

Differential inequalities with unbounded operators in Banach spaces

by W. MŁAK (Kraków)

The present paper attempts to give an abstract formulation of theorems concerning differential inequalities. We apply the Hille-Yosida theory of semi-groups of linear bounded operators (see for instance [7]). More precisely, we deal with positivity-preserving semi-groups. Such semi-groups have been considered in connection with temporally homogeneous stochastic processes of Markoff type. For details in this field we refer to [3], [4], [5], [6], [17]. It must be remarked that infinitesimal generators of positivity-preserving semi-groups in concrete functional spaces of continuous or summable functions are in a certain sense second order elliptic operators possessing the maximum property (see [4], [15] and [18]). Thus the theory developed in the present paper combined with the results of the papers mentioned above gives immediately an operator-theoretical treatment of parabolic differential inequalities. Our theorems make it possible to consider countable systems of differential inequalities in the space (l) . It is sufficient in this case to combine our theorems with the theory of integration of Kolmogoroff equations of Markoff processes with a countable number of possible states (see [8]). However, a more detailed study in that field may be conducted by the application of methods similar to that used in [6] and [12].

In our investigations we make use of some theorems similar to the generalized mean value theorem (see [2], [11] and [16]). The relation of inequality is defined by means of a positive cone (see [7]).

For the terminology and some simple properties of semi-groups of operators we refer to [7].

We discuss linear differential inequalities in sections 2, 3 and 4. Section 5 concerns some simple almost linear differential inequalities.

1. Let E be a Banach space. The norm of $x \in E$ is denoted by $|x|$. By ξ, η, \dots we denote continuous and linear functionals over E . The totality of such functionals, i. e. the adjoint space of E is denoted by E^* . The real-valued functions of the real variable t are denoted by small Greek letters $\varphi, \psi, \sigma, \dots$ etc. The operator A is linear if it is additive and

homogeneous. The domain of A is denoted by $D[A]$. We deal only with operators whose domain and range are included in the same space E .

S is a cone if the following conditions hold:

- (α) if $x \in S$ and $y \in S$ then $x + y \in S$;
- (β) if $\lambda \geq 0$ and $x \in S$ then $\lambda x \in S$;
- (γ) S is closed.

We define the relation " \leq " as follows:

(δ)
$$x \leq y \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} y - x \in S.$$

Let S^* be the set of all functionals $\xi \in E^*$ such that $\xi x \geq 0$ for each $x \in S$. The lemma below follows immediately from the well known results of Mazur [10]:

LEMMA 1. Suppose that for every $\xi \in S^*$ the inequality $\xi x \geq 0$ holds. Then $x \in S$.

It is thus seen that S may be identified with the set of x -es satisfying $\xi x \geq 0$ with suitable ξ .

A linear operator is called *positive* if $Bx \geq \theta$ for $x \in S \cap D[B]$. We say that a certain condition holds *nearly everywhere* [19] if it holds everywhere except an at most denumerable set of points. For the sake of clarity we use in the following only the right-hand upper Dini derivative \bar{D}_+ . However, the properties discussed remain true if \bar{D}_+ is replaced by any other Dini derivative.

We now establish some notational conventions. The symbol w -lim denotes a weak limit, s -lim a strong one. Let $x(t)$ be defined in a neighborhood of t_0 . We define

$$D_+^w x(t_0) = w\text{-}\lim_{h \rightarrow 0+} \frac{x(t_0+h) - x(t_0)}{h},$$

$$D_+^s x(t_0) = s\text{-}\lim_{h \rightarrow 0+} \frac{x(t_0+h) - x(t_0)}{h},$$

$$x'(t_0) = s\text{-}\lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{x(t_0+h) - x(t_0)}{h}.$$

The right-hand weak (strong) partial differentiation is denoted by $\partial_+^w/\partial s$ ($\partial_+^s/\partial s$). The bilateral strong derivative is denoted by $\partial^s/\partial s$. The Bochner integral of $x(t)$ is shortly written as $\int_a^b x(\tau) d\tau$; the Pettis integral is denoted by (P) $\int_a^b x(\tau) d\tau$.

