiowa and Sikorski is its use by Ryll-Nardzewski to characterize ω_0 -categorical theories (cf. [6]). Implicitly, this characterization contains the so-called omitting types theorem.

In this paper we present our results extending the Lemma of Rasiowa and Sikorski in a more general setting.

2. Inflationary and monotone operations. Let B be a set and J an operation on the power set of B ,

$$J : \text{Pow}(B) \rightarrow \text{Pow}(B),$$

that is inflationary and monotone; here *inflationary* means that

$$X \subseteq J(X),$$

and *monotone* that

$$X \subseteq Y \text{ implies } J(X) \subseteq J(Y).$$

By transfinite induction one defines the subsets J_α of B by

$$J_0 := \emptyset; \quad J_{\alpha+1} := J(J_\alpha); \quad J_\alpha := \bigcup_{\beta < \alpha} J_\beta.$$

Then,

$$J_\infty := \bigcup_{\alpha} J_\alpha$$

is the *least fixed-point* of J , i.e.,

$$J(J_\infty) = J_\infty \text{ and } J(X) = X \text{ implies } J_\infty \subseteq X.$$

If κ is an infinite cardinal, we say that J is κ -*ary*, if

$$J(X) = \bigcup \{J(X_0) \mid X_0 \subseteq X \text{ and } |X_0| < \kappa\}$$

(here $|Y|$ denotes the cardinality of Y).

Now let I be a set and for $i \in I$ let J^i be an inflationary and monotone operation on the power set of B . Define the *union* of J^I of the J^i 's,

$$J^I : \text{Pow}(B) \rightarrow \text{Pow}(B),$$

by

$$J^I(X) := \bigcup \{J^i(X) \mid i \in I\}.$$

Clearly, J^I is inflationary and monotone. Moreover,

- (1) Every fixed-point of J^I is a fixed-point of each J^i ; in particular, J_∞^I is a fixed-point of each J^i .

PROOF. Assume that $J^I(X) = X$. Since J^i is inflationary, we have $X \subseteq J^i(X) \subseteq J^I(X) = X$. ■

(2) If each J^i is κ -ary, then so is J^I and, for any α ,

$$J^I_\alpha = \bigcup \{J^{I_0}_\alpha \mid I_0 \subseteq I \text{ and } |I_0| < \kappa\}$$

(here, J^{I_0} is the union of the J^i 's with $i \in I_0$).

PROOF. Clearly, the equality holds for $\alpha = 0$. For $\alpha = \beta + 1$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} J^I_{\beta+1} &:= J^I(J^I_\beta) = \bigcup_{i \in I} J^i(J^I_\beta) \\ &= \bigcup_{i \in I} \bigcup_{I_0 \subseteq I, |I_0| < \kappa} J^i(J^{I_0}_\beta) \\ &= \bigcup_{I_0 \subseteq I, |I_0| < \kappa} J^{I_0}(J^{I_0}_\beta) = \bigcup_{I_0 \subseteq I, |I_0| < \kappa} J^{I_0}_{\beta+1} \end{aligned}$$

(in deriving the first equality in the last line note that $I_1 \subseteq I_2$ implies $J^{I_1}(X) \subseteq J^{I_2}(X)$). If α a limit ordinal then

$$J^I_\alpha = \bigcup_{\beta < \alpha} J^I_\beta = \bigcup_{I_0 \subseteq I, |I_0| < \kappa} \bigcup_{\beta < \alpha} J^{I_0}_\beta = \bigcup_{I_0 \subseteq I, |I_0| < \kappa} J^{I_0}_\alpha. \blacksquare$$

As a corollary we get:

(3) If each J^i is κ -ary, then $J^I_\infty = \bigcup \{J^{I_0}_\infty \mid I_0 \subseteq I \text{ and } |I_0| < \kappa\}$.

Hence,

(4) If each J^i is κ -ary, then for $a \in B$,

$$a \in J^I_\infty \text{ iff } a \in J^{I_0}_\infty \text{ for some } I_0 \subseteq I \text{ with } |I_0| < \kappa.$$

3. The generalization of the Lemma of Rasiowa and Sikorski. Fix a Boolean algebra \mathcal{B} . For a subset X of B denote by $F(X)$ the filter generated by X ,

$$F(X) := \{b \mid \text{there are } n \geq 0, a_0, \dots, a_n \in X \text{ with } a_0 \cap \dots \cap a_n \leq b\}.$$

A filter F is *proper*, if $0 \notin F$. Henceforth, we shall use the letter U to denote ultrafilters, i.e., proper filters such that $a \in U$ or $\sim a \in U$ for all $a \in B$.

