

## DILATION THEOREMS FOR COMPLETELY POSITIVE MAPS AND MAP-VALUED MEASURES

EWA HENSZ-CHĄDZYŃSKA, RYSZARD JAJTE and ADAM PASZKIEWICZ

*Faculty of Mathematics, Łódź University  
ul. Banacha 22, 90-238 Łódź, Poland*

*E-mail: ewahensz@math.uni.lodz.pl, rjajte@math.uni.lodz.pl, adampsz@math.uni.lodz.pl*

**Abstract.** The Stinespring theorem is reformulated in terms of conditional expectations in a von Neumann algebra. A generalisation for map-valued measures is obtained.

**1. Introduction.** Traditionally, each dilation theorem is obtained by a construction of a ‘huge’ (Hilbert) space  $\mathcal{H}$  containing a given space  $H$  in the following manner. A system  $\psi(\cdot)$  of operators in  $H$  or transformations of an algebra acting in  $H$  can be represented in the form

$$\psi(\cdot) = P_H \Phi(\cdot) P_{H|H} \quad (1.1)$$

where  $\Phi(\cdot)$  is more regular than  $\psi(\cdot)$ . Throughout,  $P_H$  denotes the orthogonal projection of  $\mathcal{H}$  onto  $H$ .

The most impressive results in this theory are effects of sophisticated indexing of linear bases of  $\mathcal{H}$  and a ‘magic touch’ of scalar product. Theorems of B. Sz.-Nagy [9] and K.R. Parthasarathy [5] are excellent examples of such approach.

Dealing with operator algebras it seems to be most natural and physically meaningful to use the conditional expectation  $\mathbb{E}$  [7, p.116] instead of  $P_H(\cdot)P_H$  (cf L. Accardi, M. Ohya [1]).

In the paper we follow both ideas. Roughly speaking we represent a completely positive map-valued measure via the following dilation. Namely, any completely positive map turns into multiplication by a projection in such a way that the map-valued measure is ‘dilated’ to a spectral measure (Section 2).

---

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: 46L50, 28B05.

*Key words and phrases*: dilation, von Neumann algebra, completely positive map, map-valued measure.

Research supported by KBN grant 2 P 03A 048 08.

The paper is in final form and no version of it will be published elsewhere.

The outstanding theorem of Stinespring [6] gives the dilation of a completely positive map  $\psi$  in a  $C^*$ -algebra to its  $*$ -representation  $\Phi$  via formula (1.1). Passing to a  $W^*$ -algebra  $\mathcal{M}$  Stinespring's theorem can be formulated using a normal conditional expectation  $\mathbb{E}$  from a 'huge' algebra  $\mathcal{N}$  onto  $\mathcal{M}$  instead of  $P_H(\cdot)P_H$ . Such a new version of Stinespring's result will be proved in Section 3 together with a dilation theorem for positive map-valued measures.

Section 4 is devoted to a short comparison of the results just mentioned with the previous ones concerning commutative  $W^*$ -algebras.

**2. Dilation of completely positive map-valued measure.** Let  $\mathcal{M}$  be a von Neumann algebra of operators acting in a Hilbert space  $H$ . By  $CP(\mathcal{M})$  we shall denote the set of completely positive linear maps in  $\mathcal{M}$ . Let  $(X, \Sigma)$  be a measurable space and  $Q : \Sigma \rightarrow CP(\mathcal{M})$  be a  $\sigma$ -additive operator-valued measure (i.e.  $\Sigma \ni \Delta \mapsto Q(\Delta)x$  is  $\sigma$ -additive in the ultra weak topology in  $\mathcal{M}$  for each  $x \in \mathcal{M}$ ) with  $Q(X)1 = 1$ .

**THEOREM 2.1.** *There exist a Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}$ , a natural linear injection  $V : H \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$ , a  $*$ -representation  $\Phi$  of the algebra  $\mathcal{M}$  in  $\mathcal{H}$ , a  $\sigma$ -additive vector measure  $e : \Sigma \rightarrow \text{Proj } \mathcal{H}$ , such that*

$$Q(\Delta)x = V^*e(\Delta)\Phi(x)V, \quad x \in \mathcal{M}, \quad \Delta \in \Sigma. \tag{2.1}$$

Moreover,  $e(\Delta)$  is a central projection in  $(\Phi(\mathcal{M}) \cup e(\Sigma))''$ .

**Proof.** Let us consider the algebraic tensor product of vector spaces

$$\mathcal{H}_0 = \mathcal{M} \otimes H \otimes SF(X, \Sigma)$$

where  $SF(X, \Sigma)$  denotes the vector space of simple functions on  $(X, \Sigma)$ .

