

where  $z_n(x) = g_n(x)/M_n$  ( $n = 1, 2, \dots$ ). Moreover, in virtue of (\*), (12), (13), (14) and (24), we have

$$(32) \quad \sup_{x \in I_0} |z_n(x)| = 1 \quad (n = 1, 2, \dots),$$

$$(33) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \Delta_h^{(k)} z_n(x) = 0$$

and for each rational  $w$

$$(34) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} z_n(w) = 0.$$

Further, if  $x + jh \in I_0$  ( $j = 0, 1, \dots, k-1$ ), then, according to (32),

$$\begin{aligned} |z_n(x + kh)| &\leq |\Delta_h^{(k)} z_n(x)| + \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} \binom{k}{j} |z_n(x + jh)| \\ &\leq |\Delta_h^{(k)} z_n(x)| + 2^k \quad (n = 1, 2, \dots). \end{aligned}$$

Hence and from (33) it follows immediately that

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} |z_n(x + kh)| \leq 2^k.$$

By iterating of this procedure we finally obtain for every finite interval  $I$  the inequality

$$\sup_{x \in I} \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} |z_n(x)| < \infty.$$

Hence and from (33) and (34), applying lemma 2, we obtain the convergence  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} z_n(x) = 0$  for each  $x$ , which contradicts (31). The theorem is thus proved.

#### References

[1] G. Hamel, *Eine Basis aller Zahlen und die unstetige Lösungen der Funktionalgleichung  $f(x+y) = f(x) + f(y)$* , Math. Ann. 60 (1905), p. 459-462.

[2] H. Whitney, *On functions with bounded  $n^{\text{th}}$  differences*, Journal de Math. pures et appliquées 36 (1957), p. 67-95.

INSTYTUT MATEMATYCZNY POLSKIEJ AKADEMII NAUK  
MATHEMATICAL INSTITUTE OF THE POLISH ACADEMY OF SCIENCES

Reçu par la Rédaction le 22. 5. 1958

## On a certain method of Toeplitz

by L. WŁODARSKI (Łódź)

When considering a method of summability we come across a question of basic importance, namely that of the domain in which that method sums the analytical expansion  $\sum a_n z^n$  of the function  $f(z)$  to the function  $f(z)$ . The limitability of the geometrical sequence  $(a^n)$  plays a decisive part in considerations of this kind. The range of classical methods, as far as the limitability of a geometrical sequence is concerned, is rather restricted. The mean methods (the methods of Hölder and Cesàro), and the continuous methods of Abel-Poisson limit a geometrical sequence within the closed circle  $|a| \leq 1$ . The method of Euler  $(E, k)$  limits a geometrical sequence within an open circle  $|a+k| < k+1$ , adding the point  $a = 1$  (see for instance [1], p. 178 below), whereas the classical method of Borel limits a geometrical sequence within the open half-plane  $\operatorname{re} a < 1$ , adding the point  $a = 1$  (see [1], p. 183, th. 128).

In this paper we define a permanent method of Toeplitz which limits a geometrical sequence all over the complex plane, namely for  $a = 1$  to one, for  $a$  real greater than one to  $\infty$ , and for any other complex  $a$  to zero. In this way the method in question sums the geometrical series  $\sum z^n$  to the function  $1/(1-z)$  all over the complex plane, with the exception of real numbers  $z \geq 1$ .

A sequence transformed by this method we define as follows:

$$(1) \quad \eta_m = 2^{-m} e^{-m} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{m^n \cdot 2^{-m}}{\Gamma(n \cdot 2^{-m} + 1)} \xi_n.$$

The construction of this method is connected with Borel's continuous method  $B_k$  ([4], p. 143) defined by the formula

$$B_k(t, x) = 2^k e^{-t} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{t^{n \cdot 2^k}}{\Gamma(n \cdot 2^k + 1)} \xi_n.$$

Indeed, we have

$$(2) \quad \eta_m = B_{-m}(m, \alpha);$$

this means that the  $m$ -th term of the transform by the method defined thus is "taken out" of the transform by the method  $B_{-m}$  (with  $t = m$ ).

