

A set on which the Łojasiewicz exponent at infinity is attained

by JACEK CHĄDZYŃSKI and TADEUSZ KRASIŃSKI (Łódź)

Abstract. We show that for a polynomial mapping $F = (f_1, \dots, f_m) : \mathbb{C}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^m$ the Łojasiewicz exponent $\mathcal{L}_\infty(F)$ of F is attained on the set $\{z \in \mathbb{C}^n : f_1(z) \cdot \dots \cdot f_m(z) = 0\}$.

1. Introduction. The purpose of this paper is to prove that the Łojasiewicz exponent at infinity of a polynomial mapping $F : \mathbb{C}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^m$ is attained on a proper algebraic subset of \mathbb{C}^n defined by the components of F (Thm. 1).

As a corollary we obtain a result of Z. Jelonek on testing sets for properness of polynomial mappings (Cor. 3) and a formula for the Łojasiewicz exponent at infinity of F in the case $n = 2$, $m \geq 2$, in terms of parametrizations of branches (at infinity) of zeroes of the components of F (Thm. 2). This result is a generalization of the authors' result for $n = m = 2$ ([CK], Main Theorem).

Before the main considerations we show some basic properties of the Łojasiewicz exponent at infinity for regular mappings, i.e. for polynomial mappings restricted to algebraic subsets of \mathbb{C}^n . We prove that the exponent is a rational number, that it is attained on a meromorphic curve (Prop. 1), and we give a condition equivalent to the properness of regular mappings (Cor. 2). These properties are analogous to ones, known in folklore, for polynomial mappings from \mathbb{C}^n into \mathbb{C}^m . We do not pretend to the originality of proof methods; we only want to fill gaps in the literature.

The results obtained by Z. Jelonek in [J] played an inspiring role in undertaking this research. On the other hand, the idea of the proof of the main theorem was taken from A. Płoski ([P₂], App.).

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: Primary 14E05.

Key words and phrases: polynomial mapping, Łojasiewicz exponent.

This research was partially supported by KBN Grant No. 2 P03A 050 10.

2. The Łojasiewicz exponent. Let $F : \mathbb{C}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^m$, $n \geq 2$, be a polynomial mapping and let $S \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ be an unbounded algebraic set. Put

$$N(F|S) := \{\nu \in \mathbb{R} : \exists A > 0, \exists B > 0, \forall z \in S (|z| > B \Rightarrow A|z|^\nu \leq |F(z)|)\},$$

where $|\cdot|$ is the polycylindric norm. If $S = \mathbb{C}^n$ we define $N(F) := N(F|\mathbb{C}^n)$.

By the *Łojasiewicz exponent at infinity* of $F|S$ we mean $\mathcal{L}_\infty(F|S) := \sup N(F|S)$. Analogously $\mathcal{L}_\infty(F) := \sup N(F)$.

Before we pass to properties of the Łojasiewicz exponent we quote the known curve selection lemma at infinity (cf. [NZ], Lemma 2). We begin with a definition. A curve $\varphi : (R, +\infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^k$ is called *meromorphic at $+\infty$* if φ is the sum of a Laurent series of the form

$$\varphi(t) = \alpha_p t^p + \alpha_{p-1} t^{p-1} + \dots, \quad \alpha_i \in \mathbb{R}^k.$$

By $\|\cdot\|$ we denote the euclidian norm in \mathbb{R}^k .

LEMMA 1 (Curve Selection Lemma). *If $X \subset \mathbb{R}^k$ is an unbounded semi-algebraic set, then there exists a curve $\varphi : (R, +\infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^k$, meromorphic at $+\infty$, such that $\varphi(t) \in X$ for $t \in (R, +\infty)$ and $\|\varphi(t)\| \rightarrow \infty$ as $t \rightarrow +\infty$.*

Notice that the Łojasiewicz exponent at infinity of a regular mapping $F|S$ does not depend on the norm in \mathbb{C}^n . So, in the rest of this section, we shall use the euclidian norm $\|\cdot\|$ in the definition of $N(F|S)$.

