

## GROWTH AT INFINITY OF A POLYNOMIAL WITH A COMPACT ZERO SET

JANUSZ GWOŹDZIEWICZ

*Kielce University of Technology*

*Al. Tysiąclecia Państwa Polskiego 7, 25-314 Kielce, Poland*

*E-mail: matjg@tu.kielce.pl*

**1. Introduction.** In the article we give the explicit bound for the growth at infinity of a polynomial with a compact set of zeros. Our aim is to prove the following theorem:

**THEOREM 1.** *Let  $F \in \mathbf{R}[X_1, \dots, X_n]$  be a polynomial of degree  $d > 2$  such that the set  $F^{-1}(0)$  is compact. Then there exist constants  $c, R > 0$  such that*

$$|F(x)| \geq c|x|^{d-(d-1)^n} \quad \text{for all } |x| > R.$$

Recall that we have a similar estimation in the complex case. Consider a polynomial map  $H : \mathbf{C}^n \rightarrow \mathbf{C}^n$  of degree  $d$  such that  $H^{-1}(0)$  is finite. Then, by Kollár's theorem,  $|H(z)| \geq \text{const} \cdot |z|^{d-d^n}$  for  $|z| \gg 1$  (see [Ko]). Our theorem is a real counterpart of this inequality.

**2. Two lemmas.** The following lemmas will be used in the proof of the main theorem.

**LEMMA 1.** *Let  $G : \mathbf{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$  be a polynomial of positive degree  $d$ . Then there exists a linear automorphism  $L : \mathbf{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^n$  such that the polynomial  $F = G \circ L$  satisfies the following conditions:*

- (i) *All partial derivatives of  $F$  are of degree  $d - 1$ .*
- (ii) *The sets  $\Gamma_i = \{x \in \mathbf{R}^n \mid \partial F / \partial X_1(x) = \dots = \partial F / \partial X_{i-1}(x) = \partial F / \partial X_{i+1}(x) = \dots = \partial F / \partial X_n(x) = 0, \partial F / \partial X_i(x) \neq 0\}$  ( $1 \leq i \leq n$ ) are one-dimensional submanifolds of  $\mathbf{R}^n$  whenever they are not empty,*
- (iii) *For every  $x \in \Gamma_i$  ( $1 \leq i \leq n$ ) the differentials  $d_x(\partial F / \partial X_1), \dots, d_x(\partial F / \partial X_{i-1}), d_x(\partial F / \partial X_{i+1}), \dots, d_x(\partial F / \partial X_n)$  are linearly independent.*

---

Research supported by KBN grant 8 P03A 07908.

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: 14P10.

Received by the editors: December 3, 1996; in the revised form: June 2, 1998.

The paper is in final form and no version of it will be published elsewhere.

Proof. Let  $GL(n)$  be the set of linear automorphisms of  $\mathbf{R}^n$ . We claim that

$$\{L \in GL(n) \mid \deg \frac{\partial G \circ L}{\partial X_1} = \dots = \deg \frac{\partial G \circ L}{\partial X_n} = d - 1\}$$

is a dense subset of  $GL(n)$ . Let  $G_d(X_1, \dots, X_n)$  be the leading form of the polynomial  $G$  that is the homogeneous polynomial of degree  $d$  for which  $\deg(G - G_d) < d$ . Consider a substitution  $G_d \circ L$  where  $L(X_1, \dots, X_n) = (\sum_{i=1}^n l_1^i X_i, \dots, \sum_{i=1}^n l_n^i X_i)$ . We have  $(G_d \circ L)(X_1, \dots, X_n) = G_d(\sum_{i=1}^n l_1^i X_i, \dots, \sum_{i=1}^n l_n^i X_i) = G_d(l_1^1, \dots, l_n^1) X_1^d + \dots + G_d(l_1^n, \dots, l_n^n) X_n^d + \text{other monomials}$ . If  $G_d(l_1^1, \dots, l_n^1) \neq 0, \dots, G_d(l_1^n, \dots, l_n^n) \neq 0$ , then all partial derivatives of  $G \circ L$  are of degree  $d - 1$ . Since the set  $\{L \in GL(n) \mid G_d(l_1^1, \dots, l_n^1) \neq 0, \dots, G_d(l_1^n, \dots, l_n^n) \neq 0\}$  is a complement of a proper algebraic set, it is open and dense in  $GL(n)$ . This proves the claim.

