

Polynomial set-valued functions

by JOANNA SZCZAWIŃSKA (Kraków)

Abstract. The aim of this paper is to give a necessary and sufficient condition for a set-valued function to be a polynomial s.v. function of order at most 2.

Let X, Z be vector spaces over \mathbb{Q} and C be a \mathbb{Q} -convex subset of X . Let $f : C \rightarrow Z$ be an arbitrary function and $h \in X$. The difference operator Δ_h is given by the formula

$$\Delta_h f(x) := f(x+h) - f(x)$$

for $x \in C$ such that $x+h \in C$. The iterates Δ_h^n of Δ_h are given by the recurrence

$$\Delta_h^0 f := f, \quad \Delta_h^{n+1} f := \Delta_h(\Delta_h^n f), \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

The expression $\Delta_h^n f$ is a function defined for all $x \in C$ such that $x+nh \in C$. It is easy to see that $x+kh \in C$ for $k = 1, \dots, n-1$ whenever $x, x+nh \in C$.

A function $f : C \rightarrow Z$ is said to be a *Jensen function* if it satisfies the Jensen functional equation

$$f\left(\frac{x+y}{2}\right) = \frac{1}{2}[f(x) + f(y)]$$

for all $x, y \in C$.

A function $f : C \rightarrow Z$ is called a *polynomial function* of order at most n if

$$\Delta_h^{n+1} f(x) = 0$$

for every $x \in C$ and $h \in X$ such that $x + (n+1)h \in C$.

We have

$$\Delta_h^n f(x) = \sum_{k=0}^n (-1)^{n-k} \binom{n}{k} f(x+kh)$$

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: 54C60, 26E25, 39A70.

Key words and phrases: polynomial set-valued functions, difference operators, biadditive functions, Jensen function.

for $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $h \in X$ and $x \in C$ such that $x + nh \in C$ (see e.g. [3], Corollary 2, p. 368).

R. Ger has proved that every polynomial function $f : C \rightarrow Z$ of order at most n admits an extension to a polynomial function of order at most n on the whole X (see Theorem 2 of [2]). Therefore, due to Theorem 3 of [3] (p. 379), we can formulate the following theorem:

THEOREM 1. *Let X, Z be \mathbb{Q} -linear spaces and C be a nonempty \mathbb{Q} -convex subset of X . If $f : C \rightarrow Z$ is a polynomial function of order at most n then*

$$\Delta_{h_1 \dots h_{n+1}} f(x) := \Delta_{h_1} \Delta_{h_2} \dots \Delta_{h_{n+1}} f(x) = 0$$

for $x \in C$ and $h_1, \dots, h_{n+1} \in X$ such that $x + \varepsilon_1 h_1 + \dots + \varepsilon_{n+1} h_{n+1} \in C$, $\varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_{n+1} \in \{0, 1\}$.

We are going to deal with polynomial set-valued functions (abbreviated to s.v. functions in the sequel). Let Y be a real Hausdorff topological vector space. The symbol $n(Y)$ will stand for the set of all non-empty subsets of Y . The set of all convex and compact members of $n(Y)$ will be denoted by $cc(Y)$.

Rådström's equivalence relation \sim (see [5]) is defined on $(cc(Y))^2$ by stating $(A, B) \sim (C, D)$ if $A + D = B + C$. The equivalence class containing (A, B) is denoted by $[A, B]$. The quotient space $\mathcal{Z} = (cc(Y))^2 / \sim$, with addition defined by

$$[A, B] + [D, E] := [A + D, B + E],$$

and scalar multiplication

$$\lambda[A, B] := \begin{cases} [\lambda A, \lambda B], & \lambda \geq 0, \\ [-\lambda B, -\lambda A], & \lambda < 0, \end{cases}$$

is a real vector space.

The following result of Rådström (see [5], Lemma 3) is useful.

LEMMA 1. *Let A, B be convex and closed sets in Y and let C be nonempty and bounded. Then $A + C = B + C$ implies $A = B$.*

Let Y be a topological vector space and let \mathcal{W} be a base of neighbourhoods of zero in Y . The space $n(Y)$ may be considered as a topological space with the Hausdorff topology. In this topology the families of sets

$$N_W(A) := \{B \in n(Y) : A \subseteq B + W \text{ and } B \subseteq A + W\},$$

where W runs over the base \mathcal{W} , form a base of neighbourhoods of the set $A \in n(Y)$ (see [6]).

