

**ON A CLASS OF ELLIPTIC DIFFERENTIAL OPERATORS
 DEGENERATING AT ONE POINT**

JOHANNES ELSCHNER and MICHAEL LORENZ

Institut für Mathematik, Akademie der Wissenschaften, Berlin, D.D.R.

Technische Hochschule, Sektion Mathematik, Karl-Marx-Stadt, D.D.R.

Introduction

We consider the differential operator

$$(0.1) \quad P_0(x, D) = \sum_{|\alpha| - |\beta| \leq m} c_{\alpha\beta} x^\beta D^\alpha,$$

$$c_{\alpha\beta} \in \mathbf{C}, \quad D^\alpha = \partial^{a_1 + \dots + a_n} / \partial x_1^{a_1} \dots \partial x_n^{a_n},$$

$$\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n), \quad \beta = (\beta_1, \dots, \beta_n), \quad x^\beta = x_1^{\beta_1} \dots x_n^{\beta_n},$$

in \mathbf{R}^n , $n \geq 2$, and assume that P_0 is elliptic in $\mathbf{R}^n \setminus \{0\}$:

$$(0.2) \quad p(x, \xi) = \sum_{|\alpha| - |\beta| = m} c_{\alpha\beta} x^\beta \xi^\alpha \neq 0, \quad \forall (x, \xi) \in (\mathbf{R}^n \setminus \{0\}) \times (\mathbf{R}^n \setminus \{0\}).$$

Baouendi and Sjöstrand [3] studied analytic regularity of the operator P_0 at $x = 0$. Furthermore, it was proved in [4] that the operator P_0 is not hypoelliptic at the origin (under a certain additional condition). More general results of this kind were announced in [10]. The special case

$$(0.3) \quad L_0(x, D) = \Delta r^2 + \mu \partial / \partial r \cdot r + \lambda,$$

$$\lambda, \mu \in \mathbf{C}, \quad r^2 = \sum x_i^2, \quad \Delta = \sum \partial^2 / \partial x_i^2,$$

of the operator (0.1) was studied by Baouendi, Goulaouic and Lipkin [2] in the space of germs of analytic functions at the origin. They gave a complete description of the kernel and the range of the operator L_0 . The same operator was considered in Sobolev spaces $H_{2s}(\Omega)$, $s \in \mathbf{N}$, by the authors [5]. The aim of this paper is to investigate normal solvability and index of the operator (0.1) in the spaces $H_s(\mathbf{R}^n)$ and $H_s(\Omega)$, $s \geq 0$, Ω denoting a bounded domain in \mathbf{R}^n with $0 \in \Omega$ (for the definition of normally solvable

and Fredholm operators we refer to [9]; the index of an operator A is defined by $\text{ind } A = \text{dim ker } A - \text{codim im } A$.

We also consider the more general operator (cf. [3], [4], [10])

$$P_0(x, D) + \sum_{|\alpha|=|\beta| \leq m} \bar{d}_{\alpha\beta}(x) x^\beta D^\alpha, \quad \bar{d}_{\alpha\beta}(0) = 0,$$

in a small neighbourhood of the origin.

As in [3], using the Mellin transform with respect to the radial variable, we reduce the equation $P_0 u = f$ to an elliptic system of pseudo-differential equations on the sphere S^{n-1} which depends on a complex parameter. We mention that similar methods have been used by Bagirov and Kondratiev [1], [7] in the study of elliptic equations in unbounded domains.

1. Preliminaries

1.1. From now on, we denote by Ω the whole space \mathbf{R}^n or a bounded domain with the following property:

The boundary Γ of Ω is a $(n-1)$ -dimensional infinitely differentiable variety, Ω being locally on one side of Γ .

Let $H_s(\Omega)$, $s \geq 0$, be the usual Sobolev space with the norm

$$(1.1) \quad \|u\|_s^2 = \sum_{|\alpha| \leq [s]} \int_{\bar{\Omega}} \|D^\alpha u\|^2 dx + \sum_{|\alpha|=s} \int_{\Omega \times \Omega} \frac{|D^\alpha u(x) - D^\alpha u(y)|^2}{|x-y|^{n+2(s-[s])}} dx dy$$

(but without the second term for $s \in \mathbf{N}$). Furthermore, we introduce the spaces $H_{s,m}(\Omega) = \{u \in H_s(\Omega) : x^\beta D^\alpha u \in H_s(\Omega), |\alpha| = |\beta| \leq m\}$ with the canonical norm

$$(1.2) \quad \|u\|_{s,m} = \sum_{|\alpha|=|\beta| \leq m} \|x^\beta D^\alpha u\|_s.$$

When Ω is bounded and $0 \notin \bar{\Omega}$, the spaces $H_{s,m}(\Omega)$ and $H_{s+m}(\Omega)$ coincide (algebraically and topologically). $H_{s,m}(\Omega)$ is a Hilbert space and we have $P_0 \in \mathcal{L}(H_{s,m}(\Omega), H_s(\Omega))$, i.e. the operator $P_0: H_{s,m} \rightarrow H_s$ is linear and continuous.

