

Approximation by nonlinear integral operators in some modular function spaces

by CARLO BARDARO (Perugia), JULIAN MUSIELAK (Poznań)
and GIANLUCA VINTI (Perugia)

Abstract. Let G be a locally compact Hausdorff group with Haar measure, and let $L^0(G)$ be the space of extended real-valued measurable functions on G , finite a.e. Let ϱ and η be modulars on $L^0(G)$. The error of approximation $\varrho(a(Tf - f))$ of a function $f \in (L^0(G))_{\varrho+\eta} \cap \text{Dom } T$ is estimated, where $(Tf)(s) = \int_G K(t-s, f(t)) dt$ and K satisfies a generalized Lipschitz condition with respect to the second variable.

1. Let G be a locally compact Hausdorff group with neutral element θ and with the family \mathcal{U} of open neighbourhoods of θ in G . For the sake of simplicity from now on we will assume G to be abelian. Let Σ be the Borel σ -field of G , let $|A|$ be the Haar measure of a measurable set $A \subset G$ and let $\int_G f(t) dt$ denote the Haar integral of f .

We shall denote by $M^0(G)$ the space of all extended real-valued measurable functions $f : G \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{R}} = \mathbb{R} \cup \{\mp\infty\}$, and by $L^0(G) \subset M^0(G)$ its subspace of functions f finite almost everywhere (a.e.), both provided with equality a.e.

Let $\varrho : L^0(G) \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{R}}_0^+$ and $\eta : L^0(G) \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{R}}_0^+$ be two modulars in $L^0(G)$, and let $(L^0(G))_{\varrho}$ and $(L^0(G))_{\eta}$ be the respective modular spaces (for terminology, see e.g. [4]).

We make the following assumptions:

1° ϱ and η are *monotone*, i.e. if $f, g \in L^0(G)$ and $|f| \leq |g|$, then $\varrho(f) \leq \varrho(g)$ and $\eta(f) \leq \eta(g)$;

2° ϱ is *\mathcal{J} -convex*, i.e. for any two measurable functions $p : G \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_0^+$ and

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: 46E30,46A80,41A46,45P05.

Key words and phrases: modular space, nonlinear integral operator, generalized Lipschitz condition, approximation by singular integrals.

This paper was written when the second author was a Visiting Professor at the Dipartimento di Matematica, Università degli Studi di Perugia.

$F : G \times G \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ with $\int_G p(t) dt = 1$,

$$\varrho\left(\int_G p(t)|F(t, \cdot)| dt\right) \leq \int_G p(t)\varrho(F(t, \cdot)) dt;$$

3° η is τ -bounded, i.e. there are a number $c \geq 1$ and a measurable, bounded function $h : G \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_0^+$ such that $h(t) \rightarrow 0$ as $t \rightarrow \theta$ and

$$\eta(f(t + \cdot)) \leq \eta(cf) + h(t), \quad t \in G,$$

for all $f \in L^0(G)$ such that $\eta(f) < \infty$; we shall write $h_0 = \sup_{t \in G} h(t)$.

We may extend both modulars ϱ and η to $M^0(G)$, putting $\varrho(f) = \eta(f) = \infty$ for $f \in M^0(G) \setminus L^0(G)$.

Let $\psi : G \times \mathbb{R}_0^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_0^+$ be such that for all $t \in G$, the function $\psi(t, \cdot)$ is continuous and nondecreasing for $u \geq 0$, $\psi(t, 0) = 0$, $\psi(t, u) > 0$ for $u > 0$, $\psi(t, u) \rightarrow \infty$ as $u \rightarrow \infty$, and such that for every $u \geq 0$, $\psi(t, u)$ is a measurable function of t .

The following connection between both modulars ϱ and η and the function ψ will be assumed:

- (I) there is a set $G_0 \subset G$ with $|G \setminus G_0| = 0$ such that for every $\lambda \in]0, 1[$ there exists a $C_\lambda \in]0, 1[$ satisfying the inequality

$$\varrho[C_\lambda \psi(t, |F(\cdot)|)] \leq \eta(\lambda F(\cdot))$$

for all $t \in G_0$ and $F \in L^0(G)$.

