

## Abstract separation theorems of Rodé type and their applications

by KAZIMIERZ NIKODEM (Bielsko-Biała), ZSOLT PÁLES (Debrecen) and  
SZYMON WĄSOWICZ (Bielsko-Biała)

**Abstract.** Sufficient and necessary conditions are presented under which two given functions can be separated by a function  $\Pi$ -affine in Rodé sense (resp.  $\Pi$ -convex,  $\Pi$ -concave). As special cases several old and new separation theorems are obtained.

**1. Introduction.** The starting point of our investigations is one of the most general versions of the Hahn–Banach theorem due to Rodé [13]. This abstract theorem states that if a function  $f$  is  $\Pi$ -concave,  $g$  is  $\Pi$ -convex and  $f \leq g$ , then there exists a  $\Pi$ -affine function  $h$  such that  $f \leq h \leq g$  (cf. the definitions below). A simpler proof of his result is given by König [7]. A geometric version of this separation theorem can be found in Páles [12]. The work [14] of Volkmann and Weigel offers an essential generalization of this result by showing that the linear combinations (in the definitions of the  $\Pi$ -convexity and concavity) can also be replaced by more abstract operations.

The above assumptions on  $f$  and  $g$  are sufficient but not necessary for  $f$  and  $g$  to admit a separation by a  $\Pi$ -affine function. In the present paper, we give a full characterization of functions which can be separated by  $\Pi$ -convex (resp.  $\Pi$ -concave,  $\Pi$ -affine) functions. As special cases of these results, we obtain several new and old separation (or sandwich) theorems, among them the theorems due to Kaufman, Kranz and Mazur–Orlicz.

**2. Notations.** The notations we use are similar to those of [7] (but our definition of a saturated family  $\Pi$  is different from that of [7]).

---

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: Primary 26A51, 39B72.

*Key words and phrases*: Rodé’s theorem, separation theorem; convex (midconvex), affine (Jensen) function; subadditive, additive, sublinear, linear function.

Research of Z. Páles supported by the Hungarian National Foundation for Scientific Research (OTKA), Grant No. T-016846.

Let  $X$  be a non-empty set. For every  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ , denote by  $\mathcal{P}^m(X)$  the family of all pairs  $(\sigma, s)$  such that  $\sigma : X^m \rightarrow X$  is an arbitrary function and there exist  $s_0 \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $s_1, \dots, s_m \in [0, \infty)$  such that  $s = [s_0, s_1, \dots, s_m] : \mathbb{R}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is an affine function defined by the formula

$$s(y_1, \dots, y_m) := s_0 + s_1 y_1 + \dots + s_m y_m.$$

(In the sequel the functions of the type  $\sigma : X^m \rightarrow X$  are called *operations*).

Put  $\mathcal{P}(X) = \bigcup_{m \in \mathbb{N}} \mathcal{P}^m(X)$ .

Let  $\Pi$  be a fixed subset of  $\mathcal{P}(X)$  and  $\Pi^m = \Pi \cap \mathcal{P}^m(X)$ ,  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ . The set  $\Pi$  is said to be *commutative* if for any  $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $(\sigma, s) \in \Pi^m$ ,  $(\tau, t) \in \Pi^n$ , the operations  $\sigma, \tau$  and  $s, t$  commute, i.e.

$$\sigma(\tau(x_1^1, \dots, x_n^1), \dots, \tau(x_1^m, \dots, x_n^m)) = \tau(\sigma(x_1^1, \dots, x_1^m), \dots, \sigma(x_n^1, \dots, x_n^m))$$

for all  $x_j^i \in X$  ( $i = 1, \dots, m$ ,  $j = 1, \dots, n$ ) and

$$s(t(y_1^1, \dots, y_n^1), \dots, t(y_1^m, \dots, y_n^m)) = t(s(y_1^1, \dots, y_1^m), \dots, s(y_n^1, \dots, y_n^m))$$

for all  $y_j^i \in \mathbb{R}$  ( $i = 1, \dots, m$ ,  $j = 1, \dots, n$ ).

It is easy to verify that  $s$  and  $t$  commute if and only if

$$s_0 + t_0(s_1 + \dots + s_m) = t_0 + s_0(t_1 + \dots + t_n).$$

This condition holds automatically in two important cases: (1) if  $s_0 = 0$  for all  $(\sigma, s) \in \Pi$ ; (2) if  $s_1 + \dots + s_m = 1$  for all  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $(\sigma, s) \in \Pi^m$ .