Let us extend the measure  $Q$  from  $\Sigma$  to a linear mapping on  $SF(X, \Sigma)$  putting

$$Q(f) = \sum_{\kappa=1}^k c_\kappa Q(\Delta_\kappa) \quad \text{for} \quad f = \sum_{\kappa=1}^k c_\kappa 1_{\Delta_\kappa}$$

where  $\Delta_\kappa \in \Sigma, \kappa = 1, \dots, k$ .

In the sequel we shall briefly write  $\Delta$  instead of  $1_\Delta, \Delta \in \Sigma$ . Notice that  $\mathcal{H}_0$  is formed by elements of the form

$$\xi = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \otimes h_i \otimes \Delta_i \tag{2.2}$$

where  $x_i \in \mathcal{M}, h_i \in H, \Delta_i \in \Sigma, i = 1, \dots, n, n = 1, 2, \dots$

In the space  $\mathcal{H}_0$  we can define a sesquilinear form  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  by

$$\langle \xi, \eta \rangle = \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^m (Q(\Delta_i \cap \Gamma_j)(y_j^* x_i) h_i, g_j)$$

for

$$\xi = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \otimes h_i \otimes \Delta_i \quad \text{and} \quad \eta = \sum_{j=1}^m y_j \otimes g_j \otimes \Gamma_j.$$

The symbol  $(\cdot, \cdot)$  denotes here the inner product in  $H$ . We shall show that  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  is positive. Indeed, for  $\xi$  of form (2.2) we consider the partition  $\{\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_k\}$  of  $\bigcup_{i=1}^n \Delta_i$  given by

$\Delta_i, \dots, \Delta_n$ . Putting  $\varepsilon_s^i = 1$  when  $\sigma_s \subset \Delta_i$  and  $\varepsilon_s^i = 0$  when  $\sigma_s \cap \Delta_i = \emptyset$  we can write

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \xi, \xi \rangle &= \sum_{i,j=1}^n (Q(\Delta_i \cap \Delta_j)(x_j^* x_i) h_i, h_j) = \sum_{i,j=1}^n \left( \left( \sum_{s=1}^k \varepsilon_s^i \varepsilon_s^j Q(\sigma_s) \right) (x_j^* x_i) h_i, h_j \right) \\ &= \sum_{s=1}^k \sum_{i,j=1}^n (Q(\sigma_s)(x_j^* x_i) h_i^s, h_j^s) \end{aligned}$$

where  $h_i^s = \varepsilon_s^i h_i, i = 1, \dots, n$ .

The complete positivity of  $Q(\sigma_s)$  gives the inequality

$$\sum_{i,j=1}^n (Q(\sigma_s)(x_j^* x_i) h_i^s, h_j^s) \geq 0, \quad s = 1, \dots, k,$$

thus  $\langle \xi, \xi \rangle \geq 0$ . Let us denote  $\|\xi\|_0 = \sqrt{\langle \xi, \xi \rangle}$  and put  $\mathcal{H}_1 = \mathcal{H}_0 / N$  where  $N = \{\xi \in \mathcal{H}_0 : \|\xi\|_0 = 0\}$ . Finally, let us set  $\mathcal{H} = \overline{\mathcal{H}_1}^{(\cdot, \cdot)}$ .

We define  $V : H \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$  by putting  $Vh = [1 \otimes h \otimes X]$  for  $h \in H$ . Then

$$\langle Vh, Vh \rangle = ((Q(X)1)h, h) = (h, h)$$

so  $V$  is an isometry.

Now let us construct a  $*$ -representation  $\Phi$  of the algebra  $\mathcal{M}$  in  $\mathcal{H}$ . Namely, for  $x \in \mathcal{M}$  let us set

$$\Phi(x) : [y \otimes h \otimes \Delta] \mapsto [xy \otimes h \otimes \Delta]$$

where  $y \in \mathcal{M}, h \in H, \Delta \in \Sigma$ .  $\Phi(x)$  is well defined. Indeed, we prove the following inequality

$$\left\| \sum_{i=1}^n xy_i \otimes h_i \otimes \Delta_i \right\|_0 \leq \|x\| \cdot \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n y_i \otimes h_i \otimes \Delta_i \right\|_0 \tag{2.3}$$

for  $y_i \in \mathcal{M}, h_i \in H, \Delta_i \in \Sigma, i = 1, \dots, n, n = 1, 2, \dots$ . As above, we can write

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n y_i \otimes h_i \otimes \Delta_i \right\|_0^2 &= \sum_{s=1}^k \sum_{i,j=1}^n (Q(\sigma_s)(y_j^* y_i) h_i^s, h_j^s), \\ \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n xy_i \otimes h_i \otimes \Delta_i \right\|_0^2 &= \sum_{s=1}^k \sum_{i,j=1}^n (Q(\sigma_s)(y_j^* x^* x y_i) h_i^s, h_j^s). \end{aligned} \tag{2.4}$$