For any  $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$  from  $\mathbf{R}^n \setminus \{0\}$  we denote by  $[x]$  the corresponding point  $[x_1, \dots, x_n]$  of the projective space  $\mathbf{R}P^{n-1}$ . Consider the map

$$[\text{grad } G] : \mathbf{R}^n \setminus (\text{grad } G)^{-1}(0) \rightarrow \mathbf{R}P^{n-1}.$$

From the semialgebraic version of Sard's lemma (see [BR], page 82) it follows that the set of regular values of this map contains an open subset  $U \subset \mathbf{R}P^{n-1}$ . The set  $V = \{(v^1, \dots, v^n) \in \mathbf{R}^n \times \dots \times \mathbf{R}^n \mid \det(v_i^j) \neq 0, [v^i] \in U \text{ for } i = 1, \dots, n\}$  is an open subset of  $\mathbf{R}^n \times \dots \times \mathbf{R}^n$ . Each  $n$ -tuple  $v = (v^1, \dots, v^n)$  from this set yields a linear automorphism  $A_v : \mathbf{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^n$ ,  $A_v(x) = (\langle v^1, x \rangle, \dots, \langle v^n, x \rangle)$ . Hence the set  $\{A_v \in GL(n) \mid v \in V\}$  is open in  $GL(n)$ . Since  $GL(n) \ni A \rightarrow A^{-1} \in GL(n)$  is an open map,  $\{A_v^{-1} \in GL(n) \mid v \in V\}$  is also an open subset of  $GL(n)$ . Thus, there exists  $v = (v^1, \dots, v^n) \in V$  such that the automorphism  $L = A_v^{-1}$  satisfies (i).

Let us define the polynomial  $F = G \circ L$ . Since  $G = F \circ A_v$ ,  $\text{grad } G = A_v^T \circ \text{grad } F \circ A_v$ , where  $A_v^T$  is the adjoint of  $A_v$ . From this equation it follows that for any  $w \in \mathbf{R}^n \setminus \{0\}$ ,  $[w]$  is a regular value of  $[\text{grad } F]$  if and only if  $[A_v^T(w)]$  is a regular value of  $[\text{grad } G]$ . Let  $e^1 = (1, \dots, 0), \dots, e^n = (0, \dots, 1)$  form the standard basis of  $\mathbf{R}^n$ . Since  $A_v^T(e^i) = v^i$  for  $i = 1, \dots, n$ , we conclude that  $[e^1], \dots, [e^n]$  are regular values of  $[\text{grad } F]$ . Applying the implicit function theorem to the map  $[\text{grad } F]$  we see that each of the sets  $\Gamma_i = [\text{grad } F]^{-1}([e^i])$  ( $1 \leq i \leq n$ ) is either a one-dimensional submanifold of  $\mathbf{R}^n$  or is empty. This proves (ii).

We prove the third part of the lemma for  $\Gamma_n$ . To simplify the notation we write  $\partial_i F$  for  $\partial F / \partial X_i$ . Because  $[e^n]$  is a regular value of  $[\text{grad } F]$ ,  $0 \in \mathbf{R}^{n-1}$  is a regular value of the map  $\psi : \mathbf{R}^n \setminus (\partial_n F)^{-1}(0) \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^{n-1}$ ,  $\psi = (\partial_1 F / \partial_n F, \dots, \partial_{n-1} F / \partial_n F)$  that is, the map  $[\text{grad } F]$  written in the coordinates  $\{[x_1, \dots, x_n] \in \mathbf{R}P^{n-1} \mid x_n \neq 0\} \ni [x_1, \dots, x_n] \rightarrow (x_1/x_n, \dots, x_{n-1}/x_n) \in \mathbf{R}^{n-1}$ . Therefore, for every  $x \in \Gamma_n$  the differentials  $d_x(\partial_1 F / \partial_n F), \dots, d_x(\partial_{n-1} F / \partial_n F)$  are linearly independent. On the other hand, for  $x \in \Gamma_n$  and  $i = 1, \dots, n - 1$  we have  $d_x(\partial_i F / \partial_n F) = (1/\partial_n F) d_x(\partial_i F)$ , therefore the differentials  $d_x(\partial_1 F), \dots, d_x(\partial_{n-1} F)$  are also linearly independent. The proof for  $\Gamma_i$ ,  $i \neq n$  is similar. ■

