

Литература

- [1] Н. И. Ахиезери И. М. Глазман, *Теория линейных операторов в гильбертовом пространстве*, Наука, Москва 1966.
- [2] Ф. Аткинсон, *Дискретные и непрерывные граничные задачи*, Мир, Москва 1968.
- [3] М. Г. Крейн и А. А. Нудельман, *Проблема моментов Маркова и экстремальные задачи*, Наука, Москва 1973.
- [4] Н. И. Ахиезери М. Г. Крейн, *О некоторых вопросах теории моментов*, Харьков 1938.
- [5] Г. М. Голузин, *Геометрическая теория функций комплексного переменного*, Наука, Москва 1966.
- [6] Г. Е. Шилов, *Математический анализ, Специальный курс*, Физматгиз, Москва 1960.
- [7] И. А. Александров, *Параметрические продолжения в теории однолистных функций*, Наука, Москва 1976.
- [8] П. П. Куфарев, В. В. Соболев, Л. В. Спорышева, *Об одном методе исследования экстремальных задач для функций, однолистных в полуплоскости*, Вопросы геометрической теории функций, вып. 5 (1968) (Тр. Томского ун-та 200), 142-164.
- [9] В. В. Соболев, *Параметрические представления для некоторых классов функций, однолистных в полуплоскости*, Учен. зап. Кемеровского пед. ин-та 23 (1970), 30-41.
- [10] С. Т. Александров, *Параметрическое представление функций однолистных в полуплоскости*, Вопросы геометрической теории функций, вып. 9 (находится в печати).
- [11] В. Я. Гутлянский, *Параметрическое представление однолистных функций*, ДАН СССР 194 (1970), 750-753.
- [12] Э. А. Коддингтон, Н. Левинсон, *Теория обыкновенных дифференциальных уравнений*, ИЛ, Москва 1958.
- [13] Дж. Сансоне, *Обыкновенные дифференциальные уравнения*, ИЛ, Москва 1954.
- [14] Н. А. Лебедев, *Некоторые оценки и задачи на экстремум в теории конформного отображения*, Диссертация, Ленинградский ун-т, 1951.
- [15] В. Я. Гутлянский, *Параметрические представления и экстремальные задачи в теории однолистных функций*, Диссертация, Киев, Матем. ин-т АН УССР, 1972.
- [16] Т. Н. Селляхова, *Исследование экстремальных свойств одного класса однолистных конформных отображений полуплоскости в себя*, Вопросы геометрической теории функций, вып. 8 (1977) (Тр. Томского ун-та), 55-70.

Presented to the Semester
 COMPLEX ANALYSIS
 February 15-May 30, 1979

 ON THE DOMAINS OF EXISTENCE FOR PLURISUBHARMONIC
 FUNCTIONS

URBAN CEGRELL*

Department of Mathematics, Uppsala University
 Thunbergsvägen 3, S-752 38 Uppsala, Sweden

1. Introduction

Let U be an open subset of C^n and denote by $\text{PSH}(U)$ the plurisubharmonic functions on U . In Cegrell ([3], p. 322) it is proved that there is an open subset \tilde{U} containing U such that

(1) $|_{\tilde{U}}: \text{PSH}(\tilde{U}) \rightarrow \text{PSH}(U)$ is a bijection.

(2) If $|_V: \text{PSH}(V) \rightarrow \text{PSH}(U)$ is a bijection then $V \subset \tilde{U}$.

Here $|_U$ denotes the restriction map.

The purpose of this paper is to study the situation where

$$|_U: \text{PSH}(V) \rightarrow \text{PSH}(U)$$

is a surjection, not necessarily a bijection. We wish to point out that we know of no example where the restriction map is surjective without being bijective.

2. Domains of existence

DEFINITION. Let U be an open connected subset of C^n . We say that U is a *domain of existence* for the plurisubharmonic functions on U if there is a plurisubharmonic function on U which cannot be extended as a plurisubharmonic function to any open connected set strictly containing U .

In the same way we may speak about domains of existence for analytic functions, pluriharmonic functions and so on.

EXAMPLE. Any pseudoconvex domain is an example of a domain of existence for the plurisubharmonic functions. The converse is not true. Cf. Bremermann [2] and Cegrell [3], p. 329.

