

## Factorization of uniformly holomorphic functions

by LUIZA A. MORAES (Rio de Janeiro), OTILIA W. PAQUES (Campinas)  
and M. CARMELINA F. ZAINE (Campinas)

**Abstract.** Let  $E$  be a complex Hausdorff locally convex space such that the strong dual  $E'$  of  $E$  is sequentially complete, let  $F$  be a closed linear subspace of  $E$  and let  $U$  be a uniformly open subset of  $E$ . We denote by  $\Pi : E \rightarrow E/F$  the canonical quotient mapping. In §1 we study the factorization of uniformly holomorphic functions through  $\pi$ . In §2 we study  $F$ -quotients of uniform type and introduce the concept of envelope of  $uF$ -holomorphy of a connected uniformly open subset  $U$  of  $E$ . The main result states that the pull-back  $\varepsilon_u^*(U)$  of the envelope of uniform holomorphy of  $\Pi(U)$  constructed by Paques and Zaine [9] is the envelope of  $uF$ -holomorphy of  $U$ .

**Introduction.** We deal with the concept of uniform holomorphy (cf. [6]–[8]) of a holomorphic function  $f : U \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  in the case when  $U$  is a nonvoid uniformly open subset of a complex Hausdorff locally convex space  $E$ . Let  $F$  be a closed linear subspace of  $E$ , let  $\Pi : E \rightarrow E/F$  be the canonical quotient mapping and let  $I_U$  be the set of all continuous seminorms  $\alpha$  on  $E$  such that  $U$  is open in  $(E, \alpha)$ . Let  $H_u(U)$  be the set of all uniformly holomorphic functions from  $U$  into  $\mathbb{C}$  and let  $H_{uF}(U)$  be the set of all  $g \circ \Pi$  as  $g$  ranges over  $H_u(\Pi(U))$ . It is easy to show that  $H_{uF} \subset H_u(U)$ . In §1 we prove that if  $U$  is a balanced uniformly open subset of  $E$  and  $F$  is a closed linear subspace of  $(E, \alpha)$  for each  $\alpha \in I_U$ , then  $g \circ \Pi$  is uniformly holomorphic if and only if  $g$  is uniformly holomorphic.

The concepts of Riemann domain of uniform type and  $F$ -quotient of a Riemann domain were introduced in [9] and [4] respectively. Given a uniformly open subset  $U$  of  $E$  it is easy to verify that  $\Pi(U)$  is a uniformly open subset of  $E/F$  (cf. Ex. 3, §2). We have been unable to decide if an  $F$ -quotient of a Riemann domain of uniform type is always of uniform type. However, we give in §2 some non-trivial examples of  $F$ -quotients of a Riemann domain of uniform type which are of uniform type. In particular, we consider

---

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: Primary 46G20.

*Key words and phrases*: uniformly holomorphic, envelope of holomorphy.

Research partially supported by CEPG–UFRJ, CNPq and FINEP.

$(\varepsilon_u(\Pi(U)), q_\Pi)$ , the envelope of  $u$ -holomorphy of  $\Pi(U)$  constructed in [9] and its pull-back  $(\varepsilon_u^*(U), \varphi^*)$ . We prove that there exists an open mapping  $\psi$  from  $\varepsilon_u^*(U)$  onto  $\varepsilon_u(\Pi(U))$  such that  $(\varepsilon_u(\Pi(U)), q_\Pi, \psi)$  is an  $F$ -quotient of uniform type of  $\varepsilon_u^*(U)$  satisfying the following: given  $g \in H_u(\Pi(U))$  there exists a uniform extension  $\tilde{f} \in H_u(\varepsilon_u^*(U))$  of  $f = g \circ \Pi$  which is defined by  $\tilde{f} \circ \psi$  where  $\tilde{g} \in H_u(\varepsilon_u(\Pi(U)))$  is a uniform extension of  $g$ . We also find that  $(\varepsilon_u^*(U), \varphi^*)$  is maximal in the sense of Definition 11.

We remark that the concept of envelope of  $F$ -holomorphy given in [4] of a connected open subset  $U$  of a Banach space  $E$  works also when  $E$  is an arbitrary locally convex space. In particular, this paper extends the results of [4] to locally convex spaces with  $H_u(U) = H(U)$  and  $H_u(\Pi(U)) = H(\pi(U))$ . This is the case if  $E$  is a dual of a separable Fréchet space endowed with the compact-open topology (cf. [5] and [8]).

**Acknowledgements.** The authors want to thank J. Ansemil and S. Ponte for many interesting discussions. Thanks are due also to the supporting agencies and to UFRJ and UNICAMP for many facilities.

**Notation and terminology.** Throughout this paper  $E$  is a complex Hausdorff locally convex space whose strong dual  $E'$  is sequentially complete,  $F$  is a closed linear subspace of  $E$  and  $\Pi : E \rightarrow E/F$  is the canonical quotient mapping. We refer to [2] for the terminology in infinite-dimensional complex analysis.

Let  $\text{cs}(E)$  be the set of all continuous seminorms on  $E$ . For each  $\alpha \in \text{cs}(E)$ , we denote by  $(E, \alpha)$  the space  $E$  endowed with the topology generated by  $\alpha$ , by  $E_\alpha$  the normed space associated with  $(E, \alpha)$ , by  $i_\alpha : E \rightarrow E_\alpha$  the canonical quotient mapping and by  $B_\alpha(x, r)$  the open ball with center  $x$  and radius  $r$  in  $(E, \alpha)$ . Given an open subset  $U$  of  $E$  we write  $i_\alpha(U) = U_\alpha$  and, as usual,  $H(U)$  is the vector space of all holomorphic functions from  $U$  into  $\mathbb{C}$ .

An open subset  $U$  of  $E$  is said to be *uniformly open* if there exists  $\alpha \in \text{cs}(E)$  such that  $U$  is open in  $(E, \alpha)$ . Let  $I_U$  denote the set of all such  $\alpha \in \text{cs}(E)$ . We remark that  $I_U$  is a directed subset of  $\text{cs}(E)$  that generates the topology of  $E$ .

If  $U$  is a uniformly open subset of  $E$ , a holomorphic function  $f : U \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  is said to be *uniformly holomorphic* on  $U$  if there exist  $\alpha \in I_U$  and  $f_\alpha \in H(U_\alpha)$  such that  $f = f_\alpha \circ i_\alpha$ . We denote by  $H_u(U)$  the vector space of all uniformly holomorphic functions from  $U$  into  $\mathbb{C}$ .

The following well known result will be useful:

**PROPOSITION A.** *If  $V$  is an open subset of a locally convex space  $M$ ,  $M_S$  is the associated Hausdorff space of  $M$ ,  $Q : M \rightarrow M_S$  is the canonical*

mapping and  $V_S = Q(V)$ , then  $f \in H(V)$  if and only if there exists  $f_S \in H(V_S)$  such that  $f = f_S \circ Q$ .

