

Continuity of projections of natural bundles

by WŁODZIMIERZ M. MIKULSKI (Kraków)

Abstract. This paper is a contribution to the axiomatic approach to geometric objects. A collection of a manifold M , a topological space N , a group homomorphism $E : \text{Diff}(M) \rightarrow \text{Homeo}(N)$ and a function $\pi : N \rightarrow M$ is called a quasi-natural bundle if (1) $\pi \circ E(f) = f \circ \pi$ for every $f \in \text{Diff}(M)$ and (2) if $f, g \in \text{Diff}(M)$ are two diffeomorphisms such that $f|_U = g|_U$ for some open subset U of M , then $E(f)|_{\pi^{-1}(U)} = E(g)|_{\pi^{-1}(U)}$. We give conditions which ensure that $\pi : N \rightarrow M$ is continuous. In particular, if (M, N, E, π) is a quasi-natural bundle with N Hausdorff, then π is continuous. Using this result, we classify (quasi) prolongation functors with compact fibres.

0. Introduction. Throughout this paper manifolds are assumed to be paracompact, finite-dimensional and without boundary.

The concept of a natural bundle was introduced by A. Nijenhuis [10] as a modern approach to the classical theory of geometrical objects (see [1]). A *natural bundle* (over n -dimensional manifolds) is a covariant functor F from the category of n -dimensional C^∞ manifolds and C^∞ embeddings into the category of C^∞ locally trivial fibre bundles and C^∞ bundle mappings such that:

(1) for every n -dimensional C^∞ manifold M , FM is a locally trivial fibre bundle over M ;

(2) for every C^∞ embedding $\varphi : M \rightarrow N$ of n -dimensional manifolds, $F\varphi : FM \rightarrow FN$ covers φ and for any $x \in M$, $F\varphi$ maps diffeomorphically the fibre $F_x M$ onto the fibre $F_{\varphi(x)} N$;

(3) F is regular in the following sense: If $\varphi : U \times M \rightarrow N$ is a C^∞ mapping (where U is an open subset of \mathbb{R}^k) such that for every $t \in U$, $\varphi_t : M \rightarrow N$, $\varphi_t(x) = \varphi(t, x)$, is an embedding, then the mapping

$$U \times FM \ni (t, y) \rightarrow F\varphi_t(y) \in FN$$

is of class C^∞ .

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: Primary 55R65.

Key words and phrases: natural bundle, quasi-natural bundle, regular quasi-natural bundle, locally determined associated space, quasi-prolongation functor.

The functor T associating with each n -manifold M the tangent bundle TM and with each embedding $\varphi : M \rightarrow N$ the differential $d\varphi : TM \rightarrow TN$ is an example of a natural bundle. An equivalent formulation of an interesting result of Palais and Terng [11] is that every natural bundle is isomorphic to a fibre bundle associated with an r -frame bundle and whose standard fibre is an L_n^r space (cf. [4, p. 13]).

The definition of a locally determined associated space was introduced by Epstein and Thurston [2] as a generalization of natural bundles. Let $n \geq 1$ be a fixed natural number and let $q \geq 1$ be a fixed integer or infinity. A *locally determined associated space* is a functor E associating with each n -dimensional C^q manifold M a topological space $EM \neq \emptyset$ and a continuous mapping $\pi_M : EM \rightarrow M$ and with each C^q embedding $\varphi : M \rightarrow N$ of n -manifolds a homeomorphism between EM and $\pi_N^{-1}(\text{im}(\varphi))$ such that $\pi_N \circ E(\varphi) = \varphi \circ \pi_M$.

In [2], the authors proved that if E is a locally determined associated space such that $E\mathbb{R}^n$ is a locally compact second countable Hausdorff space, then E is isomorphic to a topological fibre bundle associated with an r -frame bundle and whose standard fibre is an L_n^r space. (In [8], we extended the result of Epstein and Thurston to the situation when $E\mathbb{R}^n$ is not locally compact.) The result of Epstein and Thurston implies that the regularity condition in the definition of a natural bundle is a consequence of the other conditions in the definition.

The purpose of this paper is to give an answer to the following question: Is the condition “ π_M is continuous” in the definition of locally determined associated spaces a consequence of the other conditions of the definition (see Problem in [2, p. 236])? If EM is a Hausdorff space, the answer is affirmative. In fact, we obtain a more general result.

Let us begin with the definition of a quasi-natural bundle.

DEFINITION 0.1. Let $r \geq 1$ be an integer or infinity or $r = \omega$ and let $n \geq 1$ be a natural number. A collection (M, N, E, π, r, n) of r, n and of

- an n -dimensional C^r manifold M ,
- a topological space $N \neq \emptyset$,
- a group homomorphism $E : \text{Diff}(M) \rightarrow \text{Homeo}(N)$ of the group of all global C^r diffeomorphisms of M onto M into the group of all homeomorphisms of N onto N ,
- a function $\pi : N \rightarrow M$,

is called a *quasi-natural bundle* if the following two conditions are satisfied:

- (1) for every $\varphi \in \text{Diff}(M)$, $\pi \circ E(\varphi) = \varphi \circ \pi$,
- (2) if $f, g \in \text{Diff}(M)$ are such that $f|U = g|U$ for some open subset U of M , then $E(f)|\pi^{-1}(U) = E(g)|\pi^{-1}(U)$.

We have the following obvious example of quasi-natural bundles.

EXAMPLE 0.1. Let E be a locally determined associated space over n -dimensional C^r manifolds and let M be an n -dimensional C^r manifold. It is clear that $(M, EM, E|\text{Diff}(M), \pi_M, r, n)$ is a quasi-natural bundle.

In Section 1, conditions are given under which $\pi : N \rightarrow M$ is continuous, where (M, N, E, π, r, n) is a quasi-natural bundle. In particular, we deduce the following two theorems.

THEOREM 0.1. *Let (M, N, E, π, r, n) be a quasi-natural bundle. Suppose that N is a Hausdorff space and $r \geq 1$ is an integer or infinity. Then π is continuous.*

THEOREM 0.2. *Let (M, N, E, π, r, n) be a quasi-natural bundle. If $r \geq 1$ is an integer or infinity, then the set $\{v \in N : \pi \text{ is continuous at } v\}$ is open in N .*

In Example 2.1, we present a quasi-natural bundle $(\mathbb{R}, N, E, \pi, \omega, 1)$ such that: (i) N is a metric space and (ii) π is not continuous. Therefore, Theorem 0.1 is not true in the analytic situation.

If N is a C^r manifold, we have the following natural question: Is $\pi : N \rightarrow M$ of class C^r ? It is a difficult question and I have only been able to give a partial answer. We introduce the following definition.

DEFINITION 0.2. Let $(M, N, E, \pi, \infty, n)$ be a quasi-natural bundle. We say it is *regular* if the following three conditions are satisfied:

- (1) N is a manifold of class C^∞ ;
- (2) if $\varphi \in \text{Diff}(M)$, then $E(\varphi) : N \rightarrow N$ is of class C^∞ ;
- (3) if $\varphi : U \times M \rightarrow M$ is a C^∞ mapping (where U is an open subset of \mathbb{R}^k) such that for every t in U , $\varphi_t : M \rightarrow M$, $\varphi_t(x) = \varphi(t, x)$, is a diffeomorphism, then the mapping

$$U \times N \ni (t, y) \rightarrow E(\varphi_t)(y) \in N$$

is of class C^∞ .

In Section 3, we prove the following theorem.