For a linear map  $\alpha : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$  let us denote by  $\alpha^{(n)}$  the map  $\alpha^{(n)} : \text{Mat}_n(\mathcal{M}) \rightarrow \text{Mat}_n(\mathcal{M})$  given by the formula

$$\alpha^{(n)}([z_{i,j}]) = [\alpha(z_{i,j})]$$

where  $[z_{i,j}]_{i,j \leq n} \in \text{Mat}_n(\mathcal{M})$ .  $\text{Mat}_n(\mathcal{M})$  denotes here the  $C^*$ -algebra of all  $n \times n$  matrices  $[z_{i,j}]_{i,j \leq n}$  with entries  $z_{i,j}$  in  $\mathcal{M}$ .

Now, we follow Takesaki [10, p. 196]. The Schwarz inequality for operators, by the complete positivity of  $Q(\sigma_s)$ , gives

$$Q(\sigma_s)^{(n)}(\tilde{y}^* \tilde{x}^* \tilde{x} \tilde{y}) \leq \|\tilde{x}\|^2 Q(\sigma_s)^{(n)}(\tilde{y}^* \tilde{y}) \tag{2.5}$$

for each  $\tilde{x}, \tilde{y} \in \text{Mat}_n(\mathcal{M})$ . Setting  $\tilde{x} = [\delta_{i,j}x]$ ,  $\tilde{y} = [\delta_{1,i}y_i]$  we get  $\tilde{y}^* \tilde{x}^* \tilde{x} \tilde{y} = [y_i^* x^* x y_j]$ ,  $\tilde{y}^* \tilde{y} = [y_i^* y_j]$ . Thus, by (2.5) and  $\|\tilde{x}\| = \|x\|$ , we have

$$[Q(\sigma_s)(y_i^* x^* x y_j)] \leq \|x\|^2 [Q(\sigma_s)(y_i^* y_j)].$$

Hence

$$\sum_{i,j=1}^n (Q(\sigma_s)(y_i^* x^* x y_j) h_j^s, h_i^s) \leq \|x\|^2 \sum_{i,j=1}^n (Q(\sigma_s)(y_i^* y_j) h_j^s, h_i^s).$$

Finally, by (2.4), we get (2.3). Then  $\|\sum_{i=1}^n y_i \otimes h_i \otimes \Delta_i\|_0 = 0$  implies  $\|\sum_{i=1}^n x y_i \otimes h_i \otimes \Delta_i\|_0 = 0$  and  $\Phi(x)$  is well defined. Obviously by (2.3),  $\Phi(x) : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$  is a linear bounded operator in  $B(\mathcal{H})$ . It is easy to check that  $\Phi : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow B(\mathcal{H})$  is a  $*$ -representation  $\mathcal{M}$  in  $\mathcal{H}$ .

Now for  $\Delta \in \Sigma$  we define  $e(\Delta) : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$  putting

$$e(\Delta) : [y \otimes h \otimes \Delta'] \mapsto [y \otimes h \otimes (\Delta \cap \Delta')]$$

where  $y \in \mathcal{M}$ ,  $h \in H$ ,  $\Delta' \in \Sigma$ . The operator  $e(\Delta)$  is well defined because  $\|\sum_{i=1}^n y_i \otimes h_i \otimes \Delta_i\|_0 = 0$  implies  $\|\sum_{i=1}^n y_i \otimes h_i \otimes (\Delta \cap \Delta_i)\|_0 = 0$ . Indeed, let  $\{\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_k\}$  be a partition of  $\bigcup_{i=1}^n \Delta_i$  given by  $\Delta, \Delta_1, \dots, \Delta_k$ . Let us put  $\varepsilon_s^i = 1$  when  $\sigma_s \subset \Delta_i$  and  $\varepsilon_s^i = 0$  when  $\sigma_s \cap \Delta_i = \emptyset$ . Similarly, let  $\varepsilon_s = 1$  when  $\sigma_s \subset \Delta$  and  $\varepsilon_s = 0$  when  $\sigma_s \cap \Delta = \emptyset$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n (y_i \otimes h_i \otimes (\Delta \cap \Delta_i)) \right\|_0^2 &= \sum_{s=1}^k \varepsilon_s \sum_{i,j=1}^n \varepsilon_s^i \varepsilon_s^j Q(\sigma_s)(y_j^* y_i) h_i, h_j \\ &\leq \sum_{s=1}^k \sum_{i,j=1}^n \varepsilon_s^i \varepsilon_s^j (Q(\sigma_s)(y_j^* y_i) h_i, h_j) = \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n y_i \otimes h_i \otimes \Delta_i \right\|_0^2 \end{aligned}$$

because, by the complete positivity of  $Q(\sigma_s)$ , we have

$$\sum_{i,j=1}^n \varepsilon_s^i \varepsilon_s^j (Q(\sigma_s)(y_j^* y_i) h_i, h_j) \geq 0.$$

