

## On weak solutions of functional-differential abstract nonlocal Cauchy problems

by LUDWIK BYSZEWSKI (Kraków)

**Abstract.** The existence, uniqueness and asymptotic stability of weak solutions of functional-differential abstract nonlocal Cauchy problems in a Banach space are studied. Methods of  $m$ -accretive operators and the Banach contraction theorem are applied.

**1. Introduction.** In this paper we study the existence, uniqueness and asymptotic stability of weak solutions of nonlocal Cauchy problems for a non-linear functional-differential evolution equation. Methods of  $m$ -accretive operators and the Banach contraction theorem are applied. The functional-differential problem considered here is of the form

$$(1.1) \quad u'(t) + A(t)u(t) = f(t, u_t), \quad t \in [0, T],$$

$$(1.2) \quad u_0 = g(u_{T^*}) \in C_0 \subset C, \quad T^* \in [t_0 + r, T],$$

where for every  $t \in [0, T]$ ,  $A(t) : X \supset D(A(t)) \rightarrow X$  is an  $m$ -accretive operator,  $X$  is a Banach space,  $f : [0, T] \times C \rightarrow X$ ,  $g : C \rightarrow C_0$ ,  $u : [-r, T] \rightarrow X$ ,  $u_t \in C$ ,  $t \in [0, T]$ ,  $C := C([-r, 0], X)$ ,  $T > r > 0$  and  $t_0$  is a positive constant. Also, problems of type (1.1)–(1.2) on the interval  $[0, \infty)$  are investigated.

The results obtained are generalizations of those given by Kartsatos and Parrott [8] on the existence and uniqueness of a weak solution of the Cauchy problem

$$(1.3) \quad u'(t) + A(t)u(t) = f(t, u_t), \quad t \in [0, T],$$

$$(1.4) \quad u_0 = \phi \in C_0,$$

and on the existence, uniqueness and stability of a weak solution of a problem of type (1.3)–(1.4) on the interval  $[0, \infty)$ .

---

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: 47H06, 47H20, 34G20, 34K30, 34K25.

*Key words and phrases*: abstract Cauchy problems, functional-differential equation, nonlocal conditions, weak solutions, existence, uniqueness, asymptotic stability,  $m$ -accretive operators, Banach contraction theorem.

The paper is a continuation of papers [2–4] on the existence and uniqueness of solutions of nonlocal Cauchy problems for evolution equations.

Theorems about the existence, uniqueness and stability of solutions of the abstract evolution Cauchy problem (1.3)–(1.4) in the differential version were studied by Bochenek [1], Crandall and Pazy [5], Evans [6] and Winiarska [9], [10].

**2. Preliminaries.** Let  $X$  be a Banach space with norm  $\|\cdot\|$  and let  $C := C([-r, 0], X)$ , where  $r$  is a positive number. The Banach space  $C$  is equipped with the norm  $\|\cdot\|_C$  given by the formula

$$\|\psi\|_C := \sup_{t \in [-r, 0]} \|\psi(t)\| \quad \text{for } \psi \in C.$$

Let  $T > r$  and let  $t_0 \in (a, T - r)$ , where  $a \geq 0$  will be defined in Section 4.

For a continuous function  $w : [-r, T] \rightarrow X$ , we denote by  $w_t$  the function belonging to  $C$  and given by the formula

$$w_t(\tau) := w(t + \tau) \quad \text{for } t \in [0, T], \tau \in [-r, 0].$$

An operator  $B : X \supset D(B) \rightarrow X$  is said to be *accretive* (see [5]) if

$$\|x_1 - x_2 + \lambda(Bx_1 - Bx_2)\| \geq \|x_1 - x_2\|$$

for every  $x_1, x_2 \in D(B)$  and  $\lambda > 0$ .

An accretive operator  $B : X \supset D(B) \rightarrow X$  is said to be *m-accretive* (see [6]) if

$$R(I + \lambda B) = X \quad \text{for all } \lambda > 0,$$

where  $R(I + \lambda B)$  is the range of  $I + \lambda B$ .

