

## Hyperbolic homeomorphisms and bishadowing

by P. E. KLOEDEN (Geelong, Victoria) and J. OMBACH (Kraków)

**Abstract.** Hyperbolic homeomorphisms on compact manifolds are shown to have both inverse shadowing and bishadowing properties with respect to a class of  $\delta$ -methods which are represented by continuous mappings from the manifold into the space of bi-infinite sequences in the manifold with the product topology. Topologically stable homeomorphisms and expanding mappings are also considered.

**1. Introduction.** The shadowing or pseudo-orbit tracing property of a dynamical system is often used to justify the validity of computer simulations of the system, asserting that there is a true orbit of the system close to the computed pseudo-orbit. The property was first established for systems generated by hyperbolic diffeomorphisms and later for those generated by hyperbolic homeomorphisms [1, 7, 9, 10, 11].

In numerical calculations an inverse form of the shadowing concept is also of some interest: can every orbit of the system be shadowed by a numerical trajectory calculated by the specific computational routines and procedures under consideration? A composite concept of bishadowing, combining both direct and inverse shadowing, was proposed by Diamond *et al.* [6, 4, 5] and shown to hold for systems generated by semi-hyperbolic Lipschitz mappings with the pseudo-orbits being true orbits of nearby continuous mappings. An appropriate choice of the class of admissible pseudo-orbits is crucial here, for Corless and Pilyugin [2] have shown that diffeomorphisms satisfying a strong transversality condition are not inverse shadowing if this class is too large.

Shadowing and hyperbolicity, or some modification of hyperbolicity, in a dynamical system are closely entwined. Indeed, it is now known [9] that hyperbolic homeomorphisms on a compact manifold as defined by Mañé [7] are characterized equivalently by expansivity and the shadowing property. In this paper it will be shown that such mappings also have inverse shad-

---

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: Primary 58F15; Secondary 54H20.

*Key words and phrases*: pseudo-orbit, hyperbolic, shadowing.

Partially supported by the Australian Research Council Grant A 8913 2609.

owing and bishadowing properties with respect to a class of pseudo-orbits corresponding to “methods” which are represented by continuous mappings from the manifold into the space of bi-infinite sequences in the manifold with the product topology. Definitions are presented in Section 2 and the result formulated as a theorem and proved in Section 3. Finally, in Section 4 it is briefly shown that the result also extends to topologically stable mappings and to expanding mappings.

**2. Shadowing, inverse shadowing and bishadowing.** Let  $(X, d)$  be a compact metric space with metric  $d$  and let  $f : X \rightarrow X$  be a homeomorphism of  $X$  onto itself.

A sequence  $\{x_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$  is called an *orbit* of  $f$  if  $x_{n+1} = fx_n$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ , and a  $\delta$ -*pseudo-orbit* of  $f$  if

$$d(fx_n, x_{n+1}) \leq \delta \quad \text{for all } n \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

We say that the homeomorphism  $f$  has the *shadowing property* if for each  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exists  $\delta > 0$  such that every  $\delta$ -pseudo-orbit  $\{y_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$  is  $\varepsilon$ -shadowed by an orbit  $\{f^n x\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$  of  $f$  for some  $x \in X$ , i.e.

$$d(f^n x, y_n) \leq \varepsilon \quad \text{for all } n \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

Recall that a homeomorphism  $f$  is *expansive* if there exists a constant  $\zeta > 0$  such that  $d(f^n x, f^n y) \leq \zeta$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$  implies that  $x = y$ . Hyperbolic homeomorphisms [7] are expansive and also have the shadowing property. In fact, they are characterized by such properties, that is, a homeomorphism is hyperbolic if and only if it is expansive and has the shadowing property [9].

The concepts of *inverse shadowing* and *bishadowing* are relatively recent [2, 6, 4, 5, 12]. Let  $X^{\mathbb{Z}}$  denote the compact space (with the product topology) of all two-sided sequences  $\mathbf{x} = \{x_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$  with components  $x_n \in X$  and let  $\Phi_f(\delta) \subset X^{\mathbb{Z}}$  be the set of all  $\delta$ -pseudo-orbits of a homeomorphism  $f$  for a given  $\delta > 0$ . We call a mapping  $\varphi : X \rightarrow \Phi_f(\delta)$  a *method of accuracy*  $\delta$  of  $f$ , or just a  $\delta$ -*method* for short, and note that the image of the space  $X$  under a  $\delta$ -method is a complete family of  $\delta$ -pseudo-trajectories in the terminology of Corless and Pilyugin [2, 12].