Obviously,  $e(\Delta)$  is an orthogonal projection in  $\mathcal{H}$ . Moreover, for  $x \in \mathcal{M}$  and  $\Delta \in \Sigma$  we have

$$\Phi(x)e(\Delta)[y \otimes h \otimes \Delta'] = e(\Delta)\Phi(x)[y \otimes h \otimes \Delta']$$

where  $y \in \mathcal{M}$ ,  $h \in H$ ,  $\Delta' \in \Sigma$ , so  $e(\Delta)$  is a central projection in the algebra  $(\Phi(\mathcal{M} \cup e(\Sigma)))''$ .

Finally, for all  $h, g \in H$ ,  $x \in \mathcal{M}$  and  $\Delta \in \Sigma$

$$(V^* e(\Delta) \Phi(x) V h, g) = (e(\Delta) \Phi(x) V h, V g) = \langle x \otimes h \otimes \Delta, 1 \otimes g \otimes X \rangle = ((Q(\Delta)x)h, g),$$

so formula (2.1) holds. ■

**3. Dilations via conditional expectations.** At the very beginning dilation theory was motivated by physical applications. In particular, the classical Naimark theorem gives a construction of a good self-adjoint quantum observable expressed by its spectral measure beyond the Hilbert space  $H$  in which acts a ‘candidate’ for physical observable being only an unbounded symmetric operator (see [9] for precise explanation). On the other hand, passing from a given operator algebra to a bigger one, physically means passing from a given system to a bigger one. That is why general ideas of dilation theory can be interpreted as follows. Enlarging a Hilbert space we usually pass to a new (better) model

of the same physical system whereas the construction of a dilation in a bigger algebra means passing to a bigger system enjoying more regular evolution ([3], [2]).

In particular, the physical meaning of Stinespring's theorem can be enriched if we express the dilation in terms of the conditional expectation in the enlarged algebra. Such a construction, with consequences for map-valued measures, will be done in this section.

It turns out that some natural properties of an equivalence relation in the lattice of projections are crucial.

A basic tool is *the comparison theorem* for projections ([8], Thm. 4.6).

**THEOREM 3.1.** *For any  $p, q \in \text{Proj } \mathcal{N}$ , there exists a projection  $e \in \mathcal{N} \cap \mathcal{N}'$  such that  $pe \succcurlyeq qe$  and  $p(1-e) \preccurlyeq q(1-e)$ .*

Clearly,  $p \preccurlyeq q$  means  $uu^* = p$ ,  $u^*u \leq q$  for some partial isometry  $u \in \mathcal{N}$ .

The following consequence of the above theorem will be used.

**PROPOSITION 3.2.** *Let  $\mathcal{N}$  be a von Neumann algebra and let  $p$  be a projection in  $\mathcal{N}$  with the central support  $z(p) = \mathbf{1}$ . There exists a system of mutually orthogonal projections  $(p_i; i < k_0)$  in  $\text{Proj } \mathcal{N}$ ,  $k_0$  being an ordinal number, such that  $p_i \preccurlyeq p$ ,  $\sum_{i < k_0} p_i = \mathbf{1}$ , and  $p_1 = p$ .*

**Proof.** We use the *transfinite* induction, treating  $1, 2, \dots$  as ordinals. Denote  $e_1 = 0$ ,  $p_1 = p$ . Assume that, for some ordinals  $k$  and for any  $i < k$ , projections  $e_i, p_i \in \text{Proj } \mathcal{N}$  satisfying the conditions

$$\begin{aligned} e_i &\in \mathcal{N}', \\ (e_i; i < k) &\text{ are mutually orthogonal,} \\ (p_i; i < k) &\text{ are mutually orthogonal,} \\ \sum_{j \leq i} p_j &\geq \sum_{j \leq i} e_j, \\ p_i &\preccurlyeq p \end{aligned} \tag{3.1}$$

have already been defined. If  $\sum_{i < k} p_i = \mathbf{1}$ , the construction is complete with  $k_0 = k$ .

If not, we consider separately the following two cases.