To begin our considerations we give the following lemma:

LEMMA 1. For any complex  $a$ , non-negative  $t$  and  $q$  which is a positive integer the following formula is true:

$$(3) \quad \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{t^{n+2^{-q}} a^n}{\Gamma(n \cdot 2^{-q} + 1)} = e^{a^{2^q} t} \left[ 1 + \sum_{r=1}^{2^q-1} \frac{\varepsilon^r}{\Gamma(r \cdot 2^{-q})} \int_0^{a^{2^q} t} e^{-u} u^{r \cdot 2^{-q} - 1} du \right]$$

where  $\varepsilon$  is one of the roots  $\sqrt[2^q]{1}$  chosen suitable for  $a$ . If, for  $u = \rho(\cos \varphi + i \sin \varphi)$ , where  $0 \leq \arg u = \varphi < 2\pi$ , by  $u^r$  we mean  $\rho^r(\cos r\varphi + i \sin r\varphi)$ , then  $\varepsilon = 1$  if and only if

$$(*) \quad 0 \leq \arg a < \pi \cdot 2^{-q+1}.$$

Proof. In order to prove the above lemma we define a function

$$(4) \quad f(v) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{v^{n+2^{-q}}}{\Gamma(n+2^{-q}+1)} = v^{2^{-q}} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{v^n}{\Gamma(n+2^{-q}+1)}$$

where  $v^x$  is understood in the above sense, and  $q$  is a fixed integer. Function (4) satisfies the differential equation

$$(5) \quad f'(v) - f(v) = \frac{v^{2^{-q}-1}}{\Gamma(2^{-q})}$$

where  $f(0) = 0$ . Hence the function is

$$(6) \quad f(v) = \frac{e^v}{\Gamma(2^{-q})} \int_0^v e^{-u} u^{2^{-q}-1} du;$$

we integrate along the segment connecting the points 0 and  $v$ . Now let us consider the function

$$(7) \quad g(a, t) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{t^{n+2^{-q}} a^{n \cdot 2^q + 1}}{\Gamma(n+2^{-q}+1)}.$$

According to the above sense of raising to a power if  $a = \rho e^{i\beta}$  ( $0 \leq \beta < 2\pi$ ), we have

$$(8) \quad (a^{2^q})^{2^{-q}} = [\rho^{2^q} e^{i2^q \beta}]^{2^{-q}} = \rho [e^{i(2^q \beta - 2\pi)}]^{2^{-q}} = a e^{-i(2^{-q} - 1)\pi}$$

where

$$(9) \quad l = \text{Bnt} \left( \frac{2^{q-1} \beta}{\pi} \right) \quad (\beta = \arg a).$$

Comparing (4), (7) and (8) we receive

$$(10) \quad g(a, t) = \varepsilon f(t \cdot a^{2^q})$$

where

$$(11) \quad \varepsilon = e^{-il(2^{-q} - 1)\pi}$$

and  $l$  is defined by (9). It follows from formula (11) that

$$(12) \quad \varepsilon^{2^q} = 1.$$

Taking into account (6), (7) and (10) we have

$$(13) \quad \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{t^{n+2^{-q}} a^{n \cdot 2^q + 1}}{\Gamma(n+2^{-q}+1)} = \frac{\varepsilon e^{a^{2^q} t}}{\Gamma(2^{-q})} \int_0^{a^{2^q} t} e^{-u} u^{2^{-q}-1} du.$$

Likewise for  $1 \leq r < 2^q$  we have

$$(14) \quad \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{t^{n+r \cdot 2^{-q}} a^{n \cdot 2^q + r}}{\Gamma(n+r \cdot 2^{-q}+1)} = \frac{\varepsilon^r e^{a^{2^q} t}}{\Gamma(r \cdot 2^{-q})} \int_0^{a^{2^q} t} e^{-u} u^{r \cdot 2^{-q} - 1} du.$$

And we also have the obvious equality

$$(15) \quad \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{t^n a^{n \cdot 2^q}}{\Gamma(n+1)} = e^{a^{2^q} t}.$$

Adding by parts equality (15) and equalities (14) for  $r = 1, 2, \dots, 2^q - 1$  we receive equality (3), where  $\varepsilon$  is defined by (11) and satisfies condition (12). Let us observe that  $\varepsilon = 1$  if  $l = 0$ . In virtue of formula (9) the equality  $l = 0$  is equivalent to inequality (\*). In this way the lemma has been proved completely.