Given  $(\sigma, s) \in \Pi^m$  and  $(\tau_1, t^1) \in \Pi^{n_1}, \dots, (\tau_m, t^m) \in \Pi^{n_m}$ , we define an operation  $\sigma \circ (\tau_1, \dots, \tau_m) : X^{n_1 + \dots + n_m} \rightarrow X$  by

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma \circ (\tau_1, \dots, \tau_m)(x_1^1, \dots, x_{n_1}^1, \dots, x_1^m, \dots, x_{n_m}^m) \\ = \sigma(\tau_1(x_1^1, \dots, x_{n_1}^1), \dots, \tau_m(x_1^m, \dots, x_{n_m}^m)) \end{aligned}$$

and set

$$s \circ (t^1, \dots, t^m) = [s_0 + s_1 t_0^1 + \dots + s_m t_0^m, s_1 t_1^1, \dots, s_1 t_{n_1}^1, \dots, s_m t_1^m, \dots, s_m t_{n_m}^m].$$

We say that  $\Pi$  is *saturated* if  $(\text{id}, [0, 1]) \in \Pi^1$  and, for every  $(\sigma, s) \in \Pi^m$  and  $(\tau_1, t^1) \in \Pi^{n_1}, \dots, (\tau_m, t^m) \in \Pi^{n_m}$ , we have

$$(\sigma \circ (\tau_1, \dots, \tau_m), s \circ (t^1, \dots, t^m)) \in \Pi^{n_1 + \dots + n_m}.$$

A function  $f : X \rightarrow [-\infty, \infty)$  is called  $\Pi$ -convex if

$$f(\sigma(x_1, \dots, x_m)) \leq s_0 + s_1 f(x_1) + \dots + s_m f(x_m)$$

for all  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $(\sigma, s) \in \Pi^m$  and  $x_1, \dots, x_m \in X$ ;  $f$  is  $\Pi$ -concave if it satisfies the reverse inequality, and  $f$  is  $\Pi$ -affine if it is  $\Pi$ -convex and  $\Pi$ -concave. Here, as usual, we adopt the following conventions:

$$0 \cdot (-\infty) = 0, \quad c \cdot (-\infty) = -\infty \quad (\forall c > 0), \quad c + (-\infty) = -\infty \quad (\forall c \in \mathbb{R}).$$

It is easy to check that if a function is  $\Pi$ -convex (resp.  $\Pi$ -concave,  $\Pi$ -affine), then it is also  $\overline{\Pi}$ -convex (resp.  $\overline{\Pi}$ -concave,  $\overline{\Pi}$ -affine), where  $\overline{\Pi}$  is

the smallest saturated subset of  $\mathcal{P}(X)$  containing  $\Pi$ . If  $\Pi$  is commutative, then it can also be proved that the smallest saturated subset  $\bar{\Pi}$  of  $\mathcal{P}(X)$  is commutative as well. Therefore, we may restrict our attention to saturated classes in the rest of the paper.

### 3. The main results

**THEOREM 1.** *Let  $\Pi \subset \mathcal{P}(X)$  be saturated and  $f, g : X \rightarrow [-\infty, \infty)$ . The following two conditions are equivalent:*

- (i) *there exists a  $\Pi$ -convex function  $h : X \rightarrow [-\infty, \infty)$  such that  $f \leq h \leq g$ ;*
- (ii)  *$f(\tau(x_1, \dots, x_n)) \leq t_0 + \sum_{j=1}^n t_j g(x_j)$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $(\tau, t) \in \Pi^n$  and  $x_1, \dots, x_n \in X$ .*

**PROOF.** Since the implication (i) $\Rightarrow$ (ii) is obvious, it is enough to show its converse. For all  $x, x_1, \dots, x_n \in X$  and  $(\tau, t) \in \Pi^n$  with  $x = \tau(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ , we have

$$(1) \quad f(x) \leq t_0 + \sum_{j=1}^n t_j g(x_j).$$

In particular, taking  $(\text{id}, (0, 1)) \in \Pi^1$  and  $x = x_1$ , we get  $f(x) \leq g(x)$ . Define

$$A(x) = \left\{ t_0 + \sum_{j=1}^n t_j g(x_j) : n \in \mathbb{N}, x_1, \dots, x_n \in X \right. \\ \left. \text{and } (\tau, t) \in \Pi^n \text{ with } \tau(x_1, \dots, x_n) = x \right\}$$

and put

$$(2) \quad h(x) = \begin{cases} \inf A(x) & \text{if } A(x) \text{ is bounded below,} \\ -\infty & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

It follows from (1) that  $f(x) \leq h(x)$ . We also have  $h(x) \leq g(x)$ , because  $1 \cdot g(x) \in A(x)$ .