It follows from Zygmund's lemma that if $\varphi(t)$, $\psi(t)$ are continuous and satisfy nearly everywhere the inequality $\bar{D}_+ \varphi(t) \leq \psi(t)$, then

$\varphi(\tau_1) - \varphi(\tau_2) \leq \int_{\tau_1}^{\tau_2} \psi(\tau) d\tau$. For $\varphi(t)$ absolutely continuous and $\psi(t)$ summable, the inequality $\varphi'(t) \leq \psi(t)$ satisfied almost everywhere implies that $\varphi(\tau_1) - \varphi(\tau_2) \leq \int_{\tau_1}^{\tau_2} \psi(\tau) d\tau$. It is thus seen that the lemma below follows from lemma 1 combined with the definition of the Pettis integral (see for instance [7]).

LEMMA 2. Suppose that $x(t)$ and $y(t)$ satisfy one of the following conditions:

(1) The function $x(t)$ is weakly continuous and for every $\xi \in S^*$ there exists an at most denumerable set $Z_\xi \subset (0, a)$ such that $\bar{D}_+ \xi x(t) \leq \xi y(t)$ for $t \in (0, a) - Z_\xi$. The function $y(t)$ is weakly continuous and Pettis integrable.

(2) For every $\xi \in S^*$ the function $\xi x(t)$ is absolutely continuous and $\frac{d}{dt} \xi x(t) \leq \xi y(t)$ for $t \in (0, a) - Z_\xi$, $\text{mes} Z_\xi = 0$. The function $y(t)$ is Pettis integrable.

Then

(3)
$$x(t_2) - x(t_1) \leq (P) \int_{t_1}^{t_2} y(s) ds \quad (0 < t_1 < t_2).$$

2. Suppose that we are given a one-parameter family $A(t)$ ($0 < t < a$) of linear operators. Let the family $\{U(t, s)\}$ of linear bounded operators possess the following properties:

(4) $U(t, s)$ is positive for $0 < s \leq t$.

(5) The strong derivative $\frac{\partial_+^s U(t, s)x}{\partial s}$ ($s < t$) exists for $x \in D[A]$ and $\frac{\partial_+^s U(t, s)x}{\partial s} = -U(t, s)A(s)x$.

(6) For every $x \in E$ the function $u(s) = U(t, s)x$ is strongly continuous in s and $U(t, t) = I$.

THEOREM 1. Let $U(t, s)$ satisfy (4), (5) and (6). Suppose that $x(t)$, $y(t)$ are strongly continuous in $(0, a)$. We assume that $x(t) \in D[A(t)]$ ($0 < t < a$). Let the inequality $D_+^s x(t) \leq A(t)x(t) + y(t)$ be satisfied nearly everywhere in $(0, a)$. Then

(7)
$$x(t) \leq U(t, s)x(s) + \int_s^t U(t, \tau)y(\tau) d\tau \quad \text{for } 0 < s < t.$$

Proof. It follows from (4) that for a fixed t the inequality

(8)
$$U(t, s)D_+^s x(s) \leq U(t, s)A(s)x(s) + U(t, s)y(s)$$

holds nearly everywhere in $(0, t)$. Using (6) we conclude that $U(t, s)x(s)$ is continuous in s . Suppose that $D_+^s x(s_0)$ exists. It follows from the formula

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{h} [U(t, s_0+h)x(s_0+h) - U(t, s_0)x(s_0)] \\ &= \frac{1}{h} [U(t, s_0+h)x(s_0) - U(t, s_0)x(s_0)] + U(t, s_0+h)D_+^s x(s_0) + \\ & \quad + U(t, s_0+h)\frac{o(h)}{h} \end{aligned}$$

and from (6) that

$$(9) \quad \left(\frac{\partial_+^s U(t, s)x(s)}{\partial s} \right)_{s=s_0} = \left(\frac{\partial_+^s U(t, s)x(s_0)}{\partial s} \right)_{s=s_0} + U(t, s_0)D_+^s x(s_0).$$

By (5), (8) and (9) we get

$$(10) \quad \frac{\partial_+^s [U(t, s)x(s)]}{\partial s} \leq U(t, s)y(s)$$

nearly everywhere in $(0, t)$. Using (10) and lemma 2 we get

$$(11) \quad U(t, s_2)x(s_2) \leq U(t, s_1)x(s_1) + \int_{s_1}^{s_2} U(t, \tau)y(\tau)d\tau$$

for $s_1 < s_2 < t$. Let $s = s_1$ and $s_2 \rightarrow t$. (7) now follows from (11).