THEOREM I. The method of Toeplitz defined by the matrix

$$(17) \quad a_{mn} = 2^{-m} e^{-m} \frac{m^{n \cdot 2^{-m}}}{\Gamma(n \cdot 2^{-m} + 1)}$$

is permanent, i. e. it limits convergent sequences to their ordinary limits.

Proof. As we know (see for instance [3], p. 117) the following conditions are necessary and sufficient for a Toeplitz method to be permanent (regular):

$$1^\circ \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} a_{mn} = 0 \text{ for } n = 0, 1, 2, \dots,$$

$$2^\circ \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_{mn} = 1,$$

$$3^\circ \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |a_{mn}| \leq K < \infty \text{ where } K \text{ does not depend on } m.$$

Condition 1° is plainly satisfied. We receive the sum  $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_{mn}$  appearing in condition 2° by putting in formula (3)  $a = 1$ ,  $t = q = m$  and multiplying both sides of the equality obtained by  $2^{-m} e^{-m}$ ; for  $a = 1$  we plainly have  $\varepsilon = 1$ . Hence we have

$$(18) \quad \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_{mn} = 2^{-m} \left[ 1 + \sum_{r=1}^{2^m-1} \frac{1}{\Gamma(r \cdot 2^{-m})} \int_0^m e^{-u} u^{r \cdot 2^{-m}-1} du \right].$$

Using a well-known formula

$$(19) \quad \Gamma(\alpha) = \int_0^{\infty} e^{-u} u^{\alpha-1} du$$

we have the inequality

$$(20) \quad 1 - d_m \leq \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_{mn} \leq 1$$

where

$$(21) \quad d_m = 2^{-m} \sum_{r=1}^{2^m-1} \frac{1}{\Gamma(r \cdot 2^{-m})} \int_0^{\infty} e^{-u} u^{r \cdot 2^{-m}-1} du.$$

Further, we notice that for  $0 < r < 2^m$  we have

$$(22) \quad \int_m^{\infty} e^{-u} u^{r \cdot 2^{-m}-1} du < \int_m^{\infty} e^{-u} du = e^{-m}.$$

On the other hand, we know (see for instance [2], p. 29) that

$$(23) \quad \Gamma(\alpha) > 1 \quad \text{for } 0 < \alpha < 1.$$

It follows from equalities (21), (22) and (23) that  $0 < d_m < e^{-m}$  and taking into account equality (20) we have

$$(24) \quad 1 - e^{-m} < \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_{mn} < 1.$$

It follows from inequality (24) that condition 2° of the permanence of the method is satisfied.

We notice that all the elements of the matrix  $a_{mn}$  are positive, whence it also follows from inequality (24) that the permanent condition 3° is satisfied and  $K = 1$ . In this way the proof of the theorem I has been given.

LEMMA 2. The functions defined by the formula

$$(25) \quad \eta(b, \alpha, t) = e^{bt} \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^{bt} e^{-u} u^{\alpha-1} du$$

(where the integration-path on the right is a straight line) are uniformly bounded by number 6 for all real  $t \geq 0$ ,  $\alpha$  real, satisfying the inequality  $0 < \alpha < 1$  and  $b$  complex with their real part  $\text{reb} \leq 0$ .

Proof. We notice that  $\eta(0, \alpha, t) = 0$ , whence we may assume that  $b \neq 0$ . Likewise we may assume without reducing generality that

$$(26) \quad |b| = 1.$$

For it can be seen from the formula

$$(27) \quad \eta(b, \alpha, t) = \eta(b_1, \alpha, \varrho t) \quad \text{where } b_1 = b/\varrho, \quad \varrho = |b|$$

that if functions (25) are bounded by number  $M$  for  $b_1$  lying on the circle  $|b_1| = 1$ , then they are also bounded by the same number  $M$  for all  $b$ .