THEOREM 0.3. *Let $(M, N, E, \pi, \infty, n)$ be a regular quasi-natural bundle. Assume that M and N are connected. Then the set $\{v \in N : \text{there exists a neighbourhood } V \text{ of } v \text{ such that } \pi|_V \text{ is of class } C^\infty\}$ is dense in N .*

Similarly to the definition of prolongation functors in the sense of [6] we introduce the following definitions of quasi-prolongation functors.

DEFINITION 0.3. Let $r \geq 1$ be an integer or infinity or $r = \omega$. A *quasi-prolongation functor* over (positive-dimensional) C^r manifolds is a covariant functor F associating with each positive-dimensional C^r manifold M a

topological space $FM \neq \emptyset$ and a function $\pi_M : FM \rightarrow M$ and with each C^r mapping $f : M \rightarrow N$ a continuous mapping $Ff : FM \rightarrow FN$ such that:

- (1) for every C^r mapping $f : M \rightarrow N$, $\pi_N \circ Ff = f \circ \pi_M$;
- (2) if $\varphi : M \rightarrow N$ is a C^r diffeomorphism onto an open subset of N , then $F\varphi : FM \rightarrow \pi_N^{-1}(\text{im}(\varphi))$ is a homeomorphism.

DEFINITION 0.4. Let F be a quasi-prolongation functor over C^∞ manifolds. We say that F is *regular* if the following conditions are satisfied:

- (1) for every C^∞ manifold M , FM is a C^∞ manifold;
- (2) for every C^∞ mapping $f : M \rightarrow N$ the mapping $Ff : FM \rightarrow FN$ is of class C^∞ ;
- (3) if $\varphi : M \rightarrow N$ is a C^∞ diffeomorphism onto an open subset, then $F\varphi : FM \rightarrow \pi_N^{-1}(\text{im}(\varphi))$ is also a diffeomorphism. (By Theorem 0.1, π_N is continuous, and so $\pi_N^{-1}(\text{im}(\varphi))$ is open in FN .)

In Section 4, we prove the following theorem.

THEOREM 0.4. (I) *Let F be a quasi-prolongation functor over C^r manifolds. Suppose that:*

- (1) *r is a natural number or infinity;*
- (2) *for each n , $F\mathbb{R}^n$ has a countable basis;*
- (3) *for each C^r manifold M , FM is Hausdorff;*
- (4) *for each n the fibre $\pi_{\mathbb{R}^n}^{-1}(0)$ is compact.*

Then there exists a compact set G such that F is isomorphic to the trivial quasi-prolongation functor $(\) \times G$ which associates with each C^r manifold M the space $M \times G$ and the mapping $p_M : M \times G \rightarrow M$, $p_M(x, y) = x$, and with each C^r mapping f the mapping $f \times \text{id}_G$.

(II) *Let F be a regular quasi-prolongation functor. Assume that:*

- (1) *for each n , $F\mathbb{R}^n$ has a countable basis;*
- (2) *for each n , $\pi_{\mathbb{R}^n}^{-1}(0)$ is compact.*

Then there exists a C^∞ compact manifold G such that F is C^∞ isomorphic to the trivial regular quasi-prolongation functor $(\) \times G$ over C^∞ manifolds.

REMARK. We say that quasi-prolongation functors F^1, F^2 (resp. regular quasi-prolongation functors F^1, F^2) over C^r (resp. C^∞) manifolds are *isomorphic* (C^∞ *isomorphic*) if for every C^r (resp. C^∞) manifold M there exists a homeomorphism (resp. a C^∞ diffeomorphism) $I_M : F^1M \rightarrow F^2M$ such that:

- (1) for every C^r (resp. C^∞) manifold M , $\pi_M^2 \circ I_M = \pi_M^1$, where $\pi_M^i : F^iM \rightarrow M$ ($i = 1, 2$) are the projections;

(2) for each C^r (resp. C^∞) mapping $f : M \rightarrow N$ of C^r (resp. C^∞) manifolds, $I_N \circ F^1 f = F^2 f \circ I_M$.

1. Continuity of projections of quasi-natural bundles. In this section we will prove Theorems 0.1 and 0.2.

Let X be a topological space and let $Y \subset X$. We say that Y is *Hausdorff in X* iff any two distinct points in Y have disjoint open neighbourhoods in X . It is clear that if $h : X \rightarrow X$ is a homeomorphism and Y is Hausdorff in X , then $h(Y)$ is also Hausdorff in X .

Let (M, N, E, π, r, n) be a quasi-natural bundle. Throughout this section we use the following notations:

$$\text{Orb}(v) = \{E(f)(v) : f \in \text{Diff}(M)\}, \quad v \in N;$$

for every $x \in M$,

$$D^-(x) = \{f \in \text{Diff}(M) : f(x) = x \text{ and there exists a chart } (U, g, x) \\ \text{such that } g(x) = 0 \text{ and } \det(d_0(g \circ f \circ g^{-1})) < 0\};$$

finally, for every $y \in M$, denote by $\text{Comp}(M, y)$ the connected component of M which contains y .

Theorems 0.1 and 0.2 are simple consequences of the following proposition.

PROPOSITION 1.1. *Let (M, N, E, π, r, n) be a quasi-natural bundle and let $v \in N$. Suppose that $r \geq 1$ is an integer or infinity. Then the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (1) π is continuous at v ;
- (2) if $w \in \text{Orb}(v)$, then π is continuous at w ;
- (3) for all $u, w \in \text{Orb}(v)$, the condition $\pi(u) \neq \pi(w)$ implies that $\{u, w\}$ is Hausdorff in N ;
- (4) there exists $w \in \text{Orb}(v) - \pi^{-1}(\pi(v))$ such that:
 - (a) $\pi(w) \in \text{Comp}(M, \pi(v))$,
 - (b) $\{v, w\}$ is Hausdorff in N , and
 - (c) if $D^-(\pi(v)) \neq \emptyset$, then there exists $\varphi \in D^-(\pi(v))$ such that $\{E(\varphi)(v), w\}$ is Hausdorff in N ;
- (5) there exists an open (in N) neighbourhood V of v such that $\pi|_V$ is continuous.

In the proof of Proposition 1.1 we shall use the following lemmas:

LEMMA 1.1. *Let $r \geq 1$ be an integer or infinity. Let M be an n -dimensional manifold of class C^r and let $x \in M$. Let (U, g, x) be a chart of M at x such that $g(U) = \mathbb{R}^n$ and $g(x) = 0$. If $y \in \text{Comp}(M, x)$, then there*

exists a C^r diffeomorphism $f : M \rightarrow M$ such that $\text{germ}_x(f) = \text{germ}_x(\text{id}_M)$ and $f(y) \in U$.

LEMMA 1.2. Let (M, N, E, π, r, n) be a quasi-natural bundle such that $r \geq 1$ is an integer or infinity. Let $u, u', w \in N$ be such that:

- (1) $u' \in \text{Orb}(u) \cap \pi^{-1}(\pi(u))$,
- (2) $w \in \text{Orb}(u) - \pi^{-1}(\pi(u))$,
- (3) $\{u, w\}$ is Hausdorff in N ,
- (4) if $D^-(\pi(u)) \neq \emptyset$, then there is a $\psi \in D^-(\pi(u))$ such that $\{E\psi(u), w\}$ is Hausdorff in N .

Then $\{u', w\}$ is also Hausdorff in N .

Proof of Lemma 1.1. Let

$$A = \{z \in \text{Comp}(M, x) : \text{there exists } f \in \text{Diff}(M) \\ \text{such that } f(z) \in U \text{ and } \text{germ}_x(f) = \text{germ}_x(\text{id}_M)\}.$$

We have to prove that $A = \text{Comp}(M, x)$. It is clear that $U \subset A$. Thus it is sufficient to show that $A - \{x\}$ and $\text{Comp}(M, x) - A$ are open in $\text{Comp}(M, x)$.

