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Abstract. We investigate the structure of the set of solutions of the Cauchy problem

\[ x'(t) = f(t, x(t)), \quad t \in [0, T], \]
\[ x(0) = x_0, \]

where \( x' \) denotes the weak derivative of \( x \).

The study of the problem (1) was initiated by Szep [16], and theorems on the existence of weak solutions of this problem have been proved for example by Cramer, Lakshmikantham and Mitchell [5], Kubiaczyk and Szufla [12], Mitchell and Smith [13], Szulła [18] and Papageorgiou [14]. In all these papers the function \( f \) is assumed to be weakly continuous, while in our paper we will only assume that \( f \) is Pettis-integrable (for the definitions see [8], [10]). Our additional assumptions are expressed in terms of measures of weak noncompactness.

In the sequel, \((E, \| \cdot \|)\) will be a real Banach space. Then \( E^* \) denotes the dual space, and \( B_r = \{ x \in E : \| x - x_0 \| \leq r \} \) \((r > 0)\). Unless otherwise stated, we assume that \( ' \) denotes the weak derivative, and \( f \) denotes the Pettis integral. Denote by \( I \) the interval \([0, T]\). Moreover, let \( C_w(I, E) \) denote the space of all weakly continuous functions from \( I \) to \( E \) endowed with the
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topology of weak uniform convergence and let \((C(J, E), w)\) denote the space \(C(J, E)\) with the weak topology \(\sigma(C(J, E), C(J, E)^\ast)\).

Recall that a function \(h : E \to E\) is said to be \textit{weakly-weakly sequentially continuous} if \(h\) takes each weakly convergent sequence in \(E\) to a weakly convergent sequence in \(E\).

In general, the space \(E\) will be assumed to be nonreflexive (cf. Corollary 2).

2. Pseudo-solutions. Whenever we investigate the existence of strong solutions of (1) with discontinuous right-hand side, we consider so-called Carathéodory-type solutions [9] (strong \(C\)-solutions). For such solutions the problem (1) is equivalent to the integral problem

\[
x(t) = x_0 + \int_0^t f(s, x(s)) \, ds, \quad t \in I.
\]

For the moment let \(\prime\) denote the strong derivative, and \(\int\) the Bochner integral. Recall that an absolutely continuous function \(x : I \to E\) is said to be a \textit{Carathéodory-type solution} of (1) if \(x(0) = x_0\) and \(x(\cdot)\) satisfies (1) a.e.

Fix \(x^* \in E^\ast\), and consider

\[
(1') \quad (x^* x')(t) = x^* f(t, x(t)), \quad t \in I.
\]

First, we introduce the following definition:

**Definition 1.** A function \(x : I \to E\) is said to be a \textit{pseudo-solution} of the Cauchy problem (1) if it satisfies the following conditions:

(i) \(x(\cdot)\) is absolutely continuous,

(ii) \(x(0) = x_0\),

(iii) for each \(x^* \in E^\ast\) there exists a negligible set \(A(x^*)\) (i.e. \(\text{mes}(A(x^*)) = 0\)) such that for each \(t \notin A(x^*)\),

\[
x^* (x'(t)) = x^* (f(t, x(t))).
\]

Here \(\prime\) denotes the pseudo-derivative (see Pettis [15]).

In other words, by a pseudo-solution of (1) we will understand an absolutely continuous function such that \(x(0) = x_0\), and for each \(x^* \in E^\ast\), \(x(\cdot)\) satisfies (1') a.e.

We will deal with problem (2) with the Pettis integral. If \(f\) is Pettis-integrable, then the existence of a pseudo-solution of (1) is equivalent to the existence of a solution of (2) (see [15], Section 8).

If we suppose the boundedness of \(f\), then all pseudo-solutions are strongly absolutely continuous. Indeed, for fixed \(s, t \in I\) there exists \(x^* \in E^\ast\) with \(\|x^*\| = 1\) such that
\[ \|x(t) - x(s)\| = \|x^*(x(t) - x(s))\| \leq \int_s^t |x^*(f(\tau, x(\tau)))| \, d\tau \leq M|t - s|. \]

There exist examples of problems of type (1) which only have pseudo-solutions, but no weak solutions or strong \(C\)-solutions ([1], [4]).

