

4-DIMENSIONAL \mathfrak{c} -SYMPLECTIC S^1 -MANIFOLDS WITH NON-EMPTY FIXED POINT SET NEED NOT BE \mathfrak{c} -HAMILTONIAN

AKIO HATTORI

*Department of Mathematics, Meiji University
1-1-1 Higashimita, Tama-ku, Kawasaki-shi, 214 Japan
E-mail: hattori@math.meiji.ac.jp*

The aim of this article is to answer a question posed by J. Oprea in his talk at the Workshop “Homotopy and Geometry”.

In equivariant symplectic geometry it is often important to give a sufficient condition for the action to be Hamiltonian. For example McDuff [M] showed that, if the circle group acts on a compact symplectic 4-manifold with non-empty fixed point set, then the action is necessarily Hamiltonian. This phenomenon does not occur in higher dimensions.

Let S^1 act on a symplectic manifold (M, ω) . If X denotes the vector field on M generated by the action, then the action is called Hamiltonian if the 1-form $i(X)\omega$ is exact. This condition is also equivalent to the existence of an equivariant cohomology class in $H_{S^1}^2(M)$ descending to $[\omega] \in H^2(M)$ [A-B]. This cohomological condition can also be expressed in several apparently different ways, e.g. [H-Y, G]. Here we utilize the following one [L-O]. Let $\varphi : S^1 \times M \rightarrow M$ denote the given action and $\varphi^* : H^*(M) \rightarrow H^*(S^1 \times M) = H^*(S^1) \otimes H^*(M)$ the induced homomorphism. Here the coefficients are taken in the reals. For $x \in H^q(M)$ let $\lambda(x) \in H^{q-1}(M)$ be defined by

$$\varphi^*(x) = 1 \otimes x + u_1^* \otimes \lambda(x)$$

where $u_1^* \in H^1(S^1)$ is the standard generator. The cohomology class $[i(X)\omega]$ coincides with $\lambda[\omega]$, and hence the action is Hamiltonian if and only if $\lambda[\omega] = 0$.

Let now (M, x) be a cohomologically symplectic manifold (\mathfrak{c} -symplectic manifold in short in [L-O]). This means M is $2n$ -dimensional and x is a cohomology class in $H^2(M)$ such that $x^n \neq 0$ in $H^{2n}(M)$. Suppose the group S^1 acts on M . Oprea calls (M, x) \mathfrak{c} -Hamiltonian if $\lambda(x) = 0$. He asks whether (M, x) is \mathfrak{c} -Hamiltonian when $\dim M = 4$ and the fixed point set M^{S^1} is non-empty.

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: 57S25, 58F05.

The paper is in final form and no version of it will be published elsewhere.

In the sequel we shall show that there are examples in which $\dim M = 4$, $M^{S^1} \neq \emptyset$ but (M, x) is not c-Hamiltonian. First remark that, if M is an orientable closed 4-manifold, there is a basis x_1, \dots, x_l of $H^2(M)$ such that $x_j^2 \neq 0$ for each j . Hence, if the assumptions $M^{S^1} \neq \emptyset$ and $x^2 \neq 0$ implied $\lambda(x) = 0$, it would follow $\lambda = 0$ on the whole $H^2(M)$. Therefore it will suffice to give an example M in which $\dim M = 4$, $M^{S^1} \neq \emptyset$ and $\lambda \neq 0$ on $H^2(M)$, or equivalently ${}^t\lambda : H_1(M) \rightarrow H_2(M)$, the transpose of λ , is non-trivial. Note that ${}^t\lambda : H_1(M) \rightarrow H_2(M)$ is given by

$${}^t\lambda(\alpha) = \varphi_*(u_1 \otimes \alpha), \quad (1)$$

where $u_1 \in H_1(S^1)$ is the dual of $u_1^* \in H^1(S^1)$.

We need a lemma whose proof is an easy exercise.