The three lemmas below can be found e.g. in [4] (Lemmas 5.6 and 3.2).

LEMMA 2. *Let Y be a topological vector space and $A_n, B_n, A, B \in n(Y)$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}$. If $A_n \rightarrow A$ and $B_n \rightarrow B$ (in the Hausdorff topology on $n(Y)$),*

then $A_n + B_n \rightarrow A + B$. If A is bounded, then the function $t \rightarrow tA$ is continuous.

LEMMA 3. If $\lambda_n \rightarrow 0$ and $A \in n(Y)$ is bounded, then $\lambda_n A \rightarrow \{0\}$.

LEMMA 4. If $\lambda_n \rightarrow \lambda_0$ and $A \in n(Y)$ is bounded, then $\lambda_n A \rightarrow \lambda_0 A$.

The next lemma is proved in [1] for a metric space Y .

LEMMA 5. Let Y be a topological vector space. If $A_n \rightarrow A$ (in the Hausdorff topology on $n(Y)$) and A is closed then $A = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} \overline{\bigcup_{m \geq n} A_m}$.

PROOF. Fix $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $W \in \mathcal{W}$, where \mathcal{W} denotes a base of neighbourhoods of zero in Y . Since $A_n \rightarrow A$, there is $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $A \subseteq A_m + W$ for every $m \geq n_0$. Hence, $A \subseteq \bigcup_{m \geq n} A_m + W$ for $W \in \mathcal{W}$. Therefore, we have

$$A \subseteq \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} \overline{\bigcup_{m \geq n} A_m}.$$

Now, fix $W \in \mathcal{W}$. Let $V \in \mathcal{W}$ with $V + V \subseteq W$. There is $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that if $n \geq n_0$, then

$$(1) \quad A_n \subseteq A + V.$$

Choose an $x \in \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} \overline{\bigcup_{m \geq n} A_m}$. Hence $x \in A_m + V$ for some $m \geq n_0$. Then by (1),

$$x \in A + V + V \subseteq A + W,$$

that is, $x \in \bar{A} = A$. Consequently, $\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} \overline{\bigcup_{m \geq n} A_m} \subseteq A$. ■

LEMMA 6. Let Y be a topological vector space and $A_n, B, C \in cc(Y)$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}$. If $A_n + B =: C_n \rightarrow C$, then there exists $A \in cc(Y)$ such that $C = A + B$.

PROOF. By the last lemma, Lemma 5.3 of [4] and the fact that the algebraic sum of a compact set and a closed set is closed, we have

$$\begin{aligned} C &= \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} \overline{\bigcup_{m \geq n} (A_m + B)} = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} \overline{\bigcup_{m \geq n} A_m + B} = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} \overline{\bigcup_{m \geq n} A_m + B} \\ &= \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} \overline{\left(\bigcup_{m \geq n} A_m + B \right)} = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} \overline{\bigcup_{m \geq n} A_m + B}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} C &= \text{clconv} \left(\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} \overline{\bigcup_{m \geq n} A_m + B} \right) = \text{cl} \left(\text{conv} \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} \overline{\bigcup_{m \geq n} A_m + B} \right) \\ &= \text{cl} \left(\text{clconv} \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} \overline{\bigcup_{m \geq n} A_m + B} \right) = \text{clconv} \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} \overline{\bigcup_{m \geq n} A_m + B}. \end{aligned}$$

Put

$$A := \text{clconv} \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} \overline{\bigcup_{m \geq n} A_m}.$$

The set A is of course closed and convex and $A + B = C$. Since $A \subset C - B$, A is compact. ■

DEFINITION 1. A set $S \subseteq X$ is said to be a \mathbb{Q} -convex cone if $S + S \subseteq S$ and $\lambda S \subseteq S$ for all $\lambda \in \mathbb{Q} \cap (0, \infty)$.