We will need the following assumption:

ASSUMPTION (A<sub>1</sub>). For each  $t \in [0, T]$ ,  $A(t) : X \supset D(A(t)) \rightarrow X$  is *m-accretive*, and there exist  $\lambda_0 > 0$ , a continuous nondecreasing function  $l : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  and a continuous function  $h : [0, T] \rightarrow X$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} \|(I + \lambda A(t))^{-1}x - (I + \lambda A(s))^{-1}x\| &\leq \lambda \|h(t) - h(s)\| l(\|x\|) \\ &\text{for all } \lambda \in (0, \lambda_0), t, s \in [0, T], x \in \overline{D(A(t))}. \end{aligned}$$

Assumption (A<sub>1</sub>) implies that the set  $\overline{D(A(t))}$  is independent of  $t$  (see Lemma 3.1 of [6]). Therefore, we will denote this set by  $\overline{D}$ .

Define

$$C_0 = \{\psi \in C : \psi(0) \in \overline{D}\}.$$

Remark 2.1. Since  $C_0$  is a closed subset of the Banach space  $C$ , it is a complete metric space equipped with the metric  $\varrho_{C_0}$  given by the formula

$$(2.1) \quad \varrho_{C_0}(\psi_1, \psi_2) = \|\psi_1 - \psi_2\|_C, \quad \psi_1, \psi_2 \in C_0.$$

Let  $f : [0, T] \times C \rightarrow X$ . We will also need the following assumption:

ASSUMPTION (A<sub>2</sub>). There exists a constant  $L > 0$  such that

$$\|f(s, \psi_1) - f(s, \psi_2)\| \leq L\|\psi_1 - \psi_2\|_C \quad \text{for } s \in [0, T], \psi_1, \psi_2 \in C,$$

and there exist a continuous nondecreasing function  $\omega : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  and a continuous function  $k : [0, T] \rightarrow X$  such that

$$\|f(s_1, \psi) - f(s_2, \psi)\| \leq \omega(\|\psi\|_C)\|k(s_1) - k(s_2)\| \quad \text{for } s_1, s_2 \in [0, T], \psi \in C.$$

**3. Auxiliary theorems.** Now, we formulate two definitions of weak solutions. The first was given by Evans [6], and the second by Kartsatos and Parrott [8]. Some properties of weak solutions were discussed by Kartsatos in [7].

For a given function  $\tilde{f} : [0, T] \rightarrow X$  and  $x \in X$ , a continuous function  $u : [0, T] \rightarrow X$  is said to be a *weak solution* of the problem

$$w'(t) + A(t)w(t) = \tilde{f}(t), \quad t \in [0, T], \quad w(0) = x,$$

if for every  $\tilde{T} \in (0, T]$  there exist a sequence  $P^n = \{0 = t_{n0} < t_{n1} < \dots < t_{nN(n)} = T(n)\}$  ( $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ) of partitions and sequences  $\{u_{nj}\}_{j=0,1,\dots,N(n)}$ ,  $\{\tilde{f}_{nj}\}_{j=1,\dots,N(n)}$  ( $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ) of elements in  $X$  such that

(i)  $\tilde{T} \leq T(n) \leq T$  ( $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ) and

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \max_{j \in \{1, \dots, N(n)\}} (t_{nj} - t_{n,j-1}) = 0,$$

(ii)  $u_{n0} := x$  ( $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ) and

$$\frac{u_{nj} - u_{n,j-1}}{t_{nj} - t_{n,j-1}} + A(t_{nj})u_{nj} = \tilde{f}_{nj} \quad (j = 1, \dots, N(n); n \in \mathbb{N}),$$

(iii)  $\tilde{f}_n$  is convergent to  $\tilde{f}$  in  $L^1(0, T; X)$ , where  $\tilde{f}_n(t) := \tilde{f}_{nj}$  for  $t \in (t_{n,j-1}, t_{nj}]$  ( $j = 1, \dots, N(n); n \in \mathbb{N}$ ), and  $u_n$  converges uniformly to  $u$  on  $[0, T]$ , where  $u_n(t) := u_{nj}$  for  $t \in (t_{n,j-1}, t_{nj}]$  ( $j = 1, \dots, N(n); n \in \mathbb{N}$ ).

For given functions  $f : [0, T] \times C \rightarrow X$  and  $\phi \in C_0$ , a continuous function  $u : [-r, T] \rightarrow X$  is said to be a *weak solution* of the problem

$$(3.1) \quad w'(t) + A(t)w(t) = f(t, w_t), \quad t \in [0, T], \quad w_0 = \phi,$$

if  $u(t) = \phi(t)$  for  $t \in [-r, 0]$  and  $u$  is a weak solution of the problem

$$w'(t) + A(t)w(t) = f(t, u_t), \quad t \in [0, T], \quad w(0) = \phi(0).$$

Now, we formulate two theorems which are consequences of the results obtained by Kartsatos and Parrott [8].