**EXAMPLE 1.** The orbits of a one-to-one mapping  $g : X \rightarrow X$  with  $D_\infty(g, f) < \delta$ , where  $D_\infty(g, f) = \sup_{x \in X} d(gx, fx)$ , is a  $\delta$ -method with  $\varphi$  defined by  $\varphi(x) = \text{orbit}_g(x)$  for each  $x \in X$ .

A  $\delta$ -method need not, however, be generated by a single mapping.

**EXAMPLE 2.** Consider two functions  $g_i : X \rightarrow X$  with  $D_\infty(g_i, f) \leq \delta$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ , and for each point  $x \in X$  define a two-sided sequence  $\varphi(x) \in \Phi_f(\delta)$  by

$$\varphi(x) = \{\dots, f^{-2}x, f^{-1}x, x, g_{\alpha_1}x, g_{\alpha_2}g_{\alpha_1}x, g_{\alpha_3}g_{\alpha_2}g_{\alpha_1}x, \dots\},$$

with  $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3, \dots \in \{1, 2\}$ , where  $x$  is the 0th component. Such a  $\delta$ -method  $\varphi$  arises when a two-step process is used to simulate the positive orbits of the dynamical system generated by  $f$ .

Let  $\mathcal{T}$  be a family of methods containing the 0-methods, i.e. methods generated by the homeomorphism  $f$  itself.

DEFINITION 1. A homeomorphism  $f$  is said to be

(i) *inverse shadowing* with respect to the class  $\mathcal{T}$  if for any  $\varepsilon > 0$  there is a  $\delta > 0$  such that for any  $\delta$ -method  $\varphi \in \mathcal{T}$  and any point  $y \in X$  there exists a point  $x \in X$  for which

$$d(f^n y, \varphi(x)_n) \leq \varepsilon \quad \text{for all } n \in \mathbb{Z};$$

(ii) *bishadowing* with respect to the class  $\mathcal{T}$  if for any  $\varepsilon > 0$  there is a  $\delta \geq 0$  such that for any  $\delta$ -method  $\varphi \in \mathcal{T}$  and any  $\delta$ -pseudo-orbit  $\{y_n\}$  there exists a point  $x \in X$  for which

$$d(y_n, \varphi(x)_n) \leq \varepsilon \quad \text{for all } n \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

Note that the definitions of shadowing and inverse shadowing are included in that of bishadowing by considering 0-methods and 0-pseudo-orbits, respectively, while an application of the triangle inequality shows that shadowing and inverse shadowing combined imply bishadowing.

In numerical applications it is important to know just how  $\delta$  depends on  $\varepsilon$  in the above definitions. In most proofs of the Shadowing Lemma this dependence often appears, implicitly at least, in the form  $\delta \leq K\varepsilon$  for some constant  $K$  provided  $\varepsilon > 0$  is sufficiently small. Such a relationship was used explicitly in [6, 4, 5] by Diamond *et al.* to define inverse shadowing and bishadowing for semi-hyperbolic mappings, which they called  $\alpha$ -robustness and  $(\alpha, \beta)$ -bishadowing, respectively. We shall restate their definitions here in a slightly more general setting. Call

$$D_f(\varphi) = \sup_{\substack{x \in X \\ k \in \mathbb{Z}}} d(f\varphi(x)_k, \varphi(x)_{k+1})$$

the *1-step deviation* of a  $\delta$ -method  $\varphi$  with respect to a homeomorphism  $f$ , so  $D_f(\varphi) \leq \delta$ , and note that  $D_f(\varphi) \leq D_\infty(g, f)$  if the method  $\varphi$  is generated by a single mapping  $g$  as in Example 1, while  $D_f(\varphi) \leq \max(D_\infty(g_1, f), D_\infty(g_2, f))$  if  $\varphi$  is generated by two mappings  $g_1$  and  $g_2$  as in Example 2.

DEFINITION 2. Let  $\alpha, \beta > 0$  be fixed and let  $\mathcal{T}$  be a family of methods. A homeomorphism  $f$  is said to be

(i)  $(\alpha, \beta)$ -*shadowing* if for every  $\delta$ -pseudo-orbit  $\{y_n\}$  with  $\delta \leq \beta$  there exists a point  $x \in X$  such that

$$d(f^n x, y_n) \leq \alpha\delta \quad \text{for all } n \in \mathbb{Z};$$

(ii)  $(\alpha, \beta)$ -inverse shadowing, or  $(\alpha, \beta)$ -robust, with respect to the class  $\mathcal{T}$  if for every  $\beta$ -method  $\varphi \in \mathcal{T}$  and every point  $y \in X$  there exists a point  $x \in X$  such that

$$d(f^n y, \varphi(x)_n) \leq \alpha D_f(\varphi) \quad \text{for all } n \in \mathbb{Z};$$