Some obvious consequences of theorem 1 must now be mentioned.

THEOREM 2. Let $U(t, s)$ satisfy (4), (5) and (6). Let $x_i(t)$, $y_i(t)$ ($i = 1, 2$) be strongly continuous in $(0, a)$. Let the inequalities

$$D_+^s x_1(t) \leq A(t)x_1(t) + y_1(t),$$

$$D_+^s x_2(t) \geq A(t)x_2(t) + y_2(t)$$

be satisfied nearly everywhere in $(0, a)$. Then

$$x_1(t) - x_2(t) \leq U(t, s)[x_1(s) - x_2(s)] + \int_s^t U(t, \tau)[y_1(\tau) - y_2(\tau)]d\tau \quad (s \leq t).$$

Moreover, if $x_1(0) \leq x_2(0)$ and $y_1(t) \leq y_2(t)$ then $x_1(t) \leq x_2(t)$.

Assumptions (4), (5) and (6) hold for $U(t, s) = T(t-s)$ where $\{T(\tau)\}$ is a positivity-preserving semi-group of class (C_0) : $A(t) = A$ and A is the infinitesimal generator of $\{T(\tau)\}$. Other conditions which ensure the existence of $U(t, s)$ satisfying (5) and (6) for time-dependent $A(t)$ are given in [9], [14]. In order to ensure (4) one must assume that the semi-groups generated by $A(t)$ are positive.

3. Suppose now that A is the infinitesimal generator of positivity-preserving semi-group $\{T(w)\}$ of class $(0, A)$. We shall prove the following theorem:

THEOREM 3. Let $x(t)$ be weakly continuous in $(0, a)$, and let the inequality

$$(12) \quad D_+^w x(t) \leq Ax(t)$$

be satisfied nearly everywhere in $(0, a)$. Then $x(t) \leq T(t-s)x(s)$ for $s < t$.

Proof. Define $x_\lambda(t)$ as follows: $x_\lambda(t) = \lambda R(\lambda, A)x(t)$. Suppose that $D_+^w x(t_0)$ exists and let $\xi \in E^*$. We have

$$\xi \frac{x_\lambda(t_0+h) - x_\lambda(t_0)}{h} = \xi \lambda R(\lambda, A) \frac{x(t_0+h) - x(t_0)}{h}.$$

But $x(t_0-h) - x(t_0)/h$ tends weakly to $D_+^w x(t_0)$ and $\xi \lambda R(\lambda, A) \in E^*$. Hence $D_+^w x(t_0) = \lambda R(\lambda, A)D_+^w x(t_0)$. The resolvent $R(\lambda, A)$ is positive. We thus infer by (12) that

$$(13) \quad D_+^w x_\lambda(t) \leq Ax_\lambda(t)$$

nearly everywhere and consequently

$$(14) \quad T(t-s)D_+^w x_\lambda(s) - T(t-s)Ax_\lambda(s) \leq \theta$$

nearly everywhere in $(0, t)$. Observe now that

$$(15) \quad [T(\tau_2) - T(\tau_1)]\lambda R(\lambda, A)x = \int_{\tau_1}^{\tau_2} T(\tau)\lambda A R(\lambda, A)x d\tau, \quad x \in E$$