An ultrafilter U *omits* E , if there is $e \in E$ such that $\sim e \in U$ (cf. 1.2). Then, we say that E is *omissible*. Define $J (= J^E)$, $J : \text{Pow}(B) \rightarrow \text{Pow}(B)$, by

$$J(X) := \{\sim a \mid E \subseteq F(X \cup \{a\})\}.$$

Clearly,

- (5) J is inflationary and monotone; if $|E| < \kappa$ then J is κ -ary.
- (6) If $X \subseteq U$ and U omits E , then $J(X) \subseteq U$.

PROOF. Assume $X \subseteq U$, U omits E , and let $\sim a \in J(X)$. Then, $E \subseteq F(X \cup \{a\}) \subseteq F(U \cup \{a\})$. Therefore, $\sim a \in U$. ■

A simple transfinite induction using (6) shows

- (7) if U omits E then $J_\infty \subseteq U$.

Moreover,

(8) $J(X) = X$ iff X is a filter and in the quotient Boolean algebra \mathcal{B}/X we have $\bigwedge \bar{E} = 0$

(here $\bar{E} = \{\bar{e} \mid e \in E\}$, where \bar{e} denotes the equivalence class of e).

PROOF. First, assume the right side of the equivalence. We only must show that $J(X) \subseteq X$. So assume $b \in J(X)$. Then $E \subseteq F(X \cup \{\sim b\})$. Since $\bigwedge \bar{E} = 0$ in \mathcal{B}/X , we have $\overline{\sim b} = 0$ in \mathcal{B}/X , thus $b \in X$.

Now assume $J(X) = X$. Let $x \in X$. Then, $E \subseteq F(X \cup \{\sim x\})$. If $y \in X$ then $F(X \cup \{\sim x\}) = F(X \cup \{\sim x \cup \sim y\})$, hence, $E \subseteq F(X \cup \{\sim(x \cap y)\})$, thus $x \cap y \in J(X) = X$. If $x \leq y$ then $F(X \cup \{\sim y\}) \supseteq F(X \cup \{\sim x\}) \supseteq E$ and therefore, $y \in J(X) = X$. Finally, let $a \in B$, and assume that in \mathcal{B}/X ,

$$\bar{a} \leq \bar{e} \text{ for all } e \in E.$$

Then $E \subseteq F(X \cup \{a\})$, thus, $\sim a \in J(X) = X$, hence, $\bar{a} = 0$. ■

Now let \mathcal{E} be a non-empty class of subsets of B . We say that \mathcal{E} is *omissible*, if there is an ultrafilter U that *omits* \mathcal{E} , i.e., that omits each E in \mathcal{E} . Let $J^\mathcal{E}$ be the union of the J^E 's for $E \in \mathcal{E}$, i.e.,

$$J^\mathcal{E}(X) = \bigcup_{E \in \mathcal{E}} J^E(X) = \{\sim a \mid E \subseteq F(X \cup \{a\}) \text{ for some } E \in \mathcal{E}\}.$$

A transfinite induction, using (7), shows:

(9) If U omits \mathcal{E} then $J_\infty^\mathcal{E} \subseteq U$.

By (1) and (8) we get

(10) $J_\infty^\mathcal{E}$ is a filter and in the quotient Boolean algebra $\mathcal{B}/J_\infty^\mathcal{E}$ we have $\bigwedge \bar{E} = 0$ for every $E \in \mathcal{E}$.

Let \mathcal{C} be a class of Boolean algebras and λ a cardinal. We say that \mathcal{C} is R(asiowa) S(ikorski)(λ)-*good*, if for any non-trivial Boolean algebra \mathcal{B} in \mathcal{C} and any set \mathcal{E} , $|\mathcal{E}| < \lambda$, of non-empty subsets E of B with $\bigwedge E = 0$, there is an ultrafilter U that omits \mathcal{E} . The classical Lemma of Rasiowa and Sikorski (cf. 1.2) tells us that the class of all Boolean algebras is $\text{RS}(\omega_1)$ -good. Martin's axiom is (equivalent to) the statement that the class of all Boolean algebras with the countable chain condition is $\text{RS}(2^\omega)$ -good (a Boolean algebra satisfies the *countable chain condition*, if every subset of pairwise disjoint elements is countable). The class of all Boolean algebras is not $\text{RS}(\omega_1^+)$ -good; a counterexample is obtained by choosing an appropriate set \mathcal{E} in the Boolean algebra of regular open subsets of the partial order given by the set of partial functions from ω to ω_1 with finite support (cf. [4]).