(iii)  $(\alpha, \beta)$ -bishadowing with respect to the class  $\mathcal{T}$  if for every  $\delta$ -pseudo-orbit  $\{y_n\}$  and every  $\beta$ -method  $\varphi \in \mathcal{T}$  with

$$\delta + D_f(\varphi) \leq \beta,$$

there exists a point  $x \in X$  such that

$$d(y_n, \varphi(x)_n) \leq \alpha(\delta + D_f(\varphi)) \quad \text{for all } n \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

Clearly, if a homeomorphism is  $(\alpha_1, \beta_1)$ -shadowing and  $(\alpha_2, \beta_2)$ -inverse shadowing with respect to some class of methods  $\mathcal{T}$ , then it is  $(\alpha, \beta)$ -bishadowing with respect to the same class  $\mathcal{T}$  for  $\alpha = \max(\alpha_1, \alpha_2)$  and  $\beta = \min(\beta_1, \beta_2)$ . Moreover,  $(\alpha, \beta)$ -bishadowing implies both  $(\alpha, \beta)$ -shadowing and  $(\alpha, \beta)$ -inverse shadowing.

**3. Main result.** Since it has been shown by Corless and Pilyugin [2] that diffeomorphisms satisfying a strong transversality condition are not inverse shadowing with respect to the class of all possible methods, we restrict attention here to the class  $\mathcal{T}_c$  of all methods  $\varphi$  that are continuous as functions from  $X$  to  $X^{\mathbb{Z}}$ . Note that the methods in Examples 1 and 2 are in the class  $\mathcal{T}_c$  when  $g$  is a homeomorphism and when  $g_1$  and  $g_2$  are continuous.

Our main result is

**THEOREM 1.** *Let  $X$  be a compact manifold and let  $f : X \rightarrow X$  be a hyperbolic homeomorphism. Then  $f$  is bishadowing with respect to the class of methods  $\mathcal{T}_c$ . If in addition  $f$  is  $(\alpha, \beta)$ -shadowing, then it is also  $(\alpha, \beta_1)$ -bishadowing for some sufficiently small  $\beta_1 > 0$ .*

**Proof.** To prove the first statement it is enough to show that  $f$  is inverse shadowing. Let  $\zeta > 0$  be the expansiveness threshold constant for  $f$ , fix  $\varepsilon \leq \zeta/2$  and choose  $\delta$  corresponding to this  $\varepsilon$  in the shadowing property of  $f$ .

Given any  $\delta$ -method  $\varphi \in \mathcal{T}_c$  we construct a map  $h = h_\varphi : X \rightarrow X$  as follows. For each point  $x \in X$  the sequence  $\varphi(x) \in X^{\mathbb{Z}}$  is a  $\delta$ -pseudo-orbit. Let  $h(x)$  be a point in  $X$  which  $\varepsilon$ -shadows this  $\delta$ -pseudo-orbit, i.e. we have

$$(1) \quad d(f^n h(x), \varphi(x)_n) \leq \varepsilon \quad \text{for all } n \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

In fact,  $h(x)$  is uniquely determined. To see this let  $y \in X$  be a point for which the orbit also  $\varepsilon$ -shadows the  $\delta$ -pseudo-orbit  $\varphi(x)$ , i.e.

$$d(f^n y, \varphi(x)_n) \leq \varepsilon \quad \text{for all } n \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

By the triangle inequality and the choice of  $\varepsilon$  we have

$$d(f^n y, f^n h(x)) \leq 2\varepsilon \leq \zeta \quad \text{for all } n \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

Hence from the expansiveness of  $f$  it follows that  $y = h(x)$ .

To show that the mapping  $h$  is continuous if  $\varepsilon$  is sufficiently small we shall use the following property of an expansive homeomorphism [12, 14]. For  $\eta > 0$  and a natural number  $N$  write

$$B_\eta = \{(x, y) \in X \times X : d(x, y) \leq \eta\}$$

and

$$V_N = \{(x, y) \in X \times X : d(f^n x, f^n y) \leq \zeta \text{ for all } |n| \leq N\}.$$

LEMMA 1. *For every positive  $\eta$  there exists an  $N$  such that  $V_N \subset B_\eta$ .*