To show that  $h$  is  $\Pi$ -convex, fix arbitrarily  $x_1, \dots, x_m \in X$  and  $(\sigma, s) \in \Pi^m$ . If  $h(\sigma(x_1, \dots, x_m)) = -\infty$ , then trivially

$$(3) \quad h(\sigma(x_1, \dots, x_m)) \leq s_0 + \sum_{i=1}^m s_i h(x_i).$$

So, assume that  $h(\sigma(x_1, \dots, x_m))$  is finite and take arbitrary representations  $x_i = \tau_i(y_1^i, \dots, y_{n_i}^i)$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, m$ , where  $(\tau_i, t^i) \in \Pi^{n_i}$ . Since  $\Pi$  is saturated,  $(\sigma \circ (\tau_1, \dots, \tau_m), s \circ (t^1, \dots, t^m)) \in \Pi$  and

$$\sigma(x_1, \dots, x_m) = \sigma \circ (\tau_1, \dots, \tau_m)(y_1^1, \dots, y_{n_1}^1, \dots, y_1^m, \dots, y_{n_m}^m).$$

Hence, by condition (ii) of the theorem,

$$(4) \quad h(\sigma(x_1, \dots, x_m)) \leq s_0 + \sum_{i=1}^m s_i \left( t_0^i + \sum_{j=1}^{n_i} t_j^i g(y_j^i) \right).$$

The sums  $t_0^i + \sum_{j=1}^{n_i} t_j^i g(y_j^i)$  are arbitrary elements of the sets  $A(x_i)$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, m$ . Taking the infimum of  $A(x_i)$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, m$ , we get (3). ■

The next theorem gives a condition under which two functions  $f, g : X \rightarrow [-\infty, \infty)$  can be separated by a  $\Pi$ -concave function. In the case when  $f, g : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ , this result is an immediate consequence of the above theorem. The general case requires a separate (although similar) proof.

**THEOREM 2.** *Let  $\Pi \subset \mathcal{P}(X)$  be saturated and  $f, g : X \rightarrow [-\infty, \infty)$ . The following conditions are equivalent:*

- (i) *there exists a  $\Pi$ -concave function  $h : X \rightarrow [-\infty, \infty)$  such that  $f \leq h \leq g$ ;*
- (ii)  *$g(\tau(x_1, \dots, x_n)) \geq t_0 + \sum_{j=1}^n t_j f(x_j)$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $(\tau, t) \in \Pi^n$  and  $x_1, \dots, x_n \in X$ .*

**Proof.** The necessity is clear. To prove (ii) $\Rightarrow$ (i), fix an  $x \in X$ , define

$$B(x) = \left\{ t_0 + \sum_{j=1}^n t_j f(x_j) : n \in \mathbb{N}, x_1, \dots, x_n \in X \right. \\ \left. \text{and } (\tau, t) \in \Pi^n \text{ with } \tau(x_1, \dots, x_n) = x \right\}$$

and put

$$(5) \quad h(x) = \begin{cases} \sup B(x) & \text{if } B(x) \neq \{-\infty\}, \\ -\infty & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Since  $(\text{id}, 1) \in \Pi^1$ , we have  $B(x) \neq \emptyset$  and  $f(x) \leq h(x)$ . By (ii) and the definition of  $B(x)$ , we also get  $h(x) \leq g(x)$ . As in the proof of Theorem 1, it can be shown that  $h$  is  $\Pi$ -concave. ■

**THEOREM 3.** *Let  $\Pi \subset \mathcal{P}(X)$  be saturated and commutative, and  $f, g : X \rightarrow [-\infty, \infty)$ . The following conditions are equivalent:*

- (i) *there exists a  $\Pi$ -affine function  $h : X \rightarrow [-\infty, \infty)$  such that  $f \leq h \leq g$ ;*
- (ii)  *$s_0 + \sum_{i=1}^m s_i f(x_i) \leq t_0 + \sum_{j=1}^n t_j g(y_j)$  for all  $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $(\sigma, s) \in \Pi^m$ ,  $(\tau, t) \in \Pi^n$  and  $x_1, \dots, x_m, y_1, \dots, y_n \in X$  such that  $\sigma(x_1, \dots, x_m) = \tau(y_1, \dots, y_n)$ .*

**Proof.** The implication (i) $\Rightarrow$ (ii) is clear. We prove its converse. Using (ii) and the fact that  $(\text{id}, (0, 1)) \in \Pi^1$ , we obtain the inequalities appearing in Theorems 1 and 2. Hence we get a  $\Pi$ -convex function  $\bar{h} : X \rightarrow [-\infty, \infty)$

and a  $\Pi$ -concave function  $\underline{h} : X \rightarrow [-\infty, \infty)$  defined by (2) and (5) which separate  $f$  and  $g$ . Using (ii) once more, we infer that  $\underline{h} \leq \bar{h}$ . By the Theorem of Rodé (cf. [13]) and also by its extension due to Volkmann and Weigel [14], there exists a  $\Pi$ -affine function  $h : X \rightarrow [-\infty, \infty)$  separating  $\underline{h}$  and  $\bar{h}$  (and also  $f$  and  $g$ ). ■