A condition of this type was introduced in special cases in [3].

Let us still remark that we may choose C_λ in such a manner that $C_\lambda \searrow 0$ as $\lambda \searrow 0$. Condition (I) implies immediately the following inequality:

$$\varrho[C_\lambda \psi(t, F_t(\cdot))] \leq \eta(\lambda F_t(\cdot))$$

for every $t \in G_0$ and for any family $(F_t(\cdot))_{t \in G}$ of functions $F_t \in L^0(G)$.

A function $K : G \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ will be called a *kernel function* if $K(t, 0) = 0$ for $t \in G$ and $K(\cdot, u) \in L^1(G)$ for all $u \in \mathbb{R}$. Let $L : G \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_0^+$, $L \in L^1(G)$. We say that a kernel function K satisfies the (L, ψ) -Lipschitz condition if

$$|K(t, u) - K(t, v)| \leq L(t)\psi(t, |u - v|)$$

for $t \in G, u, v \in \mathbb{R}$ (see [1], p. 10).

In the following we shall write $L = \int_G L(t) dt$, $p(t) = L(t)/L$.

Let us remark that if K is an (L, ψ) -Lipschitz kernel function and $f \in L^0(G)$, then the superposition $K(t, f(t + s))$ is a measurable function of $t \in G$ for all $s \in G$.

2. Following [1–4] we shall deal with nonlinear integral operators T of the form

$$(Tf)(s) = \int_G K(t-s, f(t)) dt = \int_G K(t, f(t+s)) dt.$$

We denote by $\text{Dom } T$ the set of all functions $f \in L^0(G)$ such that $(Tf)(s)$ exists for a.e. $s \in G$ and Tf is a measurable function on G .

PROPOSITION 1. *Let $f \in (L^0(G))_\eta \cap \text{Dom } T$ and let $\lambda \in]0, 1[$ be so small that $\eta(c\lambda f) < \infty$, where $c \geq 1$ is the constant from 3°. Suppose that K is an (L, ψ) -Lipschitz kernel function and the condition (I) is satisfied. Then, for every $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists a $U \in \mathcal{U}$ such that*

$$\varrho\left(\frac{C_\lambda}{L}Tf\right) \leq \eta(c\lambda f) + h_0 \int_{G \setminus U} p(t) dt + \varepsilon.$$

Consequently, $\varrho((C_\lambda/L)Tf) < \infty$.

Proof. Applying monotonicity of ϱ , the (L, ψ) -Lipschitz condition, \mathcal{J} -convexity of ϱ and the condition (I), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \varrho\left(\frac{C_\lambda}{L}Tf\right) &\leq \varrho\left(\frac{C_\lambda}{L} \int_G |K(t, f(t+\cdot))| dt\right) \leq \varrho\left(\int_G p(t)C_\lambda\psi(t, |f(t+\cdot)|) dt\right) \\ &\leq \int_G p(t)\varrho[C_\lambda\psi(t, |f(t+\cdot)|)] dt \leq \int_G p(t)\eta(\lambda|f(t+\cdot)|) dt. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\eta(\lambda f) < \infty$, by τ -boundedness of η , we get $\eta(\lambda|f(t+\cdot)|) \leq \eta(c\lambda f) + h(t)$ for $t \in G$. Consequently, since $\int_G p(t) dt = 1$, we obtain

$$(1) \quad \varrho\left(\frac{C_\lambda}{L}Tf\right) \leq \eta(c\lambda f) + \int_G p(t)h(t) dt.$$

However, since $h(t) \rightarrow 0$ as $t \rightarrow \theta$, for any $\varepsilon > 0$ there is a $U \in \mathcal{U}$ such that $h(t) < \varepsilon$ for $t \in U$. Since $h(t) \leq h_0$ for $t \in G \setminus U$, the required inequality follows from (1).

