

## A topological version of Bertini's theorem

by ARTUR PIĘKOSZ (Kraków)

**Abstract.** We give a topological version of a Bertini type theorem due to Abhyankar. A new definition of a branched covering is given. If the restriction  $\pi_V : V \rightarrow Y$  of the natural projection  $\pi : Y \times Z \rightarrow Y$  to a closed set  $V \subset Y \times Z$  is a branched covering then, under certain assumptions, we can obtain generators of the fundamental group  $\pi_1((Y \times Z) \setminus V)$ .

**Introduction.** In his book [1, pp. 349–356], Abhyankar proves an interesting theorem called by him a “Bertini theorem” or a “Lefschetz theorem”. The theorem expresses a topological fact in complex analytic geometry. The purpose of this paper is to restate this theorem and its proof in purely topological language. Our formulation reads as follows:

**THEOREM 1.** *Let  $Z$  be a connected topological manifold (without boundary) modeled on a real normed space  $E$  of dimension at least 2 and let  $Y$  be a simply connected and locally simply connected topological space. Suppose that  $V$  is a closed subset of  $Y \times Z$  and  $\pi : Y \times Z \rightarrow Y$  denotes the natural projection. Assume that  $\pi_V = \pi|_V : V \rightarrow Y$  is a branched covering whose regular fibers are finite and whose singular set  $\Delta = \Delta(\pi_V)$  does not disconnect  $Y$  at any of its points. Set  $X = (Y \times Z) \setminus V$  and  $L = \{p\} \times Z$ , where  $p \in Y \setminus \Delta$ . If there exists a continuous mapping  $h : Y \rightarrow Z$  whose graph is contained in  $X$ , then the inclusion  $i : L \setminus V \hookrightarrow X$  induces an epimorphism  $i_* : \pi_1(L \setminus V) \rightarrow \pi_1(X)$ .*

We have adopted the following definition. For any topological spaces  $Y$  and  $Y^*$ , a continuous, surjective mapping  $\psi : Y^* \rightarrow Y$  is a (*topological*) *branched covering* if there exists a nowhere dense subset  $\Delta$  of  $Y$  such that  $\psi|_{Y^* \setminus \psi^{-1}(\Delta)} : Y^* \setminus \psi^{-1}(\Delta) \rightarrow Y \setminus \Delta$  is a covering mapping. Notice that the *singular set*  $\Delta$  of the branched covering  $\psi$  is not unique, but there is a smallest  $\Delta(\psi)$  among such sets. Clearly,  $\Delta(\psi)$  is a closed subset of  $Y$ . Thus

---

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: 57M12, 55Q52.

*Key words and phrases*: fundamental group, branched covering.

the set  $Y \setminus \Delta(\psi)$  of *regular points* is open. Topological branched coverings are studied in [2].

The assumption in Theorem 1 that the set  $\Delta$  *does not disconnect*  $Y$  at any point of  $\Delta$  means that for each  $y \in \Delta$  and every connected neighborhood  $U$  of  $y$  in  $Y$  there exists a smaller neighborhood  $W$  of  $y$  for which  $W \setminus \Delta$  is connected.

## 2. An equivalent version and a straightening property

**THEOREM 2** (cf. [1, (39.7)]). *Suppose the assumptions of Theorem 1 are satisfied. Then, for every connected covering  $\varphi : X^* \rightarrow X$  (i.e.  $X^*$  is connected), the set  $\varphi^{-1}(L \setminus V)$  is connected.*

Theorems 1 and 2 are equivalent due to the following simple but useful observation.

**LEMMA 1** (cf. [1, (39.3)]). *Let a topological space  $A$  as well as its subspace  $B$  be connected and locally simply connected. Then the following are equivalent:*

- (1.1) *the induced homomorphism  $\pi_1(B) \rightarrow \pi_1(A)$  is an epimorphism,*
- (1.2) *if  $\eta : A^* \rightarrow A$  is any connected covering, then  $\eta^{-1}(B)$  is connected,*
- (1.3) *if  $\eta : A^* \rightarrow A$  is the universal covering, then  $\eta^{-1}(B)$  is connected.*

While Abhyankar deals with the second version (Theorem 2), we prefer to prove Theorem 1 directly. We will use the following lemmas from Abhyankar's proof.