We say that a function  $h : X \rightarrow [-\infty, \infty)$  supports  $g : X \rightarrow [-\infty, \infty)$  at a point  $x_0 \in X$  if  $h(x_0) = g(x_0)$  and  $h(x) \leq g(x)$  for all  $x \in X$ . As an immediate consequence of Theorem 3, we get the following

PROPOSITION 1. Let  $\Pi \subset \mathcal{P}(X)$  be saturated and commutative such that, for all  $(\sigma, s) \in \Pi^m$ , we have  $s_i > 0$  for  $i = 1, \dots, m$ . Assume that  $g : X \rightarrow [-\infty, \infty)$  is  $\Pi$ -convex,  $x_0 \in X$  and for all  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $(\sigma, s) \in \Pi^m$ ,

$$(6) \quad g(\sigma(x_0, \dots, x_0)) = s_0 + \sum_{i=1}^m s_i g(x_0).$$

Then there exists a  $\Pi$ -affine function  $h : X \rightarrow [-\infty, \infty)$  supporting  $g$  at  $x_0$ .

PROOF. Take the function  $f : X \rightarrow [-\infty, \infty)$  defined by

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} g(x_0) & \text{if } x = x_0, \\ -\infty & \text{if } x \neq x_0. \end{cases}$$

We show that  $f$  and  $g$  satisfy the condition (ii) of Theorem 3. Let  $(\sigma, s) \in \Pi^m$ ,  $(\tau, t) \in \Pi^n$ ,  $x_1, \dots, x_m, y_1, \dots, y_n \in X$  and  $\sigma(x_1, \dots, x_m) = \tau(y_1, \dots, y_n)$ . If  $x_i \neq x_0$  for some  $i \in \{1, \dots, m\}$  then  $f(x_i) = -\infty$  and (ii) holds. If  $x_i = x_0$  for all  $i \in \{1, \dots, m\}$ , then by (6) and by the  $\Pi$ -convexity of  $g$ , we get

$$\begin{aligned} s_0 + \sum_{i=1}^m s_i f(x_i) &= s_0 + \sum_{i=1}^m s_i g(x_0) = g(\sigma(x_0, \dots, x_0)) = g(\tau(y_1, \dots, y_n)) \\ &\leq t_0 + \sum_{j=1}^n t_j g(y_j). \end{aligned}$$

Then, by Theorem 3, there is a  $\Pi$ -affine function  $h : X \rightarrow [-\infty, \infty)$  separating  $f$  and  $g$ . Since  $h(x_0) = g(x_0)$ , this  $h$  supports  $g$  at  $x_0$ . ■

REMARK 1. If a function  $h : X \rightarrow [-\infty, \infty)$  is  $\Pi$ -affine and  $h(x_0) \neq -\infty$  for some  $x_0 \in X$  such that for every  $x \in X$ ,

$$x_0 \in \{\sigma(x, x_2, \dots, x_m) : (\sigma, s) \in \Pi^m, s_1 \neq 0, x_2, \dots, x_m \in X, m \in \mathbb{N}\},$$

then  $h$  has finite values only. Indeed, fix an  $x \in X$  and take  $(\sigma, s) \in \Pi^m$  with  $s_1 \neq 0$  and  $x_2, \dots, x_m \in X$  such that  $x_0 = \sigma(x, x_2, \dots, x_m)$ . Then

$$h(x_0) = h(\sigma(x, x_2, \dots, x_m)) = s_0 + s_1 h(x) + \sum_{i=2}^m s_i h(x_i),$$

which implies that  $h(x) \neq -\infty$ .

**4. Applications.** Many known as well as new results can be obtained as corollaries of Theorems 1–3 by an appropriate specification of  $X$  and  $\Pi$ . In this section we present several such results (for  $\Pi$ -convex and  $\Pi$ -affine case; the  $\Pi$ -concave versions are similar).

**4.1. Separation by subadditive and additive functions.** Let  $S$  be an abelian semigroup and  $\Pi = \{(\sigma_m, [0, 1, \dots, 1]) : m \in \mathbb{N}\}$ , where  $\sigma_m : S^m \rightarrow S$  are defined by  $\sigma_m(x_1, \dots, x_m) = x_1 + \dots + x_m$ . Clearly,  $\Pi$  is commutative and saturated, and a function  $f : S \rightarrow [-\infty, \infty)$  is  $\Pi$ -convex (resp.  $\Pi$ -affine) iff it is subadditive (resp. additive). Therefore, as a consequence of Theorems 1 and 3, we obtain the following corollaries. A direct proof of the first of them (for  $f, g : S \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ ) can be found in [10].

