

## ON SINGULAR PERTURBATION OF THE STOKES PROBLEM

G. M. KOBEL'KOV

*Department of Mechanics and Mathematics, Moscow University  
119899 Moscow, Russia*

In papers [1, 2] the perturbation of the Stokes problem was studied. We mean the case when the original Stokes problem for incompressible media is replaced by elasticity theory equations with Poisson ratio  $\nu$  approximately equal to  $1/2$ . In this case it was proved that  $u_\varepsilon \rightarrow u_0$ ,  $p_\varepsilon \rightarrow p_0$  where  $\varepsilon \sim 1/2 - \nu$ ,  $(u_\varepsilon, p_\varepsilon)$  is a solution of the boundary value problem for elasticity theory equations and  $(u_0, p_0)$  is the solution of the Stokes problem. But in the papers mentioned above only the case  $\varepsilon = \text{const}$  was considered. We will consider the case  $\varepsilon = \varepsilon(x)$ . Our technique is different but the results are almost the same. So let us consider the boundary value problem for elasticity theory equations when the Lamé coefficient  $\mu$  is constant.

For the sake of simplicity of presentation we will consider the Dirichlet boundary conditions

$$(1) \quad \begin{aligned} \mu \Delta u + \nabla(\lambda + \mu) \operatorname{div} u &= F, \\ u|_{\partial\Omega} &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

Unless otherwise stated, we will assume that  $\mu = \text{const}$ . In this case, similarly to [3], the boundary value problem (1) can be rewritten in the following form:

$$(2) \quad \begin{aligned} -\Delta u + \nabla p &= f, \\ \alpha p + \operatorname{div} u &= 0, \quad u|_{\partial\Omega} = 0; \end{aligned}$$

here  $f = -F/\mu$  and  $\alpha = \mu/(\lambda + \mu)$ . It can be easily seen that the boundary value problem (2) has a more general form than (1) since it covers the case  $\alpha = 0$  on part of or on the whole domain  $\Omega$ .

---

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: Primary 35Q30.

The paper is in final form and no version of it will be published elsewhere.

Along with (2) let us consider the perturbed boundary value problem

$$(3) \quad \begin{aligned} -\Delta u_\varepsilon + \nabla p_\varepsilon &= f_\varepsilon, \\ (\alpha + \varepsilon)p_\varepsilon + \operatorname{div} u_\varepsilon &= 0, \quad u_\varepsilon|_{\partial\Omega} = 0. \end{aligned}$$

For  $\alpha = \text{const}$  it was proved that

$$(4) \quad \|u_\varepsilon - u\|_{W_2^1} + \|p - p_\varepsilon\| \leq c\varepsilon.$$

Below we will establish the validity of this estimate for the case of variable  $\alpha$ .

Note that  $0 \leq \alpha \leq 1$ . If  $p \in L_2$  then by  $p'$  we will denote the orthogonal projection of  $p$  onto the subspace of functions from the space  $L_2$  which are orthogonal to unity (we will denote this subspace by  $L_2/R_1$ ). Therefore, we have

$$p = s + p', \quad s = \text{const}, \quad (p', 1) \equiv \int_{\Omega} p' dx = 0.$$

Let us prove the uniform boundedness of the functions  $u_\varepsilon$  and  $p_\varepsilon$ . To this end, we take the scalar product of the first equation (3) by  $u_\varepsilon$  and the scalar product of the second equation (3) by  $p_\varepsilon$  and sum up the results; thus we obtain

$$(5) \quad \|u_\varepsilon\|_1^2 + ((\alpha + \varepsilon)p_\varepsilon, p_\varepsilon) = (f_\varepsilon, u_\varepsilon).$$

From the first equation (3) for an arbitrary nonzero vector-function  $\phi$  we obtain

$$(6) \quad \frac{|(\nabla p_\varepsilon, \phi)|}{\|\phi\|_1} \leq \frac{|(\nabla u_\varepsilon, \nabla \phi)|}{\|\phi\|_1} + \frac{|(f_\varepsilon, \phi)|}{\|\phi\|_1} \leq \|u_\varepsilon\|_1 + \|f_\varepsilon\|_{-1}.$$