We set $\mathring{C}_0^\infty = \{u \in C_0^\infty(\mathbf{R}^n) : 0 \notin \text{supp } u\}$ and $\mathring{H}_s(\Omega) = \{u \in H_s(\Omega) : D^\alpha u(0) = 0, |\alpha| < s - n/2\}$, $0 \in \Omega$. In virtue of Sobolev's embedding theorem, \mathring{H}_s is a closed subspace of finite codimension in H_s .

LEMMA 1.1. \mathring{C}_0^∞ is dense in $\mathring{H}_s(\mathbf{R}^n)$, $s \geq 0$.

The proof is similar to the proof of Lemma 11.1 in [8], Chap. 1 (but simpler).

We obviously have $\mathring{H}_0 = H_0 = L_2$. Now, let $\Omega \subset \mathbf{R}^n$, $n \geq 1$, $r^2 = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i^2$ and $\varrho_{t,s} = r^s(1+r)^{-s}$, $t, s \in \mathbf{R}$. The linear set $L_2(\Omega; \varrho_{t,s}) = \varrho_{t,s} \cdot L_2(\Omega)$ is a Hilbert space with the norm $\|u\| = \|\varrho_{t,s}^{-1} u\|_0$. Furthermore,

$$H_{0,m}(\Omega; \varrho_{t,s}) = \{u \in L_2(\Omega; \varrho_{t,s}) : x^\alpha D^\beta u \in L_2(\Omega; \varrho_{t,s}), |\alpha| = |\beta| \leq m\},$$

$t \geq 0$, is a Hilbert space with the canonical norm and the relation $H_{0,m}(\Omega; \varrho_{t,s}) = \varrho_{t,s} \cdot H_{0,m}(\Omega)$ holds.

Denoting by \mathcal{F} the (n -dimensional) Fourier transform, we get the commutative diagram

$$(1.3) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} P_0: H_{s,m}(\mathbf{R}^n) & \longrightarrow & H_s(\mathbf{R}^n) \\ & \downarrow \mathcal{F} & \downarrow \mathcal{F} \\ \hat{P}_0: H_{0,m}(\mathbf{R}^n; \varrho_{0,s}) & \longrightarrow & L_2(\mathbf{R}^n; \varrho_{0,s}) \end{array}$$

with the operator $\hat{P}_0 = \sum_{|\alpha|=|\beta| \leq m} c_{\alpha\beta} (-1)^{|\alpha|} D^\beta x^\alpha$, which is also elliptic in $\mathbf{R}^n \setminus \{0\}$ (cf. (0.2)).

1.2. In analogy to [3] we reduce the equation

$$(1.4) \quad \hat{P}_0 u = f$$

to a system of pseudo-differential equations of first order. The operator \hat{P}_0 can be written in spherical coordinates (r, θ)

$$P_0(\theta, D_\theta, rD_r) = \sum_{j=0}^m A_j(\theta, D_\theta) (r\partial/\partial r)^{m-j},$$

where $A_j(\theta, D_\theta)$ are differential operators of order $\leq j$ on S^{n-1} with analytic coefficients. It follows from (0.2) that $A_0(\theta) \neq 0$, $\theta \in S^{n-1}$. Setting $B_j(\theta, D_\theta) = -A_0(\theta)^{-1} A_j(\theta, D_\theta)$, $U = (u_j)_1^m$, $u_j = A^{m-j} (r\partial/\partial r)^{j-1} u$, $j = 1, \dots, m$, $A = (1 + \delta)^{1/2}$, where δ is the Beltrami operator on S^{n-1} , $F = (f_j)_1^m$, $f_j = 0$, $j < m$, $F_m = A_0(\theta)^{-1} f$, and

$$\mathcal{A}_\theta = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & A & \dots & 0 \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ 0 & \dots & 0 & A \\ B_m A^{-m+1} & \dots & B_2 A^{-1} & B_1 \end{bmatrix},$$

we obtain from equation (1.4) the system

$$(1.5) \quad \mathcal{A}_\theta U = r\partial/\partial r \cdot U - \mathcal{A}_\theta U = F.$$

In view of (0.2), \mathcal{A}_θ is an elliptic pseudo-differential operator on S^{n-1} (cf. [3]). The operator \mathcal{A}_θ has the following properties ([3], [11]):

(i) There is only a discrete set of different eigenvalues λ_k , $k \in \mathbf{J}$, of the operator \mathcal{A}_θ in the space $L_2^m(S^{n-1})$.⁽¹⁾ Each eigenvalue λ_k has finite algebraic multiplicity m_k .

⁽¹⁾ By X^m we denote the space $X \times \dots \times X$ (m factors).

