

**A singular initial value problem for
the equation $u^{(n)}(x) = g(u(x))$**

by WOJCIECH MYDLARCZYK (Wrocław)

Abstract. We consider the problem of the existence of positive solutions u to the problem

$$\begin{aligned} u^{(n)}(x) &= g(u(x)), \\ u(0) = u'(0) = \dots = u^{(n-1)}(0) &= 0 \quad (g \geq 0, \quad x > 0, \quad n \geq 2). \end{aligned}$$

It is known that if g is nondecreasing then the Osgood condition

$$\int_0^\delta \frac{1}{s} \left[\frac{s}{g(s)} \right]^{1/n} ds < \infty$$

is necessary and sufficient for the existence of nontrivial solutions to the above problem. We give a similar condition for other classes of functions g .

1. Introduction. In this paper we consider the equation

$$(1.1) \quad u^{(n)}(x) = g(u(x)) \quad (x > 0),$$

where $g : (0, \infty) \rightarrow (0, \infty)$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, with initial condition

$$(1.2) \quad u(0) = u'(0) = \dots = u^{(n-1)}(0) = 0.$$

If $g(0) = 0$, then $u \equiv 0$ is a solution to the problem (1.1), (1.2). We are interested in the existence of solutions $u \in C[0, M] \cap C^{(n)}(0, M)$, $0 < M \leq \infty$, such that $u(x) > 0$ for $x > 0$, which we call *nontrivial solutions*. For $n = 1$ this problem is classical and leads to the well-known Osgood condition, for $n = 2$ it is also standard. The case of $n = 3$ was considered in [5]. When g is a nondecreasing continuous function, the problem has been solved for any n (see [2], [4]). In that case, a necessary and sufficient condition for the

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: Primary 34A12, 45D05, 45G10.

Key words and phrases: singular initial value problems for ordinary differential equations, Volterra type integral equations, blowing up solutions.

existence of nontrivial continuous solutions is

$$\int_0^\delta \frac{1}{s} \left[\frac{s}{g(s)} \right]^{1/n} ds < \infty \quad (\delta > 0).$$

We are going to obtain a similar condition for some other classes of functions g satisfying the following conditions:

$$(1.3) \quad g \in C(0, \infty), \quad g \geq 0;$$

$$(1.4) \quad x^m g(x) \text{ is bounded as } x \rightarrow 0+ \text{ for some } m \geq 0.$$

We will rather deal with an integral formulation of the original problem which reads

$$(1.5) \quad u(x) = \frac{1}{(n-1)!} \int_0^x (x-s)^{n-1} g(u(s)) ds,$$

and we will seek for nontrivial continuous solutions $u \geq 0$ of this integral equation. We now present our main results which will be proved in Section 4.

THEOREM 1.1. *Let g satisfy (1.3), (1.4). Then the condition*

$$(1.6) \quad \int_0^\delta g(s) s^{-(n-2)/(n-1)} ds < \infty$$

is necessary for the existence of nontrivial solutions of the equation (1.5).

Before stating our further results we introduce some auxiliary definitions and notations.

Let g satisfy (1.3), (1.4). We put

$$g^*(x) = x^{-m} \sup_{0 < s < x} s^m g(s) \quad \text{for } x > 0.$$

We easily see that $g(x) \leq g^*(x)$ for $x > 0$ and $x^m g^*(x)$ is nondecreasing. We define two function classes K_n and K_n^* ($n \geq 2$) as follows:

$$K_n = \{g : g \text{ satisfies (1.3), (1.4), (1.6) and } x^m g(x) \text{ is nondecreasing}\},$$

$$K_n^* = \left\{ g : g \text{ satisfies (1.3), (1.4), (1.6) and } \sup_{0 < x} \frac{G^*(x)}{G(x)} < \infty \right\},$$

where

$$G(x) = \int_0^x g(s) s^{-(n-2)/(n-1)} ds, \quad G^*(x) = \int_0^x g^*(s) s^{-(n-2)/(n-1)} ds.$$

We easily observe that K_n contains nondecreasing functions and that $K_n \subset K_n^*$. In contrast to K_n the class K_n^* admits functions which can oscillate at the origin like $|\sin(1/x)|$ (see [5]).