Assume  $\varepsilon \leq \zeta/3$ . Let  $0 < \eta \leq \zeta/3$  and choose  $N$  as in Lemma 1. Since the method  $\varphi \in \mathcal{T}_c$  is continuous, there is a  $\mu > 0$  such that  $d(x, y) \leq \mu$  implies  $d(\varphi(x)_n, \varphi(y)_n) \leq \eta$  for all  $|n| \leq N$ . For  $d(x, y) \leq \mu$  and  $|n| \leq N$  we then have

$$\begin{aligned} d(f^n h(x), f^n h(y)) &\leq d(f^n h(x), \varphi(x)_n) \\ &\quad + d(\varphi(x)_n, \varphi(y)_n) + d(\varphi(y)_n, f^n h(y)) \\ &\leq \varepsilon + \eta + \varepsilon \leq \zeta, \end{aligned}$$

so  $(h(x), h(y)) \in V_N \subset B_\eta$ . Hence,  $h$  is continuous.

Setting  $n = 0$  in (1) we also have  $d(h(x), x) \leq \varepsilon$  for all  $x \in X$ . From [8] it is known that a continuous map sufficiently close to the identity map on a compact manifold is surjective. Consequently, for  $\varepsilon$  sufficiently small and  $\delta$  chosen as above, the mapping  $h = h_\varphi$  is onto for any  $\delta$ -method  $\varphi \in \mathcal{T}_c$ . For any point  $y \in X$  we can thus find an  $x \in X$  such that  $h(x) = y$ . From inequality (1) we then have

$$d(f^n y, \varphi(x)_n) = d(f^n h(x), \varphi(x)_n) \leq \varepsilon$$

for all  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ , which proves the inverse shadowing of  $f$ .

The proof of the second statement of the theorem is similar, so we leave the details to the reader; here we only note that we can choose  $\beta_1 > 0$  to satisfy

$$\alpha\beta_1 \leq \min \left\{ \alpha\beta, \frac{\zeta}{3}, \varepsilon_0 \right\},$$

where  $\varepsilon_0$  is such that any continuous mapping  $h : X \rightarrow X$  with  $D_\infty(h, \text{id}_X) \leq \varepsilon_0$  is onto. ■

The assumption that the space is a manifold cannot be easily removed. We adapt the following counterexample from [14].

EXAMPLE 3. Consider  $X = \{0, 1\}^{\mathbb{Z}}$  with a metric  $d$  defined by  $d(x, y) = 2^{-n}$  if  $x_0 = y_0$ , where  $n = \max\{k \geq 0 : \forall |i| < k, x_i = y_i\}$ , and by  $d(x, y) = 1$

otherwise. Let  $f$  be the shift homeomorphism on  $X$ , i.e. with  $(fx)_n = x_{n+1}$ , and consider a sequence of methods  $\varphi_m$  determined by mappings  $g_m$  defined by

$$g_m(x)_i = \begin{cases} x_i & \text{for } |i| > m, \\ x_{i+1} & \text{for } i = -m, \dots, m-1, \\ x_m & \text{for } i = m, \end{cases}$$

for  $m = 1, 2, \dots$ . These mappings  $g_m$  are homeomorphisms with  $g_m^{2m+1} = \text{id}_X$ , while  $\varphi_m$  is a  $2^{-m}$ -method with  $\varphi_m \in \mathcal{T}_c$  for each  $m = 1, 2, \dots$ .

The shift mapping  $f$  here is not inverse shadowing. To see this, let  $\varepsilon = 1/2$  and pick  $\delta$  arbitrarily and fix it. For  $m$  with  $2^{-m} < \delta$  the method  $\varphi_m$  is a  $\delta$ -method. Define  $x \in X$  by  $x_0 = 1$  and  $x_i = 0$  for  $i \neq 0$ , and let  $y \in X$ . If  $y_0 \neq 1$ , then inequality (1) is violated for  $n = 0$ . On the other hand, if  $y_0 = 1$  then after  $2m + 1$  iterations the sequence  $f^{2m+1}x$  has 0 as its 0th component, while the sequence  $g^{2m+1}y = y$  has 1 as its 0th component and so  $d(f^{2m+1}x, \varphi_m(y)_{2m+1}) = 1 > \varepsilon$ .

The shift mapping  $f$  is, however, expansive (proof immediate) and shadowing [14]; in fact, it is  $(2, 1)$ -shadowing.