3. The map $\omega_\eta : L^0(G) \times \mathcal{U} \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{R}}_0^+ = [0, \infty]$ defined by

$$\omega_\eta(f, U) = \sup_{t \in U} \eta(f(t+\cdot) - f(\cdot))$$

for $f \in L^0(G), U \in \mathcal{U}$, is called the η -modulus of continuity (see [4], p. 85). We shall apply the following notation:

$$\begin{aligned} r_k &= \sup_{1/k \leq |u| \leq k} \left| \frac{1}{u} \int_G K(t, u) dt - 1 \right|, & A_k &= \{t \in G : |f(t)| > k\}, \\ B_k &= \{t \in G : |f(t)| < 1/k\}, & C_k &= G \setminus (A_k \cup B_k), & f &\in L^0(G), \\ & & k &= 1, 2, \dots, & \text{and } r &= \sup_k r_k. \end{aligned}$$

We shall give an estimate of the modular error of approximation $\varrho(a(Tf-f))$ for sufficiently small $a > 0$.

THEOREM 1. *Let $f \in (L^0(G))_{\varrho+\eta} \cap \text{Dom } T$. Let $\lambda \in]0, 1[$ and $a \in]0, C_\lambda/(16L)[$ be so small that $\eta(2c\lambda f) < \infty$ and $\varrho(16af) < \infty$. Then, for every $U \in \mathcal{U}$, $k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ and $S \in \Sigma$, we have*

$$(2) \quad \varrho(a(Tf-f)) \leq \omega_\eta(\lambda f, U) + [2\eta(2c\lambda f) + h_0] \int_{G \setminus U} p(t) dt + R_k,$$

where R_k is given by

$$\begin{aligned} R_0 &= \varrho(2arf), \\ R_k &= \eta(\lambda f \chi_{G \setminus S}) + \varrho(16af \chi_{G \setminus S}) + \eta(\lambda f \chi_{S \cap A_k}) \\ &\quad + \varrho(16af \chi_{S \cap A_k}) + \eta(\lambda f \chi_{S \cap B_k}) + \varrho(16af \chi_{S \cap B_k}) \\ &\quad + \varrho(8ar_k f), \quad k = 1, 2, \dots \end{aligned}$$

Proof. We have $\varrho(a(Tf-f)) \leq J_1 + J_2$, where

$$\begin{aligned} J_1 &= \varrho \left\{ 2a \int_G |K(t, f(t+\cdot)) - K(t, f(\cdot))| dt \right\}, \\ J_2 &= \varrho \left\{ 2a \left| \int_G K(t, f(\cdot)) dt - f(\cdot) \right| \right\} \end{aligned}$$

(see [4], p. 88). By the (L, ψ) -Lipschitz condition, by \mathcal{J} -convexity of ϱ and taking into account the condition (I) we have

$$\begin{aligned} J_1 &\leq \int_G p(t) \varrho[C_\lambda \psi(t, |f(t+\cdot) - f(\cdot)|)] dt \\ &\leq \int_U p(t) \eta(\lambda |f(t+\cdot) - f(\cdot)|) dt \\ &\quad + \int_{G \setminus U} p(t) \eta(\lambda |f(t+\cdot) - f(\cdot)|) dt = J_1^1 + J_1^2. \end{aligned}$$

But

$$J_1^1 \leq \int_G p(t) \omega_\eta(\lambda f, U) dt \leq \omega_\eta(\lambda f, U).$$

Now,

$$J_1^2 \leq \int_{G \setminus U} p(t) \eta(2\lambda f(t+\cdot)) dt + \eta(2\lambda f) \int_{G \setminus U} p(t) dt.$$