THEOREM 2.1. Let U be an open connected subset of C^n . Then there exists an

* Supported by the Swedish Natural Science Research Council Contract No. F 3435-100.

open connected set \check{U} containing U such that the restriction map $|_{\check{U}}: \text{PSH}(\check{U}) \rightarrow \text{PSH}(U)$ is surjective and \check{U} is a domain of existence for the plurisubharmonic functions.

Proof. Consider the class A of open connected subsets U' containing U such that $|_{U'}: \text{PSH}(U') \rightarrow \text{PSH}(U)$ is a surjection. Partial order A by saying that $U' < U''$ if $U' \subset U''$ and $|_{U'}: \text{PSH}(U'') \rightarrow \text{PSH}(U')$ is a surjection. It is then clear that any totally ordered subset of A has an upper bound in A . So, by Zorn's lemma, A has a maximal element \check{U} which means that

(1) $|_{\check{U}}: \text{PSH}(\check{U}) \rightarrow \text{PSH}(U)$ is a surjection.

(2) If $W \supset \check{U}$ and if $|_W: \text{PSH}(W) \rightarrow \text{PSH}(\check{U})$ is a surjection then $\check{U} = W$.

It follows from Proposition 2.2 that \check{U} is a domain of existence.

PROPOSITION 2.2. *If U is not a domain of existence for the plurisubharmonic function, then there is an open connected set U' containing U such that $U \neq U'$ and $|_{U'}: \text{PSH}(U') \rightarrow \text{PSH}(U)$ is a surjection.*

For the proof we need some preparation.

THEOREM 2.3. *If $U_1 \not\supseteq U_2$ and if $\text{PSH}(U_1)|_{U_2}$ is non-meager in $\text{PSH}(U_2)$ then there is an open set U_3 , $U_2 \not\supseteq U_3 \subset U_1$ such that*

$$\text{PSH}(U_3)|_{U_2} = \text{PSH}(U_2).$$

(We consider $\text{PSH}(U)$ as a complete metric space with topology induced by $L^1_{\text{loc}}(U)$.)

Proof of Theorem 2.3. Choose $z_0 \in U_1 \cap \partial U_2$ and $r > 0$ such that $B(z_0, r)$ is relatively compact in U_1 . Put

$$A_n = \{\varphi \in \text{PSH}(U_2 \cup B(z_0, r)); \varphi|_{B(z_0, r)} \leq n\}.$$

It is clear that $A_n|_{U_2}$ is closed in $\text{PSH}(U_2)$. Furthermore, $\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n|_{U_2} \supset \text{PSH}(U_1)|_{U_2}$,

hence there exists a number n such that $A_n|_{U_2}$ has an interior point in $\text{PSH}(U_2)$.

Let φ_0 be such a point. Then there is an $\varepsilon > 0$ and a compact subset k of U_2 such that if $\varphi \in \text{PSH}(U_2)$ and $\int_k |\varphi - \varphi_0| dz < \varepsilon$ then $\varphi \in A_n|_{U_2}$. Choose N so that

$\int_k \varphi_N - \varphi_0 < \varepsilon/3$ where $\varphi_N = \sup(\varphi_0, -N)$. Put

$$f_m = \sup\left(\varphi_0 + \frac{1}{m} \log|z - z_0|, -N\right)$$

and choose m so large that $\int_k |f_m - \varphi_n| < \varepsilon/3$. Given $\psi \in \text{PSH}(U_2)$. Put

$$\theta = \frac{\varepsilon}{3} \cdot \frac{1}{\int_k |\psi| + 1}.$$

Then $\theta\psi + f_m \in \text{PSH}(U_2)$ and $\int_k |\theta\psi + f_m - \varphi_0| < \varepsilon$. Hence, there is a $\widetilde{\theta\psi + f_m} \in A_n$

with

$$\widetilde{\theta\psi + f_m}|_{U_2} = \theta\psi + f_m.$$

Put $E = \left\{z \in U_2 \cup B(z_0, r); \varphi_0 + \frac{1}{m} \log|z - z_0| < -N\right\}$ and choose $r_1 < r$ such that $B(z_0, r_1)$ is relatively compact in E . It then follows that on $B(z_0, r_1) \cap U_2$ we have $f_m = -N$. Put

$$\widetilde{\psi}(z) = \begin{cases} \psi(z), & z \in U_2, \\ \frac{1}{\theta} (\theta\psi + f_m + N), & z \in B(z_0, r_1). \end{cases}$$

It is clear that $\widetilde{\psi}$ is a plurisubharmonic extension of ψ to $U_2 \cup B(z_0, r_1)$ so we can take U_3 to be $U_2 \cup B(z_0, r_1)$.