For each $z \in A$, let $f_z \in \text{Diff}(M)$ be such that $f_z(z) \in U$ and $\text{germ}_x(f_z) = \text{germ}_x(\text{id}_M)$. For each $t \in M - \{x\}$, let (U_t, g_t) be a chart of M at t such that $g_t(U_t) = \mathbb{R}^n$, $g_t(t) = 0$ and $x \in M - U_t$. For each $z \in U_t$ and $t \in M - \{x\}$, let $h_{t,z} \in \text{Diff}(M)$ be such that $h_{t,z}(t) = z$ and $\text{germ}_x(h_{t,z}) = \text{germ}_x(\text{id}_M)$ (see [5]).

Let $z \in A - \{x\}$. We shall show that $U_z \subset A - \{x\}$. Let $t \in U_z$. Then $f_z \circ (h_{z,t})^{-1} \in \text{Diff}(M)$, $f_z \circ (h_{z,t})^{-1}(t) = f_z(z) \in U$ and $\text{germ}_x(f_z \circ (h_{z,t})^{-1}) = \text{germ}_x(\text{id}_M)$, and thus $t \in A - \{x\}$.

Let now $z \in \text{Comp}(M, x) - A$. We shall show that $U_z \cap A = \emptyset$. Assume the contrary. Let $t \in U_z \cap A$. Then $f_t \circ h_{z,t} \in \text{Diff}(M)$, $f_t \circ h_{z,t}(z) = f_t(t) \in U$ and $\text{germ}_x(f_t \circ h_{z,t}) = \text{germ}_x(\text{id}_M)$, and hence $z \in A$. ■

Proof of Lemma 1.2. Fix $f \in \text{Diff}(M)$ such that $f(\pi(u)) = \pi(u)$ and $u' = E(f)(u)$, and a chart (U, g) of M at $\pi(u)$ such that $g(U) = \mathbb{R}^n$, $g(\pi(u)) = 0$ and $\pi(w) \in M - U$. Consider two cases.

(I) $\det(d_0(g \circ f \circ g^{-1})) > 0$. Then there exists $F \in \text{Diff}(M)$ such that $\text{germ}_{\pi(u)}(F) = \text{germ}_{\pi(u)}(f)$ and $\text{germ}_{\pi(w)}(F) = \text{germ}_{\pi(w)}(\text{id}_M)$ (see [5]). Definition 0.1 ensures that $E(F)(w) = w$ and $E(F)(u) = E(f)(u) = u'$; since $\{u, w\}$ is Hausdorff in N and $E(F)$ is a homeomorphism, $\{u', w\}$ is also Hausdorff in N .

(II) $\det(d_0(g \circ f \circ g^{-1})) < 0$. Then $f \in D^-(\pi(u))$, i.e. $D^-(\pi(u)) \neq \emptyset$. By the assumptions $\{E(\psi)(u), w\}$ is Hausdorff in N for some $\psi \in D^-(\pi(u))$. Replacing u by $E(\psi)(u)$ and f by $f \circ \psi^{-1}$ we apply Case (I) to conclude the proof. ■

Proof of Proposition 1.1. (1) \Rightarrow (2) is a simple consequence of Definition 0.1. (2) \Rightarrow (3) and (5) \Rightarrow (1) are obvious.

(3) \Rightarrow (4). Let (U, g) be a chart of M at $\pi(v)$ such that $g(U) = \mathbb{R}^n$ and $g(\pi(v)) = 0$. Let $y \in U - \{\pi(v)\}$. There exist $h \in \text{Diff}(M)$ and a compact set $K \subset U$ such that $h(\pi(v)) = y$ and $h|_{M-K} = \text{id}_M|_{M-K}$. Let $w := E(h)(v)$. It is clear that $w \in \text{Orb}(v) - \pi^{-1}(\pi(v))$ and $\pi(w) = h(\pi(v)) \in U \subset \text{Comp}(M, \pi(v))$. Thus $\{v, w\}$ is Hausdorff in N . Similarly, if $\varphi \in D^-(\pi(v))$, then $\pi(E(\varphi)(v)) = \pi(v) \neq \pi(w)$, and so $\{E(\varphi)(v), w\}$ is Hausdorff in N .

The proof of (4) \Rightarrow (1) is more complicated. Assume the contrary. Then π is not continuous at w . Let (U, g) be a chart of M at $\pi(w)$ such that $g(U) = \mathbb{R}^n$, $g(\pi(w)) = 0$ and $\pi(W) \not\subset U$ for every $W \in \text{top}(N, w)$, where $\text{top}(N, w)$ is the set of all open neighbourhoods of w . By Lemma 1.1, there is an $f \in \text{Diff}(M)$ such that $f(\pi(v)) \in U$ and $\text{germ}_{\pi(w)}(f) = \text{germ}_{\pi(w)}(\text{id}_M)$. Moreover, there are $h \in \text{Diff}(M)$ and a compact subset K of U such that $h|_{M-K} = \text{id}_M|_{M-K}$ and $h(\pi(w)) = f(\pi(v))$ (see [5]).

First assume that $E(f)(v)$, $E(h)(w)$ and w satisfy the assumptions of Lemma 1.2 (with $E(f)(v)$ and $E(h)(w)$ playing the roles of u and u' , respectively). Then $\{E(h)(w), w\}$ is Hausdorff in N , and hence there exist $W_1 \in \text{top}(N, E(h)(w))$ and $W_2 \in \text{top}(N, w)$ such that $W_1 \cap W_2 = \emptyset$. Since $E(h) : N \rightarrow N$ is a homeomorphism, we can find $W \in \text{top}(N, w)$ such that $W \subset W_2$ and $E(h)(W) \subset W_1$. Recalling that $\pi(\widetilde{W}) \not\subset U$ for every $\widetilde{W} \in \text{top}(N, w)$, we deduce that $W - \pi^{-1}(U) \neq \emptyset$. On the other hand, since $\pi^{-1}(M-K) \supset N - \pi^{-1}(U)$ and $E(h)|_{\pi^{-1}(M-K)} = \text{id}_N|_{\pi^{-1}(M-K)}$, we get $E(h)|_{N - \pi^{-1}(U)} = \text{id}_N|_{N - \pi^{-1}(U)}$. Hence $\emptyset = W_1 \cap W_2 \supset E(h)(W - \pi^{-1}(U)) \cap (W - \pi^{-1}(U)) = W - \pi^{-1}(U) \neq \emptyset$, a contradiction.

It remains to prove that $E(f)(v)$, $E(h)(w)$ and w satisfy the assumptions of Lemma 1.2. It is clear that $\text{Orb}(E(h)(w)) = \text{Orb}(w) = \text{Orb}(v) = \text{Orb}(E(f)(v))$ as $w \in \text{Orb}(v)$. On the other hand, since f is a bijection, $h(\pi(w)) = f(\pi(v))$, $\pi(w) \neq \pi(v)$ and $\text{germ}_{\pi(w)}(f) = \text{germ}_{\pi(w)}(\text{id}_M)$, we have $\pi(E(h)(w)) = h(\pi(w)) = f(\pi(v)) = \pi(E(f)(v))$, $\pi(w) = f(\pi(v)) \neq f(\pi(v)) = \pi(E(f)(v))$ and $\{E(f)(v), w\} = E(f)(\{v, w\})$. Hence $E(h)(w) \in \text{Orb}(E(f)(v)) \cap \pi^{-1}(\pi(E(f)(v)))$, $w \in \text{Orb}(E(f)(v)) - \pi^{-1}(\pi(E(f)(v)))$ and (since $\{v, w\}$ is Hausdorff in N) $\{E(f)(v), w\}$ is Hausdorff in N .