By τ -boundedness of η we obtain

$$\int_{G \setminus U} p(t) \eta(2\lambda f(t+\cdot)) dt \leq [\eta(2c\lambda f) + h_0] \int_{G \setminus U} p(t) dt$$

whence, by monotonicity of η ,

$$J_1^2 \leq [2\eta(2c\lambda f) + h_0] \int_{G \setminus U} p(t) dt.$$

Consequently,

$$J_1 \leq \omega_\eta(\lambda f, U) + [2\eta(2c\lambda f) + h_0] \int_{G \setminus U} p(t) dt.$$

It remains to prove that $J_2 \leq R_k$, $k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$. For $k = 0$ this is obvious. Suppose $k > 0$. Then, taking any set $S \in \Sigma$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} J_2 \leq & \varrho \left\{ 8a \left| \int_G K(t, f(\cdot)\chi_{G \setminus S}(\cdot)) dt - f(\cdot)\chi_{G \setminus S}(\cdot) \right| \right\} \\ & + \varrho \left\{ 8a \left| \int_G K(t, f(\cdot)\chi_{S \cap A_k}(\cdot)) dt - f(\cdot)\chi_{S \cap A_k}(\cdot) \right| \right\} \\ & + \varrho \left\{ 8a \left| \int_G K(t, f(\cdot)\chi_{S \cap B_k}(\cdot)) dt - f(\cdot)\chi_{S \cap B_k}(\cdot) \right| \right\} \\ & + \varrho \left\{ 8a \left| \int_G K(t, f(\cdot)\chi_{S \cap C_k}(\cdot)) dt - f(\cdot)\chi_{S \cap C_k}(\cdot) \right| \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

By (L, ψ) -Lipschitz condition, monotonicity and \mathcal{J} -convexity of ϱ and by condition (I), for every $P \in \Sigma$ we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \varrho \left\{ 8a \left| \int_G K(t, f(\cdot)\chi_P(\cdot)) dt - f(\cdot)\chi_P(\cdot) \right| \right\} \\ & \leq \varrho \left\{ 16a \int_G |K(t, f(\cdot)\chi_P(\cdot))| dt \right\} + \varrho(16af\chi_P) \\ & \leq \int_G p(t) \varrho[C\lambda\psi(t, f(\cdot)\chi_P(\cdot))] dt + \varrho(16af\chi_P) \\ & \leq \eta(\lambda f\chi_P) + \varrho(16af\chi_P). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, for $P = G \setminus S, P = S \cap A_k, P = S \cap B_k$ and by the definition of r_k , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} J_2 \leq & \eta(\lambda f\chi_{G \setminus S}) + \varrho(16af\chi_{G \setminus S}) + \eta(\lambda f\chi_{S \cap A_k}) + \varrho(16af\chi_{S \cap A_k}) \\ & + \eta(\lambda f\chi_{S \cap B_k}) + \varrho(16af\chi_{S \cap B_k}) + \varrho(8ar_k f) \end{aligned}$$

and so the assertion follows.

4. Let \mathcal{W} be a nonempty, abstract set of indices and let \mathbf{W} be a filter of subsets of \mathcal{W} .

A family $\mathbb{K} = (K_w)_{w \in \mathcal{W}}$ of kernel functions will be called a *kernel*.

Let $\mathbb{L} = (L_w)_{w \in \mathcal{W}}$ be a family of nonnegative functions $L_w \in L^1(G)$. We say that the kernel \mathbb{K} satisfies the (\mathbb{L}, ψ) -Lipschitz condition if the kernel functions K_w satisfy the (L_w, ψ) -Lipschitz condition, and $L = \sup_{\mathcal{W}} L_w = \sup_{\mathcal{W}} \int_G L_w(t) dt < \infty$. Set $p_w(t) = L_w(t)/L_w$ (see [1], pp. 12–13). The kernel \mathbb{K} will be called *singular* if for every $U \in \mathcal{U}$,

$$\int_{G \setminus U} p_w(t) dt \xrightarrow{\mathbf{W}} 0$$

and

$$r_k(w) = \sup_{1/k \leq |u| \leq k} \left| \frac{1}{u} \int_G K_w(t, u) dt - 1 \right| \xrightarrow{\mathbf{W}} 0$$

for $k = 1, 2, \dots$. If, moreover,

$$r(w) = \sup_{k=1,2,\dots} r_k(w) \xrightarrow{\mathbf{W}} 0,$$

the kernel \mathbb{K} will be called *strongly singular*.