LEMMA. *Let S^1 act on itself by left translations. Then the actions of S^1 on the trivial vector bundle $S^1 \times \mathbf{R}^l$ which cover the action on the base S^1 are unique up to gauge equivalences. They are equivalent to the following one:*

$$g(z, w) = (gz, w).$$

Put $M_1 = S^4$ equipped with the standard S^1 action. It has two isolated fixed points. Put also $M_2 = S^1 \times N$, where $N = S^1 \times S^2$. We let S^1 act on M_2 by

$$g(z, y) = (gz, y), \quad z \in S^1, \quad y \in N.$$

Take a non-trivial orbit B_1 in M_1 and let V_1 be an invariant closed tubular neighborhood of B_1 . Also put $B_2 = S^1 \times y_0 \subset S^1 \times N = M_2$ where $y_0 \in N$, and let V_2 be an invariant closed tubular neighborhood. Then B_1 and B_2 are identified with S^1 , and V_1 and V_2 with $S^1 \times D^3$ where $D^3 \subset \mathbf{R}^4$ is the unit disk. Moreover, the action of S^1 on V_1 and V_2 can be assumed to be of the form as in Lemma. Let W_j be defined by

$$W_j = \overline{M_j} \setminus V_j$$

for $j = 1, 2$. The boundary ∂W_j of W_j is identified with $\partial(S^1 \times D^3) = S^1 \times S^2$. We define the manifold M by gluing W_1 and W_2 along the boundary $S^1 \times S^2$ and rounding the corner. The action of S^1 on W_1 and W_2 is also glued to define an action on M .

PROPOSITION. *The above 4-manifold M provides an example for which $M^{S^1} \neq \emptyset$ and ${}^t\lambda \neq 0$.*

Proof. Clearly $M^{S^1} \neq \emptyset$.

It is easy to see that W_1 is diffeomorphic to $D^2 \times S^2$ and W_2 is diffeomorphic to $S^1 \times U$ where $U = \overline{N} \setminus D^3$. In particular U is homotopically equivalent to $S^1 \vee S^2$ and we have

$$H_1(U) \cong \mathbf{R}, \quad H_2(U) \cong \mathbf{R}.$$

Let v_j denote a generator of $H_j(U)$ for $j = 1, 2$. Easy calculations using the Mayer-Vietoris sequence of the triple $(W_1, W_2; M)$ yield the following table:

$$\begin{aligned} H_1(M) &\cong \mathbf{R} \quad \text{generated by the image of } v_1 \in H_1(W_2), \\ H_2(M) &\cong H_2(W_2) \cong \mathbf{R} \oplus \mathbf{R} \quad \text{generated by } u_1 \otimes v_1 \text{ and } v_2, \\ H_3(M) &\cong H_3(W_2) \cong \mathbf{R} \quad \text{generated by } u_1 \otimes v_2. \end{aligned}$$

The image of v_1 will be denoted by the same letter. Then we have

$${}^t\lambda(v_1) = u_1 \otimes v_1$$

by virtue of (1), because

$$\varphi(S^1 \times S_1^1) = S_2^1 \times S_1^1 \subset W_2 \subset M,$$

where $S_1^1 = S^1 \times y_1 \subset N$ with $y_1 \in S^2$ such that $y_0 \notin S_1^1$ and we write M_2 as $S_2^1 \times N$. Thus we have shown the above M is a desired example. ■

REMARK. It is not difficult to see the following fact. If one takes any orientable closed 4-dimensional S^1 -manifold M_1 with $M_1^{S^1} \neq \emptyset$ instead of S^4 and any orientable closed 3-manifold N with non-trivial first Betti number instead of $S^1 \times S^2$, then one gets a similar example as above.

References

- [A-B] M. F. Atiyah and R. Bott, *The moment map and equivariant cohomology*, Topology 23 (1984), 1–28.
- [G] D. Gottlieb, *Lifting actions in fibrations*, Lecture Notes in Math. Vol. 657 (1977), pp. 217–254.
- [H-Y] A. Hattori and T. Yoshida, *Lifting compact group actions in fiber bundles*, Japan. J. Math. 2 (1976), 13–25.
- [L-O] G. Lupton and J. Oprea, *Cohomologically symplectic spaces: toral actions and the Gottlieb group*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 347 (1995), 261–288.
- [M] D. McDuff, *The moment map for circle actions on symplectic manifolds*, J. Geom. Phys. 5 (1988), 149–160.