$(0 < \tau_1 < \tau_2)$. This implies that

$$(16) \quad |[T(\tau_2) - T(\tau_1)]\lambda R(\lambda, A)x| \leq \sup_{\tau} \lambda |T(\tau)| |AR(\lambda, A)| |x| |\tau_2 - \tau_1|,$$

where sup is taken over an arbitrary compact interval of $(0, a)$ which includes τ_1 and τ_2 . Thus, the operator-valued function $T(t)\lambda R(\lambda, A)$ is continuous in t ($t > 0$) in the uniform operator topology. We will prove

now that the derivative $\frac{\partial_+^w T(t-s)x_\lambda(s)}{\partial s}$ exists nearly everywhere and is equal to the difference appearing in the left-hand member of (14). Let $\xi \in E^*$ and let $D_+^w x(t)$ exist at $t = t_0$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} & \xi \frac{T(t-t_0-h)x_\lambda(t_0+h) - T(t-t_0)x_\lambda(t_0)}{h} \\ &= -\xi \frac{T(t-t_0-h) - T(t-t_0)}{-h} x_\lambda(t_0) + \xi T(t-t_0-h) \frac{x_\lambda(t_0+h) - x_\lambda(t_0)}{h}. \end{aligned}$$

The first member in the right-hand sum tends to $-\xi AT(t-t_0)x_\lambda(t_0)$. The second member is equal to

$$(17) \quad \xi T(t-t_0-h)\lambda R(\lambda, A) \frac{x(t_0+h)-x(t_0)}{h} \\ = \xi [T(t-t_0-h)-T(t-t_0)]\lambda R(\lambda, A) \frac{x(t_0+h)-x(t_0)}{h} + \\ + \xi T(t-t_0) \frac{x_\lambda(t_0+h)-x_\lambda(t_0)}{h}.$$

Suppose that $h_n \rightarrow 0+$. The second member of the right-hand sum of (17) tends to $T(t-t_0)D_+^w x_\lambda(t_0)$. It follows from the weak convergence of $\frac{x(t_0+h_n)-x(t_0)}{h_n}$ that there is an $M > 0$ such that $\left| \frac{x(t_0+h_n)-x(t_0)}{h_n} \right| \leq M$.

By (16) we thus infer that

$$(18) \quad \left| \xi [T(t-t_0-h_n)-T(t-t_0)]\lambda R(\lambda, A) \frac{x(t_0+h_n)-x(t_0)}{h_n} \right| \leq MNh_n$$

with suitable N . From the previous part of the proof and from (18) it follows that $\frac{\partial_+^w (T(t-s)x_\lambda(s))}{\partial s}$ exists and is equal to the left-hand difference of (14). Hence the inequality

$$\frac{\partial_+^w (T(t-s)x_\lambda(s))}{\partial s} \leq \theta$$

holds nearly everywhere in $(0, t)$. Applying (16) one easily proves that $T(t-s)x_\lambda(s)$ is weakly continuous in s . We thus infer that $T(t-s_2)x_\lambda(s_2) \leq T(t-s_1)x_\lambda(s_1)$ for $s_1 < s_2$. But $s\text{-}\lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} x_\lambda(s) = x(s)$. It follows from the last inequality that $T(t-s_2)x(s_2) \leq T(t-s_1)x(s_1)$. Now introduce $\tau = t-s_2$. This leads to the following inequality: $T(\tau)x(s_2) \leq T(\tau)T(s_2-s_1)x(s_1)$. Thus

$$(19) \quad \lambda R(\lambda, A)x(s_2) = \lambda \int_0^\infty e^{-\lambda\tau} T(\tau)x(s_2) d\tau \\ \leq \lambda \int_0^\infty e^{-\lambda\tau} T(\tau)T(s_2-s_1)x(s_1) d\tau \\ = \lambda R(\lambda, A)T(s_2-s_1)x(s_1).$$

On the other hand, $s\text{-}\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} \lambda R(\lambda, A)x = x$ for $x \in E$. The assertion of our theorem follows from (19).

Remark 1. Suppose that $w\text{-}\lim x(t) = x(0) \leq \theta$. From (16) and from the assertion of theorem 3 it follows that $x_\lambda(t) \leq T(t-s)x_\lambda(s)$, and $w\text{-}\lim_{s \rightarrow 0+} T(t-s)x_\lambda(s) = T(t)x_\lambda(0) \leq \theta$. Hence $x(t) \leq \theta$.