Let us define a family $\mathbb{T} = (T_w)_{w \in \mathcal{W}}$ of operators by

$$(T_w f)(s) = \int_G K_w(t - s, f(t)) dt = \int_G K_w(t, f(t + s)) dt.$$

Set $\text{Dom } \mathbb{T} = \bigcap_{w \in \mathcal{W}} \text{Dom } T_w$. We shall deduce from Theorem 1 a theorem on convergence $\varrho(a(T_w f - f)) \xrightarrow{\mathbf{W}} 0$ for small $a > 0$. We need some additional notions, namely of absolute finiteness and absolute continuity of modulars (see [4], p. 84, [2], p. 4).

DEFINITION 1. A modular η on $L^0(G)$ is called *finite* if for every measurable set $A \subset G$ such that $|A| < \infty$ we have $\chi_A \in (L^0(G))_\eta$.

DEFINITION 2. A modular η on $L^0(G)$ is called *absolutely finite* if it is finite and if for every $\varepsilon > 0$ and for every $\lambda_0 > 0$, there is a $\delta > 0$ such that $\eta(\lambda_0 \chi_B) < \varepsilon$ for every measurable set $B \subset G$ of measure $|B| < \delta$.

DEFINITION 3. A modular η on $L^0(G)$ is called *absolutely continuous* (with respect to the measure in G) if there exists an $\alpha > 0$ such that for every $f \in L^0(G)$ with $\eta(f) < \infty$ the following two conditions are satisfied:

(α) for every $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists a measurable set $A \subset G$ such that $|A| < \infty$ and $\eta(\alpha f \chi_{G \setminus A}) < \varepsilon$;

(β) for every $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists a $\delta > 0$ such that $\eta(\alpha f \chi_B) < \varepsilon$ for all measurable sets $B \subset G$ of measure $|B| < \delta$.

Let us remark that if η is monotone, τ -bounded, absolutely finite and absolutely continuous, then for every $f \in (L^0(G))_\eta$ there is a $\lambda_0 > 0$ such that for every $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists a $U_\varepsilon \in \mathcal{U}$ such that $\omega_\eta(\lambda_0 f, U_\varepsilon) < \varepsilon$ (see

[4], Theorem 1, p. 85; the condition (P) mentioned there is always satisfied, as was kindly shown to us by Prof. D. Candeloro).

THEOREM 2. *Let $\mathbb{K} = (K_w)_{w \in \mathcal{W}}$ be a singular kernel and let the modular ϱ be monotone and \mathcal{J} -convex, and the modular η -monotone, τ -bounded, absolutely finite and absolutely continuous. Let $f \in (L^0(G))_{\varrho+\eta} \cap \text{Dom } \mathbb{T}$. Finally, let one of the following conditions hold:*

- (a) \mathbb{K} is strongly singular;
- (b) ϱ is finite and absolutely continuous.

Then $\varrho(a(T_w f - f)) \xrightarrow{\mathbf{W}} 0$ for sufficiently small $a > 0$ (depending on f).

PROOF. Choose an arbitrary $\varepsilon > 0$. Since η is monotone, τ -bounded, absolutely finite and absolutely continuous, there is a $U \in \mathcal{U}$ such that $\omega_\eta(\lambda_0 f, U) < \varepsilon/4$ for sufficiently small $\lambda_0 > 0$. Taking $\lambda_1 \in]0, \lambda_0[$ small enough, we get $\eta(2c\lambda_1 f) < \infty$. Due to singularity of \mathbb{K} , keeping the above $U \in \mathcal{U}$ fixed, we have $\int_{G \setminus U} p_w(t) dt \xrightarrow{\mathbf{W}} 0$. Hence there exists a $\mathcal{W}_1 \in \mathbf{W}$ such that

$$[2\eta(2c\lambda f) + h_0] \int_{G \setminus U} p_w(t) dt < \varepsilon/4$$

for $\lambda \in]0, \lambda_1], w \in \mathcal{W}_1$ and the above $U \in \mathcal{U}$.