Now consider an s.v. function $F : S \rightarrow cc(Y)$, where $S \subseteq X$ denotes a \mathbb{Q} -convex cone. Define $f : S \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}$ as follows:

$$(2) \quad f(x) := [F(x), \{0\}].$$

DEFINITION 2. Let $h \in X$. The difference operator of the function $f : S \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}$ given by (2) is called the *difference operator of the s.v. function F* , i.e. $\Delta_h F(x) := \Delta_h f(x) = [F(x+h), F(x)]$ for $x \in S$ and $h \in X$ such that $x+h \in S$, and $\Delta_h^n F(x) := \Delta_h^n f(x)$ for $x \in S$ and $h \in X$ such that $x+nh \in S$.

DEFINITION 3. An s.v. function $F : S \rightarrow cc(Y)$ is called a *polynomial s.v. function* of order at most n if the function $f : S \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}$ given by (2) is a polynomial function of order at most n , i.e. $\Delta_h^{n+1} F(x) = 0$ for $x \in S$ and $h \in X$ such that $x+(n+1)h \in S$.

Observe that if $F : S \rightarrow cc(Y)$ is polynomial of order 0, i.e. $\Delta_h F(x) = 0$ for $x \in S$ and $h \in X$ such that $x+h \in S$, then F is constant.

Now, let F be a polynomial s.v. function of order at most one. Then

$$\Delta_h^2 F(x) = [F(x+2h) + F(x), 2F(x+h)] = 0$$

for $x \in S$ and $h \in X$ such that $x+2h \in S$. This means that

$$(3) \quad F(x+2h) + F(x) = 2F(x+h)$$

for $x \in S$ and $h \in X$ such that $x+2h \in S$.

Putting $h := (y-x)/2 \in X$ in (3), where x, y are arbitrary from S , we get $x+h = (x+y)/2 \in S, x+2h = y \in S$ and

$$(4) \quad F(y) + F(x) = 2F\left(\frac{x+y}{2}\right), \quad x, y \in S.$$

So, if $\Delta_h^2 F(x) = 0$, then F satisfies the Jensen equation (4). Conversely, if F satisfies the above equation, then F is a polynomial function of order at most one.

If F is a polynomial s.v. function of order at most one then the function $g : S \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}$ given by

$$g(x) := \Delta_x f(0) = [F(x), F(0)]$$

is additive. Indeed, by Lemma 3 (p. 367) of [3] and Theorem 1,

$$g(x + y) = \Delta_{x+y}f(0) = \Delta_{x,y}f(0) + \Delta_x f(0) + \Delta_y f(0) = g(x) + g(y)$$

for all $x, y \in S$. Then $g(nx) = ng(x)$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $x \in S$, which gives

$$[F(nx), F(0)] = n[F(x), F(0)].$$

Hence

$$\frac{1}{n}F(nx) + F(0) = \frac{1}{n}F(0) + F(x)$$

for $x \in S$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Since the limit of the right-hand side exists, so does the limit of the left-hand side. By Lemma 6, there is a set $A(x) \in cc(Y)$ such that

$$A(x) + F(0) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(\frac{1}{n}F(0) + F(x) \right) = F(x)$$

for $x \in S$. It follows that

$$[A(x), \{0\}] = [F(x), F(0)],$$

so the s.v. function A is additive. Conversely, if $A : S \rightarrow cc(Y)$ is additive and $F(0) \in cc(Y)$, then the s.v. function F given by $F(x) = F(0) + A(x)$ is a polynomial s.v. function of order at most one. By the above considerations we can formulate a theorem proved by K. Nikodem [4] in a different way.

THEOREM 2. *Let X be a real vector space, S be a \mathbb{Q} -convex cone in X and let Y be a real topological vector space. Then $F : S \rightarrow cc(Y)$ is a polynomial s.v. function of order at most one if and only if there exists an additive s.v. function $A : S \rightarrow cc(Y)$ such that $F(x) = F(0) + A(x)$ for $x \in S$.*

An s.v. function F is a polynomial function of order 2 if and only if

$$F(x + 3h) + 3F(x + h) = 3F(x + 2h) + F(x)$$

for $x \in S$ and $h \in X$ such that $x + 3h \in S$. It is easily seen that if

$$(5) \quad F(x) = A_0 + A_1(x) + A_2(x)$$

for $x \in S$, where $A_0 \in cc(Y)$, $A_1, A_2 : S \rightarrow cc(Y)$, A_1 is additive and A_2 is the diagonalization of a biadditive s.v. function $\bar{A}_2 : S \times S \rightarrow cc(Y)$ (i.e. $A_2(x) = \bar{A}_2(x, x)$, $x \in S$) then F is a polynomial s.v. function of order at most 2.