**THEOREM 3.1.** *Suppose that the operators  $A(t)$ ,  $t \in [0, T]$ , and the function  $f$  satisfy Assumptions (A<sub>1</sub>) and (A<sub>2</sub>). Then for each  $\phi \in C_0$  there exists*

exactly one weak solution of problem (3.1). Moreover, if  $\alpha > L$  is such that, for each  $t \in [0, T]$ ,  $A(t) - \alpha I$  is accretive then

$$\|u_1(t) - u_2(t)\| \leq e^{-(\alpha-L)t} \|\phi_1 - \phi_2\|_C, \quad t \in [0, T],$$

where  $u_i$  ( $i = 1, 2$ ) is the (unique) weak solution of the problem

$$\begin{aligned} w'(t) + A(t)w(t) &= f(t, w_t), \quad t \in [0, T], \\ w_0 &= \phi_i \in C_0 \quad (i = 1, 2). \end{aligned}$$

**THEOREM 3.2.** *Suppose that the operators  $A(t)$ ,  $t \in [0, \infty)$ , and the function  $f : [0, \infty) \times C \rightarrow X$  satisfy Assumptions (A<sub>1</sub>) and (A<sub>2</sub>) on the interval  $[0, \infty)$  in place of  $[0, T]$ . Then for each  $\phi \in C_0$  there exists exactly one weak solution  $u_\phi$  of the problem*

$$w'(t) + A(t)w(t) = f(t, w_t), \quad t \in [0, \infty), \quad w_0 = \phi.$$

Moreover, if  $\alpha > L$  is such that, for each  $t \in [0, \infty)$ ,  $A(t) - \alpha I$  is accretive then

$$\|u_1(t) - u_2(t)\| \leq e^{-(\alpha-L)t} \|\phi_1 - \phi_2\|_C, \quad t \in [0, \infty),$$

where  $u_i$  ( $i = 1, 2$ ) is the (unique) weak solution of the problem

$$\begin{aligned} w'(t) + A(t)w(t) &= f(t, w_t), \quad t \in [0, \infty), \\ w_0 &= \phi_i \in C_0 \quad (i = 1, 2). \end{aligned}$$

Consequently,  $u_\phi$  is asymptotically stable.

**4. Result.** Let  $g : C \rightarrow C_0$ . We will need the following assumption:

ASSUMPTION (A<sub>3</sub>). There exist constants  $M > 0$  and  $\beta \in \mathbb{R}$  such that

$$\|g(w_{\hat{T}}) - g(\tilde{w}_{\hat{T}})\|_C \leq Me^{\beta t_0} \|w - \tilde{w}\|_{C([t_0, \hat{T}], X)}$$

for all  $w, \tilde{w} \in C([-r, T], X)$  and  $\hat{T} \in [t_0 + r, T]$ .

Now, we present two theorems on weak solutions of nonlocal problems.

**THEOREM 4.1.** *Suppose that the operators  $A(t)$ ,  $t \in [0, T]$ , and the functions  $f : [0, T] \times C \rightarrow X$  and  $g : C \rightarrow C_0$  satisfy Assumptions (A<sub>1</sub>)–(A<sub>3</sub>). Moreover, suppose that there is  $\alpha > L$  such that, for each  $t \in [0, T]$ , the operator  $A(t) - \alpha I$  is accretive. Then for each  $T^* \in [t_0 + r, T]$ , where  $t_0 \in (\max\{0, \ln(M)\}/(\alpha - L - \beta), T - r)$ ,  $\ln(M) < (\alpha - L - \beta)(T - r)$  and  $\beta < \alpha - L$ , there is a unique  $\phi_* \in C_0$  and exactly one weak solution  $u_* : [-r, T] \rightarrow X$  of the problem*

$$(4.1) \quad w'(t) + A(t)w(t) = f(t, w_t), \quad t \in [0, T], \quad w_0 = \phi_*,$$

satisfying the condition

$$(4.2) \quad (u_*)_0 = g((u_*)_{T^*}) = \phi_*.$$

Moreover, for the (unique) weak solution  $u_\phi$  of the problem

$$(4.3) \quad w'(t) + A(t)w(t) = f(t, w_t), \quad t \in [0, T], \quad w_0 = \phi,$$

where  $\phi$  is an arbitrary function belonging to  $C_0$ , the following inequality holds:

$$(4.4) \quad \|u_\phi(t) - u_*(t)\| \leq e^{-(\alpha-L)t} \|\phi - g((u_*)_{T^*})\|_C, \quad t \in [0, T].$$

