

## Most random walks on nilpotent groups are mixing

by R. RĘBOWSKI (Wrocław)

**Abstract.** Let  $G$  be a second countable locally compact nilpotent group. It is shown that for every norm completely mixing (n.c.m.) random walk  $\mu$ ,  $\alpha\mu + (1 - \alpha)\nu$  is n.c.m. for  $0 < \alpha \leq 1$ ,  $\nu \in P(G)$ . In particular, a generic stochastic convolution operator on  $G$  is n.c.m.

**1. Introduction.** Let  $G$  be a locally compact group with a left Haar measure  $m$ . We denote by  $M(G)$  the convolution Banach algebra of finite Radon measures on  $G$ . The convex closed subset of (Radon) probabilities on  $G$  will be denoted by  $P(G)$ . For a Banach space  $X$ ,  $\mathcal{L}(X)$  denotes the Banach algebra of bounded linear operators on  $X$ . An operator  $T \in \mathcal{L}(M(G))$  is called a *convolution operator* if for some  $\mu \in M(G)$  it is equal to  $T_\mu$  or  ${}_\mu T$ , where  $T_\mu\nu = \nu * \mu$  and  ${}_\mu T\nu = \mu * \nu$ ,  $\nu \in M(G)$ . Thus the mapping  $\mu \rightarrow T_\mu$  ( ${}_\mu T$ ) is a representation of  $M(G)$  by a semigroup of right (left) convolution operators on  $M(G)$ . We let  $L^1(m)$  be the Banach space of real-valued  $m$ -integrable functions on  $G$ . Then for each  $\mu \in P(G)$ ,  $T_\mu$  and  ${}_\mu T$  are stochastic operators on  $L^1(m)$ , i.e. they take  $P(G) \cap L^1(m)$  into itself.

It is well known that there is a 1-1 correspondence between the stochastic convolution operators on  $L^1(m)$  and the random walks on  $G$ . This means that for a given random walk with law  $\mu \in P(G)$ , the right transition probability  $p_\mu(g, \cdot) = \delta_g * \mu$  defines a stochastic convolution operator  $T_\mu(\nu) = \int p_\mu(g, \cdot) d\nu(g)$ ,  $\nu \in M(G)$ . We say that  $T_\mu$  is *induced* by the random walk  $\mu$ . Analogously  ${}_\mu T$  is induced by the left transition probability  ${}_\mu p$ .

Consider a right random walk with law  $\mu$ . A bounded Borel function  $f$  on  $G$  is called  $\mu$ -*harmonic* if it is  $p_\mu$ -invariant, or equivalently, if  $\int f(gh) d\mu(h) = f(g)$  for every  $g \in G$  (for the left random walk  ${}_\mu p$  the definition is similar). If all the  $\mu$ -harmonic functions are constant, we say that the ran-

---

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: 47A35, 22D40, 60J10.

*Key words and phrases*: stochastic operator, convolution operator, random walk, norm completely mixing, nilpotent group.

dom walk is *ergodic*. It is easy to check that the random walk is ergodic iff the induced stochastic convolution operator is ergodic, i.e. constant functions are the only fixed points for the adjoint to  $T_\mu$  (resp. to  ${}_\mu T$ ) acting on  $L^\infty(m)$ .

We say that a random walk is *norm completely mixing* (n.c.m.) if the corresponding stochastic convolution operator is norm completely mixing, i.e. if for every pair  $\nu_1, \nu_2 \in P(G) \cap L^1(m)$  we have  $\lim \|T_\mu^n(\nu_1 - \nu_2)\| = 0$  (resp. for  ${}_\mu T$ ).

Rosenblatt proved that there exists at least one n.c.m. random walk iff  $G$  is  $\sigma$ -compact and amenable ([9], Thm. 1.10 and Prop.). As was observed in [6], if  $G$  is second countable and abelian, the set of n.c.m. random walks forms a dense  $G_\delta$  subset of the set of all stochastic convolution operators on  $L^1(m)$  for both strong operator topology (s.o.t.) and norm operator topology (n.o.t.) ([6], Thm. 5).

We shall extend the above result to second countable nilpotent groups.

**2. Generic stochastic convolution operator on a nilpotent group is n.c.m.** Let  $G$  be a second countable l.c. group with a left Haar measure  $m$ . The proof of Thm. 5 in [6] was based on the classical Choquet–Deny theorem, which says that in abelian groups, a random walk  $\mu$  is ergodic iff the closed subgroup generated by the support of  $\mu$  is the whole group  $G$  (see e.g. [8], Ch. 5). Unfortunately, this is not true in general l.c. groups. As follows from the theory of Poisson spaces, for some random walks on nilpotent groups the Choquet–Deny theorem does hold (see [1], Prop. IV.10). For the reader's convenience we present some of the relevant facts of this theory. For the proofs see [1].

Take a random walk with law  $\mu \in P(G)$ . Then the space of all uniformly continuous  $\mu$ -harmonic functions is isometrically isomorphic to a certain  $C^*$  commutative algebra with unit. Its spectrum  $\Pi_\mu$ , which is a compact  $G$ -space, is called the *Poisson space* of  $G$  corresponding to the random walk  $\mu$ . The Gelfand transform of this algebra is called the *Poisson formula*. The Poisson formula determines all  $\mu$ -harmonic functions if  $\mu$  is *spread-out*, i.e.  $\mu^{*n}$  is not singular with respect to  $m$  for some positive integer  $n$  ([1], Thm. I.3).