Now, let us consider an example. Let $S = \langle 0, \infty \rangle$ and $F : S \rightarrow cc(\mathbb{R})$ be given by the formula $F(x) := \langle 2x, x^2 + 1 \rangle$, $x \in S$. Obviously, F is a polynomial function of order at most 2 but we cannot present it in the form (5). In fact, putting $x = 0$ in (5), we get $A_0 = \langle 0, 1 \rangle$. Next, putting $x = 1$ in (5), we obtain

$$\langle 0, 1 \rangle + A_1(1) + A_2(1) = \langle 2, 2 \rangle,$$

which is not possible.

Remark 1. Let $F : S \rightarrow cc(Y)$ be a polynomial s.v. function of order at most 2 and let $\bar{f} : X \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}$ denote an extension of the function f defined by (2). The function $g : X \times X \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}$ given by

$$g(x, y) := \frac{1}{2} \Delta_{x,y} \bar{f}(0)$$

is biadditive and

$$(6) \quad g(x, y) = \frac{1}{2} \Delta_{x,y} f(0) = \frac{1}{2} [F(x+y) + F(0), F(x) + F(y)] \quad \text{for } x, y \in S.$$

Proof. A polynomial extension $\bar{f} : X \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}$ of order at most 2 of the function f exists in view of Theorem 2 of [2]. Note that g is symmetric. Fix $x, y, z \in X$. By Lemma 3 of [3] (p. 367) and Theorem 1 we have

$$\begin{aligned} g(x+z, y) &= \frac{1}{2} \Delta_{x+z,y} f(0) = \frac{1}{2} \Delta_y \Delta_{x+z} f(0) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \Delta_y (\Delta_{x,z} f(0) + \Delta_x f(0) + \Delta_z f(0)) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \Delta_{x,y,z} f(0) + \frac{1}{2} \Delta_{x,y} f(0) + \frac{1}{2} \Delta_{z,y} f(x)(0) \\ &= g(x, y) + g(z, y). \end{aligned}$$

By (2), the equation (6) is obvious. ■

THEOREM 3. Let $F : S \rightarrow cc(Y)$ be a polynomial function of order at most 2. Then there exists a polynomial s.v. function $A : S \rightarrow cc(Y)$ of order at most 2 such that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} F(0) + \frac{1}{2} F(2x) &= A(x) + F(x), \quad x \in S, \\ A(\lambda x) &= \lambda^2 A(x), \quad x \in S, \lambda \in \mathbb{Q} \cap \langle 0, \infty \rangle, \end{aligned}$$

and the function

$$x \rightarrow [F(x), F(0) + A(x)], \quad x \in S,$$

is additive.

Proof. By Remark 1 the function $g : X \times X \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}$ given by $g(x, y) := \frac{1}{2} \Delta_{x,y} \bar{f}(0)$ is biadditive, where \bar{f} denotes an extension of f .

First, we prove that

$$(7) \quad F\left(\sum_{k=1}^n x_k\right) + (n-2) \sum_{k=1}^n F(x_k) = \frac{(n-2)(n-1)}{2} F(0) + \sum_{1 \leq k < l \leq n} F(x_k + x_l),$$

where $n \geq 2$ and $x_1, \dots, x_n \in S$. If $n = 2$, then (7) is trivial. Now, assume that (7) holds for $n \geq 2$. Let $x_1, \dots, x_{n+1} \in S$. Since

$$g\left(\sum_{k=1}^n x_k, x_{n+1}\right) = \sum_{k=1}^n g(x_k, x_{n+1}),$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \left[F\left(\sum_{k=1}^n x_k + x_{n+1}\right) + F(0), F\left(\sum_{k=1}^n x_k\right) + F(x_{n+1}) \right] \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^n [F(x_k + x_{n+1}) + F(0), F(x_k) + F(x_{n+1})], \end{aligned}$$

whence

$$\begin{aligned} & F\left(\sum_{k=1}^{n+1} x_k\right) + F(0) + \sum_{k=1}^n F(x_k) + nF(x_{n+1}) \\ &= F\left(\sum_{k=1}^n x_k\right) + F(x_{n+1}) + \sum_{k=1}^n F(x_k + x_{n+1}) + nF(0). \end{aligned}$$