Further, we denote by  $|x|$  the supremum norm  $|x| = \max\{|x_1|, \dots, |x_n|\}$  for  $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$ . We will also use the following convention: *Using notation  $|x| \gg 1$  we mean that the corresponding condition is satisfied for  $|x| > R$ , where  $R$  is sufficiently large.*

LEMMA 2. *Let  $F \in \mathbf{R}[X_1, \dots, X_n]$  be a polynomial with a compact set of zeros and let  $K = \{x \in \mathbf{R}^n \mid \forall y \in \mathbf{R}^n \quad |y| = |x| \Rightarrow |F(y)| \geq |F(x)|\}$ . If  $A \subset K$  is an unbounded semialgebraic set, then the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (i)  $|F(x)| \geq c|x|^\alpha$  for  $|x| \gg 1$ ,
- (ii)  $|F(x)| \geq c|x|^\alpha$  for  $|x| \gg 1, x \in A$ .

**Proof.** The implication (i)  $\Rightarrow$  (ii) is obvious. Assume that (ii) is true. Since  $\{|x| \mid x \in A\}$  is an unbounded semialgebraic subset of  $\mathbf{R}_+$ , there exists a constant  $R > 0$  such that  $(R, \infty) \subset \{|x| \mid x \in A\}$ . By (ii) we can choose  $R$  sufficiently large so that  $|F(x)| \geq c|x|^\alpha$  for  $|x| \geq R, x \in A$ . Let  $y \in \mathbf{R}^n$  be an arbitrary point with  $|y| > R$ . Then there exists  $x \in A$  such that  $|x| = |y|$ . By (ii) and the definition of  $K$  we get  $|F(y)| \geq |F(x)| \geq c|x|^\alpha = c|y|^\alpha$  which ends the proof. ■

**3. Proof of Theorem 1.** The proof proceeds by induction on the number of variables. For polynomials in one variable the theorem is obvious. Assume that the theorem holds for polynomials in  $n - 1$  variables. We shall check that it is true for polynomials in  $n$  variables.

We shall perform some reductions:

If the theorem is true for a polynomial  $F$ , then it holds also for  $F \circ L$ , where  $L : \mathbf{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^n$  is a linear automorphism. Therefore, we can assume that  $F$  satisfies the conditions (i), (ii) and (iii) of Lemma 1.

The set  $F^{-1}(0)$  is bounded. Hence  $F(x) \neq 0$  for all  $|x| > R$ , where  $R$  is sufficiently large. Since for  $n \geq 2$  the set  $\{x \in \mathbf{R}^n \mid |x| > R\}$  is connected, a sign of  $F$  restricted to  $\{x \in \mathbf{R}^n \mid |x| > R\}$  does not change. Without loss of generality we can assume that  $F(x) > 0$  for  $|x| > R$ .

Let

$$K = \{x \in \mathbf{R}^n \mid \forall y \in \mathbf{R}^n \quad |y| = |x| \Rightarrow |F(y)| \geq |F(x)|\}.$$

First, we prove the theorem under the additional assumption that  $K \cap (\text{grad } F)^{-1}(0)$  is unbounded. Let  $A$  be an unbounded connected component of this set. Since  $\text{grad } F(x) = 0$  for  $x \in A$ , we conclude that  $F|_A = c$  with some  $c > 0$  (see [BR], Theorem 2.5.1). By Lemma 2 we get  $|F(x)| \geq c|x|^0$  for  $|x| \gg 1$  which ends the proof in this case.

Hence we may assume throughout the rest of the proof that  $K \cap (\text{grad } F)^{-1}(0)$  is bounded.