Remark 2. Suppose that (12) is replaced by the following inequality:

$$D_+^w x(t) \leq Ax(t) + y(t).$$

We assume that $y(t)$ is weakly continuous and $T(t-s)y(s)$ is supposed to be Pettis integrable in s . Then the assertion of theorem 3 may be replaced by

$$x(t) \leq T(t-s)x(s) + (P) \int_s^t T(t-\tau)y(\tau) d\tau \quad (s < t).$$

We have the following generalization of a theorem of Reuter [13]:

THEOREM 4. Let A_1 and A_2 be infinitesimal generators of positivity-preserving semi-groups $T_1(t), T_2(t)$ of class $(0, A)$. Suppose that $D[A_1] = D[A_2] = D$ and $A_1 \leq A_2$. Then $T_1(t) \leq T_2(t)$ for $t > 0$.

Proof. Define $x_1(u) = T_1(u)x$ and let $x \in S \cap D$. It follows from the inequality $A_1 \leq A_2$ that

$$x_1'(u) = A_1 T_1(u)x \leq A_2 T_1(u)x = A_2 x_1(u).$$

By theorem 3 we get

$$e^{-\lambda s} T_1(\tau) T_1(s)x \leq e^{-\lambda s} T_2(\tau) T_1(s)x$$

for $0 < \tau < \infty, s > 0$. We thus obtain

$$(20) \quad T_1(\tau)\lambda R(\lambda, A_1)x \leq T_2(\tau)\lambda R(\lambda, A_1)x.$$

The inequality $T_1(\tau) \leq T_2(\tau)$ is now obtained from the following relations: $s\text{-}\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} \lambda R(\lambda, A_i)x = x$ for $x \in E, \overline{S \cap D} = S$.

It should be remarked that for semi-groups of class $(0, A)$ the condition

$$(21) \quad \theta \leq R(\lambda, A_1) \leq R(\lambda, A_2)$$

implies that $A_1 \leq A_2$. If $R(\lambda, A_1)$ and $R(\lambda, A_2)$ satisfy (21), then the inequality $T_1(u) \leq T_2(u)$ follows from the Hille inversion formula:

$$T_i(u)x = s\text{-}\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left\{ \frac{n}{u} R\left(\frac{n}{u}, A_i\right) \right\}^n x, \quad i = 1, 2.$$

4. The aim of this section is to discuss the case where a differential inequality holds almost everywhere. In what follows we assume that the function $x(t)$ possesses the strong derivative $x'(t)$ almost everywhere⁽¹⁾.

⁽¹⁾ The strong differentiability is not more restrictive than the weak one: see [1], th. 4.

For the sake of clarity it is supposed that $x(t)$ can be expressed as the indefinite Bochner integral of $x'(t)$, i. e. $x(\tau_2) - x(\tau_1) = \int_{\tau_1}^{\tau_2} x'(\tau) d\tau$ for $\tau_1, \tau_2 \in (0, a)$. Observe that $x(t)$ becomes now strongly absolutely continuous.

THEOREM 5. *Let A be an infinitesimal generator of a positivity-preserving semi-group $\{T(u)\}$ of class $(0, A)$. Suppose that the inequality*

$$(22) \quad x'(t) \leq Ax(t)$$

holds almost everywhere in $(0, a)$. Then

$$(23) \quad x(t) \leq T(t-s)x(s) \quad \text{for} \quad 0 < s < t.$$

Proof. Just as in the proof of theorem 3 we introduce the function $x_\lambda(t) = \lambda R(\lambda, A)x(t)$. It follows from (16) that the function $T(t-s)x_\lambda(s)$ is strongly absolutely continuous in s in an arbitrary compact interval of $(0, a)$. Using arguments similar to that used in the proof of theorem 3 we derive from (22) the inequality

$$\frac{\partial^s (T(t-s)x_\lambda(s))}{\partial s} \leq \theta.$$

This inequality holds almost everywhere and $T(t-s)x_\lambda(s)$ is absolutely continuous in s . By lemma 2 we thus obtain

$$T(t-s_2)x_\lambda(s_2) \leq T(t-s_1)x_\lambda(s_1) \quad (s_1 < s_2).$$

In order to prove (23) we can now apply a procedure used in the proof of theorem 3.