The pair  $(X, \varphi)$  is a *Riemann domain* over  $E$  if  $X$  is a nonvoid Hausdorff topological space and  $\varphi : X \rightarrow E$  is a local homeomorphism. Instead of  $(X, \varphi)$  we often write  $X$ . Given  $A \subseteq X$ , we write  $A \sim \varphi(A)$  to indicate that  $A$  is homeomorphic to  $\varphi(A)$  under  $\varphi/A$ . A *chart* in  $X$  is a connected open subset  $V$  of  $X$  such that  $\varphi/V : V \rightarrow \varphi(V)$  is a homeomorphism. An *atlas* on  $X$  is a collection  $(V_i)_{i \in I}$  of charts which cover  $X$ . We recall that if  $U$  is an open subset of  $E$  and  $i_U : U \rightarrow E$  is the inclusion mapping, then  $(U, i_U)$  is a Riemann domain over  $E$ .

A Riemann domain  $(X, \varphi)$  over  $E$  is said to be a *Riemann domain of uniform type* (or, simply, a domain of uniform type) if there exists  $\alpha \in \text{cs}(E)$  such that for each  $x \in X$ , there is a neighborhood  $V(x)$  of  $x$  such that  $V(x) \sim \varphi(V(x))$  and  $\varphi(V(x))$  is open in  $(E, \alpha)$ . Let  $I_X$  denote the set of all such  $\alpha \in \text{cs}(E)$ . For every  $\alpha \in I_X$  let  $(X, \alpha)$  be the set  $X$  endowed with the topology generated by the neighborhoods  $V$  that satisfy the above definition. We denote by  $X_\alpha$  the Hausdorff space associated with  $(X, \alpha)$ , i.e.,  $X_\alpha = (X, \alpha)/R$  where  $R$  is the equivalence relation on  $X$  defined by:  $xRy$  if and only if  $\alpha(\varphi(x) - \varphi(y)) = 0$  for all  $x, y \in X$ . For each  $\alpha \in I_X$ , let  $I_\alpha : X \rightarrow X_\alpha$  be the canonical quotient mapping; it is clear that if we define  $\varphi_\alpha : X_\alpha \rightarrow E_\alpha$  by  $\varphi_\alpha \circ I_\alpha := i_\alpha \circ \varphi$ , then  $\varphi_\alpha$  is a local homeomorphism and  $(X_\alpha, \varphi_\alpha)$  is a Riemann domain over  $E_\alpha$ .

If  $(X, \varphi)$  is a Riemann domain over  $E$  and  $(Y, \varrho)$  is a Riemann domain over a Hausdorff locally convex space  $G$ , a continuous mapping  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  is said to be *holomorphic* if there is an atlas  $(V_i)_{i \in I}$  on  $X$  such that  $\varrho \circ f \circ (\varphi/V_i)^{-1} : \varphi(V_i) \rightarrow G$  is holomorphic for each  $i \in I$ . We shall denote by  $H(X, Y)$  the class of all mappings  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  which are holomorphic. When  $Y = \mathbb{C}$  we write  $H(X)$  instead of  $H(X; \mathbb{C})$ .

If  $(X, \varphi)$  is a domain of uniform type, and  $G$  is a Hausdorff locally convex space, a holomorphic mapping  $f : X \rightarrow G$  is said to be *uniformly holomorphic* if for each  $\beta \in \text{cs}(G)$  there exist  $\alpha \in I_X$  and a holomorphic mapping  $f_\alpha \in H(X_\alpha, G_\beta)$  such that  $i_\beta \circ f = f_\alpha \circ I_\alpha$ .

For other notations and basic results on uniform holomorphy we refer to [6] and [9].

**1. Factorization of uniformly holomorphic mappings.** Let  $U$  be a uniformly open subset of  $E$ . For each  $\alpha \in \text{cs}(E)$  define  $\bar{\alpha}(\bar{x}) := \inf\{\alpha(x+y) : y \in F\}$  for  $\bar{x} = \Pi(x) \in E/F$ . It is well known that  $\bar{\alpha} \in \text{cs}(E/F)$  and the set  $\{\bar{\alpha} : \alpha \in I_U\}$  generates the topology of  $E/F$ .

PROPOSITION 1. *Let  $U$  be a uniformly open subset of  $E$ . Then:*

- (a)  $\Pi(U)$  is uniformly open and  $\bar{\alpha} \in I_{\Pi(U)}$  for every  $\alpha \in I_U$ .

(b) If  $g$  is a uniformly holomorphic function on  $\Pi(U)$ , then  $f = g \circ \Pi$  is uniformly holomorphic on  $U$ .

**Proof of (b).** Let  $g \in H_u(\Pi(U))$ . Since  $\{\bar{\alpha} : \alpha \in I_U\}$  generates the topology of  $E/F$ , there exist  $\alpha \in I_U$  and  $g_{\bar{\alpha}} \in H(\Pi(U)_{\bar{\alpha}})$  such that  $g = g_{\bar{\alpha}} \circ i_{\bar{\alpha}}$  where  $i_{\bar{\alpha}} : E/F \rightarrow (E/F)_{\bar{\alpha}}$  is the canonical quotient mapping and  $\Pi(U)_{\bar{\alpha}} = i_{\bar{\alpha}}(\Pi(U))$ . If  $i : E \rightarrow (E, \alpha)$  is the identity mapping,  $\Pi_{\alpha} : (E, \alpha) \rightarrow (E/F, \bar{\alpha})$  is the quotient mapping and  $k_{\bar{\alpha}} : (E/F, \bar{\alpha}) \rightarrow (E/F)_{\bar{\alpha}}$  is the canonical quotient mapping, it is clear that  $k_{\bar{\alpha}} \circ \Pi_{\alpha} \circ i = i_{\bar{\alpha}} \circ \Pi$ . Consequently,  $f = g \circ \Pi = g_{\bar{\alpha}} \circ i_{\bar{\alpha}} \circ \Pi = g_{\bar{\alpha}} \circ k_{\bar{\alpha}} \circ \Pi_{\alpha} \circ i$  and so there exists  $f'_{\alpha} = g_{\bar{\alpha}} \circ k_{\bar{\alpha}} \circ \Pi_{\alpha} \in H(i(U))$  such that  $f = f'_{\alpha} \circ i$ . By Proposition A, there exists  $f_{\alpha} \in H(U_{\alpha})$  so that  $f'_{\alpha} = f_{\alpha} \circ k_{\alpha}$  where  $k_{\alpha} : (E, \alpha) \rightarrow E_{\alpha}$  is the canonical quotient mapping. So,  $f = f'_{\alpha} \circ i = f_{\alpha} \circ k_{\alpha} \circ i = f_{\alpha} \circ i_{\alpha}$  and we have  $f \in H_u(U)$ .

The next result gives us a reciprocal for Proposition 1(b) when  $F$  is a closed linear subspace of  $(E, \alpha)$  for each  $\alpha \in I_U$ .