By the Rådström lemma

$$\begin{aligned} & F\left(\sum_{k=1}^{n+1} x_k\right) + \sum_{k=1}^n F(x_k) + (n-1)F(x_{n+1}) \\ &= F\left(\sum_{k=1}^n x_k\right) + \sum_{k=1}^n F(x_k + x_{n+1}) + (n-1)F(0). \end{aligned}$$

Hence and by the induction hypothesis we have

$$\begin{aligned} & F\left(\sum_{k=1}^{n+1} x_k\right) + (n-1) \sum_{k=1}^{n+1} F(x_k) \\ &= F\left(\sum_{k=1}^n x_k\right) + (n-2) \sum_{k=1}^n F(x_k) + \sum_{k=1}^n F(x_k + x_{n+1}) + (n-1)F(0) \\ &= \frac{(n-2)(n-1)}{2} F(0) + \sum_{1 \leq k < l \leq n} F(x_k + x_l) \\ &\quad + \sum_{k=1}^n F(x_k + x_{n+1}) + (n-1)F(0) \\ &= \sum_{1 \leq k < l \leq n+1} F(x_k + x_l) + \frac{(n-1)n}{2} F(0), \end{aligned}$$

which ends the induction.

Putting $x = x_1 = \dots = x_n$ in (7), we have

$$F(nx) + n(n-2)F(x) = \binom{n-1}{2} F(0) + \binom{n}{2} F(2x), \quad n \geq 3, x \in S,$$

and

$$(8) \quad \frac{F(nx)}{n(n-2)} + F(x) = \frac{\binom{n-1}{2}}{n(n-2)}F(0) + \frac{\binom{n}{2}}{n(n-2)}F(2x), \quad n \geq 3.$$

By Lemmas 4 and 2 the limit of the right-hand side of (8) exists; consequently, so does the limit of the left-hand side, and by Lemma 6, for all $x \in S$, there is a set $A(x) \in cc(Y)$ such that

$$\frac{1}{2}F(0) + \frac{1}{2}F(2x) = A(x) + F(x), \quad x \in S.$$

This means that

$$[A(x), \{0\}] = \frac{1}{2}[F(2x) + F(0), 2F(x)] = g(x, x), \quad x \in S.$$

Therefore, the function $a : X \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}$ defined by $a(x) := g(x, x)$ is the diagonalization of the biadditive function g and

$$a(x) = [A(x), \{0\}] \quad \text{for } x \in S.$$

By Definition 3, A is a polynomial function of order at most 2. Since g is biadditive, for $x \in S$ and $\lambda \in \mathbb{Q} \cap \langle 0, \infty \rangle$,

$$[A(\lambda x), \{0\}] = g(\lambda x, \lambda x) = \lambda^2 g(x, x) = \lambda^2 [A(x), \{0\}],$$

which means that $A(\lambda x) = \lambda^2 A(x)$.

Finally, observe that the function $x \rightarrow f(x) - a(x)$, $x \in S$, is a Jensen function. Indeed, let $x \in S$ and $h \in X$ with $x + 2h \in S$. Then

$$\Delta_h^2(f(x) - a(x)) = \Delta_h^2 f(x) - 2g(h, h) = \Delta_h^2 f(x) - \Delta_h^2 f(0) = \Delta_h^2 \Delta_x f(0) = 0,$$

by Theorem 1 and biadditivity of g . Define $\bar{g} : S \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}$ by

$$\bar{g}(x) = f(x) - a(x) - [F(0), \{0\}] = [F(x), A(x) + F(0)].$$

Then the considerations above and the fact that $\bar{g}(0) = 0$ imply the additivity of \bar{g} . ■