Let u be a nontrivial solution of (1.5). We define

$$v(x) = u'(u^{-1}(x)) = \frac{1}{(u^{-1})'(x)} \quad (x > 0),$$

for which we establish some a priori estimates.

THEOREM 1.2. *Let $g \in K_n^*$ and $n \geq 2$. Then there exist constants $c_1, c_2 > 0$ such that*

$$\begin{aligned} c_1 x^{n-2} \left(\frac{v(x)^{n-1}}{x^{n-2}} \right)^{n/(n-1)} &\leq \int_0^x (x-s)^{n-2} g(s) s^{-(n-2)/(n-1)} ds \\ &\leq c_2 x^{n-2} \left(\frac{v(x)^{n-1}}{x^{n-2}} \right)^{n/(n-1)} \end{aligned}$$

for $x > 0$.

As a consequence of the above estimates we obtain the existence result for (1.1), (1.2).

THEOREM 1.3. *Let $g \in K_n^*$ and $n \geq 2$. Then the problem (1.1), (1.2) has a continuous solution u such that $u(x) > 0$ for $x > 0$ if and only if*

$$(1.7) \quad \int_0^\delta \phi(s)^{-1/(n-1)} ds < \infty \quad (0 < \delta),$$

where

$$(1.8) \quad \phi(x) = x^{n-2} \left\{ \frac{\int_0^x (x-s)^{n-2} g(s) s^{-(n-2)/(n-1)} ds}{x^{n-2}} \right\}^{(n-1)/n} \quad (x > 0).$$

REMARK 1.1. Observe that the existence of nontrivial solutions to (1.1), (1.2) depends only on the behaviour of g in a neighbourhood of zero. Therefore the assumptions on g could be reformulated to take this fact into account.

We also give a condition for the blow-up of solutions, which means that there exists $0 < M < \infty$ such that $\lim_{x \rightarrow M^-} u(x) = \infty$.

THEOREM 1.4. *Let $g \in K_n^*$ and $n \geq 2$. A continuous solution u to (1.1), (1.2) positive for $x > 0$ blows up if and only if*

$$\int_0^\infty \phi(s)^{-1/(n-1)} ds < \infty$$

where ϕ is given in (1.8).

We call the condition (1.7) the *generalized Osgood condition* for the problem (1.1), (1.2). Such conditions for convolution type integral equations $u(x) = \int_0^x k(x-s)g(u(s)) ds$ have been widely studied (see [1], [6]). Unfortunately, only the case of nondecreasing functions g was considered.

2. Auxiliary lemmas. Let $f : (0, \infty) \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ be a continuous locally integrable function. We will use some properties of the functions

$$w(x) = cx^{k-1} + \int_0^x (x-s)^{k-1} f(s) ds \quad (x > 0),$$

where $k \geq 2$ and $c \geq 0$ is a constant.

LEMMA 2.1. For any $x > 0$,

$$(k-1)^{-k} w'(x)^{k-1} \leq cw(x)^{k-2} + \int_0^x (w(x) - w(s))^{k-2} f(s) ds \\ \leq (k-1)^{-1} w'(x)^{k-1}.$$

PROOF. We notice first that w' is nondecreasing. So the mean value theorem gives the right inequality immediately.

To prove the left inequality we first introduce the Borel measure $d\mu(s) = f(s)ds + c\delta_0$ ($s \geq 0$). Thus w can be rewritten in the form

$$w(x) = \int_0^x (x-s)^{k-1} d\mu(s).$$

Moreover, we see that

$$w(x) - w(s) \geq \int_0^s \{(x-t)^{k-1} - (s-t)^{k-1}\} d\mu(t).$$

Since

$$(x-t)^{k-1} - (s-t)^{k-1} \geq (x-s)(x-t)^{k-2} \quad \text{for } 0 \leq s \leq x,$$

we get

$$w(x) - w(s) \geq (x-s)I(s), \quad \text{where } I(s) = \int_0^s (x-t)^{k-2} d\mu(t).$$

Noting that $I'(s) = (x-s)^{k-2} f(s)$ and $w(x) \geq cx^{k-1}$, $I(0) = cx^{k-2}$, we obtain

$$cw(x)^{k-2} + \int_0^x (w(x) - w(s))^{k-2} f(s) ds \\ \geq cw(x)^{k-2} + \int_0^x I(s)^{k-2} (x-s)^{k-2} f(s) ds \\ \geq cw(x)^{k-2} + \frac{1}{k-1} (I(x)^{k-1} - I(0)^{k-1}) \geq \frac{1}{k-1} I(x)^{k-1}.$$

Finally, since $I(x) = \frac{1}{k-1} w'(x)$, we get our assertion.