Proof. By Theorem 3.1, there is exactly one weak solution  $u_\phi : [-r, T] \rightarrow X$  of problem (4.3), where  $\phi$  is an arbitrary function belonging to  $C_0$ . Moreover, by Theorem 3.1, for any two functions  $\phi_i \in C_0$  ( $i = 1, 2$ ) the (unique) weak solutions  $u_{\phi_i}$  ( $i = 1, 2$ ) of the problems

$$\begin{aligned} w'(t) + A(t)w(t) &= f(t, w_t), \quad t \in [0, T], \\ w_0 &= \phi_i \quad (i = 1, 2), \end{aligned}$$

respectively, satisfy the inequality

$$(4.5) \quad \|u_{\phi_1}(t) - u_{\phi_2}(t)\| \leq e^{-(\alpha-L)t} \|\phi_1 - \phi_2\|_C, \quad t \in [0, T].$$

Let  $T^*$  be an arbitrary number such that  $T^* \in [t_0 + r, T]$ , where  $t_0 \in (\max\{0, \ln(M)\}/(\alpha-L-\beta), T-r, \ln(M) < (\alpha-L-\beta)(T-r)$  and  $\beta < \alpha-L$ .

Next, define a mapping  $F_{T^*} : C_0 \rightarrow C_0$  by the formula

$$(4.6) \quad F_{T^*}(\phi) = g((u_\phi)_{T^*}), \quad \phi \in C_0.$$

Observe that, from Remark 2.1, from (2.1) and (4.6), from Assumption (A<sub>3</sub>), from (4.5) and from the fact that  $T^* \in [t_0 + r, T]$  and  $t_0 > \max\{0, \ln(M)\}/(\alpha-L-\beta)$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \varrho_{C_0}(F_{T^*}(\phi_1), F_{T^*}(\phi_2)) &= \|F_{T^*}(\phi_1) - F_{T^*}(\phi_2)\|_C = \|g((u_{\phi_1})_{T^*}) - g((u_{\phi_2})_{T^*})\|_C \\ &\leq M e^{\beta t_0} \|u_{\phi_1} - u_{\phi_2}\|_{C([t_0, T^*], X)} = M e^{\beta t_0} \sup_{t \in [t_0, T^*]} \|u_{\phi_1}(t) - u_{\phi_2}(t)\| \\ &\leq M e^{\beta t_0} \sup_{t \in [t_0, T^*]} e^{-(\alpha-L)t} \|\phi_1 - \phi_2\|_C \\ &\leq M e^{(-\alpha+\beta+L)t_0} \|\phi_1 - \phi_2\|_C < \varrho_{C_0}(\phi_1, \phi_2) \quad \text{for } \phi_1, \phi_2 \in C_0. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, by the Banach contraction theorem  $F_{T^*}$  has a unique fixed point  $\phi_* \in C_0$ . Moreover, by Theorem 3.1, there exists exactly one weak solution  $u_* : [-r, T] \rightarrow X$  of problem (4.1). Obviously, condition (4.2) holds.

Finally, Theorem 3.1 implies that

$$\|u_\phi(t) - u_*(t)\| \leq e^{-(\alpha-L)t} \|\phi - \phi_*\|_C, \quad t \in [0, T],$$

where  $u_\phi$  is the unique weak solution of problem (4.3).

From the above inequality and from (4.2), we have (4.4).

The proof of Theorem 4.1 is complete.

As a consequence of Theorem 3.2 and of an argument similar to the argument from the proof of Theorem 4.1, we obtain the following theorem:

**THEOREM 4.2.** *Suppose that the operators  $A(t)$ ,  $t \in [0, \infty)$ , and the functions  $f : [0, \infty) \times C \rightarrow X$  and  $g : C \rightarrow C_0$  satisfy Assumptions (A<sub>1</sub>)–(A<sub>3</sub>) on the interval  $[0, \infty)$  in place of  $[0, T]$ . Moreover, suppose that there is  $\alpha > L$  such that, for each  $t \in [0, \infty)$ , the operator  $A(t) - \alpha I$  is accretive. Then for each  $T^* > t_0 + r$ , where  $t_0 > \max\{0, \ln(M)\}/(\alpha - L - \beta)$  and  $\beta < \alpha - L$ , there is a unique  $\phi_* \in C_0$  and exactly one weak solution  $u_* : [-r, \infty) \rightarrow X$  of the problem*

$$w'(t) + A(t)w(t) = f(t, w_t), \quad t \in [0, \infty), \quad w_0 = \phi_*,$$

satisfying the condition

$$(u_*)_0 = g((u_*)_{T^*}) = \phi_*.$$

Moreover, for the (unique) weak solution  $u_\phi$  of the problem

$$w'(t) + A(t)w(t) = f(t, w_t), \quad t \in [0, \infty), \quad w_0 = \phi,$$

where  $\phi$  is an arbitrary function belonging to  $C_0$ , the following inequality holds:

$$\|u_\phi(t) - u_*(t)\| \leq e^{-(\alpha-L)t} \|\phi - g((u_*)_{T^*})\|_C, \quad t \in [0, \infty).$$

Consequently,  $u_*$  is asymptotically stable.

**Remark 4.1.** Let  $g$  be a function defined by the formula

$$(4.7) \quad g(\psi) = Me^{\beta t_0} \psi \quad \text{for } \psi \in C,$$

where  $M > 0$ ,  $\beta < \alpha - L$ ,  $\alpha > L$ ,  $\ln(M) < (\alpha - L - \beta)(T - r)$  ( $L$  is the constant from Assumption (A<sub>3</sub>)) and  $t_0 \in (\max\{0, \ln(M)\}/(\alpha - L - \beta), T - r)$ .

If the following condition holds:

$$\psi \in C \Rightarrow Me^{\beta t_0} \psi(0) \in \bar{D}$$

then  $g : C \rightarrow C_0$ .

Observe that

$$\begin{aligned} \|g(w_{\hat{T}}) - g(\tilde{w}_{\hat{T}})\|_C &= Me^{\beta t_0} \|w_{\hat{T}} - \tilde{w}_{\hat{T}}\|_C = Me^{\beta t_0} \sup_{t \in [-r, 0]} \|w_{\hat{T}}(t) - \tilde{w}_{\hat{T}}(t)\| \\ &= Me^{\beta t_0} \sup_{t \in [-r, 0]} \|w(t + \hat{T}) - \tilde{w}(t + \hat{T})\| \\ &\leq Me^{\beta t_0} \|w - \tilde{w}\|_{C([t_0, \hat{T}], X)} \end{aligned}$$

for all  $w, \tilde{w} \in C([-r, T], X)$  and  $\hat{T} \in [t_0 + r, T]$ .

Consequently,  $g$  satisfies Assumption (A<sub>3</sub>) and Theorem 4.1 can be applied if the other assumptions are satisfied. In particular, for each  $T^* \in [t_0 + r, T]$  the nonlocal condition (4.2) is of the form

$$(4.8) \quad u_*(t) = Me^{\beta t_0} u_*(t + T^*) \quad \text{for } t \in [-r, 0].$$

It is easy to see that if the interval  $[0, T]$  is replaced by  $[0, \infty)$  in (4.7) then  $g$  satisfies Assumption (A<sub>3</sub>) on  $[0, \infty)$  and Theorem 4.2 can be applied

if  $M$ ,  $\beta$  and  $t_0$  satisfy the suitable assumptions of Theorem 4.2. Moreover, the nonlocal condition (4.2) is of the form (4.8).

**Remark 4.2.** Let  $g$  be a function defined by the formula

$$(4.9) \quad (g(\psi))(t) = \frac{Me^{\beta t_0}}{r} \int_{-r}^t \psi(\tau) d\tau \quad \text{for } \psi \in C, t \in [-r, 0],$$

where  $M > 0$ ,  $\beta < \alpha - L$ ,  $\alpha > L$ ,  $\ln(M) < (\alpha - L - \beta)(T - r)$  ( $L$  is the constant from Assumption (A<sub>3</sub>)) and  $t_0 \in (\max\{0, \ln(M)\}/(\alpha - L - \beta), T - r)$ .

If the following condition holds:

$$\psi \in C \Rightarrow \frac{Me^{\beta t_0}}{r} \int_{-r}^0 \psi(\tau) d\tau \in \bar{D}$$

then  $g : C \rightarrow C_0$ .