DEFINITION 4 (cf. [3]). Let S be a convex cone in a vector space X over \mathbb{Q} . A set \mathcal{E} is called a *base* of S if \mathcal{E} is linearly independent and the cone is spanned by \mathcal{E} , i.e., the set

$$\left\{ x \in X : x = \sum_{k=1}^n \lambda_k e_k, \quad e_1, \dots, e_n \in \mathcal{E}, \quad \lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n \in \mathbb{Q} \cap \langle 0, \infty \rangle, \quad n \in \mathbb{N} \right\}$$

coincides with S .

THEOREM 4. Let X be a vector space over \mathbb{Q} and Y be a topological vector space, and let $S \subseteq X$ be a cone with a base. Then $F : S \rightarrow cc(Y)$ is a polynomial s.v. function of order at most 2 if and only if there exist additive s.v. functions $\bar{B}, \bar{C} : S \rightarrow cc(Y)$ and biadditive s.v. functions $\bar{D}, \bar{H} : S \times S \rightarrow cc(Y)$ such that

$$(9) \quad F(x) + \bar{C}(x) + \bar{H}(x, x) = F(0) + \bar{D}(x, x) + \bar{B}(x)$$

for $x \in S$.

Proof. Since a cone with a base is \mathbb{Q} -convex, by Theorem 3 there is an s.v. function $A : S \rightarrow cc(Y)$ such that

$$x \rightarrow [F(x), F(0) + A(x)], \quad x \in S,$$

is additive. There exist (see Theorem 1 of [7]) additive s.v. functions $\bar{B}, \bar{C} : S \rightarrow cc(Y)$ such that

$$[F(x), F(0) + A(x)] = [\bar{B}(x), \bar{C}(x)], \quad x \in S,$$

which gives

$$(10) \quad F(x) + \bar{C}(x) = F(0) + \bar{B}(x) + A(x), \quad x \in S.$$

In view of Remark 1,

$$g(x, y) = \frac{1}{2}[F(x+y) + F(0), F(x) + F(y)]$$

is biadditive. Set

$$D(x, y) := \frac{1}{2}(F(x+y) + F(0)), \quad H(x, y) := \frac{1}{2}(F(x) + F(y)),$$

and let \mathcal{E} be a base of S . Fix $x, y \in S$. There exist $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n \in \mathbb{Q} \cap \langle 0, \infty \rangle$ and $e_1, \dots, e_n \in \mathcal{E}$ such that $x = \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i e_i$, and

$$D(x, y) + \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i H(e_i, y) = H(x, y) + \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i D(e_i, y).$$

Similarly

$$H(e_i, y) + \sum_{j=1}^m \mu_j D(e_i, \bar{e}_j) = D(e_i, y) + \sum_{j=1}^m \mu_j H(e_i, \bar{e}_j),$$

where $y = \sum_{j=1}^m \mu_j \bar{e}_j$, $\bar{e}_1, \dots, \bar{e}_m \in \mathcal{E}$ and $\mu_1, \dots, \mu_m \in \mathbb{Q} \cap \langle 0, \infty \rangle$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} D(x, y) + \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i D(e_i, y) + \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^m \lambda_i \mu_j H(e_i, \bar{e}_j) \\ &= D(x, y) + \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i \left[D(e_i, y) + \sum_{j=1}^m \mu_j H(e_i, \bar{e}_j) \right] \\ &= D(x, y) + \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i \left[H(e_i, y) + \sum_{j=1}^m \mu_j D(e_i, \bar{e}_j) \right] \\ &= D(x, y) + \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i H(e_i, y) + \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^m \lambda_i \mu_j D(e_i, \bar{e}_j). \end{aligned}$$

Define

$$\bar{D}(x, y) := \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^m \lambda_i \mu_j D(e_i, \bar{e}_j), \quad \bar{H}(x, y) := \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^m \lambda_i \mu_j H(e_i, \bar{e}_j),$$

where $x = \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i e_i$, $y = \sum_{j=1}^m \mu_j \bar{e}_j$, $x, y \in S$. It is clear that \bar{D} and \bar{H} are biadditive and

$$\frac{1}{2}F(x+y) + \frac{1}{2}F(0) + \bar{H}(x, y) = \frac{1}{2}F(x) + \frac{1}{2}F(y) + \bar{D}(x, y).$$