(ii) The operator $zI - \mathcal{A}_\theta$ has an inverse $\mathcal{R}_z \in \mathcal{L}(L_2^m(S^{n-1}))$ for all $z \neq \lambda_k$. Furthermore, there exist a cone $K = \{z \in \mathbb{C}: \varepsilon < |\arg z| < \pi - \varepsilon\}$, $\varepsilon \in (0, \pi/2)$, and numbers $c, M > 0$ such that

$$(1.6) \quad \|\mathcal{R}_z\|_{\mathcal{L}(L_2^m(S^{n-1}))} \leq c|z|^{-1}, \quad \forall z \in K, |z| \geq M.$$

In order to investigate the equivalence of equations (1.4) and (1.5), we state two lemmas. The first lemma is a generalization of Lemmas 1.1 and 1.2 in [5]; the method of the proof is the same.

LEMMA 1.2. \mathring{C}_0^∞ is dense in $H_{0,m}(\mathbf{R}^n)$ and the norms (1.2) and

$$\|u\|_{0,m} = \sum_{i+j \leq m} \|A^i(r\partial/\partial r)^j u\|_0$$

are equivalent in the space $H_{0,m}(\mathbf{R}^n)$.

LEMMA 1.3. With the above notations we have

$$\|u\|_{0,m} \sim \|U\|_{0,1} = \|U\|_0 + \|r\partial/\partial r \cdot U\|_0 + \|AU\|_0 \sim \|U\|_0 + \|r\partial/\partial r \cdot U\|_0 + \|\mathcal{A}_\theta U\|_0, \\ \forall u \in H_{0,m}(\mathbf{R}^n).^{(2)}$$

Proof. The relation $\|u\|_{0,m} \sim \|U\|_{0,1}$ is obvious. Furthermore, thanks to the ellipticity of \mathcal{A}_θ on S^{n-1} , we obtain for all $u \in \mathring{C}_0^\infty$ and fixed $r_0 > 0$

$$\|\mathcal{A}_\theta U(r_0, \theta)\|_{L_2^m(S^{n-1})} + \|U(r_0, \theta)\|_{L_2^m(S^{n-1})} \sim \|U(r_0, \theta)\|_{H_1^m(S^{n-1})}$$

and

$$\|U(r_0, \theta)\|_{H_1^m(S^{n-1})} \sim \|AU(r_0, \theta)\|_{L_2^m(S^{n-1})}$$

(cf. [8], Chap. 1) uniformly with respect to r_0 . Since \mathring{C}_0^∞ is dense in $H_{0,m}(\mathbf{R}^n)$, the result follows by integration with respect to r_0 .

COROLLARY 1.4. The equation (1.4) with $f \in L_2(\mathbf{R}^n)$ has the solution $u \in H_{0,m}(\mathbf{R}^n)$ if and only if the system (1.5) admits the solution $U \in H_{0,1}^m(\mathbf{R}^n)$.

Analogous assertions to Lemmas 1.2 and 1.3 and Corollary 1.4 are true for the spaces with weights $\varrho_{t,s}$, $t \geq 0$.

1.3. The Mellin transform

$$\tilde{u}(z, \theta) = (Mu)(z, \theta) = \int_0^\infty r^{-iz-1} u(r, \theta) dr$$

is an isomorphic map from $L_2(\mathbf{R}^n; \varrho_{n/2,0}) = L_2((0, \infty); r^{n/2}) \otimes L_2(S^{n-1})$ onto the space $\tilde{L}_2(\mathbf{R}^n; \varrho_{n/2,0}) = L_2(-\infty, \infty) \otimes L_2(S^{n-1})$. In virtue of

⁽²⁾ $\|x\| \sim \|x\|$, $x \in X$, means that there exist constants $c_1, c_2 > 0$ such that $c_1 \|x\| \leq \|x\| \leq c_2 \|x\|$, $x \in X$.

the relation $M(r\partial/\partial r \cdot u) = izMu$ the operator M is also an isomorphism of $H_{0,1}(\mathbf{R}^n; \varrho_{n/2,0})$ onto the space $\tilde{H}_{0,1}(\mathbf{R}^n; \varrho_{n/2,0}) = \{\tilde{u} \in L_2(\mathbf{R}^n; \varrho_{n/2,0}): z\tilde{u}, A\tilde{u} \in \tilde{L}_2(\mathbf{R}^n; \varrho_{n/2,0})\}$ (with the canonical norm).

Let $t \geq n/2$, $s > 0$. We denote by $\tilde{L}_2(\Pi_{t,s})$ the Banach space of the functions which are analytic in the strip $\Pi_{t,s} = \{z \in \mathbb{C}: -t + n/2 < \text{Im } z < -t + s + n/2\}$ with the norm

$$\|\tilde{u}(z)\|^2 = \sup_{\tau-n/2 \leq (-t, s-t) - \infty} \int_{-\infty}^\infty |\tilde{u}(\sigma + i\tau)|^2 d\sigma, \quad z = \sigma + i\tau.$$

Then the Mellin transform is an isomorphic map from $L_2(\mathbf{R}^n; \varrho_{t,s})$ onto the space $\tilde{L}_2(\mathbf{R}^n; \varrho_{t,s}) = \tilde{L}_2(\Pi_{t,s}) \otimes L_2(S^{n-1})$ and we have

$$\|u\|_{L_2(\mathbf{R}^n; \varrho_{t,s})}^2 = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^\infty \int_{S^{n-1}} |(Mu)(\sigma + i\tau, \theta)|^2 d\sigma d\theta,$$

$$\forall u \in L_2(\mathbf{R}^n; \varrho_{t,s}) \forall \tau \in (t-s, t)$$

(cf. [12], p. 247, for $n = 1$).