**LEMMA 2** (cf. [1, (39.2')]). *Let  $\bar{B}$  be the closed unit ball centered at 0 in any real normed space and let  $B$  and  $S$  be the corresponding open ball and sphere. Assume that  $l : [0, 1] \rightarrow B$  is a continuous mapping such that  $l(0) = 0$ . Then there exists a homeomorphism  $\tau : [0, 1] \times \bar{B} \rightarrow [0, 1] \times \bar{B}$  such that  $\beta \circ \tau = \beta$ ,  $\tau|_{([0, 1] \times S) \cup (\{0\} \times \bar{B})} = \text{id}$  and  $\tau(\text{graph } l) = [0, 1] \times \{0\}$ , where  $\beta : [0, 1] \times \bar{B} \rightarrow [0, 1]$  is the natural projection.*

**PROOF.** Take  $(t, b) \in [0, 1] \times \bar{B}$ . If  $b \neq l(t)$  then we can find a unique positive number  $e(t, b)$  such that  $\|e(t, b)b + (1 - e(t, b))l(t)\| = 1$ . The mapping  $E : ([0, 1] \times \bar{B}) \setminus \text{graph } l \ni (t, b) \mapsto e(t, b) \in [1, \infty)$  is locally bounded and its graph is closed, so it is continuous. We define

$$\tau(t, b) = \begin{cases} (t, 0) & \text{if } b = l(t), \\ (t, b + \frac{1 - e(t, b)}{e(t, b)}l(t)) & \text{if } b \neq l(t). \end{cases}$$

The inverse mapping  $\tau^{-1}$  is

$$\tau^{-1}(t, b) = (t, b + (1 - \|b\|)l(t)).$$

Clearly,  $\tau$  is the desired homeomorphism.

COROLLARY. Let  $B, \bar{B}$  and  $S$  be as in Lemma 2. Assume that  $l : [a, b] \rightarrow B$ , where  $a \leq b$ , is a continuous mapping and take  $c \in [a, b]$ . Then there exists a homeomorphism  $\tau : [a, b] \times \bar{B} \rightarrow [a, b] \times \bar{B}$  such that  $\beta \circ \tau = \beta$ ,  $\tau|([a, b] \times S) \cup (\{c\} \times \bar{B}) = \text{id}$  and  $\tau(\text{graph } l) = [a, b] \times \{l(c)\}$ , where  $\beta : [0, 1] \times \bar{B} \rightarrow [0, 1]$  is the natural projection.

LEMMA 3 (cf. [1, (39.2)]). Every manifold  $M$  (without boundary) modeled on any real normed space  $E$  has the following straightening property: For each set  $J \subset [0, 1] \times M$  such that the natural projection  $\beta : [0, 1] \times M \rightarrow [0, 1]$  restricted to  $J$  is a covering of finite degree, there exists a homeomorphism  $\tau : [0, 1] \times M \rightarrow [0, 1] \times M$  which satisfies the following three conditions:

$$(2.1) \quad \beta \circ \tau = \beta,$$

$$(2.2) \quad \tau|(\{0\} \times M) = \text{id},$$

(2.3)  $\tau(J) = [0, 1] \times \alpha(J \cap (\{0\} \times M))$ , where  $\alpha : [0, 1] \times M \rightarrow M$  is the natural projection.

Remark. Such a homeomorphism  $\tau$  will be called a *straightening homeomorphism*. The segment  $[0, 1]$  can be replaced by any other segment  $[a, b]$ , where  $a \leq b$ .