If $q \in D^-(f(\pi(v)))$, then $f^{-1} \circ q \circ f \in D^-(\pi(v))$ (i.e. $D^-(\pi(v)) \neq \emptyset$), and therefore (by the assumption on w) there exists $\varphi \in D^-(\pi(v))$ such that $\{E(\varphi)(v), w\}$ is Hausdorff in N . It is obvious that $f \circ \varphi \circ f^{-1} \in D^-(f(\pi(v)))$ and $\{E(f \circ \varphi \circ f^{-1})(E(f)(v)), w\} = E(f)(\{E(\varphi)(v), w\})$, and thus $\{E(f \circ \varphi \circ f^{-1})(E(f)(v)), w\}$ is Hausdorff in N .

(1) \Rightarrow (5). We can assume (2)–(4) to hold. Fix $w \in (\text{Orb}(v) - \pi^{-1}(\pi(v))) \cap \pi^{-1}(\text{Comp}(M, \pi(v)))$. If $D^-(\pi(v)) \neq \emptyset$, we fix $\psi \in D^-(\pi(v))$. Let $f \in$

$\text{Diff}(M)$ be such that $w = E(f)(v)$. Let $W \in \text{top}(M, \pi(v))$ be such that $\pi(w) \in M - \text{cl}(W)$ and let (U, g) be a chart of M at $\pi(v)$ such that $g(U) = \mathbb{R}^n$, $g(\pi(v)) = 0$, $U \subset W$ and $\psi(U) \subset W$. Since π is continuous at v and w , there are $V_1 \in \text{top}(N, v)$ and $V_2 \in \text{top}(N, w)$ such that $\pi(V_1) \subset U$ and $\pi(V_2) \subset M - \text{cl}(W)$. Let $V \in \text{top}(N, v)$ be such that $V \subset V_1$ and $E(f)(V) \subset V_2$.

We shall prove that $\pi|V$ is continuous. Let $\tilde{v} \in V$ and $\tilde{w} := E(f)(\tilde{v})$. We shall prove that \tilde{w} satisfies (4) (with \tilde{v} and \tilde{w} playing the roles of v and w , respectively).

We see that $\pi(\tilde{v}) \in \pi(V) \subset \pi(V_1) \subset U \subset W$ and $\pi(\tilde{w}) \in \pi(E(f)(V)) \subset \pi(V_2) \subset M - \text{cl}(W)$. Therefore $\tilde{w} \in \text{Orb}(\tilde{v}) - \pi^{-1}(\pi(\tilde{v}))$.

It is clear that $\pi(\tilde{v}) \in U \subset \text{Comp}(M, \pi(v))$. Then $\pi(\tilde{w}) = f(\pi(\tilde{v})) \in f(\text{Comp}(M, \pi(v))) = \text{Comp}(M, f(\pi(v))) = \text{Comp}(M, \pi(w))$, and thus (as $\pi(w) \in \text{Comp}(M, \pi(v))$) $\pi(\tilde{w}) \in \text{Comp}(M, \pi(\tilde{v}))$.

Since $\tilde{v} \in V \subset V_1$ and $\tilde{w} \in E(f)(V) \subset V_2$ and $\pi(V_1) \cap \pi(V_2) = \emptyset$, $\{\tilde{v}, \tilde{w}\}$ is Hausdorff in N .

Let $h \in \text{Diff}(M)$ be such that $h|M - U = \text{id}_M|M - U$ and $h(\pi(v)) = \pi(\tilde{v})$. Assume $\eta \in D^-(\pi(\tilde{v}))$. Then $h^{-1} \circ \eta \circ h \in D^-(\pi(v))$, and then $D^-(\pi(v)) \neq \emptyset$. Let $\varphi := h \circ \psi \circ h^{-1}$. Of course, $\varphi \in D^-(\pi(\tilde{v}))$. We shall prove that $\{E(\varphi)(\tilde{v}), \tilde{w}\}$ is Hausdorff in N . It is sufficient to prove that $E(\varphi)(V) \cap E(f)(V) = \emptyset$. We know that $E(h)|\pi^{-1}(M - \text{cl}(W)) = \text{id}_N|\pi^{-1}(M - \text{cl}(W))$, as $M - \text{cl}(W) \subset M - W \subset M - U$. On the other hand, $E(h^{-1})(V) \subset \pi^{-1}(U)$, for if $x \in V$, then $\pi(x) \in \pi(V) \subset \pi(V_1) \subset U$, and thus $\pi(E(h^{-1})(x)) = h^{-1}(\pi(x)) \in U$. Therefore (as $\psi(U) \subset W$) we have

$$\begin{aligned} E(f)(V) \cap E(\varphi)(V) &\subset V_2 \cap E(\varphi)(V) \\ &\subset \pi^{-1}(M - \text{cl}(W)) \cap E(h) \circ E(\psi) \circ E(h^{-1})(V) \\ &\subset E(h)(\pi^{-1}(M - \text{cl}(W))) \cap E(h) \circ E(\psi)(\pi^{-1}(U)) \\ &= E(h)(\pi^{-1}(M - \text{cl}(W)) \cap E(\psi)(\pi^{-1}(U))) \\ &\subset E(h)(\pi^{-1}(M - \text{cl}(W)) \cap \pi^{-1}(W)) = \emptyset. \blacksquare \end{aligned}$$

We have the following interesting application of Theorem 0.1. Let M be an n -dimensional C^r manifold, where $n \geq 1$ and $r \geq 1$ is an integer or infinity. Let $\text{top}(M)$ be the topology on M . We say that a topology τ on M is *natural* iff any $\varphi \in \text{Diff}(M)$ is a homeomorphism with respect to τ . Of course, $\text{top}(M)$ is natural.

COROLLARY 1.1. *Let M be as above and let τ be a Hausdorff natural topology on M . Then $\text{top}(M) \subset \tau$.*

PROOF. Putting $N = (M, \tau)$, $E : \text{Diff}(M) \rightarrow \text{Homeo}(N)$, $E(\varphi) = \varphi$, and $\pi : N \rightarrow M$, $\pi = \text{id}_M$, we obtain a quasi-natural bundle. Therefore π is continuous, and thus $\text{top}(M) \subset \tau$. \blacksquare

Remark. Let $M, N, \pi, r, n, \text{Diff}(M), \text{Homeo}(N)$ be as in Definition 0.1. Let D be a subgroup in $\text{Diff}(M)$ and let $E : D \rightarrow \text{Homeo}(N)$ be a group homomorphism. The collection (M, N, E, π, r, n, D) is called a *D-quasi-natural bundle* if the conditions of Definition 0.1 are satisfied with D playing the role of $\text{Diff}(M)$. We see that Proposition 1.1 (and hence Theorems 0.1 and 0.2) with D playing the role of $\text{Diff}(M)$ is true for D -quasi-natural bundles provided D satisfies the following conditions:

A) for any chart (U, g) of M at x such that $g(U) = \mathbb{R}^n$, $g(x) = 0$, and $y \in U$ there exist a compact set $K \subset U$ and $h \in D$ such that $h|_{M-K} = \text{id}_M|_{M-K}$ and $h(x) = y$,

B) for any chart (U, g) of M at x and $f \in D - D^-(x)$ with $f(x) = x$ there exist a compact set $K \subset U$ and $h \in D$ such that $h = f$ near x and $h|_{M-K} = \text{id}_M|_{M-K}$.

