

## EQUIVALENT NORMS IN SOME SPACES OF ANALYTIC FUNCTIONS AND THE UNCERTAINTY PRINCIPLE

BORIS PANEAH

*Department of Mathematics, Technion  
32000, Haifa, Israel*

**Abstract.** The main object of this work is to describe such weight functions  $w(t)$  that for all elements  $f \in L_{p,\Omega}$  the estimate  $\|wf\|_p \geq K(\Omega)\|f\|_p$  is valid with a constant  $K(\Omega)$ , which does not depend on  $f$  and it grows to infinity when the domain  $\Omega$  shrinks, i.e. deforms into a lower dimensional convex set  $\Omega_\infty$ . In one-dimensional case means that  $K(\sigma) := K(\Omega_\sigma) \rightarrow \infty$  as  $\sigma \rightarrow 0$ . It should be noted that in the framework of the signal transmission problem such estimates describe a signal's behavior under the influence of detection and amplification. This work contains some results of the above-mentioned type which I presented at the Banach Centre in the Summer of 1994. Some of these results had been published earlier, some are new ones.

**Introduction.** Uncertainty principle in Fourier analysis asserts that the more a function  $f$  is concentrated the more its Fourier transform  $F$  will be spread out. The corresponding nontrivial relations between  $f$  and  $F$  admit adequate physical interpretations, for instance in the framework of the signal transmission problem, in which the Fourier transform  $F(\xi)$  of a signal  $f(t)$  is interpreted as a bandwidth. From the physical point of view it is very natural to consider signals of  $f(t)$  with compact supported bandwidths  $F(\xi)$ . Then the function  $f(t)$  itself can be extended into the complex space  $\mathbb{C}^1$  as an entire function of exponential type. And this is exactly the class of functions we deal with in the course of the paper. More exactly, let  $f$  be a function on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  and  $F$  its Fourier transform defined by

$$F(\xi) = (2\pi)^{-n/2} \int f(t)e^{-i\langle t, \xi \rangle} dt$$

where  $t = (t_1, t_2, \dots, t_n)$ ,  $\xi = (\xi_1, \xi_2, \dots, \xi_n)$  are points of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $\langle t, \xi \rangle = t_1\xi_1 + \dots + t_n\xi_n$ . For  $1 \leq p \leq \infty$  we denote by  $\|f\|_p$  the  $L_p$ -norm of a function  $f$ . Let  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  be an arbitrary bounded domain and let  $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ . We denote by  $L_{p,\Omega}$  the space of all functions  $f$  such that the norm  $\|f\|_p$  is finite, and the Fourier transforms  $F$  of  $f$  are supported in  $\Omega$ . Such functions  $f$  vanish at infinity in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  and can be extended into the

---

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: Primary 30D10; Secondary 81B10.

The paper is in final form and no version of it will be published elsewhere.

complex space  $\mathbb{C}^n$  as entire functions of exponential type. In one-dimensional case we assume that  $\Omega_\sigma = \{x : -\sigma < x < \sigma\}$  and we denote  $L_{p,\Omega} = L_{p,\sigma}$ .

I would like to express my sincere gratitude to Prof. J. Ławrynowicz for the possibility to lecture in this well-known mathematical Centre.

**1. One-dimensional case.** Let  $I_N$  be an *arbitrary* interval of length  $N$ . For an arbitrary measurable set  $M$  we denote by  $|M|$  its Lebesgue measure.

DEFINITION 1. We define the *asymptotical density*  $\beta(M)$  of an arbitrary measurable set  $M$  as

$$\beta(M) = \overline{\lim}_{N \rightarrow \infty} \inf |M \cap I_N| / N$$

DEFINITION 2. We define

$$\tilde{\gamma}(M) = \inf\{N : \inf |M \cap I_N| = N\beta(M)/2\}.$$

It is obvious that the necessary condition for the estimate under consideration

$$\|wf\|_p \geq K(\sigma)\|f\|_p, \quad f \in L_{p,\sigma},$$

to be valid with  $K(\sigma) \rightarrow \infty$  as  $\sigma \rightarrow 0$ , is  $\overline{\lim}_{|t| \rightarrow \infty} w(t) = \infty$ . Therefore, from now on this condition is assumed to hold.

For an arbitrary continuous function  $w$  and for  $\tau > 0$  put  $M_\tau^w = \{t : |w(t)| > \tau\}$  and denote  $\tilde{\gamma}_w(\tau) = \tilde{\gamma}(M_\tau^w)$ . It is clear that  $\overline{\lim} \tilde{\gamma}_w(\tau) = \infty$  as  $\tau \rightarrow \infty$  because  $\overline{\lim} w(t) = \infty$ .