We use the Babuška–Brezzi inequality from [4]:

$$\|q\|_{L_2} \leq c_0 \sup_{\varphi \in \mathring{W}_2^1} \frac{|(q, \operatorname{div} \varphi)|}{\|\varphi\|_1}.$$

This inequality and the estimate (6) yield

$$(7) \quad \|p'_\varepsilon\| \leq c_0(\|u_\varepsilon\|_1 + \|f_\varepsilon\|_{-1}).$$

Here and above we use the standard notations

$$\|q\| = \|q\|_{L_2}, \quad \|f\|_{-1} = \sup_{\varphi \in \mathring{W}_2^1} \frac{(\varphi, f)}{\|\varphi\|_1}, \quad \|\varphi\|_1 = \|\nabla \varphi\|.$$

Since  $\alpha + \varepsilon \geq \varepsilon > 0$ , the equality (5) gives the estimate

$$\|u_\varepsilon\|_1 \leq \|f_\varepsilon\|_{-1}.$$

From this estimate and the inequality (7) we obtain

$$(8) \quad \|u_\varepsilon\|_1 + \|p'_\varepsilon\| \leq (2c_0 + 1)\|f_\varepsilon\|_{-1}.$$

Finally, since  $f_\varepsilon \rightarrow f$  the inequality (8) implies the existence of a constant  $c_1$  independent of  $\varepsilon$  such that the following inequality is satisfied:

$$(9) \quad \|u_\varepsilon\|_1 + \|p'_\varepsilon\| \leq c_1\|f\|_{-1}.$$

This means that the norms  $\|u_\varepsilon\|_1$  and  $\|p'_\varepsilon\|$  are uniformly bounded with respect to  $\varepsilon$ .

Let  $p_\varepsilon = s_\varepsilon + p'_\varepsilon$ . Let us prove the uniform boundedness of  $|s_\varepsilon|$ . Using the expansion of  $p_\varepsilon$  and estimating the right-hand side of the equality (5) by the  $\varepsilon$ -inequality we obtain

$$(10) \quad \frac{3}{4}\|u_\varepsilon\|_1^2 + s_\varepsilon^2(\alpha + \varepsilon, 1) + 2s_\varepsilon((\alpha + \varepsilon), p'_\varepsilon) + ((\alpha + \varepsilon)p'_\varepsilon, p'_\varepsilon) \leq c_1^2\|f_\varepsilon\|_{-1}^2.$$

Therefore, from the estimates (9) and (10) we have

$$(11) \quad \frac{3}{4}\|u_\varepsilon\|_1^2 + s_\varepsilon^2(\alpha + \varepsilon, 1) + 2s_\varepsilon(\alpha + \varepsilon, p'_\varepsilon) + ((\alpha + \varepsilon)p'_\varepsilon, p'_\varepsilon) + \|p'_\varepsilon\|^2 \leq 2c_1^2\|f\|_{-1}^2.$$

Using the  $\varepsilon$ -inequality we can estimate the term  $2s_\varepsilon(\alpha + \varepsilon, p'_\varepsilon)$  on the left-hand side of (11) as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} 2|s_\varepsilon(\alpha + \varepsilon, p'_\varepsilon)| &= 2|(s_\varepsilon\sqrt{\alpha + \varepsilon}, \sqrt{\alpha + \varepsilon}p'_\varepsilon)| \\ &\leq \varepsilon_1 s_\varepsilon^2(\alpha + \varepsilon, 1) + \frac{1}{\varepsilon_1}((\alpha + \varepsilon)p'_\varepsilon, p'_\varepsilon). \end{aligned}$$