Furthermore, $M: H_{0,1}(\mathbf{R}^n; \varrho_{t,s}) \rightarrow \tilde{H}_{0,1}(\mathbf{R}^n; \varrho_{t,s}) = \{\tilde{u} \in \tilde{L}_2(\mathbf{R}^n; \varrho_{t,s}): z\tilde{u}, A\tilde{u} \in \tilde{L}_2(\mathbf{R}^n; \varrho_{t,s})\}$ is an isomorphism.

2. The operator P_θ in $L_2(\mathbf{R}^n)$

Let P_θ be the operator defined in (0.1) and λ_k , $k \in J$, the eigenvalues of the operator \mathcal{A}_θ (cf. 1.2).

THEOREM 2.1. Under the hypothesis

$$(2.1) \quad \text{Re } \lambda_k \neq -n/2, \quad k \in J,$$

the operator $P_\theta \in \mathcal{L}(H_{0,m}(\mathbf{R}^n), L_2(\mathbf{R}^n))$ is invertible.

Proof. Thanks to (1.3) and Corollary 1.4 it is sufficient to show that the operator $\mathfrak{U}_\theta = r\partial/\partial r - \mathcal{A}_\theta$ is invertible in $\mathcal{L}(H_{0,1}^m(\mathbf{R}^n), L_2^m(\mathbf{R}^n))$ under hypothesis (2.1). Now we consider the operator

$$\mathfrak{B}_\theta = r^{n/2} \mathfrak{U}_\theta r^{-n/2} = r\partial/\partial r - \mathcal{A}_\theta - (n/2)I \in \mathcal{L}(H_{0,1}^m(\mathbf{R}^n; \varrho_{n/2,0}), L_2(\mathbf{R}^n; \varrho_{n/2,0}))$$

and the commutative diagram

$$(2.2) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \mathfrak{B}_\theta: H_{0,1}^m(\mathbf{R}^n; \varrho_{n/2,0}) & \longrightarrow & L_2^m(\mathbf{R}^n; \varrho_{n/2,0}) \\ & \downarrow M & \downarrow M \\ \tilde{\mathfrak{B}}_\theta: \tilde{H}_{0,1}^m(\mathbf{R}^n; \varrho_{n/2,0}) & \longrightarrow & \tilde{L}_2^m(\mathbf{R}^n; \varrho_{n/2,0}) \end{array}$$

with $\tilde{\mathfrak{B}}_\theta \tilde{u}(z, \theta) = (iz - (n/2)I - \mathcal{A}_\theta) \tilde{u}(z, \theta)$ (cf. 1.3). We have to prove that $\tilde{\mathfrak{B}}_\theta$ is invertible under hypothesis (2.1). It follows from (2.1) and (1.6)

that the estimate

$$\|\mathcal{R}_{iz-n/2}\|_{\mathcal{L}(L_2^m(S^{n-1}))} \leq c(1+z)^{-1}, \quad \forall z \in \mathbf{R},$$

holds. Therefore, we obtain

$$\|\mathcal{R}_{iz-n/2}\tilde{F}\|_{L_2^m} + \|z\mathcal{R}_{iz-n/2}\tilde{F}\|_{L_2^m} \leq c\|\tilde{F}\|_{L_2^m}, \quad \forall \tilde{F} \in \tilde{L}_2^m(\mathbf{R}^n; \varrho_{n/2,0}),$$

and $\mathcal{R}_{iz-n/2}$ is the desired inverse of $\tilde{\mathfrak{B}}_0$.

Remark 2.2. One can prove that the operator $P_0 \in \mathcal{L}(H_{0,m}(\mathbf{R}^n), L_2(\mathbf{R}^n))$ is not normally solvable if the condition (2.1) is violated.

Applying Theorem 2.1 to the operator $r^{-\mu}P_0r^\mu$, we get

COROLLARY 2.3. *Let $\mu \geq 0$. If $\operatorname{Re} \lambda_k \neq -n/2 - \mu, k \in J$, then the operator $P_0 \in \mathcal{L}(H_{0,m}(\mathbf{R}^n; \varrho_{\mu,0}), L_2(\mathbf{R}^n; \varrho_{\mu,0}))$ is invertible.*

It follows from Corollary 2.3 that the operator P_0 is always locally solvable at the origin.

3. The operator P_0 in $H_s(\mathbf{R}^n), s > 0$

We denote by $z(s)$ the sum of the algebraic multiplicities m_k of the eigenvalues $\lambda_k, k \in J' \subset J$, which satisfy $-n/2 - s < \operatorname{Re} \lambda_k < -n/2$. The set J' is finite (cf. 1.2).