DEFINITION 3. Let  $\gamma_w(\tau)$  be the least left semicontinuous nondecreasing majorant for  $\tilde{\gamma}_w(\tau)$ . We define the nondecreasing function

$$\Gamma_w(\lambda) = \inf\{\tau : \gamma_w(\tau) \geq \lambda\}.$$

It is obvious that for an arbitrary  $\lambda > 0$  we have  $(\gamma_w \circ \Gamma_w)(\lambda) \leq \lambda$  and  $(\gamma_w \circ \Gamma_w)(\lambda) = \lambda$  if the function  $\Gamma_w$  is continuous and increases at the point  $\lambda$ . One can regard the function  $\Gamma_w$  as the right inverse function to  $\gamma_w$ .

Example. Let  $w : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  be an increasing function for which  $w(\infty) = \infty$ . Then  $\beta(M_\tau^w) = 1$  for every  $\tau > 0$  and  $\gamma_w(\tau) = 2w^{-1}(\tau)$ . (Here and later  $G^{-1}$  denotes the inverse function to  $G$ ). Thus, in this case  $\Gamma_w(\lambda) = w(\lambda/2)$ .

Now we can formulate one of the main results of this work.

THEOREM 1. *Let  $w$  be an arbitrary continuous function such that*

$$\beta(M_\tau^w) \geq \beta_0 > 0$$

*for all sufficiently large  $\tau$ . Then there is a constant  $c > 0$  which does not depend on  $f$  or on  $\sigma$  such that for all  $p, 1 \leq p \leq \infty$ , the estimate*

$$(1) \quad \|wf\|_p \geq c\Gamma_w(\sigma^{-1})\|f\|_p, \quad f \in L_{p,\sigma}$$

*is valid.*

COROLLARY 1. If  $\Psi(t)$  is an increasing function and  $\gamma_w(t) \leq \Psi(t)$  for all sufficiently large  $t > t_0$  then the estimate

$$(2) \quad \|wf\|_p \geq c\Psi^{-1}(\sigma^{-1})\|f\|_p, \quad f \in L_{p,\sigma}$$

holds. Here a constant  $c$  does not depend either on  $\sigma$  or  $f$ .

Our next result is related to *sharp* estimates of the described type. Let us start with a definition.

DEFINITION 4. We say that the estimate

$$\|wf\| \geq cK(\sigma)\|f\|_p, \quad f \in L_{p,\sigma}$$

is *sharp* (as  $\sigma \rightarrow 0$ ) if any other estimate of the same type

$$\|wf\| \geq cK_1(\sigma)\|f\|_p, \quad f \in L_{p,\sigma}$$

implies the inequality  $K_1(\sigma) \leq cK(\sigma)$  for all  $\sigma > 0$ .

One of the typical estimates of this kind is the well-known Hardy's inequality

$$\|tf\|_2 \geq (2\sigma)^{-1}\|f\|_2, \quad f \in L_{2,\sigma}.$$

THEOREM 2. Assume that for all sufficiently large  $t$  and  $\lambda$ ,  $t \geq A$ ,  $\lambda \geq B$ , there is such a number  $N$  that

$$w(t\lambda) \leq \Gamma_w(\lambda)t^N.$$

Then the estimate (1) is sharp.

COROLLARY 2. If for a function  $\psi$  from Corollary 1 the double inequality  $c\Psi(t) \leq \gamma_w(t) \leq \Psi(t)$  holds for all sufficiently large  $t > t_0$  with a constant  $c$ , which does not depend on  $t$ , then the estimate (2) is sharp.

Example. Let  $P$  be an arbitrary nonzero complex valued polynomial of the degree  $N$ ,  $\alpha \geq 0$ ,  $\beta \geq 1$ ,  $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ . Then, according to Theorem 1,

$$\|P^\alpha(t) \sin(t^\beta)f(t)\|_p \geq c\sigma^{-\alpha N}\|f\|_p, \quad f \in L_{p,\sigma}$$

and this estimate is sharp by Theorem 2.

The following proposition allows us to obtain new weight functions  $w$  of the considered type if one such function is already available.

THEOREM 3. Assume that for all  $t$  larger than some constant  $T \geq 0$ , and for an arbitrary constant  $B > 0$  the function  $\phi$  satisfies the conditions

$$\phi'(t) \geq r > 0, \quad 0 < r_1(B) \leq \phi'(t+B)/\phi'(B) \leq r_2(B) < \infty$$

If the function  $w$  satisfies the condition of Theorem 1 then the estimate

$$\|(w \circ \phi)f\|_p > c(\Gamma_w \circ \phi)(\sigma^{-1})\|f\|_p, \quad f \in L_{p,\sigma}$$

is valid.