We will prove the following theorem:

THEOREM 6. *Suppose that A is an infinitesimal generator of a positivity-preserving semi-group $\{T(u)\}$ of class $(0, A)$. Let the function $x(t)$ be weakly absolutely continuous and Bochner integrable in any subinterval of $(0, a)$. Assume that $\int_{\tau_1}^{\tau_2} x(\tau) d\tau \in D[A]$ for $\tau_1, \tau_2 \in (0, a)$. Let $Ax(t)$ be Bochner integrable in any subinterval of $(0, a)$. It is supposed that for $\xi \in S^*$ the inequality*

$$(24) \quad \frac{d}{dt} \xi x(t) \leq \xi Ax(t)$$

holds for $t \in (0, a) - Z_\xi$, $\text{mes} Z_\xi = 0$.

Then $x(t)$ satisfies (23).

Proof. Define $w_h(t) = \int_t^{t+h} x(\tau) d\tau$. The integration of (24) shows that

$$(25) \quad \xi w((t+h) - x(t)) \leq \xi \int_t^{t+h} Ax(\tau) d\tau: \quad \xi \in S^*.$$

The operator A is closed. It follows from our assumptions and from th. 3.7.12 of [7] that

$$(26) \quad \xi \int_t^{t+h} Ax(\tau) d\tau = \xi Ax_h(t).$$

On the other hand, $\frac{d}{dt} w_h(t) = w_h(t+h) - w_h(t)$ for almost all t . Using (25) and (26) we infer that the inequality

$$\frac{d}{dt} w_h(t) \leq Ax_h(t)$$

holds almost everywhere. Obviously $w_h(t)$ satisfies the regularity assumptions needed in theorem 5. Hence $w_h(t) \leq T(t-s)w_h(s)$ and consequently

$$(27) \quad \frac{1}{h} \xi w_h(t) \leq \frac{1}{h} \xi T(t-s)w_h(s).$$

The function $w(t)$ is weakly continuous. We infer therefore that $\frac{1}{h} \xi w_h(t)$

$\rightarrow \xi w(t)$, $\frac{1}{h} \xi T(t-s)w_h(s) \rightarrow \xi T(t-s)w(s)$. The assertion of the theorem follows now from (27).

Remark 3. Suppose that $x(t)$ is merely weakly continuous. Let $Ax(t)$ be weakly continuous. Then (23) holds if (24) is replaced by the following condition: for each $\xi \in S^*$ the inequality $D_+ \xi x(t) \leq \xi Ax(t)$ holds nearly everywhere in $(0, a)$.

5. The purpose of this section is to discuss almost linear differential inequalities. For the sake of simplicity we restrict ourselves to the case of time-independent A . Throughout our investigations we assume that A is an infinitesimal generator of a positivity-preserving semi-group $\{T(u)\}$ of class (C_0) . We say that $f(t, x)$ defined in $\langle 0, a \rangle \times E$ increases in x if the condition $x_1 \leq x_2$ implies that $f(t, x_1) \leq f(t, x_2)$. In what follows we suppose that $f(t, x)$ is bounded, say $|f(t, x)| < M$.

THEOREM 7. *Let the function $x(t)$ be strongly continuous and let the inequality*

$$(28) \quad D_+^w x(t) \leq Ax(t) + f(t, x(t))$$

be satisfied nearly everywhere in $(0, a)$. Suppose that the transformation F defined by

$$(29) \quad z(t) \rightarrow \int_0^t T(t-\tau)f(\tau, z(\tau))d\tau$$

is completely continuous when considered in the space $C_E\langle 0, a \rangle$. Let the function $f(t, x)$ increase in x . Then there exists a solution $y(t)$ of the equation

$$(30) \quad y(t) = T(t)x(0) + \int_0^t T(t-\tau)f(\tau, y(\tau))d\tau$$

such that

$$(31) \quad x(t) \leq y(t) \quad \text{for } t \in \langle 0, a \rangle.$$

Proof. (28) implies that

$$(32) \quad x(t) \leq T(t)x(0) + \int_0^t T(t-\tau)f(\tau, x(\tau))d\tau.$$

Suppose that $M = \sup|f|$ and $N = \sup|T(t)|$. Let V be defined as follows:

$$z(\cdot) \in V \equiv z \in C_E\langle 0, a \rangle, \quad x(t) \leq z(t) \text{ in } \langle 0, a \rangle \text{ and } |z(t)| \leq N|x(0)| + M.$$

V is closed and bounded in $C_E\langle 0, a \rangle$. Using (32) and the monotonicity of $f(t, x)$ one easily verifies that $F(V) \subset V$. By Schauder's fixed point theorem we infer that there is a solution $y(t)$ of (30) and $y(\cdot) \in V$. Therefore $x(t) \leq y(t)$, q. e. d.