Thus, for a fixed $\lambda \in]0, \lambda_1[$ let C_λ be the corresponding constant in (I), and for $a \in]0, C_\lambda/(16L)[$, we have

$$\varrho(a(T_w f - f)) < \varepsilon/2 + R_k$$

for $w \in \mathcal{W}_1$ and $k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$, where we have applied (2) with T_w and p_w in place of T and p .

Assuming that (a) holds, we apply (3) with $k = 0$, obtaining $R_0 = \varrho(2ar(w)f)$. However, since $f \in (L^0(G))_\varrho$, there is a $\mathcal{W}_2 \in \mathbf{W}$ such that $\varrho(2ar(w)f) < \varepsilon/2$ for $w \in \mathcal{W}_2$. This gives $\varrho(a(T_w f - f)) < \varepsilon$ for $w \in \mathcal{W}_1 \cap \mathcal{W}_2 \in \mathbf{W}$, which implies our assertion.

Now suppose (b). We apply Theorem 1 with a given $S \in \Sigma$ with $|S| < \infty$. Since $A_1 \supset A_2 \supset \dots$, we have $S \cap A_1 \supset S \cap A_2 \supset \dots$, and $|S \cap A_1| < \infty$. Hence $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} |S \cap A_k| = |S \cap \bigcap_{k=1}^\infty A_k|$. But $f \in L^0(G)$ whence there exists a set $G_0 \subset G, G_0 \in \Sigma, |G_0| = 0$, such that $|f(t)| < \infty$ for $t \in G \setminus G_0$. From the inclusion $\bigcap_{k=1}^\infty A_k \subset G_0$, we deduce $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} |S \cap A_k| = 0$.

Now applying absolute continuity of η and ϱ , we may choose λ and a so small that

$$\eta(\lambda f \chi_{G \setminus S}) + \varrho(16af \chi_{G \setminus S}) < \varepsilon/12$$

for a suitable set $S \in \Sigma, |S| < \infty$.

Keeping S fixed, we may find an index k such that

$$\eta(\lambda f \chi_{S \cap A_k}) + \varrho(16af \chi_{S \cap A_k}) < \varepsilon/12.$$

Moreover, $\eta(\lambda f \chi_{S \cap B_k}) + \varrho(16af \chi_{S \cap B_k}) \leq \eta((\lambda/k)\chi_S) + \varrho((16a/k)\chi_S)$ and since $\chi_S \in (L^0(G))_{\varrho+\eta}$ we may find k such that $\eta(\lambda f \chi_{S \cap B_k}) + \varrho(16af \chi_{S \cap B_k}) < \varepsilon/12$, which gives $R_k < \varepsilon/4 + \varrho(8ar_k(w)f)$. Taking $w \in \mathcal{W}_1$ we obtain by (3), $\varrho(a(T_w f - f)) < 3\varepsilon/4 + \varrho(8ar_k(w)f)$. But $f \in (L^0(G))_{\varrho}$, whence there is a $\mathcal{W}_3 \in \mathbf{W}$ such that $\varrho(8ar_k(w)f) < \varepsilon/4$ for $w \in \mathcal{W}_3$. This gives $\varrho(a(T_w f - f)) < \varepsilon$ for $w \in \mathcal{W}_1 \cap \mathcal{W}_3 \in \mathbf{W}$, which implies our statement.