Let us note that the condition  $\phi'(t) \geq r > 0$  is essential. For instance if

$$w = |t|^\alpha \sin |t|, \quad \alpha < 1; \quad \phi = |t|^{1/2}$$

then both  $w$  and  $\phi$  are weighted functions of the considered type and generate *sharp* estimates

$$\|wf\|_p \geq c\sigma^{-\alpha}\|f\|_p, \quad \|wf\|_p \geq c\sigma^{-1/2}\|f\|_p,$$

for all  $f \in L_{p,\sigma}$ . But for the composite function  $W = w \circ \phi$  the estimate  $\|Wf\|_p \geq c\|f\|_p$ ,  $f \in L_{p,\sigma}$  can be valid only when  $c = 0$ .

**2. Multidimensional case.** Consider a bounded convex domain  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $0 \in \Omega$  with the support function

$$\mathcal{H}_\Omega(\tau) = \sup_{t \in \Omega} \langle t, \tau \rangle$$

For an arbitrary unit vector  $\tau \in \mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $|\tau| = 1$ , we denote by  $\delta_\tau(\Omega)$  the *width* of the domain  $\Omega$  in the direction  $\tau$ , i.e.  $\delta_\tau(\Omega) = \mathcal{H}_\Omega(\tau) + \mathcal{H}_\Omega(-\tau)$ . In addition to this notation, for an arbitrary measurable set  $U \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  and unit vector  $\tau \in \mathbb{R}^n$  we denote by  $d_\tau(U)$  the *diameter* of the set  $U$  in the direction  $\tau$ . In other words

$$d_\tau(U) = \sup_{\xi \in U} |\{t \in \mathbb{R}^1 : \xi + t\tau \in U\}|.$$

Let  $\mathfrak{N}$  be the Stiefel manifold of all orthonormal bases  $w = \{w_1, w_2, \dots, w_n\}$  in the space  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . Put

$$\delta_w(\Omega) = \{\delta_{w_1}(\Omega), \delta_{w_2}(\Omega), \dots, \delta_{w_n}(\Omega)\}$$

and for an arbitrary  $\sigma = (\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \dots, \sigma_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$  put

$$\delta_w^{-\sigma}(\Omega) = \delta_{w_1}^{-\sigma_1}(\Omega)\delta_{w_2}^{-\sigma_2}(\Omega)\dots\delta_{w_n}^{-\sigma_n}(\Omega)$$

We denote by  $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n)$  an arbitrary multi-index of nonnegative integers  $\alpha_j$  with the length  $|\alpha| = \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \dots + \alpha_n$ .

For arbitrary  $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n$  we put

$$\xi^\alpha = \xi_1^{\alpha_1} \xi_2^{\alpha_2} \dots \xi_n^{\alpha_n}.$$

Further, for any nonnegative n-tuple  $\delta = (\delta_1, \delta_2, \dots, \delta_n)$  we set

$$(\delta/\alpha)^\alpha = (\delta_1/\alpha_1)^{\alpha_1} (\delta_2/\alpha_2)^{\alpha_2} \dots (\delta_n/\alpha_n)^{\alpha_n}$$

where the factor  $(\delta_j/\alpha_j)^{\alpha_j}$  is omitted if  $\alpha_j = 0$ .

An arbitrary polynomial  $P(\xi) = P(\xi_1, \xi_2, \dots, \xi_n)$  of degree  $\mathcal{M}$  may be written in the form  $P(\xi) = \sum_{|\alpha| \leq \mathcal{M}} a_\alpha \xi^\alpha$ , where  $a_\alpha \neq 0$  for at least one multi-index  $\alpha$  with  $|\alpha| := \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \dots + \alpha_n = m$ .

For every unit vector  $\tau \in \mathbb{R}^n$  let  $\partial_\tau P(\xi)$  denote the derivative of  $P(\xi)$  in the direction of  $\tau$ . If  $w \in \mathfrak{N}$  is one of the orthonormal bases in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  we put

$$\partial_w^\alpha P = \partial_{w_1}^{\alpha_1} \partial_{w_2}^{\alpha_2} \dots \partial_{w_n}^{\alpha_n} P.$$

The following definition plays an important role what follows.