LEMMA 2.2. Let μ be a Borel measure on $[0, \infty)$. Then the function

$$\Phi_{k,n}(x) = \frac{\left(\int_0^x (x-s)^n d\mu(s)\right)^{n+k}}{\left(\int_0^x (x-s)^{n+k} d\mu(s)\right)^n} \quad (x > 0),$$

where $k, n \in \mathbb{N}$, is nondecreasing.

PROOF. By differentiation we verify that for $k = 1$ and any $n \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{sign } \Phi'_{1,n}(x) = \text{sign} & \left(\int_0^x (x-s)^{n-1} d\mu(s) \cdot \int_0^x (x-s)^{n+1} d\mu(s) \right. \\ & \left. - \left(\int_0^x (x-s)^n d\mu(s) \right)^2 \right). \end{aligned}$$

Hence the Schwarz inequality yields the required assertion in that case. Now by an inductive argument based on the relation

$$\Phi_{k+1,n}(x) = [\Phi_{k,n}(x)]^{(n+k+1)/(n+k)} [\Phi_{1,n+k}(x)]^{n/(n+k)}$$

we obtain the required assertion for any $k, n \in \mathbb{N}$.

We set

$$(2.1) \quad z(x) = \int_0^x (x-s)^{n-2} g(s) s^{-(n-2)/(n-1)} ds \quad (x > 0, n \geq 2).$$

LEMMA 2.3. Let $g \in K_n$ and $w(x) = xz^{(n-1)}(x) + (m+1)z^{(n-2)}(x)$, $w(0) = 0$. Then w is nondecreasing and continuous. Moreover, there exist constants $c_1, c_2 > 0$ such that

$$(2.2) \quad \begin{aligned} \frac{c_1}{(n-k-1)!} \int_0^x (x-s)^{n-k-1} dw(s) & \leq (xz)^{(k)}(x) \\ & \leq \frac{c_2}{(n-k-1)!} \int_0^x (x-s)^{n-k-1} dw(s) \quad (x > 0) \end{aligned}$$

for $k = 0, 1, \dots, n-1$.

PROOF. Define $h(x) = x^{m+2}z^{(n-1)}(x)$ for $x > 0$ and $h(0) = 0$. By our assumptions on g the function h is continuous and nondecreasing. Note also that

$$\begin{aligned} z^{(n-2)}(x_2) - z^{(n-2)}(x_1) & = \int_{x_1}^{x_2} s^{-m-2} h(s) ds \\ & = -\frac{1}{m+1} (x_2 z^{(n-1)}(x_2) - x_1 z^{(n-1)}(x_1)) + \frac{1}{m+1} \int_{x_1}^{x_2} s^{-m-1} dh(s) \end{aligned}$$

for any $0 < x_1 < x_2$, from which it follows immediately that w is nondecreasing. Let

$$\gamma = \lim_{x \rightarrow 0^+} w(x) = \lim_{x \rightarrow 0^+} xz^{(n-1)}(x).$$

Then we easily see that γ must be 0. Thus w is continuous at 0 and everywhere else. To get (2.2) we first notice that using the Leibniz rule we can find some constants $c_1, c_2 > 0$ such that

$$c_1 w(x) \leq (xz)^{(n-1)}(x) \leq c_2 w(x)$$

for $x > 0$. This gives the required assertion immediately if we just observe that $w(x) = \int_0^x dw(s)$ for $x > 0$.

LEMMA 2.4. *Let $g \in K_n^*$. Then there exists a constant $c > 0$ such that*

$$(2.3) \quad \int_0^x (x-s)^{n-2} g(s) \phi(s)^{-1/(n-1)} ds \leq c \phi(x) \quad (x > 0),$$

where ϕ is defined in (1.8).