Using the method of successive approximations one easily proves the following theorem:

THEOREM 8. Let $f(t, x)$ be continuous and let it satisfy the condition

$$|f(t, x_1) - f(t, x_2)| \leq K|x_1 - x_2|.$$

Suppose that $f(t, x)$ increases in x . Let $x(t)$ be strongly continuous and let $x(t)$ satisfy (28). Then $x(t) \leq y(t)$, where $y(t)$ is the unique solution of (30).

References

- [1] A. Alexiewicz, *On differentiation of vector-valued functions*, Studia Math. 11 (1949), p. 185-196.
 [2] — *On a theorem of Ważewski*, Ann. Soc. Polon. Math. 24 (1951), p. 129-131.
 [3] W. Feller, *The general diffusion operator and positivity preserving semi-groups in one dimension*, Ann. of Math. 66 (1954) No. 3, p. 417-436.
 [4] — *On positivity preserving semi-groups of transformations on $C[r_1, r_2]$* , Ann. Soc. Polon. Math. 25 (1952), p. 85-94.
 [5] E. Hille, *The abstract Cauchy problem and Cauchy's problem for parabolic equations*, Journ. d'Analyse Math. 3 (1953/54), p. 81-106.

[6] — *Perturbation methods in the study of Kolmogoroff's equations*, Proc. Int. Congres. Math. 1954, Vol. III, Amsterdam 1956, p. 365-376.

[7] — and R. S. Phillips, *Functional analysis and semi-groups*, Amer. Soc. Col. Publ. 1957.

[8] T. Kato, *On the semi-groups generated by Kolmogoroff's differential equations*, Journ. Math. Soc. Japan 6 (1954) No. 1, p. 1-15.

[9] — *Integration of equation of evolution in Banach space*, Journ. Math. Soc. Japan 5 (1953), p. 208-234.

[10] S. Mazur, *Über konvexe Mengen in linearen normierten Räumen*, Studia Math. 4 (1933), p. 70-84.

[11] W. Mlak, *Differential inequalities in linear spaces*, Ann. Pol. Math. 5 (1958), p. 95-101.

[12] G. E. H. Reuter and W. Ledermann, *On the differential equations for the transition probabilities of Markov processes with enumerably many states*, Proc. Cambridge Phil. Soc. 49 (1953), p. 247-262.

[13] G. E. H. Reuter, *A note on contraction semi-groups*, Math. Scand. 3.2 (1955), p. 275-280.

[14] П. Е. Соболевский, *Обобщенные решения дифференциальных уравнений первого порядка в гильбертовом пространстве*, Доклады А. Н. СССР 122 (1958), p. 994-996.

[15] А. Д. Вентцель, *О граничных условиях для многомерных диффузионных процессов*, Теория вер. и её прим. 4 (1959), p. 172-185.

[16] T. Ważowski, *Une généralisation des théorèmes sur les accroissements finis au cas des espaces de Banach et application à la généralisation du théorème de l'Hôpital*, Ann. Soc. Polon. Math. 24 (1951), p. 132-147.

[17] K. Yosida, *An operator-theoretical treatment of temporarily homogeneous Markoff process*, Journ. Math. Soc. Japan 1 (1949), p. 244-253.

[18] — *On Brownian motion in a homogeneous Riemannian space*, Pacific Journ. of Math. 2 (1952), p. 263-270.

[19] I. S. Gál, *On the fundamental theorems of the calculus*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 86.2 (1957), p. 309-320.

INSTYTUT MATEMATYCZNY POLSKIEJ AKADEMII NAUK
 MATHEMATICAL INSTITUTE OF THE POLISH ACADEMY OF SCIENCES

Reçu par la Rédaction le 18. 10. 1959