*Case 1<sup>0</sup>.* Assume that

$$\left(\sum_{j < k} e_j\right)^\perp p \preccurlyeq \left(\sum_{j < k} e_j\right)^\perp \left(\sum_{j < k} p_j\right)^\perp. \tag{*}$$

Then it is enough to put  $e_k = 0$ ,  $p_k$  an arbitrary projection in  $\mathcal{N}$  satisfying

$$p_k \sim \left(\sum_{j < k} e_j\right)^\perp p, \quad p_k \leq \left(\sum_{j < k} e_j\right)^\perp \left(\sum_{j < k} p_j\right)^\perp$$

(clearly,  $p \sim q$  means  $p = u^*u$ ,  $q = uu^*$ , for some  $u \in \mathcal{N}$ ).

*Case 2<sup>0</sup>.* Assume that (\*) does not hold. Then we consider the algebra

$$\mathcal{M} = \left(\sum_{j < k} e_j\right)^\perp \mathcal{N} \left(\sum_{j < k} e_j\right)^\perp = \mathcal{N} \left(\sum_{j < k} e_j\right)^\perp. \tag{3.2}$$

Restricting operators to a subspace  $(\sum_{j < k} e_j)^\perp(H)$ , one can treat  $\mathcal{M}$  as a von Neumann algebra with the projections  $\tilde{p} = p(\sum_{j < k} e_j)^\perp$ ,  $\tilde{p}_i = p_i(\sum_{j < k} e_j)^\perp$ . By the comparison

theorem there exists a central projection in  $\mathcal{M}$ , say  $e_k$ , satisfying the conditions

$$\tilde{p}e_k \succcurlyeq \left(\sum_{j < k} \tilde{p}_j\right)^\perp e_k \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{p}(1_{\mathcal{M}} - e_k) \preccurlyeq \left(\sum_{j < k} \tilde{p}_j\right)^\perp (1_{\mathcal{M}} - e_k).$$

Since the reduction of  $\mathcal{N}$  to  $\mathcal{M}$  is done by the central projection  $(\sum_{j < k} e_j)^\perp$ ,  $e_k$  can be obviously treated as a central projection in  $\mathcal{N}$  as well.

Let  $\tilde{p}_k$  be an arbitrary projection in  $\mathcal{M}$  satisfying

$$\tilde{p}_k \leq \left(\sum_{j < k} p_j\right)^\perp (1_{\mathcal{M}} - e_k), \quad \tilde{p}_k \sim p(1_{\mathcal{M}} - e_k).$$

We put

$$p_k = \tilde{p}_k + \left(\sum_{j < k} p_j\right)^\perp e_k.$$

Obviously, we can treat  $p_k$  as a projection in  $\mathcal{N}$ . All conditions (3.1) are now satisfied for  $k + 1$  (instead for  $k$ ).

Clearly,  $\sum_{i < k} p_i = 1$  necessarily for some ordinal  $k$  (since  $\dim H$  is a fixed cardinal). ■

We shall need the following consequences of Proposition 3.2.

LEMMA 3.3. *Let  $\mathcal{M}$  and  $\mathcal{N}$  be von Neumann algebras acting in Hilbert spaces  $H$  and  $\mathcal{H}$ , respectively, with  $H \subset \mathcal{H}$ . Denote by  $P_H$  the orthogonal projection from  $\mathcal{H}$  onto  $H$ . Assume that*

$$P_H^* \mathcal{M} P_H \subset \mathcal{N}, \quad \text{the central support } z(P_H) = 1.$$

*Then there exists an isometric injection  $v : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow H \otimes K$ , for some Hilbert space  $K$  such that*

$$v\mathcal{N}v^* \subset \mathcal{M} \otimes B(K), \tag{3.3}$$

$$v\zeta = \zeta \otimes \eta_1, \quad \zeta \in H, \quad \text{for some } \eta_1 \in K. \tag{3.4}$$

Proof. Keeping the notation of Proposition 3.2, with  $p = P_H \subset \mathcal{N}$ , let us fix a Hilbert space  $K$  with an orthogonal basis  $(\eta_j, j < k_0)$ . As  $p_i \preccurlyeq p$ , we can use projections  $r_i \leq p$  satisfying  $p_i = w_i^* w_i$ ,  $r_i = w_i w_i^*$  for some partial isometries  $w_i \in \mathcal{N}$ ,  $i < k_0$ . Obviously, we can assume that  $w_1 = p$ .

Let us take  $v_i \zeta = w_i \zeta \otimes \eta_i$ ,  $i < k_0$ , for  $\zeta \in H$ . Then we get an isometry

$$v = \sum_{i < k_0} v_i, \quad v : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow H \otimes K.$$

Formula (3.4) is obvious. It remains to show (3.3) or, equivalently,  $\mathcal{N} \subset v^* \mathcal{M} \otimes B(K) v$ . This can be checked by the commutant technique as follows.