PROOF. First we consider $g \in K_n$ and define

$$I_k(x) = \frac{1}{k!} \int_0^x (x-s)^k g(s) \phi(s)^{-1/(n-1)} ds \quad (x \geq 0)$$

for $k = 0, 1, \dots, n-2$.

For z defined in (2.1) we have

$$\phi(x)^{-1/(n-1)} = x^{-(n-2)/(n-1)} z(x)^{-1/n} x^{(n-2)/n}$$

and

$$(n-2)! I_k(x) = \frac{1}{k!} \int_0^x (x-s)^k z^{(n-1)}(s) z(s)^{-1/n} s^{(n-2)/n} ds \quad (x > 0)$$

for $k = 0, 1, \dots, n-2$.

We shall prove that there exist constants $c_0, c_1, \dots, c_{n-2} > 0$ such that

$$(2.4) \quad I_k(x) \leq c_k z^{(n-k-2)}(x) z(x)^{-1/n} x^{(n-2)/n} \quad (x > 0)$$

for $k = 0, 1, \dots, n-2$.

Our assertion will follow from (2.4) with $k = n-2$. Set

$$\begin{aligned} H_k(x) &= (xz^{(n-k-2)}(x))^{n-1} (xz(x))^{-k-1}, \\ J_k(x) &= [(xz)^{(n-k-2)}(x)]^{n-1} (xz(x))^{-k-1} \quad (x > 0), \end{aligned}$$

$k = 0, 1, \dots, n-2$. Using the Leibniz rule and monotonicity properties of the derivatives of z , we can observe that

$$xz^{(k)}(x) \leq (xz)^{(k)}(x) \leq (k+1)xz^{(k)}(x) \quad (x > 0)$$

for $k = 0, 1, \dots, n - 2$. Hence

$$(2.5) \quad (n - k - 1)^{-(n-1)} J_k(x) \leq H_k(x) \leq J_k(x) \quad (x > 0)$$

for $k = 0, 1, \dots, n - 2$.

Lemmas 2.2 and 2.3 yield the following monotonicity property of the functions J_k :

there exist constants c_0, c_1, \dots, c_{n-2} such that

$$J_k(s) \leq c_k J_k(x) \quad \text{for } k = 0, 1, \dots, n - 2 \text{ and } 0 < s < x.$$

It follows from (2.5) that the functions H_k have the same property. Now, we are ready to prove (2.4) by induction. Using the above property for H_0 we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} I_0(x) &= \frac{1}{(n - 2)!} \int_0^x z^{(n-1)}(s) z(s)^{-1/n} s^{(n-2)/n} ds \\ &\leq \frac{1}{(n - 2)!} \int_0^x z^{(n-1)}(s) (z^{(n-2)}(s))^{-(n-1)/n} H_0(s)^{1/n} ds \\ &\leq nc_0 \frac{1}{(n - 2)!} H_0(x)^{1/n} (z^{(n-2)}(x))^{1/n} \\ &= nc_0 \frac{1}{(n - 2)!} z^{(n-2)}(x) z(x)^{-1/n} x^{(n-2)/n}. \end{aligned}$$

Applying the inductive assumption and the relation

$$(xz(x))^{-1/n} = (z^{(n-3-k)}(x))^{-\frac{n-1}{n(k+2)}} x^{-\frac{n-1}{n(k+2)}} H_{k+1}(x)^{\frac{1}{n(k+2)}},$$

where $k = 0, 1, \dots, n - 3$ and $x > 0$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} I_{k+1}(x) &= \int_0^x I_k(s) ds \leq c_k \int_0^x z^{(n-2-k)}(s) (sz(s))^{-1/n} s^{(n-1)/n} ds \\ &\leq c_k H_{k+1}(x)^{\frac{1}{n(k+2)}} x^{\frac{n-1}{n}(1-\frac{1}{k+2})} \\ &\quad \times \int_0^x z^{(n-2-k)}(s) (z^{(n-3-k)}(s))^{-\frac{n-1}{n(k+2)}} ds \\ &\leq \frac{n(k+2)}{nk+n+1} c_k z^{(n-3-k)}(x) z(x)^{-1/n} x^{(n-2)/n}, \end{aligned}$$

which ends the proof of (2.4).