**COROLLARY 1.** *Let  $f, g : S \rightarrow [-\infty, \infty)$ . There exists a subadditive function  $h : S \rightarrow [-\infty, \infty)$  such that  $f \leq h \leq g$  iff*

$$f\left(\sum_{i=1}^m x_i\right) \leq \sum_{i=1}^m g(x_i)$$

for all  $x_1, \dots, x_m \in S$ ,  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ .

**COROLLARY 2.** *Let  $f, g : S \rightarrow [-\infty, \infty)$ . There exists an additive function  $h : S \rightarrow [-\infty, \infty)$  such that  $f \leq h \leq g$  iff*

$$(7) \quad \sum_{i=1}^m f(x_i) \leq \sum_{j=1}^n g(y_j)$$

for all  $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $x_1, \dots, x_m, y_1, \dots, y_n \in S$  such that  $\sum_{i=1}^m x_i = \sum_{j=1}^n y_j$ .

Condition (7) is satisfied if, in particular,  $g$  is subadditive,  $f$  is superadditive and  $f \leq g$ , or if  $g$  is subadditive and

$$(8) \quad \sum_{i=1}^m f(x_i) \leq g\left(\sum_{i=1}^m x_i\right)$$

for all  $x_1, \dots, x_m \in S$  and  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ . Therefore Corollary 2 generalizes the following two results.

**COROLLARY 3** (Kranz [8]). *If  $f : S \rightarrow [-\infty, \infty)$  is superadditive,  $g : S \rightarrow [-\infty, \infty)$  is subadditive and  $f \leq g$ , then there exists an additive function  $h : S \rightarrow [-\infty, \infty)$  separating  $f$  and  $g$ .*

**COROLLARY 4** (Kaufman [6]). *If  $f, g : S \rightarrow [-\infty, \infty)$  satisfy (8) and  $g$  is subadditive, then there exists an additive function  $h : S \rightarrow [-\infty, \infty)$  separating  $f$  and  $g$ .*

**4.2. Separation by midconvex and Jensen functions.** Let  $D$  be a convex subset of a real vector space. A function  $f : D \rightarrow [-\infty, \infty)$  is said to be

midconvex if

$$(9) \quad f\left(\frac{x+y}{2}\right) \leq \frac{f(x)+f(y)}{2}, \quad x, y \in D;$$

it is called a *Jensen function* if (9) holds with equality.

Let

$$II = \left\{ (\sigma_{k_1, \dots, k_m}, [0, 2^{-k_1}, \dots, 2^{-k_m}]) : m \in \mathbb{N}, \right. \\ \left. k_1, \dots, k_m \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}, \sum_{i=1}^m 2^{-k_i} = 1 \right\},$$

where  $\sigma_{k_1, \dots, k_m} : D^m \rightarrow D$  are defined by  $\sigma_{k_1, \dots, k_m}(x_1, \dots, x_m) = \sum_{i=1}^m 2^{-k_i} x_i$ . It is easy to check that  $II$  is commutative and saturated. Moreover,  $f$  is  $II$ -convex (resp.  $II$ -affine) iff it is a midconvex (resp. Jensen) function. Hence, using Theorems 1 and 3, we get the following results.

**COROLLARY 5.** *Let  $f, g : D \rightarrow [-\infty, \infty)$ . There exists a midconvex function  $h : D \rightarrow [-\infty, \infty)$  such that  $f \leq h \leq g$  iff*

$$(10) \quad f\left(\frac{1}{2^n} \sum_{i=1}^{2^n} x_i\right) \leq \frac{1}{2^n} \sum_{i=1}^{2^n} g(x_i)$$

for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $x_1, \dots, x_{2^n} \in D$ .

**Proof.** The necessity is obvious. To prove the sufficiency, we show that (10) yields

$$(11) \quad f\left(\sum_{i=1}^m 2^{-k_i} x_i\right) \leq \sum_{i=1}^m 2^{-k_i} g(x_i)$$

for all  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $x_1, \dots, x_m \in D$  and  $k_1, \dots, k_m \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$  with  $\sum_{i=1}^m 2^{-k_i} = 1$ .

Indeed, take  $x_1, \dots, x_m \in D$  and  $k_1, \dots, k_m$  as above and define

$$(\bar{x}_1, \dots, \bar{x}_{2^n}) = (\underbrace{x_1, \dots, x_1}_{2^{n-k_1} \text{ times}}, \dots, \underbrace{x_m, \dots, x_m}_{2^{n-k_m} \text{ times}}),$$

where  $n$  is defined to be the maximum of the integers  $k_1, \dots, k_m$ . If we apply (10) to the elements  $\bar{x}_1, \dots, \bar{x}_{2^n}$ , the resulting inequality reduces to (11). Now, applying Theorem 1, we get the existence of a separating midconvex function. ■

The proof of the following result is completely analogous to that of the previous corollary.