Let us define

$$\begin{aligned} A_i &= \{x \in \mathbf{R}^n \mid |x_k| < |x_i| \quad \text{for } k \in \{1, \dots, n\} \setminus \{i\}\}, \\ B_{i,j} &= \{x \in \mathbf{R}^n \mid x_i = x_j, |x_k| \leq |x_i| \quad \text{for } k = 1, \dots, n\}, \\ C_{i,j} &= \{x \in \mathbf{R}^n \mid x_i = -x_j, |x_k| \leq |x_i| \quad \text{for } k = 1, \dots, n\}. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\mathbf{R}^n = \bigcup A_i \cup \bigcup B_{i,j} \cup \bigcup C_{i,j}$ , at least one of the sets  $K \cap \bigcup A_i, K \cap \bigcup B_{i,j}, K \cap \bigcup C_{i,j}$  is unbounded. Let us consider three cases:

*Case 1:*  $K \cap \bigcup B_{i,j}$  is unbounded. Then at least one of the sets  $K \cap B_{i,j}$  ( $1 \leq i < j \leq n$ ) is unbounded. Without loss of generality we can assume that this is the set  $K \cap B_{n-1,n}$ .

Consider the polynomial  $\tilde{F}(X_1, \dots, X_{n-1}) = F(X_1, \dots, X_{n-1}, X_{n-1})$  of degree  $\tilde{d} \leq d$ . By the inductive assumption we have  $|\tilde{F}(\tilde{x})| \geq c|\tilde{x}|^{\tilde{d} - (\tilde{d}-1)^{n-1}}$  for  $\tilde{x} \in \mathbf{R}^{n-1}, |\tilde{x}| \gg 1$ .

If we take any  $x \in B_{n-1,n}, x = (x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}, x_{n-1})$  and if we set  $\tilde{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_{n-1})$ , then  $|\tilde{x}| = |x|$  and  $\tilde{F}(\tilde{x}) = F(x)$ . Hence  $|F(x)| \geq c|x|^{\tilde{d} - (\tilde{d}-1)^{n-1}}$  for  $|x| \gg 1, x \in B_{n-1,n}$ . By Lemma 2 and by the inequality  $\tilde{d} - (\tilde{d}-1)^{n-1} \geq d - (d-1)^n$  we get  $|F(x)| \geq c|x|^{d - (d-1)^n}$  for  $|x| \gg 1$ .

*Case 2:*  $K \cap \bigcup C_{i,j}$  is unbounded. The proof is analogous.

*Case 3:*  $K \cap \bigcup A_i$  is unbounded. Then at least one of the sets  $K \cap A_i$  ( $1 \leq i \leq n$ ) is unbounded. Without loss of generality we can assume that this is  $K \cap A_n$ .

Take  $R > 0$  large enough so that  $F(x) > 0$  for  $|x| > R$  and let  $y = (y_1, \dots, y_n)$  be an arbitrary point in  $K \cap A_n$  with  $|y| > R$ . Consider a function  $f(x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}) = F(x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}, y_n)$  defined for  $|x_i| < |y_n|$  ( $1 \leq i < n$ ). Taking into account two points,  $y = (y_1, \dots, y_{n-1}, y_n)$  and  $x = (x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}, y_n)$ , where  $|x_i| < |y_n|$  ( $1 \leq i < n$ ), we see that  $|x| = |y|$ , therefore  $F(x) \geq F(y)$ . Hence the point  $(y_1, \dots, y_{n-1})$  is a local minimum of  $f$ . Thus  $\partial F / \partial X_1(y) = \dots = \partial F / \partial X_{n-1}(y) = 0$ .

Summarizing, we see that for all  $y \in K \cap A_n$ ,  $|y| \gg 1$  we have  $\partial F / \partial X_1(y) = \dots = \partial F / \partial X_{n-1}(y) = 0$ ,  $\partial F / \partial X_n(y) \neq 0$ . Moreover, from Lemma 1 it follows that  $K \cap A_n$  is a one-dimensional semialgebraic manifold in a neighborhood of infinity. We want to find a parametrization of a branch at infinity of this set. To that end we employ complex algebraic geometry.

Define  $H_1 = \partial F / \partial X_1, \dots, H_{n-1} = \partial F / \partial X_{n-1}$  and let  $C = \{z \in \mathbf{C}^n \mid H_1(z) = \dots = H_{n-1}(z) = 0\}$ . Decompose  $C$  to the union of irreducible algebraic components  $C = C_1 \cup \dots \cup C_s$ . Treating  $\mathbf{R}^n$  as a subset of  $\mathbf{C}^n$  we see that  $K \cap A_n \cap C$  is unbounded. Hence there exists a component  $C_i$  such that  $K \cap A_n \cap C_i$  is unbounded. For simplicity put  $\Gamma = C_i$ .