**DEFINITION 5.** Given a polynomial  $P(\xi) = \sum a_\alpha \xi^\alpha$ , we call a multi-index  $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n)$  a *leading multi-index* of  $P(\xi)$  with respect to a basis  $w \in \mathfrak{N}$  if  $\partial_w^\alpha P(\xi) \equiv \text{const} \neq 0$  and  $\partial_{w_1}^{\alpha_1} \partial_{w_2}^{\alpha_2} \dots \partial_{w_j}^{\alpha_j+1} P(\xi) \equiv 0$  for all  $j = 1, 2, \dots, n$  such that  $\alpha_j \neq 0$ .

The set of all leading multi-indices of  $P(\xi)$  with respect to a basis  $w$  will be denoted by  $\mathfrak{A}_w(P)$ . Let us introduce the constant

$$K_P(\Omega) = \sup_{\substack{w \in \mathfrak{A} \\ \alpha \in \mathfrak{A}_w(P)}} \delta_w^{-\alpha}(\Omega) | \partial_w^\alpha P |$$

The following theorem contains the main multi-dimensional result of the paper.

**THEOREM 3.** *Let  $\Phi : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  be an arbitrary nondecreasing function and let  $P(\xi)$  be an arbitrary complex valued polynomial of degree  $m$ . Then for every  $p \geq 1$  there exists a constant  $c = c(p, n, m)$  such that for all function  $u \in L_{p, \Omega}$  the inequality*

$$(3) \quad \|\Phi(|P|)f\|_p \geq c\Phi(K_P(\Omega))\|f\|_p.$$

holds for all functions  $f \in L_{p, \Omega}$ . If  $\Phi(\infty) = \infty$  and  $\partial_\tau P \neq 0$  for every vector  $\tau \neq 0$ , then  $\Phi(K_P(\Omega)) \rightarrow \infty$  as the domain  $\Omega$  shrinks.

**Remarks.** The condition  $\partial_\tau P \neq 0$  for all  $\tau \neq 0$  means that the polynomial  $P$  really depends on all variables.

The domain  $\Omega$  shrinks if there exists a system of convex domains  $\Omega_s$  and unit vectors  $\tau(s)$ ,  $s \geq 0$  such that  $\Omega_0 = \Omega$ ,  $\Omega_s \supset \Omega_r$  for  $s < r$  and  $\delta_{\tau(s)}(\Omega_s) \rightarrow 0$  as  $s \rightarrow \infty$ . Let us consider some particular cases of this result.

Take  $p = 2$ ,  $\Phi(z) = z$ . Then the inequality of the Theorem and Parseval's equality give us *support dependent form* of the famous Hörmander's inequality for an arbitrary PDO,

$$\|P(\mathcal{D})F\|_{L_2} \geq cK_P(\Omega)\|F\|_{L_2}, \quad F \in L_2(\Omega).$$

Take  $p = 2$ ,  $\Phi(z) = \sqrt{z}$  and  $P(\xi) \geq 0$ . Then (3) coincides with a *support dependent form* of Gårding's inequality

$$\operatorname{Re}(P(\mathcal{D})F, F) \geq cK_P(\Omega)\|F\|_{L_2}^2, \quad F \in L_2(\Omega).$$

Take  $p = 1$ . Then (3) gives us a good estimate of another kind, namely,

$$\|\Phi(|P|)F\|_1 \geq c\Phi(K_P(\Omega))\|F\|_1 \geq c\Phi(K_P(\Omega)) \sup_{\Omega} |F|$$

(We remind that  $F$  is the Fourier transform of  $f$ ).

It turns out that function  $\Phi$  does not have to be nondecreasing for some estimate of the form (3) to be valid. For instance, if  $\beta(M_\tau^\Phi) \geq \beta_0 > 0$ , then for some constant  $c > 0$

$$(4) \quad \|\Phi(|P|)f\|_p \geq c\|f\|_p, \quad f \in L_{p, \sigma}$$

(E. Tel, Thesis, Technion, 1994).

It will be interesting to generalize the result of Theorem 2 and to find dependence of the constant  $c$  in (4) on  $\Phi$  and  $P$ . Nothing is known about the estimate  $\|wf\| \geq K(\Omega)\|f\|_p$  for the general weighted function  $w$  in the multidimensional case.

In conclusion let us point out that the first part of this paper has some intersections with my paper [1] "On sharp support-dependent weighted norm estimates for Fourier transforms", *International Mathematical Research Notices (IMNR)* 11 (1993), 289-294. The proof of Theorem 3 will be published in [2] "Support dependent weighted norm estimates for Fourier transforms", *J. of Math. Anal. and Appl.*, to appear.