**PROPOSITION 2.** Let  $U$  be a balanced uniformly open subset of  $E$  and let  $F$  be a closed linear subspace of  $(E, \alpha)$  for each  $\alpha \in I_U$ . If  $f$  is uniformly holomorphic on  $U$  and  $f = g \circ \Pi$  for some  $g \in H(\Pi(U))$ , then  $g$  is uniformly holomorphic on  $\Pi(U)$ .

**Proof.** We define  $k_{\alpha}$ ,  $i$ ,  $\Pi_{\alpha}$ ,  $k_{\bar{\alpha}}$  and  $i_{\bar{\alpha}}$  as in the proof of Proposition 1. By hypothesis there exist  $\alpha \in I_U$  and  $f_{\alpha} \in H(U_{\alpha})$  such that  $f = f_{\alpha} \circ i_{\alpha}$ . If  $f'_{\alpha} \in H(i(U))$  is defined by  $f'_{\alpha} = f_{\alpha} \circ k_{\alpha}$  it follows that  $f = f_{\alpha} \circ i_{\alpha} = f'_{\alpha} \circ k_{\alpha} \circ i = f'_{\alpha} \circ i$ . By Theorem 2.3 of [1],  $f = g \circ \Pi$  if and only if  $df(x)/F = 0$  for all  $x \in U$ . Consequently,  $0 = df(x)(y) = df'_{\alpha}(i(x))(i(y))$  for all  $y \in F$ , i.e.,  $df'_{\alpha}(i(x))/F = 0$  or  $f'_{\alpha}$  factors through  $\Pi_{\alpha}(i(U))$ . So there exists  $g' \in H(\Pi_{\alpha}(i(U)))$  such that  $f'_{\alpha} = g' \circ \Pi_{\alpha}$ . If  $k : E/F \rightarrow (E/F, \bar{\alpha})$  is the identity mapping, then  $g = g' \circ k$  on  $\Pi(U)$ . Indeed, for every  $x \in U$ ,

$$g(\Pi(x)) = f(x) = (f'_{\alpha} \circ i)(x) = (g' \circ \Pi_{\alpha} \circ i)(x) = (g' \circ k)(\Pi(x)).$$

Since  $k_{\bar{\alpha}} \circ \Pi_{\alpha} \circ i = i_{\bar{\alpha}} \circ \Pi$ ,  $\Pi_{\alpha}(i(U)) \subseteq (E/F, \bar{\alpha})$  and  $g' \in H(\Pi_{\alpha}(i(U)))$ , by Proposition A, there exists  $g'_{\bar{\alpha}} \in H(i_{\bar{\alpha}}(\Pi(U)))$  such that  $g' = g'_{\bar{\alpha}} \circ k_{\bar{\alpha}}$  on  $\Pi_{\alpha}(i(U)) = k(\Pi(U))$  and it follows that for every  $\Pi(x) \in \Pi(U)$ ,

$$g(\Pi(x)) = (g' \circ k)(\Pi(x)) = (g'_{\bar{\alpha}} \circ k_{\bar{\alpha}} \circ k)(\Pi(x)) = g'_{\bar{\alpha}} \circ i_{\bar{\alpha}}(\Pi(x)).$$

So, there exist  $\bar{\alpha} \in I_{\Pi(U)}$  and  $g'_{\bar{\alpha}} \in H(\Pi(U)_{\bar{\alpha}})$  such that  $g = g'_{\bar{\alpha}} \circ i_{\bar{\alpha}}$  on  $\Pi(U)$ , i.e.,  $g \in H_u(\Pi(U))$ .

**2. Uniformly holomorphic continuation.** Let  $(X, \varphi)$  be a Riemann domain over  $E$ . We say that  $(X_F, \varphi_F, \psi)$  is an  $F$ -quotient of  $X$  if  $(X_F, \varphi_F)$  is a Riemann domain over  $E/F$  and  $\psi$  is a continuous open mapping from  $X$  onto  $X_F$  such that  $\varphi_F \circ \psi = \Pi \circ \varphi$ . The concept of  $F$ -quotient of a

Riemann domain was introduced and studied in [4], where several examples are presented. Here we give some examples of Riemann domains of uniform type  $X$  over  $E$  which admit an  $F$ -quotient  $(X_F, \varphi_F, \psi)$  such that  $(X_F, \varphi_F)$  is also of uniform type. In this case we will say that  $(X_F, \varphi_F, \psi)$  is an  $F$ -quotient of uniform type of  $X$ .

EXAMPLE 3. Let  $U$  be a uniformly open subset of  $E$ , and  $i_U : U \rightarrow E$  and  $i_\Pi : \Pi(U) \rightarrow E/F$  the inclusion mappings. Then  $\Pi(U)$  is a uniformly open subset of  $E/F$  (cf. Proposition 1(a)) and it is clear that  $(\Pi(U), i_\Pi, \Pi)$  is an  $F$ -quotient of  $(U, i_U)$  which is of uniform type.

EXAMPLE 4. Let  $(X, \varphi)$  be a Riemann domain of uniform type over  $E$ , let  $R$  be the equivalence relation defined on  $X$  by  $xRy$  if and only if  $\varphi(x) - \varphi(y) \in F$  for  $x, y \in X$  and denote by  $X/R$  the quotient set by this equivalence, endowed with the quotient topology associated with the mapping  $\psi$  from  $X$  onto  $X/R$  defined by  $\psi(x) := \bar{x}$  (where  $\bar{x}$  denotes the equivalence class of  $x$ ). We can define  $\varphi_F : X/R \rightarrow E/F$  by  $\varphi_F(\bar{x}) := \Pi(\varphi(x))$  for  $\bar{x} \in X/R$  and it is easy to see that  $(X/R, \varphi_F)$  is a Riemann domain over  $E/F$ . By hypothesis, there is  $\alpha \in \text{cs}(E)$  such that, for each  $x \in X$ , there exist a neighborhood  $V(x)$  of  $x$  and an  $r > 0$  satisfying  $V(x) \sim \varphi(V(x)) = B_\alpha(\varphi(x), r)$ . Since  $\varphi_F \circ \psi = \Pi \circ \varphi$ , we have  $\varphi_F \circ \psi(V(x)) = \Pi \circ \varphi(V(x)) = \Pi(B_\alpha(\varphi(x), r)) = B_{\bar{\alpha}}(\varphi_F \circ \psi(x), r)$ . Since  $\psi(V(x))$  is a neighborhood of  $\psi(x)$  and  $\varphi_F$  is injective on  $\psi(V(x))$ , it is clear that  $(X/R, \varphi_F)$  is of uniform type and so  $(X/R, \varphi_F, \psi)$  is an  $F$ -quotient of uniform type of  $X$ .