Setting $y = x$, we have

$$\frac{1}{2}F(2x) + \frac{1}{2}F(0) + \bar{H}(x, x) = F(x) + \bar{D}(x, x).$$

Hence and by Theorem 3,

$$A(x) + \bar{H}(x, x) = \bar{D}(x, x)$$

and by (10),

$$\begin{aligned} F(x) + \bar{C}(x) + \bar{H}(x, x) &= F(0) + \bar{B}(x) + A(x) + \bar{H}(x, x) \\ &= F(0) + \bar{D}(x, x) + \bar{B}(x), \quad x \in S. \end{aligned}$$

Thus (9) holds true. To end the proof it suffices to prove that F is a polynomial s.v. function of order at most 2 if (9) is satisfied. By (9),

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_h^3 F(x) &= [F(x+3h) + 3F(x+h), 3F(x+2h) + F(x)] \\ &= [\bar{D}(x+3h, x+3h) + \bar{B}(x+3h) + 3\bar{D}(x+h, x+h) + 3\bar{B}(x+h), \\ &\quad \bar{H}(x+3h, x+3h) + \bar{C}(x+3h) + 3\bar{H}(x+h, x+h) + 3\bar{C}(x+h)] \\ &\quad - [3\bar{D}(x+2h, x+2h) + 3\bar{B}(x+2h) + \bar{D}(x, x) + \bar{B}(x), \\ &\quad 3\bar{H}(x+2h, x+2h) + 3\bar{C}(x+2h) + \bar{H}(x, x) + \bar{C}(x)] \\ &= [\bar{D}(x+3h, x+3h) + 3\bar{D}(x+h, x+h), 3\bar{D}(x+2h, x+2h) + \bar{D}(x, x)] \\ &= [\bar{H}(x+3h, x+3h) + 3\bar{H}(x+h, x+h), 3\bar{H}(x+2h, x+2h) + \bar{H}(x, x)] \\ &\quad + [\bar{B}(x+3h) + 3\bar{B}(x+h), 3\bar{B}(x+2h) + \bar{B}(x)] \\ &\quad + [\bar{C}(x+3h) + 3\bar{C}(x+h), 3\bar{C}(x+2h) + \bar{C}(x)] \\ &= \Delta_h^3 \bar{D}(x, x) - \Delta_h^3 \bar{H}(x, x) + \Delta_h^3 \bar{B}(x) - \Delta_h^3 \bar{C}(x) = 0, \end{aligned}$$

for $x \in S$ and $h \in X$ such that $x+3h \in S$, because \bar{D} and \bar{H} are biadditive and \bar{B} and \bar{C} are additive. So, the proof is complete. ■

References

- [1] C. Castaing and M. Valadier, *Convex Analysis and Measurable Multifunctions*, Springer, Berlin, 1977.
- [2] R. Ger, *On extensions of polynomial functions*, Results Math. 26 (1994), 281–289.
- [3] M. Kuczma, *An Introduction to the Theory of Functional Equations and Inequalities*, PWN and Uniw. Śl., Warszawa–Kraków–Katowice, 1985.
- [4] K. Nikodem, *K-convex and K-concave set valued functions*, Zeszyty Naukowe Politech. Łódzkiej, Mat. 559, Rozprawy Naukowe 114, 1989.
- [5] H. Rådström, *An embedding theorem for spaces of convex sets*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 3 (1952), 165–169.
- [6] —, *One-parameter semigroups of subsets of a real linear space*, Ark. Mat. 4 (1960), 87–97.
- [7] A. Smajdor, *On a functional equation*, Ann. Math. Sil. 8 (1994), 217–226.

Institute of Mathematics
Pedagogical University
Podchorążych 2
30-084 Kraków, Poland
E-mail: smwilk@cyf-kr.edu.pl

Reçu par la Rédaction le 18.5.1995
Révisé le 7.6.1996