Let us introduce one more definition. A curve $\varphi = (\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_m) : \{t \in \mathbb{C} : |t| > R\} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^m$ is called *meromorphic at ∞* if φ_i are meromorphic at ∞ .

Let $F : \mathbb{C}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^m$, $n \geq 2$, be a polynomial mapping and let $S \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ be an unbounded algebraic set.

PROPOSITION 1. *If $\#(F|S)^{-1}(0) < +\infty$, then $\mathcal{L}_\infty(F|S) \in N(F|S) \cap \mathbb{Q}$. Moreover, there exists a curve $\varphi : \{t \in \mathbb{C} : |t| > R\} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^m$, meromorphic at ∞ , such that $\varphi(t) \in S$, $\|\varphi(t)\| \rightarrow +\infty$ for $t \rightarrow \infty$ and*

$$(1) \quad \|F \circ \varphi(t)\| \sim \|\varphi(t)\|^{\mathcal{L}_\infty(F|S)} \quad \text{as } t \rightarrow \infty.$$

Proof. Notice first that the set

$$\{(z, w) \in S \times S : \|F(z)\|^2 \leq \|F(w)\|^2 \vee \|z\|^2 \neq \|w\|^2\}$$

is semi-algebraic in $\mathbb{C}^n \times \mathbb{C}^n \cong \mathbb{R}^{4n}$. Then by the Tarski–Seidenberg theorem (cf. [BR], Rem. 3.8) the set

$$\begin{aligned} X &:= \{z \in S : \forall w \in S (\|F(z)\|^2 \leq \|F(w)\|^2 \vee \|z\|^2 \neq \|w\|^2)\} \\ &= \{z \in S : \|F(z)\| = \min_{\|w\|=\|z\|} \|F(w)\|\} \end{aligned}$$

is also semi-algebraic and obviously unbounded in $\mathbb{C}^n \cong \mathbb{R}^{2n}$. So, by Lemma 1 there exists a curve $\tilde{\varphi} : (R, +\infty) \rightarrow X$, meromorphic at $+\infty$, such that $\|\tilde{\varphi}(t)\| \rightarrow +\infty$ as $t \rightarrow +\infty$. Then there exists a positive integer p such that

$\tilde{\varphi}$ is the sum of a Laurent series

$$(2) \quad \tilde{\varphi}(t) = \alpha_p t^p + \alpha_{p-1} t^{p-1} + \dots, \quad \alpha_i \in \mathbb{C}^n, \alpha_p \neq 0.$$

Since $\#(F|S)^{-1}(0) < \infty$, there exists an integer q such that $F \circ \tilde{\varphi}$ is the sum of a Laurent series

$$(3) \quad F \circ \tilde{\varphi}(t) = \beta_q t^q + \beta_{q-1} t^{q-1} + \dots, \quad \beta_i \in \mathbb{C}^m, \beta_q \neq 0.$$

From (2) and (3) we have

$$(4) \quad \|F \circ \tilde{\varphi}(t)\| \sim \|\tilde{\varphi}(t)\|^\lambda \quad \text{as } t \rightarrow +\infty,$$

where $\lambda := q/p$. Let $\tilde{\Gamma} := \{z \in \mathbb{C}^n : z = \tilde{\varphi}(t), t \in (R, +\infty)\}$. Then from (4),

$$(5) \quad \|F(z)\| \sim \|z\|^\lambda \quad \text{as } \|z\| \rightarrow +\infty, z \in \tilde{\Gamma}.$$

Now, we shall show that $\mathcal{L}_\infty(F|S) = \lambda$. From (5) we have $\mathcal{L}_\infty(F|S) \leq \lambda$. Since $\tilde{\Gamma} \subset X$ is unbounded, there exist positive constants A, B such that $\|F(z)\| \geq A\|z\|^\lambda$ for every $z \in S$ and $\|z\| > B$. Then $\lambda \in N(F|S)$ and in consequence $\mathcal{L}_\infty(F|S) \geq \lambda$. Summing up, $\mathcal{L}_\infty(F|S) = \lambda \in N(F|S) \cap \mathbb{Q}$.