For example, if either $D \subset \text{Diff}(M)$ is the subgroup of all diffeomorphisms equal to the identity map outside a compact subset, or M is oriented and D is the subgroup of all orientation preserving diffeomorphisms, then D satisfies the above conditions A) and B).

2. Counterexamples. In this section we present some counterexamples.

In connection with Theorem 0.1 we present the following example of a quasi-natural bundle $(M, N, E, \pi, \omega, 1)$, where M is a connected analytic manifold, N is a second countable metrizable space, $E : \text{Diff}(M) \rightarrow \text{Homeo}(N)$ is a group homomorphism of the group of all analytic diffeomorphisms of M onto N into the group of all homeomorphisms of N onto N and $\pi : N \rightarrow M$ is not continuous.

EXAMPLE 2.1. Let $M = \mathbb{R}$ and let N be the set of all global analytic mappings of \mathbb{R} into \mathbb{R} . There is an injection $I : N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{N}}$ given by $I(f) = (f(1), f(\frac{1}{2}), f(\frac{1}{3}), \dots)$. We equip N with the topology induced by I , i.e. $U \subset N$ is open iff $U = I^{-1}(V)$ for some V open in $\mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{N}}$, where $\mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{N}}$ has the Tikhonov topology. Define $E : \text{Diff}(M) \rightarrow \text{Homeo}(N)$ by $E(g)(f) = g \circ f$. Let $\pi : N \rightarrow M$ be given by $\pi(f) = f(0)$. It is clear that $(M, N, E, \pi, \omega, 1)$ is a quasi-natural bundle. Since $f_n := (n^{-n} + (\text{id}_{\mathbb{R}})^2)^{-n} \rightarrow 1$ (in N) and $\pi(f_n) = 1/n \not\rightarrow \pi(1) = 1$, we see that π is not continuous at 1.

In connection with Theorem 0.2 we give the following example.

EXAMPLE 2.2. Let $M = \mathbb{R}$. We equip $N := \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}$ with a topology defined as follows: $U \subset N$ is open if and only if either $U = N$ or $U = V \times \{0\}$ for some V open in \mathbb{R} . Let $r = \infty$. Define $E : \text{Diff}(M) \rightarrow \text{Homeo}(N)$ by $E(f)(x, y) = (f(x), y)$. Let $\pi : N \rightarrow M$ be the projection onto the first

factor. It is obvious that $(M, N, E, \pi, \infty, 1)$ is a quasi-natural bundle, but the set $\{v \in N : \pi \text{ is continuous at } v\}$ is not closed in N .

EXAMPLE 2.3. Let $M = \mathbb{R} \cup S^1$, where $S^1 \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ is the unit circle. Let $N = \mathbb{R}$. Define $E : \text{Diff}(M) \rightarrow \text{Diff}(N)$ by $E(f) = f|_{\mathbb{R}}$. Let $\pi : N \rightarrow M$ be the inclusion. Then $(M, N, E, \pi, \infty, 1)$ is a regular quasi-natural bundle such that π is not surjective.

In connection with the implication (4) \Rightarrow (1) of Proposition 1.1 we give the following two examples.

EXAMPLE 2.4. Let $M = \mathbb{R} \times \{0\} \cup \mathbb{R} \times \{1\}$. We equip $N := M$ with the topology generated by N , \emptyset , $\mathbb{R} \times \{0\}$ and $\mathbb{R} \times \{1\}$. Let $E : \text{Diff}(M) \rightarrow \text{Homeo}(N)$ be the inclusion and $\pi : N \rightarrow M$ the identity. It is clear that $(M, N, E, \pi, \infty, 1)$ is a quasi-natural bundle. If $f : M \rightarrow M$ is defined by $f(t, x) = (t, x + 1 \pmod{2})$, $\varphi : M \rightarrow M$ is defined by $\varphi(t, x) = (-t, x)$, $v = (0, 0)$ and $w = (0, 1)$, then $w = f(v) \in \text{Orb}(v) - \pi^{-1}(\pi(v))$, $\{v, w\}$ is Hausdorff in N , $\varphi \in D^-(\pi(v))$ and $\{E(\varphi)(v), w\}$ is also Hausdorff in N but π is not continuous at v , and $\pi(w) \notin \text{Comp}(M, \pi(v))$.

EXAMPLE 2.5. Let $M = \mathbb{R}$ and let N be as in Example 2.4. Define $E : \text{Diff}(M) \rightarrow \text{Homeo}(N)$ by $E(f)(x, t) = (f(x), t)$ if f is orientation preserving, and $= (f(x), t + 1 \pmod{2})$ if f is orientation reversing. Let $\pi : N \rightarrow M$ be the projection given by $\pi(x, t) = x$. It is easy to see that $(M, N, E, \pi, \infty, 1)$ is a quasi-natural bundle. If $v = (1, 0)$ and $w = (-1, 1)$, then $w = E(-\text{id})(v) \in \text{Orb}(v) - \pi^{-1}(\pi(v))$, $\pi(w) \in \text{Comp}(M, \pi(v))$ and $\{v, w\}$ is Hausdorff in N but π is not continuous at v . Of course $\{E(\varphi)(v), w\}$ is not Hausdorff in N for every $\varphi \in D^-(\pi(v))$.

With regard to the implication (1) \Rightarrow (3) of Proposition 1.1 the following example is interesting.

EXAMPLE 2.6. Let $M = \mathbb{R}$. We equip $N := \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}$ with the topology in which $U \subset N$ is open if and only if there exists an open subset V of \mathbb{R} such that $U = V \times \mathbb{R}$. Define $E : \text{Diff}(M) \rightarrow \text{Homeo}(N)$ by $E(f)(x, y) = (f(x), \frac{df}{dx}(x)y)$. Let $\pi : N \rightarrow M$ be the projection onto the first factor. Then $(M, N, E, \pi, \infty, 1)$ is a quasi-natural bundle. It is clear that π is continuous at $v = (0, 1)$ but $\text{Orb}(v)$ is not Hausdorff in N .

In Example 2.7 we show that condition (3) of Proposition 1.1 cannot be replaced by the following one: If $u, w \in \text{Orb}(v)$ and $\pi(u) \neq \pi(w)$, then $\{u, w\}$ is Hausdorff with respect to the induced topology.

EXAMPLE 2.7. Let $M = \mathbb{R}$. Endow $N := \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}$ with the minimal topology such that for all $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}$, $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} - ((-\infty, \alpha] \times \{0\} \cup [\beta, \infty) \times \{0\})$ is open. Define $E : \text{Diff}(M) \rightarrow \text{Homeo}(N)$ by $E(f)(x, y) = (f(x), y)$. Let $\pi : N \rightarrow M$ be the projection onto the first factor. Then $(M, N, E, \pi, \infty, 1)$

is a quasi-natural bundle. Moreover, π is not continuous at $v = (0, 0)$ though for all $u, w \in \text{Orb}(v)$ the condition $\pi(u) \neq \pi(w)$ implies that $\{u, w\}$ is Hausdorff with respect to the induced topology.

3. Regularity of projections.

In this section we prove Theorem 0.3. We shall use the following notation. For any smooth manifold M , we denote by $A(M)$ the Lie algebra of vector fields on M . We also consider $A(M)$ as a $C^\infty(M)$ module. For any $p \in M$ we write M_p for $\{f \in C^\infty(M) : f(p) = 0\}$. For any $p \in M$ we put $A_p = M_p A(M)$. Let $A^0 = \{X \in A(M) : \text{supp}(X) \text{ is compact}\}$.