We have

$$p_i \mathcal{N} p_i \cup \{w_i^*, w_i\} \subset v^* \mathcal{M} \otimes B(K) v, \quad i < k_0. \tag{3.5}$$

Indeed,

$$\begin{aligned} p_i \mathcal{N} p_i &= v^*(r_i \mathcal{M} r_i \otimes \langle \cdot, \eta_i \rangle \eta_i)v, \\ w_i &= v^*(r_i \otimes \langle \cdot, \eta_i \rangle \eta_1)v, \\ w_i^* &= v^*(r_i \otimes \langle \cdot, \eta_1 \rangle \eta_i)v. \end{aligned}$$

For example we check the first equality. Obviously  $p_i \mathcal{N} p_i = w_i^* \mathcal{N} w_i = w_i^* \mathcal{M} w_i$ , and for any  $x \in \mathcal{M}$ ,  $\zeta \in \mathcal{H}$ , denoting  $\zeta_j = p_j \zeta$ ,  $j < k_0$ , we have

$$v\zeta = \sum_{j < k_0} w_j \zeta_j \otimes \eta_j$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} v^*(r_i x r_i \otimes \langle \cdot, \eta_i \rangle \eta_i) v \zeta &= v^*(r_i x w_i \zeta_i \otimes \eta_i) = (w_i \cdot \otimes \eta)^*(r_i x w_i \zeta_i \otimes \eta_i) \\ &= w_i^* x w_i \zeta_i = w_i^* x w_i \zeta. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, we have

$$\left( \bigcup_{i < k_0} p_i \mathcal{N} p_i \cup \{w_i, w_i^*\} \right)' = \mathcal{N}'. \tag{3.6}$$

The inclusion " $\supset$ " is obvious. Conversely, let  $y$  commute with all  $p_i \mathcal{N} p_i$ ,  $w_i$ ,  $w_i^*$ . An arbitrary  $z \in \mathcal{N}$  can be represented as  $z = \sum_{i,j < k_0} p_i z p_j$ . Take  $x \in \mathcal{N}$  of the form  $x = p_i z p_j$ . We have, since  $w_i z w_j^* \in p_1 \mathcal{N} p_1$ ,

$$yx = y w_i^* w_i z w_j^* w_j = w_i^* y (w_i z w_j^*) w_j = w_i^* (w_i z w_j^*) y w_j = xy.$$

Taking commutants on both sides of (3.6) and taking into account (3.5), we get (3.3). ■

PROPOSITION 3.4. *For any completely positive map  $\alpha$  in a von Neumann algebra  $\mathcal{M}$  acting in a Hilbert space  $H$  there exists a Hilbert space  $K$  and a  $*$ -representation  $\Phi : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{M} \otimes B(K)$  satisfying*

$$\alpha x = \Pi^* \Phi(x) \Pi$$

where, for  $\xi \in H$ ,  $\Pi \xi = \xi \otimes \eta_1$  for a fixed vector  $\eta_1 \in K$ ,  $\|\eta_1\| = 1$ .

PROOF. Take any Stinespring triple:  $(\mathcal{H}, P_H, \Psi)$  where  $\mathcal{H} \supset H$ ,  $P_H$  is an orthogonal projection of  $\mathcal{H}$  onto  $H$ , and  $\Psi : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow B(\mathcal{H})$  is a  $*$ -representation satisfying

$$\alpha x = P_H \Psi(x) P_H|_H.$$

Denote  $\mathcal{N} = (\mathcal{M} \cup \Psi(\mathcal{M}))''$  (obviously, we identify  $\mathcal{M} \ni x \equiv x P_H \in B(\mathcal{H})$ ). According to the Stinespring's construction [6], [10, p. 195] the projection  $P_H$  has in  $\mathcal{N}$  the central support  $z(P_H) = 1_{\mathcal{N}}$ . By Lemma 3.3, there exists a Hilbert space  $K$ , an isometry  $v : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow H \otimes K$  and a vector  $\eta_1 \in K$  satisfying (3.3) and (3.4). We set

$$\Phi(x) = v \Psi(x) v^*, \quad x \in \mathcal{M}.$$

Then  $\Phi$  is a  $*$ -representation of  $\mathcal{M}$  into  $\mathcal{M} \otimes B(K)$ . Moreover, as  $\Pi \xi = \xi \otimes \eta_1$  for  $\xi \in H$ , we have, for any  $x \in \mathcal{M}$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} (\Pi^* \Phi(x) \Pi) \xi &= (\Pi^* v \Psi(x) v^*) (\xi \otimes \eta_1) = \Pi^* v \Psi(x) \xi \\ &= \Pi^* v \Psi(x) P_H \xi = P_H \Psi(x) P_H \xi = \alpha(x) \xi \end{aligned}$$