**COROLLARY 6.** *Let  $f, g : D \rightarrow [-\infty, \infty)$ . There exists a Jensen function  $h : D \rightarrow [-\infty, \infty)$  such that  $f \leq h \leq g$  iff*

$$(12) \quad \sum_{i=1}^{2^n} f(x_i) \leq \sum_{i=1}^{2^n} g(y_i)$$

for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $x_1, \dots, x_{2^n}, y_1, \dots, y_{2^n} \in D$  such that  $\sum_{i=1}^{2^n} x_i = \sum_{i=1}^{2^n} y_i$ .

Proof. It suffices to prove that (12) is equivalent to the following condition:

$$\sum_{i=1}^m 2^{-k_i} f(x_i) \leq \sum_{j=1}^n 2^{-l_j} g(y_j)$$

for all  $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $k_1, \dots, k_m, l_1, \dots, l_n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$  and  $x_1, \dots, x_m, y_1, \dots, y_n \in D$  such that  $\sum_{i=1}^m 2^{-k_i} = \sum_{j=1}^n 2^{-l_j} = 1$  and  $\sum_{i=1}^m 2^{-k_i} x_i = \sum_{j=1}^n 2^{-l_j} y_j$ .

Then, by Theorem 3, the result follows. ■

**4.3. Separation by convex and affine functions.** Let  $D$  be a convex subset of a real vector space and

$$\Pi = \left\{ (\sigma_{s_1, \dots, s_m}, [0, s_1, \dots, s_m]) : m \in \mathbb{N}, s_1, \dots, s_m \geq 0, \sum_{i=1}^m s_i = 1 \right\},$$

where  $\sigma_{s_1, \dots, s_m} : D^m \rightarrow D$ ,  $\sigma_{s_1, \dots, s_m}(x_1, \dots, x_m) = \sum_{i=1}^m s_i x_i$ . Then  $\Pi$  is commutative and saturated and a function  $f : D \rightarrow [-\infty, \infty)$  is  $\Pi$ -convex (resp.  $\Pi$ -affine) iff it is convex (resp. affine) in the usual sense. By Theorem 1, we get the following result due to Baron, Matkowski and Nikodem (in the case  $f, g : D \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ ) [1, Theorem 1b].

**COROLLARY 7.** *Let  $f, g : D \rightarrow [-\infty, \infty)$ . There exists a convex function  $h : D \rightarrow [-\infty, \infty)$  such that  $f \leq h \leq g$  iff*

$$(13) \quad f\left(\sum_{i=1}^m s_i x_i\right) \leq \sum_{i=1}^m s_i g(x_i)$$

for all  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $x_1, \dots, x_m \in D$  and  $s_1, \dots, s_m \geq 0$  summing up to 1.

**REMARK 2.** It is proved in [1] that if  $D$  is a convex subset of  $\mathbb{R}^k$ , then it is enough to take in (13) the convex combinations of  $k + 1$  points. In particular, two real functions  $f, g$  defined on an interval  $I \subset \mathbb{R}$  can be separated by a convex function iff

$$f(sx + (1-s)y) \leq sg(x) + (1-s)g(y), \quad x, y \in I, s \in [0, 1].$$

The next result is a direct consequence of Theorem 3. It can also be found in [4, p. 35].

**COROLLARY 8.** *Let  $f, g : D \rightarrow [-\infty, \infty)$ . There exists an affine function  $h : D \rightarrow [-\infty, \infty)$  such that  $f \leq h \leq g$  iff*

$$(14) \quad \sum_{i=1}^m s_i f(x_i) \leq \sum_{j=1}^n t_j g(y_j)$$



for all  $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $s_1, \dots, s_m, t_1, \dots, t_n \geq 0$  and  $x_1, \dots, x_m, y_1, \dots, y_n \in D$  such that  $\sum_{i=1}^m s_i = \sum_{j=1}^n t_j = 1$  and  $\sum_{i=1}^m s_i x_i = \sum_{j=1}^n t_j y_j$ .

REMARK 3. A similar result for real functions defined on a convex subset of  $\mathbb{R}^k$  is obtained in [2]. In that case, it is enough to take in (14)  $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $m + n = k + 2$ . For  $k = 1$ , we get the result obtained earlier by Nikodem and Waśowicz [11] stating that two real functions  $f, g$  defined on an interval  $I \subset \mathbb{R}$  can be separated by an affine function iff

$$\begin{cases} f(sx + (1 - s)y) \leq sg(x) + (1 - s)g(y), \\ g(sx + (1 - s)y) \geq sf(x) + (1 - s)f(y) \end{cases}$$

for all  $x, y \in I$  and  $s \in [0, 1]$ .