We will check that  $\dim_{\mathbf{C}} \Gamma = 1$ . By Lemma 1 there exists  $x \in K \cap A_n \cap \Gamma$  for which the differentials  $d_x H_1, \dots, d_x H_{n-1}$  are linearly independent. Therefore,  $\dim_{\mathbf{C}} \Gamma \leq n - \text{rank}(\Gamma, x) \leq n - \text{rank}(d_x H_1, \dots, d_x H_{n-1}) = 1$  (see [BR], pages 122–135). Furthermore,  $\Gamma$  is unbounded, so  $\dim_{\mathbf{C}} \Gamma = 1$ .<sup>(1)</sup>

Next, we will check that  $\deg \Gamma \leq (d-1)^{n-1}$ . Let us recall an invariant  $\delta$  of algebraic sets introduced in Lojasiewicz's book ([Lo] pages 419–420): *Let  $W = W_1 \cup \dots \cup W_s$  be a decomposition of an algebraic set  $W$  to irreducible components. Then, by definition  $\delta(W) = \sum_{i=1}^s \deg W_i$ .* We will use the inequality  $\delta(W \cap V) \leq \delta(W)\delta(V)$ . Applying this property to the set  $C$  we see that  $\deg \Gamma \leq \delta(C) = \delta(\{H_1 = 0\} \cap \dots \cap \{H_{n-1} = 0\}) \leq \prod_{i=1}^{n-1} \delta(\{H_i = 0\}) \leq (d-1)^{n-1}$ .

Further, we will consider  $\mathbf{C}^n$  as an affine part of the projective space  $\mathbf{C}P^n$ . We will use the natural identification between  $(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbf{C}^n$  and  $[1, x_1, \dots, x_n] \in \mathbf{C}P^n$ . With the use of this identification we can treat  $K$ ,  $A_n$  and  $\Gamma$  as subsets of  $\mathbf{C}P^n$ .

Since  $K \cap A_n \cap \Gamma$  is an unbounded set and  $\mathbf{C}P^n$  is compact, there exists a point  $a$  in the hyperplane at infinity  $\{[x_0, \dots, x_n] \in \mathbf{C}P^n \mid x_0 = 0\}$  such that  $a \in \text{cl}(K \cap A_n \cap \Gamma)$ .

The homogeneous coordinates of  $a$  can be chosen such that  $a = [0, a_1, \dots, a_{n-1}, 1]$ . Indeed, for all  $x \in A_n$  we have  $|x_i| < |x_n|$  for  $1 \leq i < n$ . Since  $a \in \text{cl}(A_n)$ ,  $|a_i| \leq |a_n|$  for  $1 \leq i < n$ . Therefore, the last coordinate  $a_n$  does not vanish and by homogeneity we can assume that  $a_n = 1$ .

Let  $\bar{\Gamma}$  be the projective closure of the curve  $\Gamma$ . Since  $a \in \bar{\Gamma}$ , according to [Lo] (pages 173–176) there exists a finite sequence of injective holomorphic parametrizations  $\gamma_i : (D, 0) \rightarrow (\bar{\Gamma}, a)$  ( $1 \leq i \leq l$ ), where  $D = \{t \in \mathbf{C} \mid |t| < \delta\}$ , such that the curve  $\bar{\Gamma}$  is the union  $\gamma_1(D) \cup \dots \cup \gamma_l(D)$  in some neighborhood of  $a$ . These parametrizations are of the form

$$\gamma_i(t) = [t^{d_i}, \gamma_{i,1}(t), \dots, \gamma_{i,n-1}(t), 1].$$

Furthermore, we can assume that the real branches of  $\bar{\Gamma}$  are parametrized such that  $(t^{d_i}, \gamma_{i,1}(t), \dots, \gamma_{i,n-1}(t)) \in \mathbf{R}^n$  if and only if  $t \in \mathbf{R}$ . This can be done by substituting

<sup>(1)</sup> If  $\dim_{\mathbf{C}} \Gamma = 0$ , then  $\Gamma$  would consist of one point.

$\gamma_i(\xi_i t)$ , where  $\xi_i$  is an appropriate  $d_i$ -th root of unity and by shrinking  $\delta$  if necessary (see [Mi] or [Du] for the details).