LEMMA 2.4. *The connected open set U is a domain of existence if and only if $\text{PSH}(U)|_U$ is meager in $\text{PSH}(U)$ for every connected $U' \not\supseteq U$.*

Proof. If $\text{PSH}(U')|_U$ is meager in $\text{PSH}(U)$ for every $U' \not\supseteq U$ we may use the same idea as in Lelong [4], p. 31, to see that U is a domain of existence.

Conversely, if there is a $U' \not\supseteq U$ such that $\text{PSH}(U')|_U$ is non-meager in $\text{PSH}(U)$ it follows from Theorem 2.3 that U cannot be a domain of existence.

Proof of Proposition 2.2. Assume that U does not satisfy the conclusion of the proposition. It follows from (2) and Theorem 2.3 that $\text{PSH}(W)$ is meager in $\text{PSH}(U)$ for every connected $W \not\supseteq U$. Hence by Lemma 2.4, U is a domain of existence.

Remark. Proposition 2.2 is also stated in Bedford and Burns [1]. Cf. Zentralblatt für Mathematik (1979), 403, 32011.

3. Some special cases

The following theorem contains the theorem in Bedford and Burns [1] as a special case.

THEOREM 3.5. *Let U be an open connected subset of \mathbb{C}^n such that $\partial U \subset (\overline{U})^{\circ}$ and there is a dense set of points $(P_i)_i^{\infty}$ in ∂U such that for each point P_i there is a ball $B(P_i, r_i)$ and a complex hyperplane H of codimension 1 containing P_i such that either*

$$(i) \quad B(P_i, r_i) \cap \overline{U} \cap H = P_i$$

or

$$(ii) \quad B(P_i, r_i) \cap U \supset H \setminus \{P_i\}.$$

Then U is a domain of existence for the plurisubharmonic functions.

Proof of Theorem 3.5. Let P be a point where (i) is satisfied. Put

$$c = \sup_{\overline{U} \cap B(P, r/2)} -\log|H|$$

and

$$\psi(z) = \begin{cases} c, & z \in U \setminus B(P, r/2), \\ \sup_{B(P, r/2)} (c, -\log|H|), & z \in U \cap B(P, r/2). \end{cases}$$

Then $\psi \in \text{PSH}(U)$ and since $\lim_{\substack{z' \rightarrow P \\ z' \in U}} \psi(z') = +\infty$ ψ has no plurisubharmonic extension over P .

Let now P be a point where (ii) is valid. We can assume that $P = 0$ and that H contains $\{(z_1, 0, \dots, 0); z_1 \in \mathbb{C}\}$. There is a $\xi, 0 < \xi < r/2$, so that for each $x \in \mathbb{C}^n$ with $|x| < \xi$ we have $[\partial B(0, r/2) \cap H] + x \subset U$. Since $0 \in \partial U$ and since $(CU)^0 \supset \partial U$ by assumption we can choose $x^0 \in (CU)^0$ with $|x^0| < \xi$. There is an $\eta, 0 < \eta < r/2$, such that $B(x^0, \eta) \subset (CU)^0$.

Put

$$\varphi_1 = \inf\{-\log|z_1 - x_1^0|, -\log(\eta/2)\},$$

$$\varphi_2 = \log\left[\frac{4}{\eta^2}|(z_2 - x_2^0, \dots, z_n - x_n^0)|\right].$$

Now $\varphi = \sup(\varphi_1, \varphi_2)$ is plurisubharmonic outside $\overline{B(x^0, \eta)}$ since $|z_1 - x_1^0| > \eta/2$ gives $-\log(\eta/2) > -\log|z_1 - x_1^0|$ so

$$\varphi = \sup\left\{-\log|z_1 - x_1^0|, \log\left[\frac{4}{\eta^2}|(z_2 - x_2^0, \dots, z_n - x_n^0)|\right]\right\}.$$

If $|z_1 - x_1^0| \leq \eta/2$ then $|(z_2 - x_2^0, \dots, z_n - x_n^0)| > \eta/2$ so

$$\frac{4}{\eta^2}|(z_2 - x_2^0, \dots, z_n - x_n^0)| > \frac{2}{\eta}$$

which means that

$$\log\frac{4}{\eta^2}|(z_2 - x_2^0, \dots, z_n - x_n^0)| > -\log\frac{\eta}{2}.$$