In the proof of Theorem 0.3 we use the following proposition.

PROPOSITION 3.1. *Let $(M, N, E, \pi, \infty, n)$ be a regular quasi-natural bundle. (Then $\dim(N) > 0$.) Define $\tilde{E} : A^0(M) \rightarrow A(N)$ by $\tilde{E}(X)(y) = [t \rightarrow E(\varphi_t)(y)]_{t=0}$, where $\{\varphi_t\}$ is the flow of X . Then \tilde{E} is a Lie algebra homomorphism.*

Remark. For π a C^∞ submersion, this proposition is well known (see [12], [3]).

Proof of Proposition 3.1. If $X \in A^0(M)$, $X(\pi(x)) \neq 0$ and $\{\varphi_t\}$ is the flow of X , then $\mathbb{R} \ni t \rightarrow E(\varphi_t)(x) \in N$ is a nontrivial curve, and thus $\dim(N) > 0$. Therefore Proposition 3.1 is an immediate consequence of the following four lemmas.

LEMMA 3.1. *The mapping $\tilde{E} : A^0(M) \rightarrow A(N)$ is regular in the following sense: If $\tilde{X} : U \times M \rightarrow TM$ is a C^∞ mapping (U is a C^∞ manifold) such that for all $\tau \in U$ the mapping $\tilde{X}_\tau : M \rightarrow TM$, $\tilde{X}_\tau(x) = \tilde{X}(\tau, x)$, is an element of $A^0(M)$, then*

$$U \times N \ni (\tau, y) \rightarrow \tilde{E}(\tilde{X}_\tau)(y) \in TN$$

is also of class C^∞ .

LEMMA 3.2. $\tilde{E} : A^0(M) \rightarrow A(N)$ is \mathbb{R} -linear.

LEMMA 3.3. *For any $X \in A^0(M)$ and any $\varphi \in \text{Diff}(M)$ we have $\tilde{E}(\varphi_* X) = (E(\varphi))_* \tilde{E}(X)$.*

LEMMA 3.4. *If $X, Y \in A^0(M)$, then $[\tilde{E}(X), \tilde{E}(Y)] = \tilde{E}([X, Y])$.*

Proof of Lemma 3.1. It is clear that \tilde{E} is local. Therefore since smoothness is also a local property, we may assume that there exists a compact set $K \subset U \times M$ such that $\tilde{X}(\tau, x) = 0$ for all $(\tau, x) \in U \times M - K$. Then we can consider \tilde{X} as an element of $A^0(U \times M)$. Let $\{\Phi_t\}$ be the global flow of \tilde{X} . Clearly, $\{\Phi_t\}$ is of the form $\Phi_t(\tau, x) = (\tau, \varphi(t, \tau, x))$, where $\varphi : \mathbb{R} \times U \times M \rightarrow M$ is of class C^∞ . It is easy to verify that for every $\tau \in U$,

$\{\varphi(t, \tau, \cdot)\}$ is the flow of \tilde{X}_τ . From the regularity of $(M, N, E, \pi, \infty, n)$ it follows that

$$U \times N \ni (\tau, y) \rightarrow [t \rightarrow E(\varphi(t, \tau, \cdot))(y)]_{t=0} \in TN$$

is of class C^∞ . ■

Proof of Lemma 3.2. We fix $y \in N$ and $X, Y \in A^0(M)$. Define $E_y : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow T_y N$ by $E_y(\alpha, \beta) = \tilde{E}(\alpha X + \beta Y)(y)$. If $\{\varphi_t^{\alpha, \beta}\}$ is the flow of $\alpha X + \beta Y$, then $\{\varphi_{\tau t}^{\alpha, \beta}\}$ is the flow of $\tau(\alpha X + \beta Y)$, and thus since $\{E(\varphi_{\tau t}^{\alpha, \beta})\}$ is the flow of $\tilde{E}(\tau(\alpha X + \beta Y))$ and $\{E(\varphi_t^{\alpha, \beta})\}$ is the flow of $\tilde{E}(\alpha X + \beta Y)$, we find that $\tau \tilde{E}(\alpha X + \beta Y) = \tilde{E}(\tau(\alpha X + \beta Y))$, where $\alpha, \beta, \tau \in \mathbb{R}$. Therefore for every $\alpha, \beta, t \in \mathbb{R}$ we have $E_y(t(\alpha, \beta)) = tE_y(\alpha, \beta)$. It follows from Lemma 3.1 that E_y is of class C^∞ . Hence E_y is \mathbb{R} -linear. ■

Proof of Lemma 3.3. Let $X \in A^0(M)$ and $\varphi \in \text{Diff}(M)$. If $\{\varphi_t\}$ is the flow of X , then $\{\varphi \circ \varphi_t \circ \varphi^{-1}\}$ is the flow of $\varphi_* X$, and so $\{E(\varphi) \circ E(\varphi_t) \circ (E(\varphi))^{-1}\}$ is the flow of both $\tilde{E}(\varphi_* X)$ and $(E(\varphi))_* \tilde{E}(X)$. Thus $\tilde{E}(\varphi_* X) = (E(\varphi))_* \tilde{E}(X)$. ■

Proof of Lemma 3.4. Let $X, Y \in A^0(M)$ and let $\{\varphi_t\}$ be the flow of X . If $F : \mathbb{R} \times M \rightarrow TM$ is given by $F(t, x) = ((\varphi_t)_* X)(x) - X(x)$, then (since $F(0, \cdot) = 0$) there exists $\tilde{F} : \mathbb{R} \times M \rightarrow TM$ of class C^∞ such that $F(t, x) = t\tilde{F}(t, x)$ for every $(t, x) \in \mathbb{R} \times M$. Therefore $\tilde{X} : \mathbb{R} \times M \rightarrow TM$ given by

$$\tilde{X}(t, x) = \begin{cases} -\frac{((\varphi_t)_* X)(x) - X(x)}{t}, & t \neq 0, \\ -\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{((\varphi_t)_* X)(x) - X(x)}{t}, & t = 0, \end{cases}$$

is of class C^∞ . It follows from Lemmas 3.1–3.3 that

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{E}([X, Y])(y) &= \tilde{E}(\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \tilde{X}_t)(y) = \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \tilde{E}(\tilde{X}_t)(y) \\ &= \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \tilde{E}\left(-\frac{(\varphi_t)_* X - X}{t}\right)(y) \\ &= -\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{((E(\varphi_t))_* \tilde{E}(X))(y) - \tilde{E}(X)(y)}{t} \\ &= [\tilde{E}(X), \tilde{E}(Y)](y). \quad \blacksquare \end{aligned}$$

Proof of Theorem 0.3. Let $\tilde{E} : A^0(M) \rightarrow A(N)$ be the Lie algebra homomorphism defined in Proposition 3.1. Define a Lie algebra homomorphism $\varphi : A(M) \rightarrow A(N)$ by $\varphi(X)(y) := \tilde{E}(\tilde{X})(y)$, where $X \in A(M)$, $y \in N$ and $\tilde{X} \in A^0(M)$ is such that $\text{germ}_{\pi(y)}(\tilde{X}) = \text{germ}_{\pi(y)}(X)$.