3. Measures of weak noncompactness. The notion of a measure of weak noncompactness was introduced by De Blasi [7]. It can be used in the same way as the strong measure of noncompactness, for example in fixed point theory, and in the theory of functional equations or differential equations.

Let us denote by \(N_w\) the family of all relatively weakly compact subsets of \(E\), and by \(M\) the family of all bounded subsets of \(E\). A function \(\mu : M \rightarrow [0, \infty)\) is said to be a measure of weak noncompactness (cf. [3]) if

(i) \(\mu(A) = 0 \Leftrightarrow A \in N_w\),
(ii) \(\mu(\text{conv} A) = \mu(A), A \in M\),
(iii) for each \(x_0 \in E\), \(\mu(x_0 + A) = \mu(A)\),
(iv) \(\mu(kA) = k\mu(A), k \in \mathbb{R}_+\).

Lemma 1 (cf. [2], [4], [13]). Let \(H \subset C(I, E)\) be a family of strongly equicontinuous functions. Then the function \(t \rightarrow v(t) = \mu(H(t))\) is continuous and \(\mu(H(I)) = \sup\{\mu(H(t)) : t \in I\}\).

4. Main results. Now we prove a Kneser-type theorem for the problem (1). Let \(f : I \times B_r \rightarrow E\) be such that \(\|f(t,x)\| \leq M\) for each \((t,x) \in I \times B_r\). Set \(J = \left[0, d\right]\), where \(d = \min\{T, r/M\}\).

Theorem 1. Assume that for each strongly absolutely continuous function \(x : J \rightarrow E\), \(f(\cdot, x(\cdot))\) is Pettis-integrable, \(f(t, \cdot)\) is weakly-weakly sequentially continuous and

\[ (*) \quad \mu(f(J \times X)) \leq k\mu(X) \]

for each bounded subset \(X\) of \(B_r\), where \(kd < 1\). Then the set \(S\) of all pseudo-solutions of the Cauchy problem (1) on \(J\) is nonempty, compact and connected in \((C(J, E), w)\).

Proof. Put \(F(x)(t) = x_0 + \int_0^t f(s, x(s)) \, ds\), where \(\int\) denotes the Pettis integral. By our assumptions \(F\) is a well defined operator from \(\tilde{B} = \{x \in C(J, E) : x(\cdot)\text{ is Lipschitz-continuous with constant } M\} \subset B_r\) into \(\tilde{B}\). Denote by \(S\) the set of all fixed points of \(F\) (i.e. \(S = F(S)\)). Equivalently, it is the set of all solutions of (1).
Now, denote by $\Omega$ the family of all sets $W$ such that $S(J) \subset W \subset B_{r}$ and $R(W) \subset W$, where
\[ R(W) = x_{0} + \bigcup_{0 \leq \lambda \leq d} \lambda \text{conv } f(J \times W). \]

Then $B_{r} \in \Omega$. Indeed, $S(J) \subset B_{r}$, by assumptions on $f$ and since $\sup_{x \in A} \|x\| = \sup_{x \in \text{conv } A} \|x\|$ and $R(B_{r}) \subset B_{r}$. If we denote by $H$ the intersection of this family, then $H \neq \emptyset$ since $x_{0} \in H$ (moreover, $S(J) \subset H$). For each $W \in \Omega$ we have $H \subset W$, so $R(H) \subset R(W) \subset W$ and consequently $R(H) \subset \bigcap_{W \in \Omega} W = H$. Therefore $H \in \Omega$. Thus
\[ H = x_{0} + \bigcup_{0 \leq \lambda \leq d} \lambda \text{conv } f(J \times H) \]
(cf. [6], [17]). As
\[ \mu(H) \leq d \mu(f(J \times H)) \leq k d \mu(H) < \mu(H), \]
we conclude that $\mu(H) = 0$ and consequently $H$ is weakly compact in $E$.