Thus

$$\varphi = \log\frac{4}{\eta^2}|(z_2 - x_2^0, \dots, z_n - x_n^0)|.$$

Now, we have $\varphi = -\log|z_1 - x_1^0|$ on

$$U \cap \{z_2 - x_2^0 = \dots = z_n - x_n^0 = 0\}$$

so if φ has a plurisubharmonic extension $\tilde{\varphi}$ to a connected set containing U and $B(P, r)$ we have

$$\sup_{z_1 \in \partial B(x_1^0, r/2)} \tilde{\varphi}(z_1, x_2^0, \dots, x_n^0) < \sup_{z_1 \in B(x_1^0, r/2)} \tilde{\varphi}(z_1, x_2^0, \dots, x_n^0)$$

which contradicts the maximum principle.

It follows now from Proposition 2.2 that if there is a dense set $(P_i)_{i=1}^{\infty}$ where (i) or (ii) is satisfied, then U has to be a domain of existence for the plurisubharmonic functions.

PROPOSITION 3.6. *Assume that $U \subset V$. If $|_{\partial U}: \text{PSH}(V) \rightarrow \text{PSH}(U)$ is a surjection and if $V \setminus U$ is compact in V then $V \setminus U$ has no interior points.*

Proof. The proof of this theorem is similar to the second part of the proof of Theorem 3.5 and will not be repeated.

THEOREM 3.7. *Let U be an open connected subset of \mathbb{C}^n . If one \check{U} is pseudoconvex then every pluriharmonic function on U has a (unique) pluriharmonic extension to \check{U} .*

Proof. Put $W = \bigcap U'$; $U' \supset U$, U' pseudoconvex. It is clear that $|_{\partial U}: \text{PSH}(W) \rightarrow \text{PSH}(U)$ is surjective. Given $\varphi \in \text{PSH}(U)$. Then there is a $\varphi \in \text{PSH}(W)$ which extends φ . Now, by Cegrell ([3], Theorem 6.2), $\text{Csupp } \Delta\varphi$ is pseudoconvex. Hence $\tilde{\varphi}$ is pluriharmonic on W . Thus, any pluriharmonic function on U extends to a pluriharmonic function on W .

Choose now a fundamental sequence $(K_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$ of compacts in W . If there is a point z_0 in $\check{U} \cap \overline{W}$ take $z_n \rightarrow z_0$, $n \rightarrow \infty$; $z_n \in W \setminus \check{K}_n$, $z_n \in \check{K}_{n+1}$. Then there exists f_n , analytic on W such that

$$\sup_{K_n} |f_n| < \frac{1}{n^2}, \quad \text{Re}f_n(z_n) > n + \sum_{\nu=1}^{n-1} |f_{\nu}(z_n)|.$$

Put $h = \sum \text{Re}f_n$. Then

$$h(z_n) = \lim_{\mu \rightarrow +\infty} \text{Re} \sum_{\nu=1}^{\mu} f_{\nu}(z_n) \geq -1 + \text{Re}f_n(z_n) - \left| \sum_{\nu=1}^{n-1} f_{\nu}(z_n) \right| \geq n-1.$$

Now h is pluriharmonic on W so any plurisubharmonic extension of h to \check{U} is equal to h on W . But $h(z_n) = n$ so $\lim_{W \ni z' \rightarrow z_0} h(z') = +\infty$ which proves that h has no plurisubharmonic extension to a neighborhood of z_0 . Since z_0 was any element in $\check{U} \cap \overline{W}$ and since U and W are connected we have proved that $\check{U} = W$.

References

- [1] E. Bedford and D. Burns, *Domains of existence for plurisubharmonic functions*, Math. Ann. 238 (1978).
- [2] H. J. Bremermann, *On the conjecture of the equivalence of the plurisubharmonic functions and the Hartogs functions*, ibid. 131 (1956).
- [3] U. Cegrell, *Removable singularities for plurisubharmonic functions and related problems*, Proc. London Math. Soc. 36 (1978).
- [4] P. Lelong, *Fonctionnelles analytiques et fonctions entières (n variables)*, Presses Univ. Montréal 1968.

Presented to the Semester
COMPLEX ANALYSIS
February 15–May 30, 1979