Let  $\varepsilon_1 \leq 1$ ; substituting this inequality into the estimate (11) we obtain

$$(12) \quad \frac{3}{4}\|u_\varepsilon\|_1^2 + (1 - \varepsilon_1)s_\varepsilon^2(\alpha, 1) + \left(1 - \frac{1}{\varepsilon_1}\right)((\alpha + \varepsilon)p'_\varepsilon, p'_\varepsilon) + \|p'_\varepsilon\|^2 \leq 2c_1^2\|f\|_{-1}^2.$$

Since we are mainly interested in the asymptotic behaviour as  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ , we assume that  $\varepsilon \leq 1$ . Taking into account that  $\alpha \leq 1$  and choosing  $\varepsilon_1 = 4/5$  we obtain from the inequality (12) the estimate

$$\frac{1}{5}s_\varepsilon^2(\alpha, 1) + \frac{1}{2}\|p'_\varepsilon\|^2 \leq c\|f\|_{-1}^2.$$

But the inequality (9) yields the boundedness of the norm  $p'_\varepsilon$  and thus

$$|s_\varepsilon| \leq \frac{c}{\sqrt{(\alpha, 1)}}\|f\|_{-1}.$$

This gives the estimate of the form

$$(13) \quad \|p_\varepsilon\|^2 = s_\varepsilon^2 + \|p'_\varepsilon\|^2 \leq c_2\|f\|_{-1}^2.$$

Now we pass to the proof of the estimate (4). Let  $q_\varepsilon = p - p_\varepsilon$ ,  $v_\varepsilon = u - u_\varepsilon$ . The errors  $v_\varepsilon$  and  $q_\varepsilon$  are found by solving the problem

$$(14) \quad \begin{aligned} -\Delta v_\varepsilon + \nabla q_\varepsilon &= f - f_\varepsilon, \\ \alpha q_\varepsilon + \operatorname{div} v_\varepsilon &= \varepsilon p_\varepsilon, \quad v_\varepsilon|_{\partial\Omega} = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Let us form the scalar product of the first equation (14) and  $v_\varepsilon$  and the scalar product of the second equation (14) and  $q_\varepsilon$ . Summing up the results we obtain the equality

$$(15) \quad \|v_\varepsilon\|_1^2 + (\alpha q_\varepsilon, q_\varepsilon) = (f - f_\varepsilon, v_\varepsilon) + \varepsilon(p_\varepsilon, q_\varepsilon).$$

From the first equation (14) we obtain as above the following estimate:

$$\|q'_\varepsilon\| \leq c_0(\|v_\varepsilon\|_1 + \|f - f_\varepsilon\|_{-1}).$$

Let us multiply both sides of the last inequality by an arbitrary constant  $\lambda$  and take squares. Summing up this result and the equality (15) and estimating the

right-hand side, we have

$$(16) \quad \left(\frac{1}{2} - c_0^2 \lambda\right) \|v_\varepsilon\|_1^2 + (\alpha q_\varepsilon, q_\varepsilon) + \lambda \|q'_\varepsilon\|^2 \\ \leq \varepsilon \delta \|q_\varepsilon\|^2 + \frac{\varepsilon}{4\delta} \|p_\varepsilon\|^2 + \left(\frac{1}{2} - c_0^2 \lambda_0\right) \|f - f_\varepsilon\|_{-1}^2.$$

Let  $q_\varepsilon = l_\varepsilon + q'_\varepsilon$  and  $l_\varepsilon = \text{const}$ . Then the following sequence of relations holds:

$$\begin{aligned} (\alpha q_\varepsilon, q_\varepsilon) + \lambda \|q'_\varepsilon\|^2 &= l_\varepsilon^2(\alpha, 1) + 2l_\varepsilon(\alpha, q'_\varepsilon) + (\alpha q'_\varepsilon, q'_\varepsilon) + \lambda \|q'_\varepsilon\|^2 \\ &\geq l_\varepsilon^2(\alpha, 1) + \alpha(q'_\varepsilon, q'_\varepsilon) + (\lambda q'_\varepsilon, q'_\varepsilon) - \varepsilon_1 l_{\varepsilon_1}^2(\alpha, 1) + \frac{1}{\varepsilon_1}(\alpha q'_\varepsilon, q'_\varepsilon) \\ &\geq (1 - \varepsilon_1) l_\varepsilon^2(\alpha, 1) + \left(\lambda + 1 - \frac{1}{\varepsilon_1}\right) \|q'_\varepsilon\|^2. \end{aligned}$$