In the integral on the right-hand side of equality (25) we substitute  $u = bv$  and receive

$$(28) \quad \eta(b, \alpha, t) = e^{bt} \frac{b^\alpha}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^t e^{-bv} v^{\alpha-1} dv.$$

In order to assess the integral on the right-hand side of formula (28) we divide it into a sum of two integrals — from zero to one and from one to  $t$  (provided  $t > 1$ ). We assess the first of the integrals

$$\left| \int_0^1 e^{-bv} v^{\alpha-1} dv \right| \leq e \int_0^1 v^{\alpha-1} dv = \frac{1}{\alpha} e;$$

hence, taking into account (26) and the supposition  $\text{reb} \leq 0$  we have

$$(29) \quad \left| e^{bt} \frac{b^\alpha}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^1 e^{-bv} v^{\alpha-1} dv \right| \leq \frac{e^{1+\text{tre}b}}{\alpha \Gamma(\alpha)} \leq \frac{e}{\Gamma(\alpha+1)}.$$

Now we want to assess an analogous term, in which appears an integral from one to  $t$ . By applying to the integral in question the formula for integration by parts, we have

$$\int_1^t e^{-bv} v^{a-1} dv = \frac{1}{b} (e^{-b} - t^{a-1} e^{-bt}) - \frac{1-a}{b} \int_1^t e^{-bv} v^{a-2} dv;$$

taking into account (26) and writing  $\operatorname{Re} b = -\gamma \leq 0$  we have

$$\left| \int_1^t e^{-bv} v^{a-1} dv \right| \leq e^{\gamma} + t^{a-1} e^{\gamma t} + (1-a) e^{\gamma t} \int_1^t v^{a-2} dv,$$

whence after calculating the integral on the right-hand side and reducing

$$(30) \quad \left| \int_1^t e^{-bv} v^{a-1} dv \right| \leq e^{\gamma} + e^{\gamma t} \leq 2e^{\gamma t} \quad (t > 1).$$

Now if  $t \leq 1$ , then reasoning in the same way as we did when deriving formula (29) we receive

$$|\eta(b, \alpha, t)| \leq \frac{e}{\Gamma(\alpha+1)} \leq 4$$

for  $1/\Gamma(\alpha+1) < 1,2$  when  $\alpha > 0$  (see for instance [2], p. 27). Now if  $t > 1$ , then taking into account (23), (25), (28), (29) and (30) we have

$$|\eta(b, \alpha, t)| \leq \frac{e}{\Gamma(\alpha+1)} + \frac{2}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \leq 6$$

and ultimately

$$(31) \quad |\eta(b, \alpha, t)| \leq 6$$

for all values of the variables  $b, \alpha$  and  $t$  given in the lemma.

**THEOREM II.** *Toeplitz's method defined by matrix (17) limits the geometrical sequence  $(a^n)$  all over the complex plane, namely to 1 for  $a = 1$ , to  $\infty$  for a real and greater than 1 and to zero for any a other.*

*Proof.* We notice that the transform (1) of the geometrical sequence is

$$(32) \quad \eta_m = 2^{-m} e^{-m} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{m^{n-2-m} a^n}{\Gamma(n \cdot 2^{-m} + 1)}.$$

It follows from Stirling's formula,

$$(33) \quad \Gamma(s) \sim \sqrt{2\pi} e^{-s} s^{s-1/2},$$

that the series on the right-hand side of equality (32) is convergent for any  $a$  and for any  $m$ .

The validity of the theorem for  $a = 1$  follows from the permanence of the method (theorem I) and particularly from condition 2°.

Now let us suppose that  $a$  is real and greater than one. Then transform (32), in virtue of formula (3), can be written in the following way:

$$(34) \quad \eta_m = 2^{-m} e^{(a^{2^m-1})m} \left[ 1 + \sum_{r=1}^{2^m-1} \frac{1}{\Gamma(r \cdot 2^{-m})} \int_0^{a^{2^m} m} e^{-u} u^{r \cdot 2^{-m}-1} du \right].$$

It can be distinctly seen from the shape of the transform that  $\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \eta_m = \infty$ , which proves the theorem in this case.