First we show that $N^+ := \{q \in N : \varphi^{-1}(A_q(N)) \neq A(M)\} = N$. Let $q \in N$ and let $X \in A^0(M)$ be such that $X(\pi(q)) \neq 0$. Then $\varphi(X)(q) \neq 0$,

for if $\{\varphi_t\}$ is the flow of X , then there exists $\varepsilon > 0$ such that $\varphi_t(\pi(q)) \neq \pi(q)$ for all $t \in (0, \varepsilon)$; hence $E(\varphi_t)(q) \neq q$ for all $t \in (0, \varepsilon)$, and thus $\varphi(X)(q) \neq 0$. We have proved that $N^+ = N$.

By the results of K. Masuda [7, pp. 509–511] for every $q \in N^+$ there exists a unique non-empty finite subset $\psi(q) = \{p_1, \dots, p_l\}$ of M such that $d/n \geq l$ and

$$\bigcap_{i=1}^l M_{p_i} A(M) \supset \varphi^{-1}(A_q(N)) \supset \bigcap_{i=1}^l M_{p_i}^{h+1} A(M),$$

where $h = 2((d - nl)^2 + d - nl) + 1$, $d = \dim N$ and $n = \dim M$. We prove that $\psi(q) = \{\pi(q)\}$ for every $q \in N$.

Let $q \in N = N^+$. It is sufficient to show that $p \notin \psi(q)$ for every $p \in M - \{\pi(q)\}$. Assume the contrary. Let $p \in M - \{\pi(q)\}$ with $p \in \psi(q)$. Then (in particular) $\varphi^{-1}(A_q(N)) \subset M_p A(M)$. On the other hand, if $X \in A^0(M)$ is such that $X(p) \neq 0$ and $\text{germ}_{\pi(q)}(X) = \text{germ}_{\pi(q)}(0)$, then $\varphi(X)(q) = 0$ (i.e. $X \in \varphi^{-1}(A_q(N))$) and $X \notin M_p A(M)$, a contradiction.

Therefore $\text{int}\{q \in N^+ : \text{card } \psi(q) = 1\} = N$. Hence by the results of K. Masuda [7, pp. 509–511], for every $q \in N$ there exist an open neighbourhood U of q , an open dense subset $V \subset U$, an open neighbourhood U_1 of $\pi(q)$ and a continuous mapping $\tilde{\psi} : U \rightarrow U_1$ such that $\tilde{\psi}|_V$ is a C^∞ submersion and for any $q \in U$ the equality $\tilde{\psi}(q) = p$ implies $\psi(q) = \{p\}$. ■

PROBLEM. Let $(M, N, E, \pi, \infty, n)$ be a regular quasi-natural bundle. Is π of class C^∞ ?

4. Prolongation functors with compact fibres. In this section we prove Theorem 0.4. In the proof we use the following proposition which is similar to Proposition 14 of [13].

PROPOSITION 4.1. (I) *Let F be a quasi-prolongation functor over C^r manifolds such that conditions (1)–(3) of Theorem 0.4(I) are satisfied. Suppose that $f : P \times M \rightarrow N$ is of class C^r , where M, N, P are C^r manifolds. Then*

$$P \times FM \ni (t, x) \rightarrow F(f(t, \cdot))(x) \in FN$$

is continuous.

(II) *Let F be a regular quasi-prolongation functor over C^∞ manifolds such that condition (1) of Theorem 0.4(II) is satisfied. Suppose that $f : P \times M \rightarrow N$ is of class C^∞ , where M, N, P are C^∞ manifolds. Then*

$$P \times FM \ni (t, x) \rightarrow F(f(t, \cdot))(x) \in FN$$

is of class C^∞ .

Proof of Proposition 4.1. Let $(t_0, x_0) \in P \times M$. Let (U, g) be a chart of P at t_0 and let (V, h) be a chart of M at x_0 such that $g(U) = \mathbb{R}^p$ and $h(V) = \mathbb{R}^m$, where $p = \dim(P)$ and $m = \dim(M)$. It is clear that $f(t, \cdot) \circ h^{-1}(y) = f \circ (g^{-1} \times h^{-1}) \circ \tau_{(g(t), 0)} \circ i(y)$ for every $(t, y) \in U \times \mathbb{R}^m$, where $i : \mathbb{R}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^p \times \mathbb{R}^m$ is given by $i(y) = (0, y)$ and $\tau_{(g(t), 0)} : \mathbb{R}^p \times \mathbb{R}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^p \times \mathbb{R}^m$ is the translation by $(g(t), 0)$. Therefore

$$F(f(t, \cdot))(y) = F(f \circ (g^{-1} \times h^{-1})) \circ F(\tau_{(g(t), 0)}) \circ F(i) \circ (F(h^{-1}))^{-1}(y)$$

for every $(t, y) \in U \times \pi_M^{-1}(V)$. On the other hand, for every C^r manifold \tilde{N} , $(\tilde{N}, F\tilde{N}, F|\text{Diff}(\tilde{N}), \pi_{\tilde{N}}, r, \dim(\tilde{N}))$ is a quasi-natural bundle and $F\tilde{N}$ is a Hausdorff space, and thus (by Theorem 0.1) $\pi_{\tilde{N}} : F\tilde{N} \rightarrow \tilde{N}$ is continuous. Hence for every n the restriction of F to the category of n -dimensional C^r manifolds and embeddings is a locally determined associated space such that $F\mathbb{R}^n$ is a second countable Hausdorff space. Therefore for every n the mapping

$$\mathbb{R}^n \times F\mathbb{R}^n \ni (x, y) \rightarrow F(\tau_x)(y) \in F\mathbb{R}^n,$$

where $\tau_x : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ is the translation by x , is continuous (see Proposition 1.1 of [8]). It follows that $U \times \pi_M^{-1}(V) \ni (t, y) \rightarrow F(f(t, \cdot))(y) \in F\tilde{N}$ is continuous. Since continuity is a local property, Proposition 4.1(I) is proved. The proof of Proposition 4.1(II) is similar. (We need the well-known theorem of Montgomery and Zippin [9] on continuous Lie group actions.) ■

The main difficulty in proving Theorem 0.4 is to show the following propositions.

PROPOSITION 4.2. *Suppose the assumptions of Theorem 0.4(I) are satisfied. Then F is of order 0, i.e. if $f, g : M \rightarrow N$ are C^r mappings of C^r manifolds such that $f(x) = g(x)$ for some $x \in M$, then $F(f)|_{\pi_M^{-1}(x)} = F(g)|_{\pi_M^{-1}(x)}$.*

PROPOSITION 4.3. *Let F be a regular quasi-prolongation functor over C^∞ manifolds. Assume that F is of order 0 and $F\mathbb{R}^n$ has a countable basis for every n . Then for every C^∞ manifold M , $\pi_M : FM \rightarrow M$ is a C^∞ submersion.*

Remark. If F is a regular quasi-prolongation functor over C^∞ manifolds such that $F\mathbb{R}^n$ has a countable basis for every n , then from Proposition 4.1(II) and Theorem 0.3 it follows that if $F\mathbb{R}^n$ is connected, then for every C^∞ manifold M , $\pi_M : FM \rightarrow M$ is of class C^∞ on some open dense subset of FM . The answer to the question "Is π_M of class C^∞ ?" is unknown. Proposition 4.3 ensures that for F of order 0 the answer is affirmative.

Proof of Proposition 4.2. Let (U, h) be a chart of M at x such that $h(U) = \mathbb{R}^m$ and $h(x) = 0$. It is sufficient to show that $F(f \circ h^{-1})(z) = F(g \circ h^{-1})(z)$ for every $z \in \pi^{-1}(0)$, where $\pi = \pi_{\mathbb{R}^m}$.