**4. Extensions.** A homeomorphism  $f$  on a compact metric space  $X$  is *topologically stable* [12] if for every  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exists a  $\delta > 0$  such that for any homeomorphism  $g$  on  $X$  with  $D_\infty(g, f) \leq \delta$  there exists a continuous surjective map  $h$  on  $X$  with  $D_\infty(h, \text{id}_X) \leq \varepsilon$  and  $f \circ h = h \circ g$ . Let  $\mathcal{T}_h$  be the class of methods generated by homeomorphisms, choose  $\delta$  corresponding to  $\varepsilon$  as in the above definition and a  $\delta$ -method  $\varphi \in \mathcal{T}_h$  and fix a point  $y \in X$ . If  $g$  is a homeomorphism generating the method  $\varphi$  and  $x \in X$  is a point such that  $h(x) = y$ , then

$$d(\varphi(x)_n, f^n y) = d(g^n x, f^n h(x)) = d(g^n x, h(g^n x)) \leq D_\infty(f, \text{id}_X) \leq \varepsilon$$

for all  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Hence  $f$  has the inverse shadowing property with respect to methods in the class  $\mathcal{T}_h$ .

On the other hand, it is known [12, 14] that topological stability implies shadowing on a compact manifold, which we can combine with the preceding to conclude that topological stability on a compact manifold implies bishadowing with respect to the class  $\mathcal{T}_h$ . Note, however, that  $\mathcal{T}_h$  is somewhat smaller than the class  $\mathcal{T}_c$  in Theorem 1.

Theorem 1 is also true for expanding maps if we restrict ourselves to positive orbits and positive pseudo-orbits, i.e. sequences  $\{x_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \in X^{\mathbb{N}}$ , where  $\mathbb{N} = \{0, 1, \dots\}$ . A continuous surjection  $f : X \rightarrow X$  of a compact metric space  $X$  is called an *expanding map* if it is positively expansive and open [1, 13].

**THEOREM 2.** *An expanding map on a compact manifold is bishadowing with respect to the class  $\mathcal{T}_c$ .*

The proof follows from the fact that an expanding map is shadowing (see [11] for a proof based on the inverse limit space technique) and from the proof of Theorem 1 with some obvious changes to show that the map is also inverse shadowing, and hence bishadowing.

### References

- [1] N. Aoki, *Topological Dynamics*, in: Topics in General Topology, K. Morita and J. Nagata (eds.), Elsevier, 1989, 625–739.
- [2] R. Corless and S. Pilyugin, *Approximate and real trajectories for generic dynamical systems*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 189 (1995), 409–423.
- [3] P. Diamond, P. E. Kloeden, V. Kozyakin and A. Pokrovskii, *Expansivity of semi-hyperbolic Lipschitz mappings*, Bull. Austral. Math. Soc. 51 (1995), 301–308.
- [4] —, —, —, —, *Computer robustness of semi-hyperbolic mappings*, Random Comput. Dynam. 3 (1995), 57–70.
- [5] —, —, —, —, *Robustness of observed behaviour of semi-hyperbolic dynamical systems*, Avtomat. i Telemekh. 11 (1995), to appear (in Russian).
- [6] P. Diamond, P. E. Kloeden and A. Pokrovskii, *Shadowing and approximation in dynamical systems*, in: CMA 3rd Miniconference on Analysis, G. Martin and H. B. Thompson (eds.), Proc. Centre Math. Appl. Austral. Nat. Univ. 33, Austral. Nat. Univ., Canberra, 1994, 47–60.
- [7] R. Mañé, *Ergodic Theory and Differentiable Dynamics*, Springer, 1987.
- [8] J. Munkres, *Elementary Differential Topology*, Princeton Univ. Press, 1963.
- [9] J. Ombach, *Equivalent conditions for hyperbolic coordinates*, Topology Appl. 23 (1986), 87–90.
- [10] —, *Consequences of the pseudo-orbits tracing property and expansiveness*, J. Austral. Math. Soc. Ser. A 43 (1987), 301–313.
- [11] —, *Expansive homeomorphisms with the pseudo orbits tracing property*, preprint 383, Inst. Math. Polish Acad. Sci., 1987.
- [12] S. Pilyugin, *The space of Dynamical Systems with  $C^0$ -Topology*, Lecture Notes in Math. 1571, Springer, 1991.
- [13] D. Ruelle, *Thermodynamic Formalism*, Addison-Wesley, 1978.
- [14] P. Walters, *On the pseudo-orbit tracing property and its relationship to stability*, in: Lecture Notes in Math. 668, Springer, 1978, 231–244.

School of Computing and Mathematics  
Deakin University, Geelong Campus  
Geelong, Victoria 3217  
Australia  
E-mail: kloeden@deakin.au.edu

Institute of Mathematics  
Polish Academy of Sciences  
Cracow Branch  
Św. Tomasza 30  
31-027 Kraków, Poland  
E-mail: ombach@im.uj.edu.pl

*Reçu par la Rédaction le 8.2.1986*