Let $\tilde{H} = \{ x \in C(J, E) : x$ is Lipschitz-continuous with constant $M$ and $x(J) \subset H \}$. It is clear that $\tilde{H}$ is a weakly compact subset of $C(J, E)$. Since
\[ F(x)(t) = x_{0} + \int_{0}^{t} f(s, x(s)) ds \in x_{0} + t \text{conv } f(J \times H) \subset H \]
and
\[ \|F(x)(t) - F(x)(s)\| \leq \left\| \int_{s}^{t} f(\tau, x(\tau)) d\tau \right\| \leq M |t - s| \]
for $x \in \tilde{H}$ and $t, s \in J$, it follows that $F : \tilde{H} \to \tilde{H}$.

Using the Lebesgue dominated convergence theorem for the Pettis integral, we deduce that $F$ is weakly-weakly sequentially continuous. But $\tilde{H}$ is weakly compact, so $F$ is weakly continuous on $\tilde{H}$. By the Schauder–Tikhonov fixed point theorem, $F$ has a fixed point. Thus $S \neq \emptyset$ and since $S \subset H$, by the Eberlein–Shmul’yan theorem we deduce that $S$ is weakly compact.

For any $\eta > 0$ denote by $S_{\eta}$ the set of all functions $u : J \to E$ satisfying the following conditions:

(i) $u(0) = x_{0}$, $\|u(t) - u(s)\| \leq M |t - s|$ for $t, s \in J$;
n(ii) $\sup_{t \in J} \|u(t) - x_{0} - \int_{0}^{t} f(s, u(s)) ds\| < \eta$;
n(iii) $u(t) \in x_{0} + \bigcup_{0 \leq \lambda \leq t} \lambda \text{conv } f(J \times H) =: H_{t}$.

The set $S_{\eta}$ is nonempty as $S \subset S_{\eta}$. 

Let $\beta = \min(d, \eta/M)$. For any $\varepsilon \in (0, \beta)$ we define a function $v(\cdot, \varepsilon)$ by

$$v(t, \varepsilon) = \begin{cases} x_0 & \text{for } 0 \leq t \leq \varepsilon, \\ x_0 + \int_0^{t-\varepsilon} f(s, v(s, \varepsilon)) \, ds & \text{for } \varepsilon < t \leq d. \end{cases}$$

We have $v(0, \varepsilon) = x_0$ and $\|v(t, \varepsilon) - v(s, \varepsilon)\| \leq M|t-s|$, so $v(\cdot, \varepsilon)$ satisfies (i). Furthermore,

$$\left\| v(t, \varepsilon) - x_0 - \int_0^t f(s, v(s, \varepsilon)) \, ds \right\| = \begin{cases} \left\| \int_0^t f(s, v(s, \varepsilon)) \, ds \right\| & \text{for } 0 \leq t \leq \varepsilon, \\ \left\| \int_{t-\varepsilon}^t f(s, v(s, \varepsilon)) \, ds \right\| & \text{for } \varepsilon \leq t \leq d \end{cases} \leq M\varepsilon < \eta,$$

and $v(\cdot, \varepsilon)$ satisfies (ii).

We now prove that $v(\cdot, \varepsilon)$ satisfies (iii). If $0 \leq t \leq \varepsilon$, then $v(t, \varepsilon) = x_0 \in H_t$. Let $\varepsilon < t \leq 2\varepsilon$. Then by the mean value theorem for the Pettis integral,

$$v(t, \varepsilon) = x_0 + \int_0^{t-\varepsilon} f(s, x_0) \, ds \in x_0 + (t-\varepsilon) \text{conv} f(J \times H) \subset H_t \subset H.$$

We extend the above procedure to the interval $(2\varepsilon, 3\varepsilon]$ and we find that $v(t, \varepsilon) \in H_t \subset H$. By induction, we obtain our assertion, and so $v(\cdot, \varepsilon) \in S_\eta \subset \tilde{H}$.

Now, we prove the connectedness of $S_\eta$. Put $v(\cdot, \varepsilon) = v_\varepsilon.$ Then

$$v_\varepsilon(t) = \begin{cases} x_0, & 0 \leq t \leq \varepsilon, \\ F(v_\varepsilon)(t-\varepsilon), & \varepsilon < t \leq d. \end{cases}$$

We show that the mapping $\varepsilon \to v_\varepsilon(\cdot)$ is weakly continuous from $(0, \beta)$ into $C(J, E)$.