If $g \in K_n^*$, then we employ the fact that $g^* \in K_n$. From the definitions of g^* and ϕ it follows that there exists a constant $c > 0$ such that for ϕ^* corresponding to g^* we have

$$\phi(x) \leq \phi^*(x) \leq c\phi(x) \quad (x > 0).$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} I_{n-2}(x) &= \int_0^x (x-s)^{n-2} g(s) \phi(s)^{-1/(n-1)} ds \\ &\leq c^{1/(n-1)} \int_0^x (x-s)^{n-2} g^*(s) \phi^*(s)^{-1/(n-1)} ds \end{aligned}$$

for $x > 0$. Therefore our assertion follows from the inequality in (2.3) just proved.

3. A perturbed integral equation. Since g admits a singularity at 0, we are going to obtain a solution u of (1.1), (1.2) as a limit of solutions u_ε of more regular problems. We perturb the equation (1.5) to

$$(3.1) \quad u_\varepsilon(x) = \varepsilon x^{n-1} + \int_0^x (x-s)^{n-1} g(u_\varepsilon(s)) ds \quad (x > 0),$$

where $\varepsilon \geq 0$ ($n \geq 2$). Let $u_\varepsilon \geq 0$ ($\varepsilon \geq 0$) be a continuous solution of (3.1) such that $u_\varepsilon > 0$ for $x > 0$. To give some a priori estimates for u_ε we introduce an auxiliary function

$$v_\varepsilon(x) = u'_\varepsilon(u_\varepsilon^{-1}(x)) = \frac{1}{(u_\varepsilon^{-1})'(x)} \quad (x > 0)$$

and show that it satisfies a useful integral inequality stated in the following lemma.

LEMMA 3.1. *Let g satisfy (1.3), (1.4). Then for any $\varepsilon \geq 0$,*

$$\begin{aligned} (n-1)^{-n} v_\varepsilon(x)^{n-1} &\leq \varepsilon x^{n-2} + \int_0^x (x-s)^{n-2} g(s) \frac{1}{v_\varepsilon(s)} ds \\ &\leq (n-1)^{-1} v_\varepsilon(x)^{n-1} \quad (x > 0). \end{aligned}$$

PROOF. This follows from Lemma 2.1 if we take $f(s) = g(u_\varepsilon(s))$ ($s > 0$) and then substitute $\tau = u_\varepsilon(s)$.

From this lemma we obtain the following a priori estimates for v_ε .

LEMMA 3.2. *Let $g \in K_n^*$. Then there exist constants $c_1, c_2 > 0$ such that for any $\varepsilon \geq 0$,*

$$(3.2) \quad c_1(\varepsilon x^{n-2} + \phi(x))^{1/(n-1)} \leq v_\varepsilon(x) \leq c_2(\varepsilon x^{n-2} + \phi(x))^{1/(n-1)} \quad (x > 0).$$

PROOF. Define

$$w(x) = \varepsilon x^{n-2} + \int_0^x (x-s)^{n-2} g(s) \frac{1}{v_\varepsilon(s)} ds.$$

Since $w(x)/x^{n-2}$ is nondecreasing, it follows from Lemma 3.1 that

$$\frac{v_\varepsilon(s)^{n-1}}{s^{n-2}} \leq (n-1)^{n-1} \frac{v_\varepsilon(x)^{n-1}}{x^{n-2}} \quad (0 < s \leq x).$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} (3.3) \quad w(x) &\geq \int_0^x (x-s)^{n-2} g(s) \frac{1}{v_\varepsilon(s)} ds \\ &\geq \frac{1}{n-1} v_\varepsilon(x)^{-1} x^{(n-2)/(n-1)} \int_0^x (x-s)^{n-2} g(s) s^{-(n-2)/(n-1)} ds. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\varepsilon x^{n-2} \leq w(x) \leq (n-1)^{-1} v_\varepsilon(x)^{n-1}$, the left inequality in (3.2) follows from (3.3). Now, by the left inequality and the definition of w we have

$$w(x) \leq c \left(\varepsilon x^{n-2} + \int_0^x (x-s)^{n-2} g(s) \phi(s)^{-1/(n-1)} ds \right),$$

where $c > 0$ is some constant. Thus the right inequality is a consequence of Lemmas 2.2 and 3.1.