Proof of Lemma 3. Let  $d$  denote the degree of the covering  $\beta|J$ . Notice that  $J = \bigcup_{j=1}^d \text{graph } l_j$ , where  $l_j : [0, 1] \rightarrow M$  are continuous mappings with pairwise disjoint graphs. For each  $t \in [0, 1]$ , choose a family  $U_{1,t}, \dots, U_{d,t}$  of neighborhoods of  $l_1(t), \dots, l_d(t)$ , respectively, and a family of homeomorphisms  $f_{j,t}$  from  $\bar{U}_{j,t}$  onto the closed unit ball  $\bar{B}$  in  $E$  such that the sets  $\bar{U}_{j,t}$  ( $j = 1, \dots, d$ ) are pairwise disjoint and  $f_{j,t}(U_{j,t}) = \text{int } \bar{B} = B$ . For every  $t \in [0, 1]$  there exists  $\delta(t) > 0$  such that  $l_j(t') \in U_{j,t}$  for every  $j = 1, \dots, d$  and  $t' \in [0, 1] \cap (t - \delta(t), t + \delta(t))$ . Set  $V_t = [0, 1] \cap (t - \delta(t), t + \delta(t))$ . Take a finite set  $\{\bar{t}_1, \dots, \bar{t}_n\}$  such that  $\{V_{\bar{t}_i}\}_{i=1}^n$  covers  $[0, 1]$  and a finite sequence  $0 = t_0 < \dots < t_n = 1$  such that  $I_k = [t_{k-1}, t_k] \subset V_{\bar{t}_k}$ . Thus,  $l_j(I_k) \subset U_{j, \bar{t}_k}$  for  $k = 1, \dots, n$  and  $j = 1, \dots, d$ .

For every  $k = 1, \dots, n$ , we define a straightening homeomorphism  $\tau_k : I_k \times M \rightarrow I_k \times M$  using the Corollary on each  $\bar{U}_{j, \bar{t}_k}$  ( $j = 1, \dots, d$ ) and setting  $\tau_k(t, m) = (t, m)$  for  $m \in M \setminus \bigcup_{j=1}^d \bar{U}_{j, \bar{t}_k}$ . Let  $H_k = [0, t_k]$ . For every  $k = 1, \dots, n$ , we can define a straightening homeomorphism  $\zeta_k : H_k \times M \rightarrow H_k \times M$  as follows:

$$1) \quad \zeta_1 = \tau_1,$$

2) if  $\zeta_{k-1}$  is defined then  $\zeta_k = \zeta_{k-1} \cup ((\text{id} \times \xi_k) \circ \tau_k)$ , where  $\xi_k : M \ni m \mapsto (\alpha \circ \zeta_{k-1})(t_{k-1}, m) \in M$  and  $\alpha$  is the natural projection on  $M$ .

It is easy to check that  $\tau = \zeta_n$  is the desired straightening homeomorphism.

**3. Proof of Theorem 1.** Clearly,  $X$  is a connected and locally simply connected space. Let  $j : L \setminus V \hookrightarrow X \setminus (\Delta \times Z)$  and  $k : X \setminus (\Delta \times Z) \hookrightarrow X$  be the inclusions. Then the proof falls naturally into two parts.

**Part 1.** *The mapping  $j_* : \pi_1(L \setminus V) \rightarrow \pi_1(X \setminus (\Delta \times Z))$  is an epimorphism.*

Let  $u = (f, g) : [0, 1] \rightarrow X \setminus (\Delta \times Z)$  be any loop at  $(p, h(p))$ . We define a new loop  $w = (\tilde{f}, \tilde{g}) : [0, 1] \rightarrow X \setminus (\Delta \times Z)$  by

$$w(t) = \begin{cases} u(2t) & \text{for } 0 \leq t \leq 1/2, \\ (f(2-2t), h(f(2-2t))) & \text{for } 1/2 < t \leq 1. \end{cases}$$

Since  $Y$  is simply connected, we have  $[w] = [u]$ . Define

$$A : [0, 1] \ni t \mapsto (t, \tilde{g}(t)) \in [0, 1] \times Z,$$

$$\Omega : [0, 1] \times Z \ni (t, z) \mapsto (\tilde{f}(t), z) \in Y \times Z.$$

The restriction  $\beta|_{\Omega^{-1}(V)}$  of the natural projection  $\beta : [0, 1] \times Z \rightarrow [0, 1]$  is a covering of finite degree. By Lemma 3, it has a straightening homeomorphism  $\tau : [0, 1] \times Z \rightarrow [0, 1] \times Z$ . Set  $\hat{t} = 1/2 - |t - 1/2|$  and  $\tau_t = (\alpha \circ \tau)(t, \cdot)$ , where  $\alpha : [0, 1] \times Z \rightarrow Z$  is the natural projection. We can assume that  $\tau_t = \tau_{\hat{t}}$  because  $\tilde{f}(t) = \tilde{f}(\hat{t})$ . The homotopy  $H(t, s) = (\tilde{f}(\hat{t}(1-s)), (\tau_{\hat{t}(1-s)}^{-1} \circ \tau_t \circ \tilde{g})(t))$  joins the loop  $w = H(\cdot, 0)$  to the loop  $H(\cdot, 1)$  whose image is in  $L \setminus V$ . Notice that  $H(0, s) = H(1, s) = (p, h(p))$  for every  $s \in [0, 1]$ . This implies that  $[u] = [H(\cdot, 1)] \in j_*(\pi_1(L \setminus V))$  and completes the proof of Part 1.