THEOREM 3.1. *Under the hypothesis*

$$(3.1) \quad \operatorname{Re} \lambda_k \neq -n/2, \quad -n/2 - s, k \in J$$

the operator $P_0 \in \mathcal{L}(H_{s,m}(\mathbf{R}^n), H_s(\mathbf{R}^n))$ is a Fredholm operator with $\dim \ker P_0 = 0$ and $\operatorname{codim} P_0 = z(s)$.

Proof. As in the proof of Theorem 2.1, we consider the diagram (2.2) with the spaces with the weight $\varrho_{n/2,s}$ instead of $\varrho_{n/2,0}$. First, we prove the relation $\operatorname{codim} \tilde{\mathfrak{B}}_0 = z(s)$ for the operator $\tilde{\mathfrak{B}}_0 \in \mathcal{L}(\tilde{H}_{0,1}^m(\mathbf{R}^n; \varrho_{n/2,s}), \tilde{L}_2^m(\mathbf{R}^n; \varrho_{n/2,s}))$. We choose mutually disjoint neighbourhoods $U(z_k) \subset \Pi_s = \{z \in \mathbf{C}: 0 < \operatorname{Im} z < s\}$ of the points $z_k = -i\lambda_k - i(n/2), k \in J'$. Then it follows from (3.1) and (1.6) that the estimate

$$(3.2) \quad \|\mathcal{R}_{iz-n/2}\|_{\mathcal{L}(L_2^m(S^{n-1}))} \leq c(1+|z|)^{-1}, \quad \forall z \in \Pi_s \setminus \bigcup_{k \in J'} U(z_k),$$

holds. Further, there are Laurent expansions

$$(3.3) \quad \mathcal{R}_{iz-n/2} = \sum_{l=-n_k}^{\infty} (z-z_k)^l A_l^{(k)}, \quad A_l^{(k)} \in \mathcal{L}(L_2^m(S^{n-1})), n_k \in \mathbf{N}, \\ z \in U(z_k) \setminus \{z_k\}, k \in J',$$

where $A_{-1}^{(k)}$ and $I - A_{-1}^{(k)}$ are projections on the subspaces $\ker \mathcal{T}^{n_k}$ and $\operatorname{im} \mathcal{T}^{n_k}, \mathcal{T}_{z_k} = iz_k - (n/2)I - \mathcal{A}_0$, and the relations $A_{l-1}^{(k)} = \mathcal{T}_{z_k}^l A_{-1}^{(k)}, l \geq 1$, hold (this follows from Theorem 5 in [6], Chap. 13, since $\mathcal{R}_{iz-n/2}$ is compact in $L_2^m(S^{n-1})$ for some $z \in \mathbf{C}$).

Now we consider the equation

$$(3.4) \quad \tilde{\mathfrak{B}}_0 \tilde{U} = \tilde{F}, \quad \tilde{F} \in \tilde{L}_2^m(\mathbf{R}^n; \varrho_{n/2,s}).$$

In virtue of (3.2) there exists a solution $\tilde{U} \in \tilde{H}_{0,1}^m(\mathbf{R}^n; \varrho_{n/2,s})$ of (3.4) if and only if the function $\mathcal{R}_{iz-n/2}\tilde{F}(z, \theta)$ is analytic in all sets $U(z_k), k \in J'$, with respect to z . The representations (3.3) imply that $F \in \operatorname{im} \tilde{\mathfrak{B}}_0$ if and only if

$$(3.5) \quad \sum_{i=0}^j \frac{1}{(j-i)!} A_{-n_k+i}^{(k)} ((\partial^{j-i}/\partial z^{j-i})\tilde{F}(z_k, \theta)) = 0,$$

$$j = 0, \dots, n_k - 1, k \in J'.$$

It can be shown that conditions (3.5) determine m_k linearly independent continuous functionals on $\tilde{L}_2^m(\mathbf{R}^n; \varrho_{n/2,s})$ for each $k \in J'$. Therefore we have $\operatorname{codim} \tilde{\mathfrak{B}}_0 = z(s)$.

Next, the inequality $\operatorname{codim} \operatorname{im} P_0 = \operatorname{codim} \hat{P}_0 \leq \operatorname{codim} \mathfrak{A}_0 = \operatorname{codim} \tilde{\mathfrak{B}}_0 = z(s)$ holds for the operators $P_0 \in \mathcal{L}(H_{s,m}(\mathbf{R}^n), H_s(\mathbf{R}^n)), \hat{P}_0 \in \mathcal{L}(H_{0,m}(\mathbf{R}^n; \varrho_{0,s}), L_2(\mathbf{R}^n; \varrho_{0,s}))$ and $\mathfrak{A}_0 \in \mathcal{L}(H_{0,1}^m(\mathbf{R}^n; \varrho_{0,s}), L_2^m(\mathbf{R}^n; \varrho_{0,s}))$ (cf. 1.2). For the proof of the converse inequality, we note that the system $\mathfrak{A}_0 U = F, F = (f_j)_1^m \in \dot{C}_0^{\infty, m}$, has the solution $U = (u_j)_1^m \in H_{0,1}^m(\mathbf{R}^n; \varrho_{0,s})$ with