Let $0 < \varepsilon < \delta \leq d$. For $t \in [0, \varepsilon]$,

(1) \quad $|x^*(v_\varepsilon(t) - v_\delta(t))| = 0.$

For $t \in (\varepsilon, \delta]$,

(2) \quad $|x^*(v_\varepsilon(t) - v_\delta(t))| = \left| x^* \int_0^{t-\varepsilon} f(s, v_\varepsilon(s)) \, ds \right| \leq \left\| x^* \right\| M|t-\varepsilon| \leq \left\| x^* \right\| M|\delta - \varepsilon|.$
Now, for \( t \in (\delta, 2\delta] \) we have

\[
|x^*(v_\varepsilon(t) - v_\delta(t))| \\
= |x^*(- \int_0^{t-\varepsilon} f(s, v_\varepsilon(s)) \, ds - \int_0^{t-\delta} f(s, v_\delta(s)) \, ds)| \\
= |x^*(F(v_\varepsilon)(t - \varepsilon) - F(v_\delta)(t - \delta))| \\
\leq |x^*(F(v_\varepsilon)(t - \varepsilon) - F(v_\varepsilon)(t - \delta))| \\
+ |x^*(F(v_\varepsilon)(t - \delta) - F(v_\delta)(t - \delta))| \\
\leq |x^*(F(v_\varepsilon)(t - \delta) - F(v_\delta)(t - \delta))| + \|x^*\| M|t - \varepsilon - t + \delta| \\
= |x^*(F(v_\varepsilon)(t - \delta) - F(v_\delta)(t - \delta))| + \|x^*\| M|\delta - \varepsilon|.
\]

But \( F \) is weakly continuous and since \( t - \delta \leq \delta \), by using (2) we conclude that the map \( \varepsilon \to v_\varepsilon(t) \) from \((0, \beta)\) into \( E \) is weakly continuous for each \( t \in [0, 2\delta] \). By induction, we can repeat this argument to deduce that the map \( \varepsilon \to v_\varepsilon(\cdot) \) from \((0, \beta)\) into \((C(J, E), w)\) is continuous (cf. [13], Lemma 1.9).

Therefore the set \( V := \{v_\varepsilon(\cdot) : 0 < \varepsilon < \beta\} \) is connected in \((C(J, E), w)\).

Let \( x \in S_\eta \). Choose \( \varepsilon > 0 \) such that \( 0 < \varepsilon < \beta \) and

\[
\sup_{t \in J} \|x(t) - x_0 - \int_0^t f(s, x(s)) \, ds\| + M\varepsilon < \eta.
\]

For any \( p, 0 \leq p \leq d \), we define a function \( y(\cdot, p) \) by the formula

\[
y(t, p) = \begin{cases} 
  x(t) & \text{for } 0 \leq t \leq p, \\
  x(p) & \text{for } p < t \leq \min(d, p + \varepsilon), \\
  x(p) + \int_p^t f(s, y(s, p)) \, ds & \text{for } \min(d, p + \varepsilon) \leq t \leq d.
\end{cases}
\]

By repeating the above considerations for \( y(\cdot, p) \) instead of \( v(\cdot, \varepsilon) \) we establish that \( y(\cdot, p) \in S_\eta \) for each \( p \in [0, d] \) and we prove the continuity of the mapping \( p \to y(\cdot, p) \) from \( J \) into \((C(J, E), w)\) (for more details see [11] and [18]).

Consequently, the set

\[
T_x = \{y(\cdot, p) : 0 \leq p \leq d\}
\]

is connected in \((C(J, E), w)\). As \( y(\cdot, 0) = v(\cdot, \varepsilon) \in V \cap T_x \), the set \( V \cap T_x \) is also connected, and the same is true of

\[
W = \bigcup_{x \in S_\eta} T_x \cup V.
\]
Moreover, $S_\eta \subset W$, because $x = y(\cdot, d) \in T_x$ for each $x \in S_\eta$. On the other hand, $W \subset S_\eta$, since $T_x \subset S_\eta$ and $V \subset S_\eta$. Finally, $S_\eta = W$ is a connected subset of $(C(J, E), w)$.

Denote by $\overline{S}_\eta$ the closure of $S_\eta$ in $(C(J, E), w)$. Obviously, for each $u \in \overline{S}_\eta$ and $t, s \in J$,

$$u(0) = x_0, \quad \|u(t) - u(s)\| \leq M|t - s|$$

and $u(t) \in H_t$ because $H_t$ is closed convex.