As an immediate consequence of Lemma 3.2 we obtain the following estimates for u_ε^{-1} .

COROLLARY 3.3. *Let $g \in K_n^*$. Then there exist constants $c_1, c_2 > 0$ such that for any $\varepsilon \geq 0$,*

$$\begin{aligned} (3.4) \quad c_1 \int_0^x (\varepsilon s^{n-2} + \phi(s))^{-1/(n-1)} ds &\leq u_\varepsilon^{-1}(x) \\ &\leq c_2 \int_0^x (\varepsilon s^{n-2} + \phi(s))^{-1/(n-1)} ds \quad (x > 0). \end{aligned}$$

Now we study the local existence of solutions to the original problem. We begin with the consideration of the perturbed equation (3.1) with $\varepsilon > 0$, for which we prove the following existence result.

LEMMA 3.4. *Let $g \in K_n^*$. Then there exists $\varepsilon_0 > 0$ such that for any $0 < \varepsilon < \varepsilon_0$ the perturbed equation (3.1) has a continuous solution $u_\varepsilon(x) > 0$ for $x > 0$ defined locally on $[0, \delta_\varepsilon]$.*

Proof. We introduce the operator

$$\begin{aligned} Tw(x) &= (n-1)\varepsilon x^{n-2} + (n-1) \int_0^x (x-s)^{n-2} g(\tilde{w}(s)) ds, \\ \tilde{w}(s) &= \int_0^s w(t) dt, \end{aligned}$$

considered in the cone $(n-1)\varepsilon x^{n-2} \leq w(x) \leq 2(n-1)\varepsilon x^{n-2}$ ($x > 0$). Since for \tilde{w} and its inverse \tilde{w}^{-1} we have the estimates

$$\begin{aligned} \varepsilon x^{n-1} &\leq \tilde{w}(x) \leq 2\varepsilon x^{n-1} \quad (x > 0), \\ \left(\frac{y}{2\varepsilon}\right)^{1/(n-1)} &\leq \tilde{w}^{-1}(y) \leq \left(\frac{y}{\varepsilon}\right)^{1/(n-1)} \quad (y > 0), \end{aligned}$$

we can find $\delta_\varepsilon > 0$ such that for any $0 < x < \delta_\varepsilon$,

$$(3.5) \quad \begin{aligned} \int_0^x g(\tilde{w}(s)) ds &\leq \int_0^\delta g(s) \frac{1}{w(\tilde{w}^{-1}(s))} ds \\ &\leq c_\varepsilon \int_0^\delta g(s) s^{-(n-2)/(n-1)} ds < \varepsilon, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\delta = \tilde{w}(\delta_\varepsilon) \quad \text{and} \quad c_\varepsilon = \frac{1}{n-1} 2^{(n-2)/(n-1)} \varepsilon^{-1/(n-1)}.$$

Thus T maps the cone $K_\varepsilon = \{w : (n-1)\varepsilon x^{n-2} \leq w(x) \leq 2(n-1)\varepsilon x^{n-2}, 0 < x < \delta_\varepsilon\}$ into itself. We can also verify that all the functions of the family $\{Tw : w \in K_\varepsilon\}$ are equicontinuous. So $T : K_\varepsilon \rightarrow K_\varepsilon$ is compact in $C[0, \delta_\varepsilon]$ topology. Now, by the Schauder fixed point theorem, T has a fixed point w_ε . Taking $u'_\varepsilon(x) = w_\varepsilon(x)$ ($0 < x < \delta_\varepsilon$), we obtain the required solution as $u_\varepsilon(x) = \int_0^x w_\varepsilon(s) ds$.