$$u_j = A^{m-j} (r\partial/\partial r)^{j-1} u - \sum_{l=1}^{j-1} A^{l-j} (r\partial/\partial r)^{j-1-l} f_l, \quad j = 1, \dots, m,$$

if the equation $\hat{P}_0 u = f$ with

$$f = A_0(\theta) f_m - \sum_{j=1}^m \sum_{l=1}^{j-1} A_{m-j+1}(\theta, D_0) (r\partial/\partial r)^{j-1-l} A^{l-j} f_l \in \dot{C}_0^{\infty},$$

admits the solution $u \in H_{0,m}(\mathbf{R}^n; \varrho_{0,s})$ and that \dot{C}_0^{∞} is dense in $L_2(\mathbf{R}^n; \varrho_{0,s})$.

Finally, Theorem 2.1 implies $\dim \ker P_0 = 0$. The proof is complete.

4. The operator P_0 in $H_s(\Omega)$

Let $\Omega \subset \mathbf{R}^n$ be a bounded domain with $0 \in \Omega$ and $\hat{H}_{s,m}(\Omega) = \{u \in H_{s,m}(\Omega) : \alpha^\beta D^\alpha u \in \dot{H}_s(\Omega), |\alpha| = |\beta| \leq m\}$. We note that the relation $\hat{H}_{s,m}(\Omega) = H_{s,m}(\Omega) \cap \hat{H}_s(\Omega)$ holds.

THEOREM 4.1. *Under the hypothesis*

$$(4.1) \quad \operatorname{Re} \lambda_k \neq -n/2 - s, \quad k \in J,$$

the operator $P_0 \in \mathcal{L}(\mathring{H}_{s,m}(\Omega), \mathring{H}_s(\Omega))$ is right invertible.

COROLLARY 4.2. *The equation $P_0 u = f$, $f \in H_s(\Omega)$, has a solution $u \in H_{s,m}(\Omega)$, if and only if the algebraic system*

$$(D^\alpha P_0 u)(0) = (D^\alpha f)(0), \quad |\alpha| < s - n/2,$$

with the unknowns $D^\alpha u(0)$, $|\alpha| < s - n/2$, is solvable.

Proof of Theorem 4.1. The assertion for $s = 0$ easily follows from Theorem 2.1. Let $s > 0$. First, we prove the relation

$$(4.2) \quad P_0(H_{s,m}(\Omega)) + N = H_s(\Omega),$$

N being a finite-dimensional space. We consider the equation $P_0 u = f$, $f \in H_s(\Omega)$, and extend f to a function $g \in H_s(\mathbf{R}^n)$ with compact support and $\int g dx = 0$. Applying the Fourier transform \mathcal{F} to $P_0 u = g$, we obtain

the equation

$$(4.3) \quad \hat{P}_0 \hat{u} = \hat{g}, \quad \hat{g} = \mathcal{F}g.$$

Since \hat{g} is an entire function with $\hat{g}(0) = 0$, we have $\hat{g} \in L_2(\mathbf{R}^n; \varrho_{\varepsilon, s+\varepsilon})$ for some $\varepsilon > 0$. It is possible to choose ε such that $\operatorname{Re} \lambda_k \neq -n/2 + \varepsilon$, $-n/2 - s$, $k \in J$. Analogous to the proof of Theorem 3.1 we get the relation

$$(4.4) \quad \dim L_2(\mathbf{R}^n; \varrho_{\varepsilon, s+\varepsilon}) / \hat{P}_0(H_{0,m}(\mathbf{R}^n; \varrho_{\varepsilon, s+\varepsilon})) < \infty.$$

But each solution $\hat{u} \in H_{0,m}(\mathbf{R}^n; \varrho_{\varepsilon, s+\varepsilon})$ of (4.3) belongs to $H_{0,m}(\mathbf{R}^n; \varrho_{0,s})$ and thus (4.4) implies (4.2).

Further, the operator P_0 is invariant under the transformation $x \rightarrow cx$, $c > 0$. Thus it is sufficient to prove Theorem 4.1 for small balls $\Omega = \Omega_r = \{x \in \mathbf{R}^n: |x| < r\}$.

From (4.2) we obtain the relation

$$(4.5) \quad P_0(H_{s,m}(\Omega_1)) \cap \mathring{H}_s(\Omega_1) + N_1 = \mathring{H}_s(\Omega_1), \quad \dim N_1 < \infty.$$

Now, thanks to Lemma 1.1 \mathring{C}_0^∞ is dense in $\mathring{H}_s(\mathbf{R}^n)$. Hence, $\mathring{C}_0^\infty(\mathring{\Omega}_1) = \{u \in C^\infty(\mathring{\Omega}_1): 0 \notin \operatorname{supp} u\}$ is dense in $\mathring{H}_s(\Omega_1)$. Therefore, we can assume that the space N_1 in (4.5) is the linear hull of functions $f_i \in \mathring{C}_0^\infty(\mathring{\Omega}_1)$, $i = 1, \dots, q$, $q = \dim N_1$ (cf. [9], Chap. 1, Lemma 2.2).