THEOREM 3.1. *Let \mathcal{C} be a $\text{RS}(\lambda)$ -good class of Boolean algebras closed under quotients. Then, for any Boolean algebra \mathcal{B} in \mathcal{C} and any family \mathcal{E} , $|\mathcal{E}| < \lambda$, of subsets of B ,*

$$\mathcal{E} \text{ is omissible} \quad \text{iff} \quad 0 \notin J_\infty^\mathcal{E}.$$

PROOF. If U omits \mathcal{E} , then $J_\infty^\mathcal{E} \subseteq U$ by (9); hence, $0 \notin J_\infty^\mathcal{E}$. Otherwise, if $0 \notin J_\infty^\mathcal{E}$ then, by (8), $J_\infty^\mathcal{E}$ is a proper filter, $\mathcal{B}/J_\infty^\mathcal{E}$ is a non-trivial Boolean algebra, and, in $\mathcal{B}/J_\infty^\mathcal{E}$,

we have $\bigwedge \bar{E} = 0$ for all $E \in \mathcal{E}$. Hence, by the assumption of $\text{RS}(\lambda)$ -goodness there is an ultrafilter U in $\mathcal{B}/J_\infty^\mathcal{E}$ that omits $\{\bar{E} \mid E \in \mathcal{E}\}$. Therefore, $U^{-1} := \{b \in B \mid \bar{b} \in U\}$ is an ultrafilter omitting \mathcal{E} . ■

Recall that a Boolean algebra \mathcal{B} is *retractive*, if for every proper filter F in \mathcal{B} there is a homomorphism f from \mathcal{B}/F to \mathcal{B} such that $\pi \circ f$ is the identity on \mathcal{B}/F (here, π denotes the canonical homomorphism from \mathcal{B} onto \mathcal{B}/F). Clearly,

if \mathcal{B} is retractive and has the ccc, then every quotient of \mathcal{B} has the ccc.

Every interval algebra and every tree algebra is retractive (see [4]). Hence, we obtain from the preceding theorem (taking as \mathcal{C} the class of interval algebras (or, the class of tree algebras) with ccc):

COROLLARY 3.2. *Assume Martin's axiom and let \mathcal{B} be an interval algebra or a tree algebra with the countable chain condition. Furthermore, let \mathcal{E} , $|\mathcal{E}| < 2^\omega$, be a family of subsets of \mathcal{B} . Then \mathcal{E} is omissible iff $0 \notin J_\infty^\mathcal{E}$.*

THEOREM 3.3. *Let \mathcal{C} be a $\text{RS}(\lambda)$ -good class of Boolean algebras closed under quotients. For \mathcal{B} in \mathcal{C} and any family \mathcal{E} , $|\mathcal{E}| < \lambda$, of subsets E of \mathcal{B} with $|E| < \kappa$ the following holds: if every subfamily of \mathcal{E} of cardinality less than κ is omissible, then \mathcal{E} is omissible.*

PROOF. Let \mathcal{E}_0 be an arbitrary subfamily of \mathcal{E} of cardinality less than κ . Since \mathcal{E}_0 is omissible, $0 \notin J_\infty^{\mathcal{E}_0}$ by (9). As $J^\mathcal{E}$ is κ -ary (cf. (5) and (2)), we have by (3), $0 \notin J_\infty^\mathcal{E}$. Hence, by the previous theorem, \mathcal{E} is omissible. ■

An instance of this theorem is:

COROLLARY 3.4. *Assume Martin's axiom and let \mathcal{E} , $|\mathcal{E}| < 2^\omega$, be a family of countable subsets of an interval algebra or of a tree algebra with the countable chain condition. If every countable subfamily of \mathcal{E} is omissible, then \mathcal{E} is omissible.*

References

- [1] J. BARWISE and Y. N. MOSCHOVAKIS, Global inductive definability, *Jour. Symb. Logic* 43(1978), 521–534.
- [2] E. CASANOVAS and R. FARRÉ, Omitting types in incomplete theories, *Jour. Symb. Logic* 41(1996), 236–245.
- [3] J. FLUM, An extension of the lemma of Rasiowa and Sikorski, to appear.
- [4] S. KOPPELBERG, General theory of Boolean algebras, Volume I of D. Monk, editor, *Handbook of Boolean algebras*, North-Holland, 1989.
- [5] H. RASIOWA and R. SIKORSKI, A proof of the completeness theorem of Gödel, *Fund. Math.* 37(1950), 193–200.
- [6] C. RYLL-NARDZEWSKI, On the categoricity in power $\leq \omega$, *Bull. Acad. Pol. Sci.* 7(1959), 545–548.