Let  $(X, \varphi)$  and  $(Y, \varrho)$  be two Riemann domains over  $E$ . A continuous mapping  $j : X \rightarrow Y$  is said to be a *morphism* if  $\varrho \circ j = \varphi$ . The concept of envelope of uniform holomorphy of a Riemann domain of uniform type was introduced and studied in [9]. We recall that if  $U$  is a connected uniformly open subset of  $E$  and  $(\varepsilon_u(U), q)$  is constructed as in [9], the morphism  $j' : U \rightarrow \varepsilon_u(U)$  defined by  $j'(u) := \widehat{u}$ , where  $\widehat{u}(f) := f(u)$  for  $f \in H_u(U)$ , is the *envelope of uniform holomorphy* of  $U$ . Analogously  $(\varepsilon_u(\Pi(U)), q_\Pi)$  is constructed and the morphism  $j_\Pi : \Pi(U) \rightarrow \varepsilon_u(\Pi(U))$  defined by  $j_\Pi(\Pi(u)) := \widehat{\Pi(u)}$ , where  $\widehat{\Pi(u)}(g) := g(\Pi(u))$  for  $g \in H_u(\Pi(U))$ , is the envelope of uniform holomorphy of  $\Pi(U)$ . Following the idea used in the proof of Propositions 6 and 7 and Corollary 8 of [4], we get a new construction of  $(\varepsilon_u(\Pi(U)), q_\Pi)$  and an open mapping  $\psi : \varepsilon_u(U) \rightarrow \varepsilon_u(\Pi(U))$  such that  $\psi(\varepsilon_u(U))$  is a connected topological subspace of  $\varepsilon_u(\Pi(U))$ . We denote also by  $q_\Pi$  the restriction of  $q_\Pi$  to  $\psi(\varepsilon_u(U))$ .

EXAMPLE 5. By using the definition of the topology of  $\varepsilon_u(\Pi(U))$ , it is easy to verify that  $(\psi(\varepsilon_u(U)), q_\Pi)$  is a Riemann domain of uniform type over  $E/F$ . So,  $(\psi(\varepsilon_u(U)), q_\Pi, \psi)$  is an  $F$ -quotient of  $(\varepsilon_u(U), q)$  of uniform type.

The *pull-back* of  $(\varepsilon_u(\Pi(U)), q_\Pi)$  is, by definition, the Riemann domain  $(\varepsilon_u^*(U), \varphi^*)$  over  $E$  where  $\varepsilon_u^*(U) := \{(H, a) \in \varepsilon_u(\Pi(U)) \times E : q_\Pi(H) = \Pi(a)\}$  endowed with the topology induced on  $\varepsilon_u^*(U)$  by the product topology on  $\varepsilon_u(\Pi(U)) \times E$  and  $\varphi^*(H, a) := a$  for  $(H, a) \in \varepsilon_u^*(U)$  (cf. [3] and [10]).

EXAMPLE 6. Let  $\psi : \varepsilon_u^*(U) \rightarrow \varepsilon_u(\Pi(U))$  be defined by  $\psi(H, a) := H$  for  $(H, a) \in \varepsilon_u^*(U)$ . We claim that the Riemann domain  $(\varepsilon_u^*(U), \varphi^*)$  is of uniform type and  $(\varepsilon_u(\Pi(U)), q_\Pi, \psi)$  is an  $F$ -quotient of uniform type of  $(\varepsilon_u^*(U), \varphi^*)$ .

Let  $(H, a) \in \varepsilon_u^*(U)$ . By hypothesis there exist  $\bar{\alpha} \in \text{cs}(E/F)$ ,  $r > 0$  and a basic neighborhood  $N_{\bar{\alpha}}(H, r) = \{H_{\bar{b}} : \bar{b} \in B_{\bar{\alpha}}(\bar{0}, r)\}$  of  $H$  such that  $N_{\bar{\alpha}}(H, r) \sim B_{\bar{\alpha}}(q_\Pi(H), r)$ . We recall that  $H_{\bar{b}}(g) := \sum(1/n!)H(\hat{d}_{\bar{b}}^n g)$  for all  $g \in H_u(\Pi(U))$  and  $q_\Pi(H_{\bar{b}}) = q_\Pi(H) + \bar{b}$  (cf. [9]). Let

$$V := (N_{\bar{\alpha}}(H, r) \times B_\alpha(a, r)) \cap \varepsilon_u^*(U).$$

It is clear that  $V$  is a neighborhood of  $(H, a)$ .

We claim  $\varphi^*/V$  is a homeomorphism between  $V$  and  $B_\alpha(\varphi^*(H, a), r)$ . The continuity of  $\varphi^*/V$  is clear. Let  $(H_{\bar{b}_1}, c) \neq (H_{\bar{b}_2}, d)$  in  $V$ . If  $c = d$ ,  $H_{\bar{b}_1}$  must be different from  $H_{\bar{b}_2}$  and consequently  $\bar{b}_1 \neq \bar{b}_2$  and  $\Pi(c) = q_\Pi(H_{\bar{b}_1}) = q_\Pi(H) + \bar{b}_1 \neq q_\Pi(H) + \bar{b}_2 = q_\Pi(H_{\bar{b}_2}) = \Pi(d)$ , and we have a contradiction. So, we must have  $c \neq d$  and it is clear that  $\varphi^*(H_{\bar{b}_1}, c) \neq \varphi^*(H_{\bar{b}_2}, d)$ . To prove that  $\varphi^*/V$  is onto  $B_\alpha(a, r)$  it is enough to show that for each  $c \in B_\alpha(a, r)$  there exists  $\bar{b} \in B_{\bar{\alpha}}(\bar{0}, r)$  satisfying  $q_\Pi(H_{\bar{b}}) = \Pi(c)$ . Take  $\bar{b} = \Pi(c - a)$  and it is done. This completes the proof that  $(\varepsilon_u^*(U), \varphi^*)$  is a Riemann domain of uniform type.

Now, we show that  $(\varepsilon_u(\Pi(U)), q_\Pi, \psi)$  is an  $F$ -quotient of  $(\varepsilon_u^*(U), \varphi^*)$  of uniform type. It is clear from the definitions that  $\psi$  is a continuous mapping from  $\varepsilon_u^*(U)$  onto  $\varepsilon_u(\Pi(U))$  such that  $\Pi \circ \varphi^* = q_\Pi \circ \psi$ . So, all we have to prove is that  $\psi$  is open. It is enough to show that given any  $(H, a) \in \varepsilon_u^*(U)$ , for every basic neighborhood  $N_{\bar{\alpha}}(H, r)$  of  $H$ , we have

$$\psi([N_{\bar{\alpha}}(H, r) \times B_\alpha(a, r)] \cap \varepsilon_u^*(U)) = N_{\bar{\alpha}}(H, r).$$

Let  $K \in N_{\bar{\alpha}}(H, r)$ , i.e.,  $K = H_{\bar{b}}$  for some  $\bar{b} \in B_{\bar{\alpha}}(\bar{0}, r)$ . Since  $\Pi(B_\alpha(0, r)) = B_{\bar{\alpha}}(\bar{0}, r)$ , there exists  $b_1 \in B_\alpha(0, r)$  such that  $\Pi(b_1) = \bar{b}$ . It is clear that  $(H_{\bar{b}}, a + b_1) \in N_{\bar{\alpha}}(H, r) \times B_\alpha(a, r)$  and since  $q_\Pi(H_{\bar{b}}) = \Pi(a) + \bar{b} = \Pi(a + b_1)$  implies  $(H_{\bar{b}}, a + b_1) \in \varepsilon_u^*(U)$  we get

$$N_{\bar{\alpha}}(H, r) \subseteq \psi([N_{\bar{\alpha}}(H, r) \times B_\alpha(a, r)] \cap \varepsilon_u^*(U)).$$

The other inclusion is trivial.