**Part 2.** *The mapping  $k_* : \pi_1(X \setminus (\Delta \times Z)) \rightarrow \pi_1(X)$  is an epimorphism.*

Let  $u = (f, g) : [0, 1] \rightarrow X$  be any loop at  $(p, h(p))$ . For every  $t \in [0, 1]$ , there exists a neighborhood  $U_t \times W_t$  of  $u(t)$ , where  $U_t$  and  $W_t$  are simply connected and  $U_t \times W_t \subset X$ . The family  $V_t = u^{-1}(U_t \times W_t)$  ( $t \in [0, 1]$ ) is an open covering of  $[0, 1]$ . Choose a finite subcover  $V_k$  ( $k = 1, \dots, n$ ) and a sequence  $0 = t_0 < \dots < t_n = 1$  such that  $[t_{k-1}, t_k] \subset V_k$  ( $k = 1, \dots, n$ ). Let  $V_k = u^{-1}(U_k \times W_k)$  for every  $k$ .

The arc component  $C_k$  of  $U_k \cap U_{k+1}$  which contains  $f(t_k)$  is open in  $Y$ . Since  $\Delta \cap C_k$  is nowhere dense in  $C_k$ , there is a point  $p_k$  in  $C_k \setminus \Delta$  for  $k = 1, \dots, n-1$ . Let  $p_0 = p_n = p$ . For every  $k$ , there exists an arc  $v_k : [t_{k-1}, t_k] \rightarrow U_k \setminus \Delta$  which joins  $p_{k-1}$  to  $p_k$ , because  $U_k$  is a connected and locally arcwise connected space with a closed, nowhere dense and nowhere disconnecting subspace  $U_k \cap \Delta$  (see [1, (14.5)]). Similarly, there exist arcs  $c_k : [0, 1] \rightarrow C_k \setminus \Delta$  joining  $c_k(0) = f(t_k)$  to  $c_k(1) = p_k$  for  $k = 1, \dots, n-1$ . Let  $c_0 = c_n : [0, 1] \ni t \mapsto p \in (U_1 \cap U_n) \setminus \Delta$ . For every  $k$ , there exists a homotopy  $H_k : [0, 1] \times [t_{k-1}, t_k] \rightarrow U_k$  joining  $H_k(0, t) = f(t)$  to  $H_k(1, t) = v_k(t)$  which satisfies  $H_k(s, t_{k-1}) = c_{k-1}(s)$  and  $H_k(s, t_k) = c_k(s)$ . Set  $v = \bigcup_{k=1}^n v_k : [0, 1] \rightarrow Y \setminus \Delta$  and  $H = \bigcup_{k=1}^n H_k : [0, 1] \times [0, 1] \rightarrow Y$ . Then the

homotopy  $\tilde{H}(s, t) = (H(s, t), g(t))$  joins the loop  $\tilde{H}(0, t) = u(t)$  to the loop  $\tilde{H}(1, t) = (v(t), g(t))$  whose image is in  $X \setminus (\Delta \times Z)$ . Since the image of  $\tilde{H}$  is in  $X$  and  $\tilde{H}(s, 0) = \tilde{H}(s, 1) = (p, h(p))$ ,  $[u] \in k_* (\pi_1(X \setminus (\Delta \times Z)))$ .

**Acknowledgements.** The author wishes to express his gratitude to W. Pawłucki for suggesting the problem. He also thanks W. Pawłucki and S. Łojasiewicz for stimulating comments.

#### References

- [1] S. S. Abhyankar, *Local Analytic Geometry*, Academic Press, New York and London, 1964.
- [2] A. Piękosz, *Basic definitions and properties of topological branched coverings*, to appear.

INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS  
CRACOW UNIVERSITY OF TECHNOLOGY  
WARSZAWSKA 24  
31-155 KRAKÓW, POLAND

*Reçu par la Rédaction le 11.4.1994*