Now we choose r , $0 < r < 1$, such that $\Omega_r \cap \operatorname{supp} f_i = \emptyset$, $i = 1, \dots, q$, and we prove that the equation

$$(4.6) \quad P_0 u = f, \quad f \in \mathring{H}_s(\Omega_r),$$

has always a solution $u \in \mathring{H}_{s,m}(\Omega_r)$. In order to do so, we extend f to a function $h \in \mathring{H}_s(\Omega_1)$. In virtue of (4.5) there exists an element $h_1 = \sum c_i f_i \in N_1$, $c_i \in \mathbf{C}$, such that $h + h_1 \in P_0(H_{s,m}(\Omega_1)) \cap \mathring{H}_s(\Omega_1)$. Thus the equation $P_0 u = h + h_1$ admits a solution $u_1 \in H_{s,m}(\Omega_1)$ and (4.6) has the solution $v = u_1|_{\Omega_r} \in H_{s,m}(\Omega_r)$. Finally, setting

$$p(x) = \sum_{|\alpha| < s - n/2} \frac{1}{a!} (D^\alpha v)(0) x^\alpha$$

we obtain $P_0(v - p) = f - P_0 p \in \mathring{H}_s(\Omega_r)$. Since $f \in \mathring{H}_s(\Omega_r)$, we have $P_0 p = 0$ and $u = v - p \in \mathring{H}_{s,m}(\Omega_r)$ is a solution of (4.6). This finishes the proof.

We now consider the operator

$$P_x = \sum_{|\alpha|=|\beta| \leq m} c_{\alpha\beta}(x) x^\beta D^\alpha, \quad c_{\alpha\beta}(x) \in C^\infty(\bar{\Omega}), 0 \in \Omega.$$

THEOREM 4.3. *Assume that the operator $P_0 = \sum_{|\alpha|=|\beta| \leq m} c_{\alpha\beta}(0) x^\beta D^\alpha$ satisfies condition (4.1). Then the assertion of Theorem 4.1 is true for the operator $P_x \in \mathcal{L}(\mathring{H}_{s,m}(\Omega), \mathring{H}_s(\Omega))$ for all sufficiently small domains Ω .*

Proof. It is sufficient to prove the theorem for small balls Ω_r . The map $\Psi_n: u(x) \rightarrow u(x/n)$ is an isomorphism of $\mathring{H}_s(\Omega_{1/n})$ onto $\mathring{H}_s(\Omega_1)$ and of $\mathring{H}_{s,m}(\Omega_{1/n})$ onto $\mathring{H}_{s,m}(\Omega_1)$. Furthermore, the relations

$$P_0 = \Psi_n^{-1} P_0 \Psi_n \quad \text{and} \quad P_x = P_0 + T = \Psi_n^{-1} (P_0 + T'_n) \Psi_n$$

hold, where

$$T = \sum_{|\alpha|=|\beta| \leq m} [c_{\alpha\beta}(x) - c_{\alpha\beta}(0)] x^\beta D^\alpha, \quad T'_n = \sum_{|\alpha|=|\beta| \leq m} [c_{\alpha\beta}(x/n) - c_{\alpha\beta}(0)] x^\beta D^\alpha.$$

Using the norm (1.1) we get $\|T'_n\|_{\mathcal{L}(H_{s,m}(\Omega_1), H_s(\Omega_1))} \rightarrow 0$, $n \rightarrow \infty$. Therefore $P_0 + T'_n \in \mathcal{L}(\mathring{H}_{s,m}(\Omega_1), \mathring{H}_s(\Omega_1))$, $\forall n \geq n_0$, is right invertible. Hence, $P_x \in \mathcal{L}(\mathring{H}_{s,m}(\Omega_{1/n}), \mathring{H}_s(\Omega_{1/n}))$ is right invertible for $n \geq n_0$.

5. An example

We consider the operator (0.3) $P_0 = L_0 = \Delta r^2 + \mu \partial/\partial r \cdot r + \lambda = (r \partial/\partial r)^2 + \mu_1 r \partial/\partial r + \lambda_1 - \delta$, where $\mu_1 = \mu + n + 2$, $\lambda_1 = \lambda + \mu + 2n$. Then we have $\mathring{P}_0 = (r \partial/\partial r)^2 + \mu_2 r \partial/\partial r + \lambda_2 - \delta$, $\mu_2 = 2n - \mu_1$, $\lambda_2 = \lambda_1 + n^2 - n\mu_1$, and

$$\mathcal{A}_\theta = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & A \\ (\delta - \lambda_2) A^{-1} & -\mu_2 \end{pmatrix} \quad (\text{cf. 1.1, 1.2}).$$

The operator \mathcal{A}_θ in $L^2_2(S^{n-1})$ has the eigenfunctions

$$\left(\begin{array}{c} \lambda_k^\pm A^{-1} P_{k,\alpha}(\theta) \\ P_{k,\alpha}(\theta) \end{array} \right), \quad 1 \leq \alpha \leq \alpha(k) = (2k+n-2)(n-k+3)! [(n-2)!k!]^{-1},$$

which correspond to the eigenvalues

$$\lambda_k^\pm = \frac{1}{2} \{ (\mu+2-n) \pm [(n+\mu+2)^2 + 4k(k+n-2) - 4(2n+\mu+\lambda)]^{1/2} \},$$

$$k \in \mathbf{Z},$$

$P_{k,\alpha}(\theta)$ denoting the spherical harmonics.