Now, we shall prove the second part of the assertion. Let φ be an extension of $\tilde{\varphi}$ to the complex domain, that is,

$$(6) \quad \varphi(t) = \alpha_p t^p + \alpha_{p-1} t^{p-1} + \dots,$$

where $t \in \mathbb{C}$ and $|t| > R$. Obviously, series (6) is convergent and, as above, $\alpha_i \in \mathbb{C}^n, \alpha_p \neq 0$. Hence φ is a curve, meromorphic at ∞ , and clearly $\|\varphi(t)\| \rightarrow +\infty$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$. Moreover, $F \circ \varphi$ is an extension of $F \circ \tilde{\varphi}$ to the complex domain and

$$(7) \quad F \circ \varphi(t) = \beta_q t^q + \beta_{q-1} t^{q-1} + \dots,$$

where $t \in \mathbb{C}$ and $|t| > R$. Obviously, the series (7) is convergent and, as above, $\beta_i \in \mathbb{C}^m, \beta_q \neq 0$. From (6), (7) and the definition of λ we get (1). Since S is an algebraic subset of \mathbb{C}^n and $\tilde{\varphi}(t) \in S$ for $t \in (R, +\infty)$, also $\varphi(t) \in S$ for $t \in \mathbb{C}, |t| > R$.

This ends the proof of the proposition.

Let $F : \mathbb{C}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^m, n \geq 2$, be a polynomial mapping and $S \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ an algebraic unbounded set.

Directly from Proposition 1 we get

COROLLARY 1. $\mathcal{L}_\infty(F|S) > -\infty$ if and only if $\#(F|S)^{-1}(0) < +\infty$.

From Proposition 1 we also easily get

COROLLARY 2. The mapping $F|S$ is proper if and only if $\mathcal{L}_\infty(F|S) > 0$.

In fact, if $\mathcal{L}_\infty(F|S) > 0$, then obviously $F|S$ is a proper mapping. If, in turn, $\mathcal{L}_\infty(F|S) \leq 0$ then from the second part of Proposition 1 and

Corollary 1 it follows that there exists a sequence $z_n \in S$ such that $\|z_n\| \rightarrow +\infty$ and the sequence $F(z_n)$ is bounded. Hence $F|_S$ is not a proper mapping in this case.

3. The main result. Now, we formulate the main result of the paper.

THEOREM 1. *Let $F = (f_1, \dots, f_m) : \mathbb{C}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^m$, $n \geq 2$, be a polynomial mapping and $S := \{z \in \mathbb{C}^n : f_1(z) \cdots f_m(z) = 0\}$. If $S \neq \emptyset$, then*

$$(8) \quad \mathcal{L}_\infty(F) = \mathcal{L}_\infty(F|_S).$$

The proof will be given in Section 4.

Directly from Theorem 1 and Corollary 2 we get

COROLLARY 3 ([J], Cor. 6.7). *If $F = (f_1, \dots, f_m) : \mathbb{C}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^m$, $n \geq 2$, is a polynomial mapping and $S := \{z \in \mathbb{C}^n : f_1(z) \cdots f_m(z) = 0\}$ is not empty, then F is proper if and only if $F|_S$ is proper.*

Another corollary from Theorem 1 is an effective formula for the Łojasiewicz exponent, generalizing an earlier result of the authors ([CK], Main Theorem).

Let us introduce some notions. If $\Psi : \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| > R\} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^k$ is the sum of a Laurent series of the form

$$\Psi(t) = \alpha_p t^p + \alpha_{p-1} t^{p-1} + \dots, \quad \alpha_i \in \mathbb{C}^k, \quad \alpha_p \neq 0,$$

then we put $\deg \Psi := p$. Additionally, $\deg \Psi := -\infty$ if $\Psi = 0$. For an algebraic curve in \mathbb{C}^2 , the notions of its branches in a neighbourhood of ∞ and parametrizations of these branches are defined in [CK].

Let now $F = (f_1, \dots, f_m) : \mathbb{C}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^m$ be a polynomial mapping and $S := \{z \in \mathbb{C}^2 : f_1(z) \cdots f_m(z) = 0\}$. Assume that $S \neq \emptyset$ and $S \neq \mathbb{C}^2$.