Let  $H = H(X_0, \dots, X_n)$  be the homogenization of the polynomial  $\partial F / \partial X_n$ . Recall that it means that  $H$  is a homogeneous polynomial of degree  $\deg H = \deg \partial F / \partial X_n$  such that  $H(1, X_1, \dots, X_n) = \partial F / \partial X_n(X_1, \dots, X_n)$ . We can calculate the intersection multiplicity of the curve  $\bar{\Gamma}$  and the hypersurface  $\{H = 0\}$  at  $a$  using the formula

$$\iota_a(\bar{\Gamma}, \{H = 0\}) = \sum_{i=1}^l \text{ord}_0(H \circ \gamma_i)$$

(see [Sh], pages 190–194). By Bézout's theorem  $\iota_a(\bar{\Gamma}, \{H = 0\}) \leq (\deg \bar{\Gamma})(\deg H) \leq (d-1)^n$ . Hence  $\text{ord}_0(H \circ \gamma_i) \leq (d-1)^n$  for  $i = 1, \dots, l$ .

One has  $a \in \text{cl}(K \cap A_n \cap \Gamma)$ . Hence there exists  $i$  ( $1 \leq i \leq l$ ) such that  $a \in \text{cl}(K \cap A_n \cap \gamma_i(D))$ . Since  $\gamma_i$  is a proper map,  $0 \in \text{cl}(\gamma_i^{-1}(K \cap A_n))$ . Furthermore, we see by the definition of  $\gamma_i$  that  $\gamma_i^{-1}(K \cap A_n)$  is a semianalytic subset of  $\mathbf{R}$ . Therefore there exists  $\epsilon > 0$  such that  $\gamma_i((0, \epsilon)) \subset K \cap A_n$  or  $\gamma_i((-\epsilon, 0)) \subset K \cap A_n$  (see [BM] for the definition and basic properties of semianalytic sets). In the rest of the proof we assume the former case (the proof for the case  $\gamma_i((-\epsilon, 0)) \subset K \cap A_n$  is similar). We will again treat  $K$ ,  $A_n$  and  $\Gamma$  as subsets of  $\mathbf{C}^n$ .

Set the following meromorphic map

$$\phi : \{t \in \mathbf{C} \mid 0 < |t| < \epsilon\} \ni t \rightarrow (\gamma_{i,1}(t)/t^{d_i}, \dots, \gamma_{i,n-1}(t)/t^{d_i}, 1/t^{d_i}) \in \mathbf{C}^n.$$

Notice that  $\phi(\{t \in \mathbf{C} \mid 0 < |t| < \epsilon\}) \subset \Gamma$  and that  $\phi((0, \epsilon))$  is an unbounded semialgebraic subset of  $K \cap A_n$ .

We estimate the order of  $F \circ \phi$  at zero. Either  $\text{ord}_0(F \circ \phi) = 0$  or by the equation

$$(F \circ \phi)' = \left( \frac{\partial F}{\partial X_1} \circ \phi \right) \phi'_1 + \dots + \left( \frac{\partial F}{\partial X_n} \circ \phi \right) \phi'_n = \left( \frac{\partial F}{\partial X_n} \circ \phi \right) \phi'_n$$

we have  $\text{ord}_0(F \circ \phi) = \text{ord}_0(\partial F / \partial X_n \circ \phi) - d_i$ .

On the other hand we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial F}{\partial X_n}(\phi(t)) &= H(1, \gamma_{i,1}(t)/t^{d_i}, \dots, \gamma_{i,n-1}(t)/t^{d_i}, 1/t^{d_i}) \\ &= t^{-d_i \deg H} H(t^{d_i}, \gamma_{i,1}(t), \dots, \gamma_{i,n-1}(t), 1) = t^{-d_i \deg H} H(\gamma_i(t)). \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\deg H = d-1$  and  $\text{ord}_0(H \circ \gamma_i) \leq (d-1)^n$ , we conclude that  $\text{ord}_0(\partial F / \partial X_n \circ \phi) \leq (d-1)^n - d_i(d-1)$ . By this inequality and the preceding equalities we have  $\text{ord}_0(F \circ \phi) \leq (d-1)^n - d_i d$  or  $\text{ord}_0(F \circ \phi) = 0$ .