The algebraic multiplicities of λ_k^\pm are equal to $\alpha(k)$, if $\lambda_k^+ \neq \lambda_k^-$. For $\lambda_k^\pm = \lambda_k$ the algebraic multiplicity of λ_k is $2\alpha(k)$.

Now one can derive most of the results of [5] for arbitrary $s \geq 0$ from Theorems 2.1, 3.1 and 4.1.

References

- [1] L. A. Bagirov and V. A. Kondratiev, *On elliptic equations in \mathbb{R}^n* (Russian), *Differenc. Uravn.* 11 (1975), 948-504.
- [2] M. S. Baouendi, C. Goulaouic and J. Lipkin, *On the operator $\Delta r^2 + \mu \partial/\partial r \cdot r + \lambda$* , *J. Differential Equations* 15 (1974), 499-509.
- [3] M. S. Baouendi and J. Sjöstrand, *Régularité analytique pour des opérateurs elliptiques singuliers en une point*, *Arkiv för Mat.* 14 (1976), 9-33.
- [4] —, —, *Non hypoellipticité d'opérateurs elliptiques singuliers*, *Séminaire Goulaouic-Schwartz 1975-76*, Exposé XXIV.
- [5] J. Elschner and M. Lorenz, *On the normal solvability of the operator $\Delta r^2 + \mu \partial/\partial r \cdot r + \lambda$* , *J. Differential Equations* 36 (1980), 408-424.
- [6] L. V. Kantorovich and G. P. Akilov, *Functional analysis* (Russian), Moscow 1977.
- [7] V. A. Kondratiev, *Boundary value problems for elliptic equations in domains with conical or angular points* (Russian), *Trudy Moskov. Mat. Obšč.* 16 (1987), 209-292.
- [8] J. L. Lions and E. Magenes, *Non-homogeneous boundary value problems and applications I*, Berlin, Heidelberg, New York 1972.
- [9] S. Pröbldorf, *Einige Klassen singulärer Gleichungen*, Berlin 1974.
- [10] E. V. Radkevich, *A theorem on a removable singularity for solutions of a class of linear partial differential equations* (Russian), *Uspehi Mat. Nauk* 32 (1977), 265-266.
- [11] R. T. Seeley, *Complex powers of an elliptic operator*, *Proc. Symp. Pure Math.* A.M.S. 10 (1967), 288-307.
- [12] D. V. Widder, *The Laplace transform*, Princeton 1946.

Presented to the Semester
 Partial Differential Equations
 September 11-December 16, 1978

MONOTONE OPERATORS WITH LINEAR RANGE

JENS FREHSE

*Institut für Angewandte Mathematik und Informatik
 der Universität Bonn, Bonn, F.R.G.*

The following theorem is a standard tool for existence proofs in the theory of non-linear elliptic boundary value problems, cf. [2], [13]:

THEOREM 0. *Let B a reflexive Banach space with dual B^* and let $T: B \rightarrow B^*$ be a continuous mapping which satisfies the monotonicity condition*

$$(1) \quad (Tu - Tv, u - v) \geq 0, \quad u, v \in B$$

and the coerciveness condition

$$(2) \quad (Tu, u) / \|u\| \rightarrow \infty \quad \text{as} \quad \|u\| \rightarrow \infty.$$

Then T is surjective.

Many generalizations of this theorem have been given, cf. [1], [2], [11], [14]. In applications to elliptic equations, the space B is a closed subspace of the usual Sobolev-space $H^{1,p}(\Omega)$ over a domain Ω of \mathbb{R}^n , containing the space $C_0^\infty(\Omega)$ of testfunctions. The mapping $T: B \rightarrow B^*$ then is defined by

$$(3) \quad (Tu, v) = \sum_{\alpha} \int_{\Omega} A_{\alpha}(x, u, \dots, \nabla^m u) \partial^{\alpha} v dx \quad (|\alpha| \leq m), \quad v \in B.$$

The notation uses multi-indices $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n)$ and the abbreviation $\partial^{\alpha} = \prod_i (\partial/\partial x_i)^{\alpha_i}$ ($i = 1, \dots, n$). If one assumes only an ellipticity condition

for the principal part of the operator $\sum_{\alpha} (-1)^{|\alpha|} \partial^{\alpha} A_{\alpha}$, and corresponding growth conditions for the A_{α} ($|\alpha| \leq m$), one cannot derive the "full" coerciveness of T as required in Theorem 0. With appropriate growth and ellipticity conditions it is possible to derive only a "Gårding-type inequality"

$$(4) \quad (Tu, u) \geq c \|u\|_{m,p}^p - K \|u\|_p^p - K$$