Let  $(X_F, \varphi_F, \psi)$  be an  $F$ -quotient of uniform type of  $X$  and take any  $\bar{\alpha} \in I_{X_F}$ . We denote by  $X_{F\bar{\alpha}}$  the space  $(X_F)_{\bar{\alpha}}$  and by  $\varphi_{F\bar{\alpha}}$  the local homeomorphism  $\varphi_{F\bar{\alpha}} : X_{F\bar{\alpha}} \rightarrow (E/F)_{\bar{\alpha}}$ . If  $I_{\bar{\alpha}} : X_F \rightarrow X_{F\bar{\alpha}}$  is the canonical

quotient mapping, let  $\bar{x}_{\bar{\alpha}} := I_{\bar{\alpha}}(\bar{x})$  for all  $\bar{x} \in X_F$ , i.e.,  $\bar{x}_{\bar{\alpha}} = \{\bar{y} \in X_F : \bar{\alpha}(\varphi_F(\bar{x}) - \varphi_F(\bar{y})) = 0\}$ . We recall that  $\varphi_{F\bar{\alpha}}(\bar{x}_{\bar{\alpha}}) := \varphi_F(\bar{x}) + \bar{\alpha}^{-1}(\bar{0})$  for all  $\bar{x} \in X_F$  and  $(X_{F\bar{\alpha}}, \varphi_{F\bar{\alpha}})$  is a Riemann domain over  $(E/F)_{\bar{\alpha}}$ .

LEMMA 7. *Let  $U$  be a uniformly open subset of  $E$ . Suppose that  $(X, \varphi)$  is a Riemann domain of uniform type over  $E$  and  $(X_F, \varphi_F, \psi)$  is an  $F$ -quotient of uniform type of  $X$ . Then:*

(a) *With every  $\alpha \in I_U$  and  $\bar{\beta} \in I_{X_F}$  we can associate  $\gamma \in I_U \cap I_X$  such that  $\bar{\gamma} \geq \bar{\alpha}$ ,  $\bar{\gamma} \geq \bar{\beta}$ , and so  $\bar{\gamma} \in I_{X_F}$ .*

(b) *Given  $\bar{\gamma}, \bar{\beta} \in I_{X_F}$  so that  $\bar{\beta} \leq \bar{\gamma}$ , if  $\tilde{g} = \tilde{g}_{\bar{\beta}} \circ I_{\bar{\beta}}$  for some  $\tilde{g}_{\bar{\beta}} \in H(X_{F\bar{\beta}})$  then there exists  $\tilde{g}_{\bar{\gamma}} \in H(X_{F\bar{\gamma}})$  satisfying  $\tilde{g} = \tilde{g}_{\bar{\gamma}} \circ I_{\bar{\gamma}}$ .*

PROOF. (a) Let  $\alpha \in I_U$  and  $\bar{\beta} \in I_{X_F}$ . Since  $X$  is of uniform type we can choose  $\delta \in I_X \neq \emptyset$ . As  $\{\bar{\lambda} : \lambda \in I_U\}$  generates the topology of  $E/F$  there exists  $\alpha_0 \in I_U$  such that  $\bar{\beta} \leq \bar{\alpha}_0$ . But since  $I_U$  generates the topology of  $E$  there exists  $\gamma \in I_U$  such that  $\delta, \alpha, \alpha_0 \leq \gamma$ . It is clear that  $\bar{\gamma} \geq \bar{\alpha}, \bar{\beta}$ ,  $\gamma \in I_U \cap I_X$  and  $\bar{\gamma} \in I_{X_F}$ .

(b) If we define  $i_{\bar{\gamma}\bar{\beta}} : (E/F)_{\bar{\gamma}} \rightarrow (E/F)_{\bar{\beta}}$  by  $i_{\bar{\gamma}\bar{\beta}} \circ i_{\bar{\gamma}} := i_{\bar{\beta}}$ , it is easy to verify that  $i_{\bar{\gamma}\bar{\beta}}$  is a well defined continuous linear mapping from  $(E/F)_{\bar{\gamma}}$  onto  $(E/F)_{\bar{\beta}}$ . Consequently, it is a holomorphic mapping. Analogously we define  $I_{\bar{\gamma}\bar{\beta}} : X_{F\bar{\gamma}} \rightarrow X_{F\bar{\beta}}$  by  $I_{\bar{\gamma}\bar{\beta}}(\bar{x}_{\bar{\gamma}}) := \bar{x}_{\bar{\beta}}$  for  $\bar{x}_{\bar{\gamma}} \in X_{F\bar{\gamma}}$ . As  $\bar{\gamma}(\varphi_F(x) - \varphi_F(y)) = 0$  implies  $\bar{\beta}(\varphi_F(\bar{x}) - \varphi_F(\bar{y})) = 0$ , it is easy to see that  $I_{\bar{\gamma}\bar{\beta}}$  is well defined. It is also clear that  $I_{\bar{\gamma}\bar{\beta}}$  is continuous and for every chart  $V$  of  $X_{F\bar{\gamma}}$  we have  $i_{\bar{\gamma}\bar{\beta}} = \varphi_{F\bar{\beta}} \circ I_{\bar{\gamma}\bar{\beta}} \circ (\varphi_{F\bar{\gamma}}/V)^{-1}$ . Consequently,  $I_{\bar{\gamma}\bar{\beta}} \in H(X_{F\bar{\gamma}}, X_{F\bar{\beta}})$ . Now if  $\tilde{g} = \tilde{g}_{\bar{\beta}} \circ I_{\bar{\beta}}$  with  $\tilde{g}_{\bar{\beta}} \in H(X_{F\bar{\beta}})$  it is enough to define  $\tilde{g}_{\bar{\gamma}} : X_{F\bar{\gamma}} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  by  $\tilde{g}_{\bar{\gamma}} := \tilde{g}_{\bar{\beta}} \circ I_{\bar{\gamma}\bar{\beta}}$ .

If  $(Y, \rho)$  is a Riemann domain of uniform type over  $E/F$ , then a morphism  $j : \Pi(U) \rightarrow Y$  is said to be a *uniform extension* of  $\Pi(U)$  if for each  $g \in H_u(\Pi(U))$  there is a unique  $\tilde{g} \in H_u(Y)$  such that  $\tilde{g} \circ j = g$ . In this case  $\tilde{g}$  is said to be a *uniform extension* of  $g$  to  $Y$ .

DEFINITION 8. Let  $(X, \varphi)$  be a Riemann domain of uniform type over  $E$ . A morphism  $\gamma : U \rightarrow X$  is said to be a *uF-extension* of  $U$  (uniform  $F$ -extension of  $U$ ) if there exist an  $F$ -quotient of uniform type  $(X_F, \varphi_F, \psi)$  of  $X$  and a morphism  $\gamma_{\Pi} : \Pi(U) \rightarrow X_F$  such that:

(a)  $\psi \circ \gamma = \gamma_{\Pi} \circ \Pi$ .