**Remark.** If  $f, g : \{t \in \mathbf{C} \mid 0 < |t| < \epsilon\} \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$ ,  $f \neq 0$ ,  $g \neq 0$  are meromorphic functions, then there exist constants  $c, \epsilon_1 > 0$  such that  $|f(t)| \geq c|g(t)|^{\text{ord}_0 f / \text{ord}_0 g}$  for all  $t \in \mathbf{C}$ ,  $0 < |t| < \epsilon_1$ .

The proof of this fact is simple and is left to the reader. By the remark and by the fact that  $\phi((0, \epsilon)) \subset A_n$  implies  $|\phi(t)| = |\phi_n(t)|$  for  $t \in (0, \epsilon)$ , we obtain an inequality

$$|F(\phi(t))| \geq c|\phi(t)|^{\text{ord}_0(F \circ \phi) / \text{ord}_0(\phi_n)} \quad \text{for } t \in (0, \epsilon_1)$$

with some positive constants  $c, \epsilon_1$ . By Lemma 2 we have

$$|F(x)| \geq c|x|^{\text{ord}_0(F \circ \phi) / \text{ord}_0(\phi_n)} \quad \text{for } |x| \gg 1.$$

Moreover, the exponent  $\text{ord}_0(F \circ \phi) / \text{ord}_0(\phi_n) \geq ((d-1)^n - d_i d) / (-d_i) = d - (d-1)^n / d_i \geq d - (d-1)^n$  or is equal zero and thus

$$|F(x)| \geq c|x|^{d-(d-1)^n} \quad \text{for } |x| \gg 1. \quad \blacksquare$$

**4. Concluding remarks.** In the course of the proof we have found a parametrization  $\phi$  of the set  $K$  at infinity such that  $|F(x)| \geq c|x|^{\text{ord}_0(F \circ \phi) / \text{ord}_0(\phi_n)}$  for  $|x| \gg 1$ . By a slight modification of the proof one can show that the number  $\text{ord}_0(F \circ \phi) / \text{ord}_0(\phi_n)$  is the Lojasiewicz exponent at infinity for the polynomial  $F$ , i.e. the largest exponent  $\alpha$  for which the estimate  $|F(x)| \geq \text{const} \cdot |x|^\alpha$  is true for  $|x| \gg 1$ .

We have checked that  $\text{ord}_0(F \circ \phi) \leq (d-1)^n - d \text{ord}_0(\phi_n)$  or  $\text{ord}_0(F \circ \phi) = 0$ . One can also prove the inequality  $(d-1)^{n-1} \leq \text{ord}_0(\phi_n) < 0$ . As a result, there is only a finite number of fractions which can be the Lojasiewicz exponents for polynomials of fixed number of variables  $n$  and of fixed degree  $d$ .

So far I have not found a polynomial  $F$  for which the Lojasiewicz exponent  $L_\infty(F) = d - (d-1)^n$ . For example for the polynomial  $F(X_1, \dots, X_n) = (X_2 X_1^{m-1} - 1)^2 + (X_3 - X_2^m)^2 + \dots + (X_n - X_{n-1}^m)^2 + X_n^{2m}$  of degree  $d = 2m$  we have  $L_\infty(F) = d - (1/2^{n-1})d^n$ . This suggests that Theorem 1 could be essentially sharpened.

I want to express my thanks to Stanisław Spodzieja for pointing out a mistake in the first version of this paper.

### References

- [BR] B. Benedetti, J. J. Risler, *Real Algebraic and Semi-algebraic Sets*, Hermann, Paris, 1990.
- [BM] E. Bierstone, P. D. Milman, *Semianalytic and subanalytic sets*, Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math. 67 (1988), 5–42.
- [Du] Z. Duszak, *Indeks rzeczywistych odwzorowań analitycznych*, Doctoral Thesis, Uniwersytet Łódzki, 1987.
- [Ko] J. Kollár, *Sharp effective Nullstellensatz*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. 1 (1988), 963–975.
- [Lo] S. Lojasiewicz, *Introduction to Complex Algebraic Geometry*, Birkhäuser, Basel, 1991.
- [Mi] J. W. Milnor, *Singular Points of Complex Hypersurfaces*, Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, 1968.
- [Sh] I. R. Shafarevich, *Basic Algebraic Geometry*, Springer, New York, 1974.