THEOREM 2. *If $\Gamma_1, \dots, \Gamma_s$ are branches of the curve S in a neighbourhood Y of infinity and $\Phi_i : U_i \rightarrow Y$, $i = 1, \dots, s$, are their parametrizations, then*

$$(9) \quad \mathcal{L}_\infty(F) = \min_{i=1}^s \frac{\deg F \circ \Phi_i}{\deg \Phi_i}.$$

Proof. Define $\lambda_i := \deg F \circ \Phi_i / \deg \Phi_i$. If $\lambda_i = -\infty$ for some i , then (9) holds. So, assume that $\lambda_i \neq -\infty$, $i = 1, \dots, s$. Then

$$|F(z)| \sim |z|^{\lambda_i} \quad \text{as } |z| \rightarrow +\infty, \quad z \in \Gamma_i.$$

Hence, taking into account the equality $S \cap Y = \Gamma_1 \cup \dots \cup \Gamma_s$ we get (9).

4. Proof of the main theorem. Let us begin with a lemma on polynomial mappings from \mathbb{C} into \mathbb{C}^m . It is a generalization of a result by A. Płoski ([P₁], Lemma 3.1) and plays a key role in the proof of the main theorem.

LEMMA 2. Let $\Phi = (\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_m) : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^m$ be a polynomial mapping and $\varphi := \varphi_1 \cdot \dots \cdot \varphi_m$. If φ is a polynomial of positive degree and T is its set of zeroes, then for every $t \in \mathbb{C}$,

$$|\Phi(t)| \geq 2^{-\deg \Phi} \min_{\tau \in T} |\Phi(\tau)|.$$

PROOF. Fix $t_0 \in \mathbb{C}$. Let $\min_{\tau \in T} |t_0 - \tau|$ be attained for some $\tau_0 \in T$. If φ_i is a polynomial of positive degree and has the form $\varphi_i(t) = c_i \prod_{j=1}^{\deg \varphi_i} (t - \tau_{ij})$, then we have

$$2|t_0 - \tau_{ij}| = |t_0 - \tau_{ij}| + |t_0 - \tau_{ij}| \geq |t_0 - \tau_0| + |t_0 - \tau_{ij}| \geq |\tau_0 - \tau_{ij}|.$$

Hence

$$2^{\deg \varphi_i} |\varphi_i(t_0)| \geq |\varphi_i(\tau_0)|.$$

Obviously, this inequality is also true for φ_i being a constant. Since $\deg \Phi \geq \deg \varphi_i$, from the above we get

$$2^{\deg \Phi} |\Phi(t_0)| \geq |\Phi(\tau_0)| \geq \min_{\tau \in T} |\Phi(\tau)|,$$

which ends the proof.

In the sequel, $z = (z_1, \dots, z_n) \in \mathbb{C}^n$, $n \geq 2$, and for every $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ we put $z'_i := (z_1, \dots, z_{i-1}, z_{i+1}, \dots, z_n)$.

We state an easy lemma without proof.

LEMMA 3. Let $f : \mathbb{C}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be a non-constant polynomial function and S its set of zeroes. If $\deg f = \deg_{z_i} f$ for every $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$, then there exist constants $C \geq 1$, $D > 0$ such that for every $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$,

$$|z_i| \leq C|z'_i| \quad \text{for } z \in S \text{ and } |z'_i| > D.$$

PROOF OF THEOREM 1. Without loss of generality we may assume that

- (i) $S \neq \mathbb{C}^n$,
- (ii) $\#(F|S)^{-1}(0) < \infty$.

In fact, if (i) does not hold then (8) is obvious, whereas if (ii) does not hold then (8) follows from Corollary 1.