5. We give some examples of modulars ϱ and η satisfying the assumptions of Theorems 1 and 2.

EXAMPLES. 1. Let $\Phi : \mathbb{R}_0^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_0^+$ be such that $\Phi(0) = 0, \Phi(u) > 0$ for $u > 0$, Φ nondecreasing in \mathbb{R}_0^+ , and $\Phi(u) \rightarrow \infty$ as $u \rightarrow \infty$. Then Φ generates a modular

$$\sigma(f) = I_{\Phi}(f) = \int_G \Phi(|f(t)|) dt$$

in $L^0(G)$, and the respective modular space $(L^0(G))_{\sigma}$ is the Orlicz space $L^{\Phi}(G)$.

The modular σ is monotone, absolutely finite, absolutely continuous and τ -bounded (with $c = 1, h(t) \equiv 0$). If Φ is convex on \mathbb{R}_0^+ , then σ is \mathcal{J} -convex. Thus, I_{Φ} satisfies the assumption of Theorem 2, (b).

Finally, if we take two functions Φ_1 and Φ_2 and we put $\varrho = I_{\Phi_1}, \eta = I_{\Phi_2}$, then (I) is certainly satisfied with $\lambda = C_{\lambda}$ if we assume the concavity of the function ψ with respect to the second variable and that $(\Phi_1 \circ \psi)(u) \leq \Phi_2(u)$ for $u \geq 0$.

2. Let \mathcal{V} be a nonempty set of indices filtered by a set \mathbf{W} of its subsets. Let $a_v : [a, b[\rightarrow \mathbb{R}_0^+, v \in \mathcal{V}$, be such that

$$1^{\circ} \int_a^b a_v(x) dx \leq 1 \text{ for all } v \in \mathcal{V};$$

2 $^{\circ}$ if $g : [a, b[\rightarrow \mathbb{R}_0^+$ is such that $0 \leq g(x) \nearrow s < \infty$ as $x \rightarrow b-$, then

$$\int_a^b a_v(x)g(x) dm \xrightarrow{\mathbf{W}} s;$$

3 $^{\circ}$ for every Lebesgue measurable set $C \subset [a, b[$ of measure $m(C) > 0$ there exists a Lebesgue measurable subset C_1 of measure $m(C_1) > 0$ and an index $\bar{v} \in \mathcal{V}$ such that $a_{\bar{v}}(x) > 0$ m -almost everywhere in C_1 .

Let $\Phi : [a, b[\times \mathbb{R}_0^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_0^+$ satisfy

1) $\Phi(x, u)$ is a nondecreasing continuous function of $u \geq 0$, for every $x \in [a, b[$;

2) $\Phi(x, 0) = 0, \Phi(x, u) > 0$ for $u > 0$, and $\Phi(x, u) \rightarrow \infty$ as $u \rightarrow \infty$, for every $x \in [a, b[$;

- 3) the limit $\lim_{x \rightarrow b-} \Phi(x, u) = \tilde{\Phi}(u) < \infty$ exists for every $u \geq 0$;
- 4) $\Phi(x, u)$ is a Lebesgue measurable function of x in $[a, b[$, for every $u \geq 0$.

Moreover, suppose $\Phi(x, u)$ to be of monotone type in a subinterval $[c, b[\subset [a, b[$ and equicontinuous in $[a, b[$ at $u = 0$ (for these notions see [2], Section 4).

Let m be a measure on $[a, b[$ defined on all Lebesgue measurable subsets of $[a, b[$. Then

$$\mathcal{A}_\Phi(f) = \sup_{v \in \mathcal{V}} \int_a^b a_v(x) \mathcal{J}_\Phi(x, f) dm(x),$$

where

$$\mathcal{J}_\Phi(x, f) = \int_G \Phi(x, |f(t)|) dt,$$

is a modular in the subspace $L_m^0(G) \subset L^0(G)$ of functions f for which $\mathcal{J}_\Phi(x, f)$ is a Lebesgue measurable function on $[a, b[$.