Now assume  $G$  is amenable. By combining Thm. I.3, Prop. IV.7 and Prop. IV.8 of [1], the following conditions are seen to be equivalent for a (right) random walk with a spread-out law  $\mu$ :

- (i) *The space of  $\mu$ -harmonic functions is finite-dimensional;*
- (ii)  *$\Pi_\mu$  is finite;*
- (iii)  *$\Pi_\mu$  is isomorphic to  $G/H$  as a  $G$ -space, where  $H$  is the closed subgroup generated by the support of  $\mu$ ;*

(iv)  $\Pi_\mu$  is a homogeneous  $G$ -space.

Now, we see that the stochastic convolution operator  $T_\mu$  is ergodic iff  $G = H$  and  $\Pi_\mu$  is homogeneous. Since in the case of nilpotent groups and  $\mu$  spread-out,  $\Pi_\mu$  is homogeneous iff  $H$  has a finite index in  $G$  ([1], Prop. IV.10), the above remark shows that for nilpotent groups with spread-out measures the classical Choquet–Deny theorem holds.

**THEOREM.** *Let  $G$  be a second countable nilpotent l.c. group. For every n.c.m. random walk with spread-out law  $\mu$  the random walk  $\mu_\alpha = \alpha\mu + (1 - \alpha)\nu$  is n.c.m. for every  $\nu \in P(G)$  and  $\alpha \in (0, 1]$ .*

**PROOF.** From §5, Ch. 2 of [5], the assumption that  $\mu$  is spread-out and n.c.m. is equivalent to  $\|(\nu_1 - \nu_2) * \mu^{*n}\| \rightarrow 0$  ( $\nu_1, \nu_2 \in P(G)$ ). This means that the random walk induces an ergodic “space-time” random walk on  $G \times \mathbb{Z}$ , where  $\mathbb{Z}$  is the group of integers (see Lemma 3 of [7]). Now it is clear that the support of  $\mu$  is not contained in a coset of a proper closed subgroup of  $G$ . Therefore, the same holds for the support of  $\mu_\alpha$ . To complete the proof apply the Choquet–Deny theorem and Thm. 2 of [3]. ■

It is worth pointing out that the above theorem also follows from Proposition 2.5 of [9] and from the fact that for nilpotent groups the Choquet–Deny theorem holds.

**COROLLARY 1.** *The set of n.c.m. random walks on a nilpotent group  $G$  is dense in the norm topology of  $P(G)$ .*

**PROOF.** We only need to show that on a nilpotent group there is at least one n.c.m. random walk with a spread-out law. Since nilpotent groups are amenable, this follows from the Rosenblatt theorem [9] (alternatively we can use the Choquet–Deny theorem). ■

**COROLLARY 2.** *If  $G$  is nilpotent, then the set of n.c.m. stochastic convolution operators is a dense  $G_\delta$  set in the set of all stochastic convolution operators for both s.o.t. and n.o.t.*

**PROOF.** First note that the representation  $\mu \rightarrow T_\mu$  ( ${}_\mu T$ ) is norm continuous. Now the set of n.c.m. stochastic convolution operators, being the intersection of the sets of n.c.m. stochastic operators and the stochastic convolution operators, is a  $G_\delta$  in s.o.t. (see Thm. 3 of [6] and the Wendel Theorem of [4]). Therefore, Corollary 2 follows from Corollary 1. ■

Recently, the author was informed by W. Bartoszek that Corollary 1 holds for arbitrary amenable  $\sigma$ -compact l.c. groups [2]. It is not known whether our Theorem is also true in that case.

## References

- [1] R. Azencott, *Espaces de Poisson des groupes localement compacts*, Lecture Notes in Math. 148, Springer, Berlin 1970.
- [2] W. Bartoszek, *On the residuality of mixing by convolution probabilities*, preprint.
- [3] S. Glasner, *On Choquet–Deny measures*, Ann. Inst. Henri Poincaré 12 (1976), 1–10.
- [4] E. Hewitt and K. Ross, *Abstract Harmonic Analysis*, Vol. 2, Springer, Berlin 1970.
- [5] H. Heyer, *Probability Measures on Locally Compact Groups*, Springer, Berlin 1977.
- [6] A. Iwanik and R. Rębowski, *Structure of mixing and category of complete mixing for stochastic operators*, Ann. Polon. Math. 56 (1992), 233–242.
- [7] B. Jamison and S. Orey, *Markov chains recurrent in the sense of Harris*, Z. Wahrsch. Verw. Gebiete 8 (1967), 41–48.
- [8] D. Revuz, *Markov Chains*, North-Holland Math. Library, 1975.
- [9] J. Rosenblatt, *Ergodic and mixing random walks on locally compact groups*, Math. Ann. 257 (1981), 31–42.

INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS  
TECHNICAL UNIVERSITY OF WROCLAW  
WYBRZEŻE WYSPIAŃSKIEGO 27  
50-370 WROCLAW, POLAND

*Reçu par la Rédaction le 15.11.1991*

*Révisé le 20.5.1992*