(b)  $\gamma_{\Pi}$  is a uniform extension of  $\Pi(U)$ .

REMARK 9. In the above case, given  $g \in H_u(\Pi(U))$  there exists a uniform extension  $\tilde{f} \in H_u(X)$  of  $f = g \circ \Pi$  which is defined by  $\tilde{f} = \tilde{g} \circ \psi$  where  $\tilde{g} \in H_u(X_F)$  is a uniform extension of  $g$ . Indeed, since  $g \in H_u(\Pi(U))$ , there exist  $\alpha \in I_U$  and  $g_{\bar{\alpha}} \in H(\Pi(U)_{\bar{\alpha}})$  such that  $g = g_{\bar{\alpha}} \circ i_{\bar{\alpha}}$  (where  $i_{\bar{\alpha}}, \Pi(U)_{\bar{\alpha}}$  and  $g_{\bar{\alpha}}$  are defined as in the proof of Proposition 1(b)). If  $\tilde{g} \in H_u(X_F)$  is the

uniform extension of  $g$  to  $X_F$  (whose existence is proved in [9]), then there exist  $\bar{\beta} \in I_{X_F}$  and  $\tilde{g}_{\bar{\beta}} \in H(X_{F\bar{\beta}})$  such that  $\tilde{g} = \tilde{g}_{\bar{\beta}} \circ I_{\bar{\beta}}$ . By Lemma 7 there exists  $\gamma \in I_U \cap I_X$  so that  $\bar{\gamma} \geq \bar{\alpha}$  and  $\bar{\gamma} \geq \bar{\beta}$  and there exists  $\tilde{g}_{\bar{\gamma}} \in H(X_{F\bar{\gamma}})$  satisfying  $\tilde{g} = \tilde{g}_{\bar{\gamma}} \circ I_{\bar{\gamma}}$ . Let  $\tilde{f} := \tilde{g} \circ \psi$ . It is clear that  $\tilde{f}$  is continuous.

We claim that there exists a holomorphic mapping  $\psi_{\bar{\gamma}} : X_{\gamma} \rightarrow X_{F\bar{\gamma}}$  such that  $\psi_{\bar{\gamma}} \circ I_{\gamma} = I_{\bar{\gamma}} \circ \psi$  (recall that  $I_{\gamma} : X \rightarrow X_{\gamma}$  is the canonical quotient mapping). If this is true, there exists  $\tilde{f}_{\bar{\gamma}} := \tilde{g}_{\bar{\gamma}} \circ \psi_{\bar{\gamma}}$  such that  $\tilde{f}_{\bar{\gamma}} \in H(X_{\gamma})$  and  $\tilde{f}_{\bar{\gamma}} \circ I_{\gamma} = \tilde{g}_{\bar{\gamma}} \circ \psi_{\bar{\gamma}} \circ I_{\gamma} = \tilde{g}_{\bar{\gamma}} \circ I_{\bar{\gamma}} \circ \psi = \tilde{g} \circ \psi = \tilde{f}$  and consequently  $\tilde{f}_{\bar{\gamma}} \in H_u(X)$ . So, it is clear that  $\tilde{f} = \tilde{g} \circ \psi$  is a uniform extension of  $f = g \circ \Pi$ .

Now we are going to prove the claim. Let  $\psi_{\bar{\gamma}}(I_{\gamma}(x)) := I_{\bar{\gamma}}(\psi(x))$  for  $x \in X$ . It is clear from the definition that  $\psi_{\bar{\gamma}}(X_{\gamma}) \subseteq X_{F\bar{\gamma}}$ . Given  $x, y \in X$  such that  $I_{\gamma}(x) = I_{\gamma}(y)$ , we have  $\gamma(\varphi(x) - \varphi(y)) = 0$ . Let  $\xi = \varphi(x) - \varphi(y) \in \gamma^{-1}(0)$ . From  $\Pi \circ \varphi = \varphi_F \circ \psi$  and  $\bar{\gamma}(\Pi(\xi)) = 0$  we get

$$\bar{\gamma}[\varphi_F(\psi(x)) - \varphi_F(\psi(y))] = \bar{\gamma}[\Pi(\varphi(x) - \varphi(y))] = \bar{\gamma}(\Pi(\xi)) = 0$$

and so  $I_{\bar{\gamma}}(\psi(x)) = I_{\bar{\gamma}}(\psi(y))$ , i.e.,  $\psi_{\bar{\gamma}}(I_{\gamma}(x)) = \psi_{\bar{\gamma}}(I_{\gamma}(y))$ . To prove the continuity of  $\psi_{\bar{\gamma}}$  we take  $x_{\gamma} = I_{\gamma}(x) \in X_{\gamma}$  and an arbitrary open neighborhood  $V_{\bar{\gamma}}$  of  $\psi_{\bar{\gamma}}(x_{\gamma})$ . We recall that  $\bar{\gamma} \in I_{X_F}$ . So there exist  $r_1 > 0$  and an open neighborhood  $V_{\bar{\gamma}}^{r_1}$  of  $\psi_{\bar{\gamma}}(x_{\gamma})$  such that  $V_{\bar{\gamma}}^{r_1} \sim B_{\bar{\gamma}}(\varphi_{F\bar{\gamma}} \circ \psi_{\bar{\gamma}}(x_{\gamma}), r_1)$  and  $V_{\bar{\gamma}}^{r_1} \subseteq V_{\bar{\gamma}}$ ; it is clear that for all  $s \leq r_1$  there exists an open neighborhood  $V_{\bar{\gamma}}^s$  of  $\psi_{\bar{\gamma}}(x_{\gamma})$  such that  $V_{\bar{\gamma}}^s \subseteq V_{\bar{\gamma}}^{r_1}$  and  $V_{\bar{\gamma}}^s \sim B_{\bar{\gamma}}(\varphi_{F\bar{\gamma}} \circ \psi_{\bar{\gamma}}(x_{\gamma}), s)$ . Since  $\psi(x) \in X_F$  there exist  $r_2 > 0$  and an open neighborhood  $U_{\bar{\gamma}}^{r_2}$  of  $\psi(x)$  such that  $U_{\bar{\gamma}}^{r_2} \sim B_{\bar{\gamma}}(\varphi_F(\psi(x)), r_2)$ ; for all  $s \leq r_2$  there is an open neighborhood  $U_{\bar{\gamma}}^s$  of  $\psi(x)$  so that  $U_{\bar{\gamma}}^s \subseteq U_{\bar{\gamma}}^{r_2}$  and  $U_{\bar{\gamma}}^s \sim B_{\bar{\gamma}}(\varphi_F(\psi(x)), s)$ . Let  $r = \min\{r_1, r_2\}$ . Then  $U_{\bar{\gamma}}^r$  is the open neighborhood of  $\psi(x)$  such that  $U_{\bar{\gamma}}^r \sim B_{\bar{\gamma}}(\varphi_F(\psi(x)), r)$ . Now,