Observe that

$$\begin{aligned} \|g(w_{\hat{T}}) - g(\tilde{w}_{\hat{T}})\|_C &= \sup_{t \in [-r, 0]} \|(g(w_{\hat{T}}))(t) - (g(\tilde{w}_{\hat{T}}))(t)\| \\ &= \frac{Me^{\beta t_0}}{r} \sup_{t \in [-r, 0]} \left\| \int_{-r}^t [w_{\hat{T}}(\tau) - \tilde{w}_{\hat{T}}(\tau)] d\tau \right\| \\ &= \frac{Me^{\beta t_0}}{r} \sup_{t \in [-r, 0]} \left\| \int_{-r}^t [w(\tau + \hat{T}) - \tilde{w}(\tau + \hat{T})] d\tau \right\| \\ &\leq Me^{\beta t_0} \sup_{t \in [-r, 0]} \sup_{\tau \in [-r, t]} \|w(\tau + \hat{T}) - \tilde{w}(\tau + \hat{T})\| \\ &\leq Me^{\beta t_0} \sup_{\tau \in [-r, 0]} \|w(\tau + \hat{T}) - \tilde{w}(\tau + \hat{T})\| \\ &\leq Me^{\beta t_0} \|w - \tilde{w}\|_{C([t_0, \hat{T}], X)} \end{aligned}$$

for all  $w, \tilde{w} \in C([-r, T], X)$  and  $\hat{T} \in [t_0 + r, T]$ .

Consequently,  $g$  satisfies Assumption (A<sub>3</sub>) and Theorem 4.1 can be applied if the other assumptions are satisfied. In particular, for each  $T^* \in [t_0 + r, T]$  the nonlocal condition (4.2) is of the form

$$(4.10) \quad u_*(t) = \frac{Me^{\beta t_0}}{r} \int_{-r}^t u_*(\tau + T^*) d\tau \quad \text{for } t \in [-r, 0].$$

It is easy to see that if the interval  $[0, T]$  is replaced by  $[0, \infty)$  in (4.9) then  $g$  satisfies Assumption (A<sub>3</sub>) on  $[0, \infty)$  and Theorem 4.2 can be applied if  $M, \beta$  and  $t_0$  satisfy the suitable assumptions of Theorem 4.2. Moreover, the nonlocal condition (4.2) is of the form (4.10).

## References

- [1] J. Bochenek, *An abstract semilinear first order differential equation in the hyperbolic case*, Ann. Polon. Math. 61 (1995), 13–23.
- [2] L. Byszewski, *Theorems about the existence and uniqueness of solutions of a semilinear evolution nonlocal Cauchy problem*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 162 (1991), 494–505.
- [3] —, *Uniqueness criterion for solution of abstract nonlocal Cauchy problem*, J. Appl. Math. Stochastic Anal. 6 (1993), 49–54.
- [4] —, *Existence and uniqueness of mild and classical solutions of semilinear functional-differential evolution nonlocal Cauchy problem*, in: Selected Problems of Mathematics, Cracow University of Technology, Anniversary Issue 6 (1995), 25–33.
- [5] M. Crandall and A. Pazy, *Nonlinear evolution equations in Banach spaces*, Israel J. Math. 11 (1972), 57–94.
- [6] L. Evans, *Nonlinear evolution equations in an arbitrary Banach space*, *ibid.* 26 (1977), 1–42.
- [7] A. Kartsatos, *A direct method for the existence of evolution operators associated with functional evolutions in general Banach spaces*, Funkcial. Ekvac. 31 (1988), 89–102.
- [8] A. Kartsatos and M. Parrott, *A simplified approach to the existence and stability problem of a functional evolution equation in a general Banach space*, in: Infinite Dimensional Systems, (F. Kappel and W. Schappacher (eds.)), Lecture Notes in Math. 1076, Springer, Berlin, 1984, 115–122.
- [9] T. Winiarska, *Parabolic equations with coefficients depending on  $t$  and parameters*, Ann. Polon. Math. 51 (1990), 325–339.
- [10] —, *Regularity of solutions of parabolic equations with coefficients depending on  $t$  and parameters*, *ibid.* 56 (1992), 311–317.

Institute of Mathematics  
Cracow University of Technology  
Warszawska 24  
31-155 Kraków, Poland  
E-mail: lbyszews@usk.pk.edu.pl

*Reçu par la Rédaction le 8.11.1995*

*Révisé le 15.6.1996*