In [2] sufficient conditions are obtained in order that \mathcal{A}_Φ be absolutely finite and absolutely continuous. Evidently \mathcal{A}_Φ is monotone. If $\Phi(x, u)$ is a convex function of $u \geq 0$ for all $x \in [a, b[$, then for all measurable functions $F : G \times G \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and $p : G \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_0^+$ with $\int_G p(t) dt = 1$ we have Jensen's inequality

$$\Phi\left(x, \int_G p(t) F(t, s) dt\right) \leq \int_G p(t) \Phi(x, |F(t, s)|) dt$$

for $x \in [a, b[$, $s \in G$. Hence it follows that, in this case, \mathcal{A}_Φ is \mathcal{J} -convex. It is easily observed that $\mathcal{J}_\Phi(x, f(t + \cdot)) = \mathcal{J}_\Phi(x, f)$ for every $t \in G$, whence \mathcal{A}_Φ is τ -bounded with $c = 1$, $h(t) \equiv 0$.

The theory developed in [2] for the modular \mathcal{A}_Φ contains as a particular case the discrete modulars of the type

$$\mathcal{A}_\Phi(f) = \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} a_{n,i} I_{\Phi_i}(f)$$

(for details see [2], Section 5).

Moreover, it is possible to prove that the more general modulars of type

$$\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_\Phi(f) = \sup_{v \in \mathcal{V}} \int_a^b \mathcal{J}_\Phi(x, f) dm_w(x), \quad f \in L_m^0(G),$$

where $\{m_w\}$ is a family of measures, satisfy the conditions of Theorem 1 and Theorem 2, under the assumptions of Section 6 of [2].

We remark that among these modulars there are those studied in [6], namely

$$I_{\Phi}(f) = \sup_{x \in [a, b[} \mathcal{J}_{\Phi}(x, f)$$

(see also Section 6 of [2]).

Hence we may state the following

COROLLARY 1. *Let $\mathbb{K} = (K_w)_{w \in \mathcal{W}}$ be a singular kernel and let ϱ, η be any of the modulars defined in Examples 1 or 2, satisfying (I). Suppose the function Φ generating ϱ is convex. In case any of the modulars is as in Example 2, suppose that the respective function Φ satisfies the assumptions of Theorem 1 of [2]. Then, for any function $f \in (L^0(G))_{\varrho+\eta} \cap \text{Dom } \mathbb{T}$,*

$$\varrho(a(T_w f - f)) \xrightarrow{\mathbf{W}} 0$$

for sufficiently small $a > 0$.

References

- [1] C. Bardaro, J. Musielak and G. Vinti, *Modular estimates and modular convergence for a class of nonlinear operators*, Math. Japon. 39 (1994), 7–14.
- [2] —, —, —, *On absolute continuity of a modular connected with strong summability*, Comment. Math. Prace Mat. 34 (1994), 21–33.
- [3] C. Bardaro and G. Vinti, *Modular approximation by nonlinear integral operators on locally compact groups*, *ibid.*, to appear.
- [4] J. Musielak, *Nonlinear approximation in some modular function spaces. I*, Math. Japon. 38 (1993), 83–90.
- [5] —, *On the approximation by nonlinear integral operators with generalized Lipschitz kernel over a locally compact abelian group*, Comment. Math. Prace Mat. 34 (1995), 153–164.
- [6] —, *On some linearly indexed families of submeasures*, to appear in Atti del Convegno “Real Analysis and Measure Theory” (Ischia July 1–6, 1994) and in Atti Sem. Mat. Fis. Univ. Modena.

DIPARTIMENTO DI MATEMATICA
UNIVERSITÀ DEGLI STUDI
VIA VANVITELLI, 1
06123 PERUGIA, ITALY
E-mail: MATEVIN@IPGUNIV.BITNET

FACULTY OF MATHEMATICS
AND COMPUTER SCIENCE
ADAM MICKIEWICZ UNIVERSITY
MATEJKI 48/49
60-769 POZNAŃ, POLAND

Reçu par la Rédaction le 1.12.1994
Révisé le 26.4.1995