$$\begin{aligned} i_{\bar{\gamma}}[B_{\bar{\gamma}}(\varphi_F(\psi(x)), r)] &= B_{\bar{\gamma}}(i_{\bar{\gamma}} \circ \varphi_F(\psi(x)), r) = B_{\bar{\gamma}}(\varphi_{F\bar{\gamma}}(I_{\bar{\gamma}} \circ \psi(x)), r) \\ &= B_{\bar{\gamma}}(\varphi_{F\bar{\gamma}}(\psi_{\bar{\gamma}}(x_{\gamma})), r) \end{aligned}$$

implies  $I_{\bar{\gamma}}(U_{\bar{\gamma}}^r) = V_{\bar{\gamma}}^r$ . On the other hand, given  $B_{\bar{\gamma}}(\varphi(x), r)$  it is clear that  $\Pi(B_{\bar{\gamma}}(\varphi(x), r)) = B_{\bar{\gamma}}(\Pi(\varphi(x)), r) = B_{\bar{\gamma}}(\varphi_F(\psi(x)), r)$ . Since  $\gamma \in I_X$  there are  $r_0 \leq r$  and an open neighborhood  $W$  of  $x$  in  $X$  such that  $\varphi(W) \sim B_{\bar{\gamma}}(\varphi(x), r_0)$ . As  $\Pi \circ \varphi = \varphi_F \circ \psi$  it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(W) &= (\varphi_F/U_{\bar{\gamma}}^r)^{-1} \circ \Pi \circ \varphi(W) = (\varphi_F/U_{\bar{\gamma}}^r)^{-1}(B_{\bar{\gamma}}(\varphi_F(\psi(x)), r_0)) \\ &\subseteq (\varphi_F/U_{\bar{\gamma}}^r)^{-1}(B_{\bar{\gamma}}(\varphi_F(\psi(x)), r)) = U_{\bar{\gamma}}^r. \end{aligned}$$

So  $I_{\bar{\gamma}}(W)$  is an open subset of  $X_{\gamma}$  containing  $I_{\gamma}(x)$  such that  $\psi_{\bar{\gamma}}(I_{\bar{\gamma}}(W)) = I_{\bar{\gamma}}(\psi(W)) \subseteq V_{\bar{\gamma}}^r \subseteq V_{\bar{\gamma}}$  and we have the continuity of  $\psi_{\bar{\gamma}}$ .

Finally,  $\psi_{\bar{\gamma}}$  is holomorphic if there exists a holomorphic mapping  $\Pi_{\bar{\gamma}} : E_{\gamma} \rightarrow (E/F)_{\bar{\gamma}}$  satisfying  $\Pi_{\bar{\gamma}} = \varphi_{F\bar{\gamma}} \circ \psi_{\bar{\gamma}} \circ (\varphi_{\gamma}/V)^{-1}$  for every chart  $V$  of  $X_{\gamma}$ . Define  $\Pi_{\bar{\gamma}}(i_{\bar{\gamma}}(x)) := i_{\bar{\gamma}}(\Pi(x)) \in (E/F)_{\bar{\gamma}}$  for  $x \in X$ . It is clear that

$\Pi_{\bar{\gamma}}$  is a well defined mapping from  $E_{\gamma}$  onto  $(E/F)_{\bar{\gamma}}$ . The linearity of  $\Pi_{\bar{\gamma}}$  follows from the linearity of  $i_{\gamma}$ ,  $i_{\bar{\gamma}}$  and  $\Pi$ . Now, for all  $i_{\gamma}(x) \in E_{\gamma}$  we have  $\bar{\gamma}[\Pi_{\bar{\gamma}}(i_{\gamma}(x))] = \bar{\gamma}[i_{\bar{\gamma}}(\Pi(x))] = \bar{\gamma}(\Pi(x)) \leq \gamma(x) = \gamma(i_{\gamma}(x))$  and consequently  $\Pi_{\bar{\gamma}}$  is continuous. (We remark that we denote by  $\gamma$  the norm in  $E_{\gamma}$  associated with  $\gamma$  since  $\inf\{\gamma(x+y) : y \in \gamma^{-1}(0)\} = \gamma(x)$ ; analogously for  $\bar{\gamma}$ .) Since  $\Pi_{\bar{\gamma}}$  is a continuous linear mapping, it is holomorphic. It is easy to verify that  $\Pi_{\bar{\gamma}} \circ \varphi_{\gamma} = \varphi_{F\bar{\gamma}} \circ \psi_{\bar{\gamma}}$  and this completes the proof.

EXAMPLE 10. The morphism  $j' : U \rightarrow \varepsilon_u(U)$  defined by  $j'(u) := \widehat{u}$  is a  $uF$ -extension of  $U$ . Indeed, in Example 5 we define  $(\psi(\varepsilon_u(U)), q_{\Pi}, \psi)$  and prove that it is an  $F$ -quotient of uniform type of  $(\varepsilon_u(U), q)$  such that  $\psi(\varepsilon_u(U)) \subseteq \varepsilon_u(\Pi(U))$ . Since  $j_{\Pi} : \Pi(U) \rightarrow \varepsilon_u(\Pi(U))$  is a uniform extension of  $\Pi(U)$  such that  $j_{\Pi}(\Pi(U)) \subseteq \psi(\varepsilon_u(U))$ , it is easy to show that  $j_{\Pi}$  is a  $uF$ -extension of  $\Pi(U)$ . From the definitions it is also clear that  $\psi \circ j' = j_{\Pi} \circ \Pi$ .

DEFINITION 11. Let  $(X, \varphi)$  be a Riemann domain of uniform type over  $E$ . A morphism  $\gamma : U \rightarrow X$  is said to be an *envelope of  $uF$ -holomorphy* of  $U$  if:

- (a)  $\gamma$  is a  $uF$ -extension of  $U$ .
- (b) If  $\mu : U \rightarrow Z$  is a  $uF$ -extension of  $U$ , then there is a morphism  $\nu : Z \rightarrow X$  such that  $\nu \circ \mu = \gamma$ .

It is clear that if  $\gamma : U \rightarrow X$  and  $\gamma' : U \rightarrow X'$  are two envelopes of  $uF$ -holomorphy of  $U$  then the Riemann domains  $X$  and  $X'$  are isomorphic. In other words, the envelope of  $uF$ -holomorphy of  $U$ , if it exists, is unique up to isomorphism.

THEOREM 12. Let  $U$  be a connected uniformly open subset of  $E$  and let  $(\varepsilon_u^*(U), \varphi^*)$  be the pull-back of  $(\varepsilon_u(\Pi(U)), q_{\Pi})$ . Then the mapping  $\gamma : U \rightarrow \varepsilon_u^*(U)$  defined by  $\gamma(u) := (\widehat{\Pi(u)}, u)$  for  $u \in U$  is an envelope of  $uF$ -holomorphy of  $U$ .