4. Proofs of theorems. In this section we give the proofs of the theorems of Section 1.

Proof of Theorem 1.1. Let u be a nontrivial solution of (1.5). In view of Lemma 2.1 we have

$$\begin{aligned} (n-1)^{-n} u'(x)^{n-1} &\leq \int_0^x \{u(x) - u(s)\}^{n-2} g(u(s)) ds \\ &\leq (n-1)^{-1} u'(x)^{n-1} \quad (x > 0), \end{aligned}$$

which can be rewritten for $v(x) = u'(u^{-1}(x))$ as

$$(4.1) \quad \begin{aligned} (n-1)^{-n} v(x)^{n-1} &\leq \int_0^x (x-s)^{n-2} g(s) \frac{1}{v(s)} ds \\ &\leq (n-1)^{-1} v(x)^{n-1} \quad (x > 0). \end{aligned}$$

Since

$$\int_0^\delta g(s) \frac{1}{v(s)} ds = \int_0^\delta g(s) s^{-(n-2)/(n-1)} \left(\frac{v(s)^{n-1}}{s^{n-2}}\right)^{-1/(n-1)} ds,$$

our result follows from the fact that $v(x)^{n-1}/x^{n-2} \rightarrow 0$ as $x \rightarrow 0$, easily obtained from (4.1).

Proof of Theorem 1.2. The required estimates follow from Lemma 3.2 immediately.

Proof of Theorem 1.3. Since $\int_0^x \frac{1}{v(s)} ds = u^{-1}(x) < \infty$, the necessity part follows immediately from the estimates given in Theorem 1.2.

Now, we prove the sufficiency. We first notice that if the condition (1.7) is satisfied then the a priori estimates for $u_\varepsilon^{-1}(x)$ given in Corollary 3.3 can be modified so as to be independent of ε . Therefore the local solutions u_ε ($0 < \varepsilon < \varepsilon_0$) of the perturbed equation (3.1) obtained in Lemma 3.4 can be extended to a fixed interval $[0, M]$, independent of ε (see [3]).

Now, we consider the family $\{u_\varepsilon(x), 0 < x < M\}$, $0 < \varepsilon < \varepsilon_0$, of solutions to (3.1). From (3.4) it follows that there exists a constant N such that

$$0 \leq u_\varepsilon(x) \leq N \quad \text{for } 0 < \varepsilon < \varepsilon_0, 0 < x < M.$$

Rewrite the perturbed equation (3.1) as follows:

$$(4.2) \quad u_\varepsilon(x) = \varepsilon x^{n-1} + (n-1) \int_0^x (x-s)^{n-2} \int_0^{u_\varepsilon(s)} g(t) \frac{1}{v_\varepsilon(t)} dt ds,$$

where $v_\varepsilon(t) = u'_\varepsilon(u_\varepsilon^{-1}(t))$. Since only $n \geq 3$ is of interest, we can study u''_ε . First we notice by the estimates of Lemma 3.2 that

$$0 \leq \frac{1}{v_\varepsilon(t)} < c\phi(t)^{-1/(n-1)} \quad (t > 0),$$

where $c > 0$ is some constant. Since it follows from (2.4) that

$$\int_0^N g(t)\phi(t)^{-1/(n-1)} \leq c,$$

where $c > 0$ is some constant, it is easy to deduce from (4.2) that $u''_\varepsilon(x)$ are uniformly bounded for $0 < \varepsilon < \varepsilon_0$ and $x \in [0, M]$. Therefore the Arzelà-Ascoli theorem shows that $\{u_\varepsilon\}$, $\{u'_\varepsilon\}$ and $\{u_\varepsilon^{-1}\}$, $0 < \varepsilon < \varepsilon_0$, are relatively compact families on $[0, M]$, possibly for a smaller M because of u_ε^{-1} . If we choose a sequence $\{u_{\varepsilon_n}\}$ such that $\{u_{\varepsilon_n}\}$, $\{u'_{\varepsilon_n}\}$, $\{u_{\varepsilon_n}^{-1}\}$ are simultaneously uniformly convergent on $[0, M]$ as $\varepsilon_n \rightarrow 0$ and put it into (4.2), then we can see that the limit function $u(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} u_{\varepsilon_n}(x)$, $0 \leq x < M$, is the required solution to the problem (1.1), (1.2).

Proof of Theorem 1.4. Since the solution u blows up if and only if $u^{-1}(x) \leq M < \infty$ for any $x > 0$, our assertion follows from the estimates for $v(x) = u'(u^{-1}(x))$ given in Theorem 1.2.