Let $z \in \pi^{-1}(0)$ and let $\varphi : \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$ be given by $\varphi(t, x) = tx$. Since $\varphi(t, \cdot) : \mathbb{R}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$ is a diffeomorphism for every $t \in \mathbb{R} - \{0\}$, we have $F(\varphi(t, \cdot))(\pi^{-1}(0)) = \pi^{-1}(0)$ for every $t \in \mathbb{R} - \{0\}$. In particular, there exists a sequence $y_n \in \pi^{-1}(0)$ such that $F(\varphi(1/n, \cdot))(y_n) = z$ for every n . Since $\pi^{-1}(0)$ is compact and second countable, it is a metrizable compact space, and thus there exist $y \in \pi^{-1}(0)$ and a subsequence $n(k)$ ($k = 1, 2, \dots$) of $(1, 2, \dots)$ such that $y_{n(k)} \rightarrow y$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$. Then $F(\varphi(1/n(k), \cdot))(y_{n(k)}) \rightarrow F(0)(y)$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$ because of Proposition 4.1(I). Since $\pi^{-1}(0)$ is Hausdorff, $F(0)(y) = z$. Therefore $F(f \circ h^{-1})(z) = F(f \circ h^{-1}) \circ F(0)(y) = F(f \circ h^{-1} \circ 0)(y) = F(g \circ h^{-1} \circ 0)(y) = F(g \circ h^{-1})(z)$. ■

Proof of Proposition 4.3. First we prove that $\pi_M : FM \rightarrow M$ is of class C^∞ . It suffices to show that $f \circ \pi_M \in C^\infty(FM)$ for every $f \in C^\infty(M)$.

Let $Y \in A^0(M)$. Since F satisfies the assumptions of Proposition 4.1(II), $(M, FM, F | \text{Diff}(M), \pi_M, \infty, \dim(M))$ is a regular quasi-natural bundle. Let $\tilde{F} : A^0(M) \rightarrow A(FM)$ be the Lie algebra homomorphism described in Proposition 3.1 (with $F | \text{Diff}(M)$ playing the role of E). In the proof of Theorem 0.3 we have shown that $\tilde{F}(Y)(y) \neq 0$ for all $y \in \pi_M^{-1}(\{x \in M : Y(x) \neq 0\})$. On the other hand, if $X \in A^0(M)$ is such that $X(x_0) = 0$, then $\tilde{F}(X)(z) = 0$ for all $z \in \pi_M^{-1}(x_0)$, for if $\{\varphi_t\}$ is the flow of X and $z \in \pi_M^{-1}(x_0)$, then $\varphi_t(x_0) = x_0$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$, and thus (since F is of order 0) $F(\varphi_t)(z) = F(\text{id}_M)(z) = z$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$, hence $\tilde{F}(X)(z) = 0$. Moreover, for every $y \in FM$, $(f - f(\pi_M(y)))Y|_{\pi_M(y)} = 0$. Owing to these facts, we have $0 = \tilde{F}((f - f(\pi_M(y)))Y)(y) = \tilde{F}(fY)(y) - f(\pi_M(y))\tilde{F}(Y)(y)$ for every $y \in FM$, and hence $f \circ \pi_M|_{\pi_M^{-1}(\{x \in M : Y(x) \neq 0\})}$ is of class C^∞ . Therefore $f \circ \pi_M$ is of class C^∞ .

To show that π_M is a submersion, let $y \in FM$ and let (U, g) be a chart of M at $\pi_M(y)$ such that $g(U) = \mathbb{R}^m$ and $g(\pi_M(y)) = 0$. Since $\pi_M|_{\pi_M^{-1}(U)} = g^{-1} \circ \pi \circ (F(g^{-1}))^{-1}$ where $\pi = \pi_{\mathbb{R}^m}$, it suffices to show that $\text{rank}(d_v \pi) = m$ for every $v \in \pi^{-1}(0)$. Indeed, it follows from Proposition 4.1(II) that the mapping $\sigma : \mathbb{R}^m \rightarrow F\mathbb{R}^m$, $\sigma(x) = F(\tau_x)(v)$, is of class C^∞ . We see that $\pi \circ \sigma = \text{id}$ and $\sigma(0) = v$. Therefore $\text{rank}(d_v \pi) = m$. ■

We are now in a position to prove Theorem 0.4.

Proof of Theorem 0.4. Let $G := \pi_{\mathbb{R}}^{-1}(0)$. Then G is a compact second countable space. If F is regular it follows from Proposition 4.3 that G is a C^∞ manifold. For every C^r manifold M we define a mapping $I_M : M \times G \rightarrow FM$ by $I_M(m, f) = F(m)(f)$, where $m : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow M$ is given by $m(x) = m$. We are going to prove that $\{I_M\}$ gives an isomorphism between $(\) \times G$ and F .

By Proposition 4.2, F is of order 0. Therefore the mapping $T_M : FM \rightarrow M \times G$ given by $T_M(v) = (\pi_M(v), F(0)(v))$ is equal to I_M^{-1} . From Proposition 4.1 we know that I_M is continuous (and of class C^∞ if F is regular). Similarly, it follows from Theorem 0.1 and Proposition 4.3 that T_M is continuous (and of class C^∞ if F is regular). Hence I_M is a homeomorphism (and a C^∞ diffeomorphism if F is regular).

We see that $p_M(m, f) = m = \pi_M \circ F(m)(f) = \pi_M \circ I_M(m, f)$ and $I_N \circ ((\) \times G)(g)(m, f) = I_N(g(m), f) = F(g(m))(f) = F(g \circ m)(f) = F(g) \circ F(m)(f) = F(g) \circ I_M(m, f)$ for every $(m, f) \in M \times G$. ■

References

- [1] J. Aczél und S. Gołąb, *Funktionalgleichungen der Theorie der geometrischen Objekte*, PWN, Warszawa 1960.
- [2] D. B. A. Epstein and W. P. Thurston, *Transformation groups and natural bundles*, Proc. London Math. Soc. (3) 38 (1979), 219–236.
- [3] J. Gancarzewicz, *Differential Geometry*, Bibl. Mat. 64, Warszawa 1987 (in Polish).
- [4] —, *Liftings of functions and vector fields to natural bundles*, Dissertationes Math. 212 (1983).
- [5] M. Golubitsky and V. Guillemin, *Stable Mappings and Their Singularities*, Springer, New York 1973.
- [6] I. Kolář, *Functorial prolongations of Lie groups and their actions*, Časopis Pěst. Mat. 108 (1983), 289–293.
- [7] K. Masuda, *Homomorphism of the Lie algebras of vector fields*, J. Math. Soc. Japan 28 (1976), 506–528.
- [8] W. M. Mikulski, *Locally determined associated spaces*, J. London Math. Soc. (2) 32 (1985), 357–364.
- [9] D. Montgomery and L. Zippin, *Transformation Groups*, Interscience, New York 1955.
- [10] A. Nijenhuis, *Natural bundles and their general properties*, in: Diff. Geom. in honor of K. Yano, Kinokuniya, Tokyo 1972, 317–334.
- [11] R. S. Palais and C. L. Terng, *Natural bundles have finite order*, Topology 16 (1978), 271–277.
- [12] S. E. Salvioli, *On the theory of geometric objects*, J. Differential Geom. 7(1972), 257–278.
- [13] J. Slovák, *Smooth structures on fibre jet spaces*, Czechoslovak Math. J. 36 (111) (1986), 358–375.

INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS
JAGIELLONIAN UNIVERSITY
REYMONTA 4
30-059 KRAKÓW, POLAND

Reçu par la Rédaction le 15.6.1990
Révisé le 10.5.1991