Let  $\varepsilon_1 = 2/(2 + \lambda)$ ; then the last inequality yields the estimate

$$(\alpha q_\varepsilon, q_\varepsilon) + \lambda \|q'_\varepsilon\|^2 \geq \frac{\lambda(\alpha, 1)}{2 + \lambda} l_\varepsilon^2 + \frac{\lambda}{2} \|q'_\varepsilon\|^2 \geq c_3 \|q_\varepsilon\|^2$$

where

$$c_3 = c_3(\lambda) = \min \left\{ \frac{\lambda(\alpha, 1)}{2 + \lambda}, \frac{\lambda}{2} \right\}.$$

Note that  $c > 0$  since  $(\alpha, 1) > 0$  by assumption. Choose  $\lambda = 1/(8c_0^2)$  and  $\delta = c_3/(2\varepsilon)$ . Then from the inequality (16) we obtain the estimate

$$(17) \quad \frac{1}{4} \|v_\varepsilon\|_1^2 + \frac{c_3}{2} \|q_\varepsilon\|^2 \leq \frac{\varepsilon^2}{2c_3} \|p_\varepsilon\|^2 + c_4 \|f - f_\varepsilon\|_{-1}^2.$$

This estimate implies the convergences  $v_\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$  and  $q_\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$  as  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ . Moreover, if the  $f_\varepsilon$  converge to  $f$  so that the estimate  $\|f_\varepsilon - f\|_{-1} \leq c\varepsilon$  is satisfied then the convergence will be of first order in  $\varepsilon$ . Thus the estimate (4) is true.

So we have proved the following theorem:

**THEOREM 1.** *Let the domain  $\Omega$ , the functions  $f$  and  $f_\varepsilon$  and the coefficient  $\alpha$  be such that the generalised solutions of the problems (2) and (3) exist and are unique and  $f_\varepsilon - f \rightarrow 0$ . Then the solution  $(u_\varepsilon, p_\varepsilon)$  of the problem (3) converges to  $(u, p)$  with  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$  where  $(u, p)$  is the solution of the problem (2). Moreover, if  $\|f_\varepsilon - f\|_{-1} \leq c\varepsilon$  then we can estimate the rate of convergence of  $(u_\varepsilon, p_\varepsilon)$  to  $(u, p)$ . Namely, in this case*

$$\|u_\varepsilon - u\|_1 + \|p_\varepsilon - p\| \leq c\varepsilon.$$

**Remark 1.** The convergence of  $(u_\varepsilon, p_\varepsilon)$  to  $(u, p)$  holds true not only in the continuous case but also in the discrete case when the boundary value problems (2) and (3) are approximated by a finite element method or by a finite difference one. Here it is necessary that the discrete analogue of the Babuška–Brezzi inequality is valid while the operators  $\nabla$  and  $\text{div}$  are approximated so that  $(\nabla^h)^* = -\text{div}^h$ .

**References**

- [1] R. Temam, *Navier–Stokes Equations*, North-Holland, 1979.
- [2] G. M. Kobel'kov, *On equivalent norms of subspaces of  $L_2$* , Anal. Math. 3 (1977) (in Russian).
- [3] —, *On an iterative method for solution of the difference equations of elasticity theory*, Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR 233 (5) (1977) (in Russian).
- [4] G. M. Kobel'kov and V. D. Valedinskiĭ, *On the inequality  $\|p\|_{L_2} \leq c\|\nabla p\|_{-1}$  and its finite dimensional image*, Soviet J. Numer. Anal. Math. Modelling 1 (3) (1986).