4.4. Separation by sublinear and linear functions. Let  $E$  be a real vector space and

$$\Pi = \{(\sigma_{s_1, \dots, s_m}, [0, s_1, \dots, s_m]) : m \in \mathbb{N}, s_1, \dots, s_m \geq 0\},$$

where  $\sigma_{s_1, \dots, s_m} : E^m \rightarrow E$  is given by  $\sigma_{s_1, \dots, s_m}(x_1, \dots, x_m) = \sum_{i=1}^m s_i x_i$ . Obviously,  $\Pi$  is commutative and saturated, and a function  $f : E \rightarrow [-\infty, \infty)$  is  $\Pi$ -convex (resp.  $\Pi$ -affine) iff it is sublinear (resp. linear). In this case, Theorem 1 reduces to the following result (for the real case cf. [10]).

COROLLARY 9. Let  $f, g : E \rightarrow [-\infty, \infty)$ . There exists a sublinear function  $h : E \rightarrow [-\infty, \infty)$  such that  $f \leq h \leq g$  iff

$$f\left(\sum_{i=1}^m s_i x_i\right) \leq \sum_{i=1}^m s_i g(x_i)$$

for all  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $x_1, \dots, x_m \in E$  and  $s_1, \dots, s_m \geq 0$ .

The next result is a consequence of Theorem 3.

COROLLARY 10. Let  $f, g : E \rightarrow [-\infty, \infty)$ . There exists a linear function  $h : E \rightarrow [-\infty, \infty)$  such that  $f \leq h \leq g$  iff

$$(15) \quad \sum_{i=1}^m s_i f(x_i) \leq \sum_{j=1}^n t_j g(y_j)$$

for all  $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $s_1, \dots, s_m, t_1, \dots, t_n \geq 0$  and  $x_1, \dots, x_m, y_1, \dots, y_n \in E$  such that  $\sum_{i=1}^m s_i x_i = \sum_{j=1}^n t_j y_j$ .

Condition (15) is satisfied if, in particular,  $g$  is sublinear and

$$(16) \quad \sum_{i=1}^m s_i f(x_i) \leq g\left(\sum_{i=1}^m s_i x_i\right)$$

for all  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $x_1, \dots, x_m \in E$  and  $s_1, \dots, s_m \geq 0$ . Hence we get a result which is an analogue of Kaufman's theorem (cf. Corollary 4 above). It is also a special version of the well known Mazur–Orlicz Theorem [9].

COROLLARY 11. *If  $f, g : E \rightarrow [-\infty, \infty)$  satisfy (16) and  $g$  is sublinear, then there exists a linear function  $h : E \rightarrow [-\infty, \infty)$  separating  $f$  and  $g$ .*

By the above result (using a method similar to [6]), we can also obtain the full version of the Mazur–Orlicz Theorem.

COROLLARY 12 ([9, Theorem 2.41]; cf. also [3, Theorem 37]). *Let  $T$  be a non-empty set and  $\varphi : T \rightarrow E$ ,  $\alpha : T \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ . Assume that  $g : E \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is a sublinear function. Then the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (i) *there exists a linear function  $h : E \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  such that  $h \leq g$  on  $E$  and  $\alpha(u) \leq h(\varphi(u))$ ,  $u \in T$ ;*
- (ii)  *$\sum_{i=1}^m s_i \alpha(u_i) \leq g(\sum_{i=1}^m s_i \varphi(u_i))$  for all  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $s_1, \dots, s_m \geq 0$  and  $u_1, \dots, u_m \in T$ .*

Proof. The implication (i) $\Rightarrow$ (ii) is obvious. To prove the converse take

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} \sup\{\alpha(u) : u \in T \text{ such that } \varphi(u) = x\} & \text{if } x \in \varphi(T), \\ -\infty & \text{if } x \notin \varphi(T). \end{cases}$$

By (ii),  $f : E \rightarrow [-\infty, \infty)$  is well defined and  $f \leq g$ . We show that  $f$  and  $g$  satisfy (16). Fix  $s_1, \dots, s_m \geq 0$  and  $x_1, \dots, x_m \in E$ . If  $x_i \notin \varphi(T)$  for some  $i \in \{1, \dots, m\}$ , then (16) is obvious. If  $x_i \in \varphi(T)$  for all  $i \in \{1, \dots, m\}$ , then for arbitrary  $u_i \in T$  such that  $\varphi(u_i) = x_i$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, m$ , we have

$$\sum_{i=1}^m s_i \alpha(u_i) \leq g\left(\sum_{i=1}^m s_i x_i\right).$$

Taking the suprema over all  $u_i$  we obtain (16). By Corollary 11, there exists a linear function  $h : E \rightarrow [-\infty, \infty)$  separating  $f$  and  $g$ . Notice that  $h$  is finite. Indeed, fix an  $x_0 \in \varphi(T)$  and take any  $x \in E$ . Then  $-\infty < h(x_0) = h(x_0 - x) + h(x)$ , whence  $h(x) > -\infty$ . It is easy to see that  $h$  satisfies (i). ■