Obviously $N(F) \subset N(F|S)$. So, to prove (8) it suffices to show

$$(10) \quad N(F|S) \subset N(F).$$

Put $f := f_1 \cdot \dots \cdot f_m$. From (i) we have $\deg f > 0$. Since the sets $N(F|S)$ and $N(F)$ are invariant with respect to linear changes of coordinates in \mathbb{C}^n we may assume that

$$(11) \quad \deg f = \deg_{z_i} f, \quad i = 1, \dots, n.$$

This obviously implies

$$(12) \quad \deg f_j = \deg_{z_i} f_j, \quad j = 1, \dots, m, \quad i = 1, \dots, n.$$

It follows from (ii) and Corollary 1 that $N(F|S)$ is not empty. Take $\nu \in N(F|S)$. Then there exist $A > 0$, $B > 0$ such that

$$(13) \quad |F(\zeta)| \geq A|\zeta|^\nu \quad \text{for } \zeta \in S, |\zeta| > B.$$

By (11) and Lemma 3 there exist $C \geq 1$, $D > 0$ such that for every $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$,

$$(14) \quad |z_i| \leq C|z'_i| \quad \text{for } z \in S, |z'_i| > D.$$

Put $A_1 := 2^{-\deg F} A \min(1, C^\nu)$ and $B_1 := \max(B, D)$. Take arbitrary $\dot{z} \in \mathbb{C}^n$ such that $|\dot{z}| > B_1$. Clearly, $|\dot{z}| = |\dot{z}'_i|$ for some i . Define $\varphi_j(t) := f_j(\dot{z}_1, \dots, \dot{z}_{i-1}, t, \dot{z}_{i+1}, \dots, \dot{z}_n)$, $\Phi := (\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_m)$. Then from (12) we have

$$(15) \quad \deg F = \deg \Phi.$$

Moreover, from (11) it follows that $\varphi := \varphi_1 \cdot \dots \cdot \varphi_m$ is a polynomial of positive degree. Then, from Lemma 2 (T is defined as in Lemma 2) and (15) we have

$$(16) \quad |F(\dot{z})| = |\Phi(\dot{z}_i)| \geq 2^{-\deg \Phi} \min_{\tau \in T} |\Phi(\tau)| = 2^{-\deg F} |F(\dot{\zeta})|$$

for some $\dot{\zeta} = (\dot{z}_1, \dots, \dot{z}_{i-1}, \tau_0, \dot{z}_{i+1}, \dots, \dot{z}_n)$, $\tau_0 \in T$. So, $\dot{\zeta} \in S$. Since $|\dot{z}| > B_1$ and $|\dot{\zeta}| \geq |\dot{z}'_i| = |\dot{z}|$, from (16) and (13) we get

$$(17) \quad |F(\dot{z})| \geq 2^{-\deg F} A |\dot{\zeta}|^\nu,$$

whereas from (14),

$$(18) \quad |\dot{z}| \leq |\dot{\zeta}| \leq C|\dot{z}|.$$

Considering two cases, when $\nu \geq 0$ and $\nu < 0$, from (17) and (18) we easily get

$$|F(\dot{z})| \geq A_1 |\dot{z}|^\nu.$$

Since \dot{z} is arbitrary we have $\nu \in N(F)$.

This ends the proof of the theorem.

References

- [BR] R. Benedetti and J. J. Risler, *Real Algebraic and Semi-Algebraic Sets*, Hermann, Paris, 1090.
- [CK] J. Chądzynski and T. Krasinski, *Exponent of growth of polynomial mappings of \mathbb{C}^2 into \mathbb{C}^2* , in: *Singularities*, S. Lojasiewicz (ed.), Banach Center Publ. 20, PWN, Warszawa, 1988, 147–160.
- [J] Z. Jelonek, *Testing sets for properness of polynomial mappings*, Inst. Math., Jagiellonian University, preprint 16 (1996), 37 pp.
- [NZ] A. Némethi and A. Zaharia, *Milnor fibration at infinity*, *Indag. Math.* 3 (1992), 323–335.
- [P1] A. Płoski, *Newton polygons and the Lojasiewicz exponent of a holomorphic mapping of \mathbb{C}^2* , *Ann. Polon. Math.* 51 (1990), 275–281.

- [P₂] A. Płoski, *A note on the Łojasiewicz exponent at infinity*, Bull. Soc. Sci. Lettres
Łódź 44 (17) (1994), 11–15.

Faculty of Mathematics

University of Łódź

S. Banacha 22

90-238 Łódź, Poland

E-mail: jachadzy@imul.uni.lodz.pl

krasinsk@krysia.uni.lodz.pl

Reçu par la Rédaction le 25.11.1996