PROOF. It is clear that  $\varphi^* \circ \gamma = i_U$  where  $i_U : U \rightarrow E$  is the inclusion. So,  $\gamma$  is a morphism if it is continuous. Given  $u \in U$ , take a neighborhood of  $(\widehat{\Pi(u)}, u)$  in  $\varepsilon_u^*(U)$  of the form  $(V \times W) \cap \varepsilon_u^*(U)$  where  $V$  is a neighborhood of  $\Pi(u)$  in  $\varepsilon_u(\Pi(U))$  and  $W$  is a neighborhood of  $u$  in  $E$ . Without loss of generality, we can suppose  $W \subset U$ . Since  $j_{\Pi} : \Pi(U) \rightarrow \varepsilon_u(\Pi(U))$  is an extension of  $\Pi(U)$  there is an open set  $V_1 \subset \Pi(U)$  such that  $\Pi(u) \in V_1$  and  $j_{\Pi}(V_1) \subseteq V$ . Let  $V_2 := W \cap \Pi^{-1}(V_1)$ . It is clear that for every  $a \in V_2$  we have  $\gamma(a) \in (V \times W) \cap \varepsilon_u^*(U)$  and this gives the continuity of  $\gamma$  in  $u$ . From Example 6,  $(\varepsilon_u^*(U), \varphi^*)$  is a Riemann domain of uniform type over  $E$  and  $(\varepsilon_u(\Pi(U)), q_{\Pi}, \psi)$  is an  $F$ -quotient of uniform type of  $(\varepsilon_u^*(U), \varphi^*)$ . Since  $j_{\Pi} : \Pi(U) \rightarrow \varepsilon_u(\Pi(U))$  is a uniform extension of  $\Pi(U)$  (cf. [9]) and clearly  $\psi \circ \gamma = j_{\Pi} \circ \Pi$ , it follows that  $(\varepsilon_u^*(U), \varphi^*)$  is a  $uF$ -extension of  $U$ .

Now, if  $(Z, \varrho)$  is a Riemann domain of uniform type over  $E$  and  $\mu : U \rightarrow Z$  is a  $uF$ -extension of  $U$  there are an  $F$ -quotient of uniform type  $(Z_F, \varrho_F, \psi_F)$  of  $Z$  and  $\mu_\Pi : \Pi(U) \rightarrow Z_F$  such that  $\psi_F \circ \mu = \mu_\Pi \circ \Pi$  and  $\mu_\Pi$  is a uniform extension of  $\Pi(U)$ . From the maximality of  $\varepsilon_u(\Pi(U))$  (cf. [9]) there is a morphism  $\mu_F : Z_F \rightarrow \varepsilon_u(\Pi(U))$  such that  $\mu_F \circ \mu_\Pi = j_\Pi$ . We define  $\nu : Z \rightarrow \varepsilon_u^*(U)$  by  $\nu(z) := ((\mu_F \circ \psi_F)(z), \varrho(z))$ . Since  $(q_\Pi \circ \mu_F \circ \psi_F)(z) = \Pi \circ \varrho(z)$ , we have  $\nu(z) \in \varepsilon_u^*(U)$  for every  $z \in Z$ . It is easy to verify that  $\nu$  is a morphism and  $\nu \circ \mu = \gamma$ .

**Remark 13.** We have the following generalization: Let  $G$  be a complete Hausdorff locally convex space and  $f \in H_u(U, G)$  such that  $f = g \circ \Pi$  where  $g \in H_u(\Pi(U), G)$ . From Theorem 2.5 of [9], there exists a uniform extension  $\tilde{g} : \varepsilon_u(\Pi(U)) \rightarrow G$  of  $g$ . If  $\tilde{f} := \tilde{g} \circ \psi$ , where  $\psi : \varepsilon_u^*(U) \rightarrow \varepsilon_u(\Pi(U))$  is defined as in Example 6, then a small change in the argument used in Remark 9 shows that  $\tilde{f}$  is a uniform extension of  $f$ .

Finally, we establish the relation between  $\varepsilon_u^*(U)$  and  $\varepsilon_u(U)$ .

**Remark 14.** There exists a morphism  $\delta : \varepsilon_u(U) \rightarrow \varepsilon_u^*(U)$  satisfying  $\delta \circ j' = \gamma$  (where  $\gamma$  is defined in Theorem 12 and  $j'$  in Example 10).

**Proof.** From Example 10 we know that  $j'$  is a  $uF$ -extension of  $U$ . Since, by Theorem 12,  $\gamma : U \rightarrow \varepsilon_u^*(U)$  is an envelope of  $uF$ -holomorphy of  $U$ , the existence of such  $\delta$  follows from the maximality of  $\varepsilon_u^*(U)$ .

## References

- [1] R. Aron, L. Moraes and R. Ryan, *Factorization of holomorphic mappings in infinite dimensions*, Math. Ann. 277 (1987), 617–628.
- [2] S. Dineen, *Complex Analysis in Locally Convex Spaces*, North-Holland Math. Stud. 57, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1981.
- [3] P. Hilton, *Tópicos de Álgebra Homológica*, 8<sup>o</sup> Colóquio Brasileiro de Matemática, IME–Universidade de São Paulo, Brasil, 1971.
- [4] L. Moraes, O. W. Paques and M. C. F. Zaine, *F-quotients and envelope of F-holomorphy*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 163 (2) (1992), 393–405.
- [5] J. Mujica, *Domain of holomorphy in (DFC)-spaces*, in: Functional Analysis, Holomorphy and Approximation Theory, Lecture Notes in Math. 843, Springer, Berlin, 1980, 500–533.
- [6] L. Nachbin, *Uniformité d'holomorphic et type exponentiel*, in: Séminaire P. Lelong 1970, Lectures Notes in Math. 205, Springer, Berlin, 1971, 216–224.
- [7] —, *Recent developments in infinite dimensional holomorphy*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 79 (1973), 625–640.
- [8] —, *On pure uniform holomorphy in spaces of holomorphic germs*, Results in Math. 8 (1985), 117–122.
- [9] O. W. Paques and M. C. Zaine, *Uniformly holomorphic continuation*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 123 (2) (1987), 448–454.

- [10] M. Schottenloher, *The Levi problem for domains spread over locally convex spaces with a finite dimensional Schauder decomposition*, Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble) 26 (4) (1976), 207–237.

Luiza A. Moraes

INSTITUTO DE MATEMÁTICA

UNIVERSIDADE FEDERAL DO RIO DE JANEIRO

C.P. 68530

CEP 21945-970 RIO DE JANEIRO, RJ, BRASIL

Otilia W. Paques

M. Carmelina F. Zaine

DEPARTAMENTO DE MATEMÁTICA

INSTITUTO DE MATEMÁTICA

ESTATÍSTICA E CIÊNCIA DA COMPUTAÇÃO

UNIVERSIDADE ESTADUAL DE CAMPINAS

C.P. 6065, CEP 13081-970 CAMPINAS, SP, BRASIL

*Reçu par la Rédaction le 13.4.1992*

*Révisé le 11.4.1994*