(since  $\langle v^*(\xi \otimes \eta_1), \zeta \rangle = \langle \xi, \zeta \rangle$ ,  $\langle \Pi^* v \rho, \zeta \rangle = \langle v(P_H \rho + P_H^\perp \rho), \zeta \otimes \eta_1 \rangle = \langle (P_H \rho) \otimes \eta_1, \zeta \otimes \eta_1 \rangle = \langle P_H \rho, \zeta \rangle$  for  $\zeta \in H$ ,  $\rho \in \mathcal{H}$ , the orthogonality  $v P_H^\perp \rho \perp \zeta \otimes \eta_1$  is a consequence of (3.4)). ■

Now we are in a position to prove dilation theorems in the language of conditional expectations in  $W^*$ -algebras (see [7], Chapter 2 for basic facts).

THEOREM 3.5. *For any  $W^*$ -algebra  $\mathcal{M}$  and any completely positive map  $\alpha$  in  $\mathcal{M}$  there exist a  $W^*$ -algebra  $\mathcal{N}$ ,  $\mathcal{N} \supset \mathcal{M}$  (i.e.  $\mathcal{M}$  is a  $W^*$ -subalgebra of  $\mathcal{N}$ ) and a  $*$ -representation*

$\Phi : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}$  such that

$$\alpha x = \mathbb{E}^{\mathcal{M}}\Phi(x), \quad x \in M, \tag{3.7}$$

where  $\mathbb{E}^{\mathcal{M}}$  is a normal conditional expectation of  $\mathcal{N}$  onto  $\mathcal{M}$ .

Proof. We keep the notation of Proposition 3.4. We identify  $\mathcal{M}$  with  $\mathcal{M} \otimes 1_K$  by a natural isomorphism  $x \equiv x \otimes 1_K$ . We define a conditional expectation  $\mathbb{E}^{\mathcal{M} \otimes 1_K}$  by putting, for  $y \in \mathcal{N} = \mathcal{M} \otimes B(K)$

$$\mathbb{E}^{\mathcal{M} \otimes 1_K}(y) = (\Pi^* y \Pi) \otimes 1_K,$$

where  $\Pi \xi = \xi \otimes \eta_1$ ,  $\xi \in H$ . It is easy to check that  $\mathbb{E}^{\mathcal{M} \otimes 1_K}$  is a projection of norm one, so conditional expectation [7, p. 116]. Taking  $\Phi$  as in Proposition 3.4, we have  $\alpha x = \Pi^* \Phi(x) \Pi$ , so

$$\alpha x \otimes 1_K = (\Pi^* \Phi(x) \Pi) \otimes 1_K = \mathbb{E}^{\mathcal{M} \otimes 1_K} \Phi(x),$$

which is equivalent to (3.7). ■

Now, keeping notation as in Section 2, our Theorem 2.1 can be rewritten in the following way:

**THEOREM 3.6.** *For a  $W^*$ -algebra  $\mathcal{M}$  and for a measure  $Q : \Sigma \rightarrow CP(\mathcal{M})$ , there exists a  $W^*$ -algebra  $\mathcal{N}$ ,  $\mathcal{N} \supset \mathcal{M}$  (i.e.  $\mathcal{M}$  is a  $W^*$ -subalgebra of  $\mathcal{N}$ ) and a spectral measure  $e : \Sigma \rightarrow \text{Proj } \mathcal{N}$  such that*

$$Q(\Delta)x = \mathbb{E}^{\mathcal{M}}(e(\Delta)\Phi(x))$$

for some  $*$ -representation  $\Phi$  of  $\mathcal{M}$  in  $\mathcal{N}$  and a conditional expectation  $\mathbb{E}^{\mathcal{M}}$  of  $\mathcal{N}$  onto  $\mathcal{M}$ .

**4. Dilations in conditional expectations scheme.** In this section we compare our results of Sections 2 and 3 with theorems concerning measures with values being positive operators in  $L_1$ . It turns out that these results can be reformulated to the case of the algebra  $L_\infty$  and then treated as theorems on commutative  $W^*$ -algebras.

In this context, constructing a dilation, we shall try to use most natural transformations (projections) appearing in the  $L_1$ -space theory, like conditional expectation, indicator multiplication operator etc.

Moreover, we use a conditional expectation  $E_P^{\mathcal{A}}$  for some probability measure  $P$  (and  $\sigma$ -field  $\mathcal{A}$ ) instead of a projection  $P_H : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow H$  (from beyond the Hilbert space  $H$ ).

Using here the space  $L_1$  instead of  $L_\infty$  seems to be a better idea.