Below we give some examples of functions g in the classes considered in this paper.

EXAMPLE 4.1. Let $g(s) = s^{-1/(n-1)}(-\ln s)^{-\beta}$ ($0 < s < \delta$, $n \geq 2$). We easily verify that $g \in K_n$ provided $\beta > 1$. Since $\phi(s)$ behaves at 0 like $cs^{n-2}(-\ln s)^\gamma$, where $\gamma = -\frac{n-1}{n}(\beta - 1)$ and $c > 0$ is some constant, the condition of Theorem 1.2 is satisfied and the problem (1.1), (1.2) has a nontrivial solution.

EXAMPLE 4.2. Let $g(s) = s(-\ln s)^\beta$ ($\beta > 0$, $0 < s < \delta$). In this case $\phi(s)$ behaves at 0 like $cs^{n-1}(-\ln s)^{\beta(n-1)/n}$. Therefore the condition of Theorem 1.2 is satisfied if and only if $\beta > n$. In that case the problem (1.1), (1.2) has a nontrivial solution.

EXAMPLE 4.3. Let $\phi(x) = 1 - |x|$ for $-1 \leq x \leq 1$ and $\phi(x) = 0$ for $|x| > 1$. We consider the function $g(x) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \phi_i(x)$, where $\phi_i(x) = \phi((x - \alpha_i)/\beta_i)$, $\alpha_i = 1/2^i$, $\beta_i = 1/(3 \cdot 2^i)$, $i = 0, 1, \dots$, defined for $0 < x < 1$. We easily see that the supports of ϕ_i , $i = 0, 1, \dots$, are pairwise disjoint and $g(\alpha_i) = 1$. We consider the function g^* corresponding to g with $m = 0$:

$$g^*(x) = \sup_{0 < s < x} g(s) = 1 \quad (0 < x < 1).$$

We show that $g \in K_n^*$ for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$. First we notice that the integrals

$$A_i = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \phi_i(s) s^{-(n-2)/(n-1)} ds, \quad i = 0, 1, \dots,$$

can be estimated as follows:

$$c_1 2^{-i/(n-1)} \leq A_i \leq c_2 2^{-i/(n-1)} \quad i = 0, 1, \dots,$$

where $c_1, c_2 > 0$ are some constants. Let $1/2^k < x \leq 1/2^{k-1}$. Then

$$G(x) = \int_0^x g(s) s^{-(n-2)/(n-1)} ds = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \int_0^x \phi_i(s) s^{-(n-2)/(n-1)} ds \leq \sum_{i=k-1}^{\infty} A_i.$$

Finally, we obtain

$$c_1 x^{1/(n-1)} \leq G(x) \leq c_2 x^{1/(n-1)} \quad (0 < x < 1),$$

where $c_1, c_2 > 0$ are some constants. Since

$$G^*(x) = \int_0^x g^*(s) s^{-(n-2)/(n-1)} ds = (n-1)x^{1/(n-1)},$$

we see that $g \in K_n^*$. Now Theorem 1.3 shows that the problem (1.1), (1.2) has a nontrivial solution.

References

- [1] P. J. Bushell and W. Okrasinski, *Uniqueness of solutions for a class of nonlinear Volterra integral equations with convolution kernel*, Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. 106 (1989), 547–552.
- [2] G. Gripenberg, *Unique solutions of some Volterra integral equations*, Math. Scand. 48 (1981), 59–67.
- [3] R. K. Miller, *Nonlinear Volterra Integral Equations*, Benjamin, 1971.
- [4] W. Mydlarczyk, *The existence of nontrivial solutions of Volterra equations*, Math. Scand. 68 (1991), 83–88.
- [5] —, *An initial value problem for a third order differential equation*, Ann. Polon. Math. 59 (1994), 215–223.
- [6] W. Okrasinski, *Nontrivial solutions to nonlinear Volterra integral equations*, SIAM J. Math. Anal. 22 (1991), 1007–1015.

Mathematical Institute
University of Wrocław
Pl. Grunwaldzki 2/4
50-384 Wrocław, Poland
E-mail: mydlar@math.uni.wroc.pl

Reçu par la Rédaction le 12.6.1997