Note that the condition (16) is satisfied if, in particular,  $f$  is concave and  $g$  is sublinear. Therefore, the following theorem of Hirano, Komiya and Takahashi is a consequence of Corollary 11.

COROLLARY 13 ([5, Theorem 1]). *Let  $g : E \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be sublinear,  $C \subset E$  be a non-empty convex set and let  $f : C \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a concave function such that  $f(x) \leq g(x)$  for all  $x \in C$ . Then there exists a linear function  $h : E \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  such that  $f(x) \leq h(x)$ ,  $x \in C$ , and  $h \leq g$  on  $E$ .*

Proof. Let  $E_0$  be the subspace of  $E$  generated by  $C$ . Put

$$\bar{f}(x) = \begin{cases} f(x) & \text{if } x \in C, \\ -\infty & \text{if } x \in E_0 \setminus C. \end{cases}$$

It is easy to check that  $\bar{f} : E_0 \rightarrow [-\infty, \infty)$  is a concave function such that  $\bar{f} \leq g$  on  $E_0$ . Moreover,  $\bar{f}$  and  $g$  satisfy (16) on  $E_0$ . By Corollary 11 there exists a linear function  $\bar{h} : E_0 \rightarrow [-\infty, \infty)$  such that  $\bar{f} \leq \bar{h} \leq g$  on  $E_0$ . Since

$\bar{f}$  is finite on  $C$ , also  $\bar{h}$  must be finite on  $E_0$ . Now, by the Hahn–Banach Theorem we get a linear extension  $h : E \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  of  $\bar{h}$  such that  $h \leq g$  on  $E$ . Clearly,  $f \leq h$  on  $C$ . ■

### References

- [1] K. Baron, J. Matkowski and K. Nikodem, *A sandwich with convexity*, Math. Pannonica 5 (1994), 139–144.
- [2] E. Behrends and K. Nikodem, *A selection theorem of Helly type and its applications*, Studia Math. 116 (1995), 43–48.
- [3] G. Buskes, *The Hahn–Banach Theorem surveyed*, Dissert. Math. 327 (1993).
- [4] B. Fuchssteiner and W. Lusky, *Convex Cones*, North-Holland Math. Stud. 56, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1981.
- [5] N. Hirano, H. Komiya and W. Takahashi, *A generalization of the Hahn–Banach theorem*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 88 (1982), 333–340.
- [6] R. Kaufman, *Interpolation of additive functionals*, Studia Math. 27 (1966), 269–272.
- [7] H. König, *On the abstract Hahn–Banach Theorem due to Rodé*, Aequationes Math. 34 (1987), 89–95.
- [8] P. Kranz, *Additive functionals on abelian semigroups*, Comment. Math. Prace Mat. 16 (1972), 239–246.
- [9] S. Mazur et W. Orlicz, *Sur les espaces métriques linéaires II*, Studia Math. 13 (1953), 137–179.
- [10] K. Nikodem, E. Sadowska and S. Waśowicz, *A note on separation by subadditive and sublinear functions*, Ann. Mat. Sil., to appear.
- [11] K. Nikodem and S. Waśowicz, *A sandwich theorem and Hyers–Ulam stability of affine functions*, Aequationes Math. 49 (1995), 160–164.
- [12] Z. Páles, *Geometric versions of Rodé’s theorem*, Rad. Mat. 8 (1992), 217–229.
- [13] G. Rodé, *Eine abstrakte Version des Satzes von Hahn–Banach*, Arch. Math. (Basel) 31 (1978), 474–481.
- [14] P. Volkmann and H. Weigel, *Systeme von Funktionalgleichungen*, *ibid.* 37 (1981), 443–449.

Department of Mathematics  
 Technical University of Łódź  
 Branch in Bielsko-Biała  
 Willowa 2  
 43-309 Bielsko-Biała, Poland  
 E-mail: knik@merc.pb.bielsko.pl  
 swasowicz@pb.bielsko.pl

Institute of Mathematics  
 L. Kossuth University  
 H-4010 Debrecen, Pf. 12  
 Hungary  
 E-mail: pales@borel.math.klte.hu

*Reçu par la Rédaction le 3.12.1997*  
*Révisé le 20.7.1999*