Let us now consider what happens if  $a$  is not a real number  $\geq 1$ . We perceive once more that if  $|a| < 1$ , then the theorem follows from the permanence of the method, for in this case  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a^n = 0$ . Thus the only case to be considered is

$$(35) \quad 0 < \arg a < 2\pi, \quad |a| \geq 1.$$

Let  $a$  be a complex number satisfying conditions (35). Thus there exists such an  $m_0$  that

$$(36) \quad \arg a > \pi 2^{-m+1} \quad \text{for } m > m_0.$$

In virtue of formula (3) we can write transform (32) as follows:

$$(37) \quad \eta_m = 2^{-m} e^{(a^{2^m-1})m} \left[ 1 + \sum_{r=1}^{2^m-1} \frac{\varepsilon^r}{\Gamma(r \cdot 2^{-m})} \int_0^{a^{2^m} m} e^{-u} u^{r \cdot 2^{-m}-1} du \right]$$

where in virtue of lemma 1

$$(38) \quad \varepsilon \neq 1 \quad \text{for } m > m_0.$$

In the further considerations  $a$  is fixed, whereas  $m$  is variable, yet we continuously assume that

$$(39) \quad m > m_0.$$

According to various values of  $m$  we distinguish two possible cases:

I°  $\operatorname{re} a^{2^m} \leq 0$ . In this case, since  $|\varepsilon| = 1$ , applying lemma 2 to the terms on the right-hand side of formula (37), we receive the following assessment for  $\eta_m$ :

$$(40) \quad |\eta_m| \leq 6e^{-m}.$$

Let us now consider the second possible case:

II°  $\operatorname{re} a^{2^m} > 0$ . In virtue of supposition (39) formula (38) is satisfied, whence, according to lemma 1,  $\varepsilon$  is one of the roots  $\sqrt[2^m]{1}$  but different from 1. In this case

$$(41) \quad 1 + \sum_{r=1}^{2^m-1} \varepsilon^r = 0.$$

Taking advantage of the above relationship we can write formula (37) as follows:

$$(42) \quad \eta_m = 2^{-m} e^{(a^{2^m}-1)m} \sum_{r=1}^{2^m-1} \varepsilon^r \left[ -1 + \frac{1}{\Gamma(r \cdot 2^{-m})} \int_0^{a^{2^m} \cdot m} e^{-u} u^{r \cdot 2^{-m}-1} du \right].$$

With regard to a well-known formula

$$(43) \quad \Gamma(\alpha) = \int_0^{b \cdot \infty} e^{-u} u^{\alpha-1} du,$$

true for complex  $b$  the real part of which  $\operatorname{re} b$  is positive (see for instance [4], lemma 4, p. 157), we may put relationship (42) in case II° in this way:

$$(44) \quad \eta_m = 2^{-m} e^{(a^{2^m}-1)m} \sum_{r=1}^{2^m-1} \frac{-\varepsilon^r}{\Gamma(r \cdot 2^{-m})} \int_{a^{2^m} \cdot m}^{a^{2^m} \cdot \infty} e^{-u} u^{r \cdot 2^{-m}-1} du.$$

It follows from the supposition made (35) that the terms  $u^{r \cdot 2^{-m}-1}$  appearing in the integrals in formula (44) are bounded with regard to the absolute value by the number 1, whence each of the integrals on the right-hand side of (44) is bounded with regard to the absolute value by the number  $e^{-m \operatorname{re} a^{2^m}}$ .

According to (23) and the above considerations in this case we receive the following assessment from formula (44):

$$(45) \quad |\eta_m| \leq e^{-m}.$$

So we see that ultimately in virtue of formulas (40) and (45) for any complex  $a$ , satisfying conditions (35), there exists such an  $m_0$  that the inequality

$$(46) \quad |\eta_m| \leq 6e^{-m} \quad \text{for } m > m_0$$

holds which proves the theorem in this case.

In this way the theorem has been proved for all complex  $a$ , which means that the proof of theorem II has been given.

#### References

- [1] G. H. Hardy, *Divergent series*, Oxford 1949.
- [2] E. Jahnke und F. Emde, *Funktionentafeln mit Formeln und Kurven*, Leipzig 1928.
- [3] O. Toeplitz, *Über allgemeine lineare Mittelbildungen*, *Prace matematyczno-fizyczne* 22 (1911), p. 113-119.
- [4] L. Włodarski, *Sur les méthodes continues de limitation du type Borel*, *Ann. Polon. Math.* 4 (1958), p. 137-164.

INSTYTUT MATEMATYCZNY POLSKIEJ AKADEMII NAUK  
MATHEMATICAL INSTITUTE OF THE POLISH ACADEMY OF SCIENCES

Reçu par la Rédaction le 21. 2. 1958