Let  $(X, \Sigma)$  be a topological Borel measurable space. Let  $(M, \mathfrak{M}, \mu)$  be a probability space. A map  $Q : \Sigma \rightarrow B(L_1(M, \mathfrak{M}, \mu))$  is said to be a regular positive operator measure (shortly PO-measure) if the following conditions are satisfied:

1.  $Q(\Delta)f \geq 0$  for  $0 \leq f \in L_1$ ;
2.  $Q\left(\bigcup_{s=1}^\infty \Delta_s\right) f = \sum_{s=1}^\infty Q(\Delta_s)f$ , for  $f \in L_1$ , and pairwise disjoint  $\Delta_i$ 's, the series being convergent in  $L_1(M, \mathfrak{M}, \mu)$ ;
3.  $Q$  is regular in the sense that for each  $\varepsilon > 0$  and each  $\Delta \in \Sigma$  there exist in  $X$  a compact set  $Z$  and an open set  $V$  such that

$$\int_M Q(V - Z)1_M d\mu < \varepsilon, \quad Z \subset \Delta \subset V;$$



4.  $Q(X)1_M \leq 1_M$ ;
5.  $\int_M Q(X)f d\mu \leq \int_M f d\mu$ ,  $0 \leq f \in L_1$ .

We have the following

**THEOREM 4.1** [4]. *Let  $Q$  be a regular positive operator measure. Then there exist a 'huge' measure space  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$ , a  $\sigma$ -field  $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{F}$ , a  $\sigma$ -lattice homomorphism  $e : \Sigma \rightarrow \mathcal{F}$  and two measurable maps  $i : \Omega \xrightarrow{\text{onto}} M$ ,  $j : \Omega \xrightarrow{\text{onto}} M$  such that*

$$(Q(\Delta)f) \circ j = \mathbb{E}_P^{\mathcal{A}} 1_{e(\Delta)}(f \circ i), \quad \Delta \in \Sigma, \quad f \in L_1(M).$$

**THEOREM 4.2** [4]. *There exist a measurable space  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F})$ , a measurable map  $i : \Omega \rightarrow M$  (onto),  $\sigma$ -fields  $\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B} \subset \mathcal{F}$ , a  $\sigma$ -lattice homomorphism  $e : \Sigma \rightarrow \mathcal{F}$ , a set  $\Omega_0 \in \mathcal{F}$  such that, for every PO-measure  $Q : \Sigma \rightarrow B(L_1(M, \mathfrak{M}, \mu))$ , there exists a probability measure  $P$  on  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F})$ , for which the following formula holds:*

$$(Q(\Delta)f) \circ i = 4\mathbb{E}_P^{\mathcal{A}} 1_{e(\Delta)} \mathbb{E}_P^{\mathcal{B}} 1_{\Omega_0}(f \circ i), \quad \Delta \in \Sigma, \quad f \in L_1(M).$$

For other similar results we refer to [4].

### References

- [1] L. Accardi and M. Ohya, *Compound channels, transition expectations and liftings*, preprint.
- [2] O. Bratteli and D. W. Robinson, *Operator algebras and quantum statistical mechanics, I, II* New York-Heidelberg-Berlin, Springer, (1979).
- [3] D. E. Evans and J. T. Lewis, *Dilation of irreversible evolutions in algebraic quantum theory*, Communications of the Dublin Institute for Advanced Studies, Series A (Theoretical Physics) 24 (1977).
- [4] E. Hensz-Chądzyńska, R. Jajte and A. Paszkiewicz, *Dilation theorems for positive operator-valued measures*, Probab. Math. Statist. 17 (1997), 365–375.
- [5] K. R. Parthasarathy, *A continuous time version of Stinespring's theorem on completely positive maps*, Quantum probability and Applications V, Proceedings, Heidelberg 1988, L. Accardi, W. von Waldenfels (eds.), Lecture Notes Math., Springer-Verlag (1988).
- [6] W. F. Stinespring, *Positive functions on  $C^*$ -algebras*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 6 (1965), 211–216.
- [7] S. Strătilă, *Modular theory in operator algebras*, Editura Academiei, Bucuresti, Abacus Press (1981).
- [8] S. Strătilă and L. Zsidó, *Lectures on von Neumann algebras*, Editura Academiei, Bucuresti, (1979).
- [9] B. Sz.-Nagy, *Extensions of linear transformations in Hilbert space which extend beyond this space*, Appendix to: F. Riesz and B. Sz.-Nagy, Functional Analysis, Frederick Ungar Publishing Co.
- [10] M. Takesaki, *Theory of operator algebras, I*, Springer, Berlin-Heidelberg-New York (1979).