We now show that

$$(5) \quad \left\|u(t) - x_0 - \int_0^t f(s, u(s)) \, ds\right\| \leq \eta \quad \text{for} \ u \in \overline{S}_\eta \ \text{and} \ t \in J.$$  

If $\overline{\pi} \in \overline{S}_\eta$, then for any $\varepsilon > 0$ and $y^* \in C(J, E)^*$, there exists $u \in S_\eta$ such that $|y^*(u - \overline{\pi})| < \varepsilon$ and $|y^*(Fu - F\overline{\pi})| < \varepsilon$ as $F$ is weakly continuous. Let $y^*$ be such that $\|y^*\| = 1$ and $|y^*(\overline{\pi} - F\overline{\pi})| = \|\overline{\pi} - F\overline{\pi}\|$. Then

$$\|\overline{\pi} - F\overline{\pi}\| = |y^*(\overline{\pi} - F\overline{\pi})| \leq |y^*(\overline{\pi} - u)| + |y^*(u - Fu)| + |y^*(Fu - F\overline{\pi})| < \varepsilon + \eta + \varepsilon.$$

Since the last inequality is satisfied for each $\varepsilon > 0$ we get (5).

We see that $\overline{S}_\eta$ is a weakly closed strongly equicontinuous subset of $C(J, E)$ such that $u(t) \in H$ for each $u \in \overline{S}_\eta$ and $t \in J$. As $H$ is weakly compact, $\overline{S}_\eta$ is compact in $(C(J, E), w)$.

Put $V_n = \overline{S}_{1/n}$ for $n = 1, 2, \ldots$. Then $V_n$ is a decreasing sequence of nonempty compact connected subsets of $(C(J, E), w)$, and therefore the intersection $S = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} V_{1/n}$ is nonempty, compact and connected in $(C(J, E), w)$. From (5) it follows that $S$ is the set of all solutions of (1) defined on $J$, which completes the proof.

It is not difficult to prove that if $f(\cdot, x)$ is scalarly measurable, $f(t, \cdot)$ is weakly-weakly continuous, $f$ is bounded, and $E$ is a WCG Banach space ([8]), then for each absolutely strongly continuous function $x(\cdot), f(\cdot, x(\cdot))$ is Pettis-integrable (cf. [8], p. 99).

Note that our pseudo-solution is pseudo-differentiable, and may be nowhere weakly differentiable (see [4], Example 3, or [15]). It is well known that the integral of a weakly continuous function is weakly differentiable with respect to the right endpoint of the integration interval and its derivative equals the integrand at that point (see [13], Lemma 2.3, [16]). In this case a pseudo-solution is, in fact, a weak solution. Therefore as special cases we obtain the following corollaries.

**Corollary 1** ([11]). Let $E$ be a weakly sequentially complete Banach space. Assume that $f$ is weakly-weakly continuous on $J \times B_r$. In addition, let $f$ satisfy (*). Then the set $S$ of all weak solutions of the Cauchy problem (1) on $J$ is a continuum in $C_w(J, E)$.  

Corollary 2 ([18]). If $E$ is a reflexive Banach space, and $f$ is weakly-weakly continuous, then the set $S$ of all weak solutions of the Cauchy problem (1) on $J$ is a continuum in $C_w(J, E)$.

Now, as a corollary we can obtain the result on existence of strong $C$-solutions (cf. [4], Corollary 3, [1], [10]).

Proposition 1. Suppose that $f$ satisfies the following assumptions:

(i) for each $t \in J$, $f(t, \cdot)$ is weakly-weakly continuous,
(ii) for each $x \in B$, $f(\cdot, x)$ is strongly measurable on $J$,
(iii) $f$ satisfies $(\ast)$.

Then all pseudo-solutions of the problem (1) are, in fact, strong $C$-solutions, and so the set $S$ of all strong $C$-solutions is a continuum in $(C(J, E), w)$.

This is a simple consequence of our Theorem 1 and Corollary 3 from [4] (cf. also [10], Corollary 3).

Observe that if the space $E$ is separable then each pseudo-solution of (1) is a strong Carathéodory solution (see [1]).
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