

## A note on integral representation of Feller kernels

by R. REBOWSKI (Wrocław)

**Abstract.** We consider integral representations of Feller probability kernels from a Tikhonov space  $X$  into a Hausdorff space  $Y$  by continuous functions from  $X$  into  $Y$ . From the existence of such a representation for every kernel it follows that the space  $X$  has to be 0-dimensional. Moreover, both types of representations coincide in the metrizable case when in addition  $X$  is compact and  $Y$  is complete. It is also proved that the representation of a single kernel is equivalent to the existence of some non-direct product measure on the product space  $Y^{\mathbb{N}}$ .

**Introduction.** Let  $X$  and  $Y$  be Hausdorff spaces and let  $\mathcal{B}_Y$  be the Borel  $\sigma$ -algebra in  $Y$ . A *Feller kernel*  $p$  on  $X \times \mathcal{B}_Y$  is a continuous mapping  $x \rightarrow p(x, \cdot)$  from  $X$  into the space of all Radon probabilities on  $Y$  endowed with the weak\* topology. The set of all Feller kernels on  $X \times \mathcal{B}_Y$  will be denoted by  $\Phi$ .

The space  $C(X, Y)$  of all continuous functions from  $X$  into  $Y$  can be embedded as a subspace of  $\Phi$ . Indeed, every  $\varphi$  in  $C(X, Y)$  defines the deterministic Feller kernel  $p_\varphi(x, A) = 1_A(\varphi(x))$ . It is obvious that  $\Phi$  is convex and  $p_\varphi$  is an extreme point of  $\Phi$  for every  $\varphi$  in  $C(X, Y)$ . If in addition  $X$  is separable metrizable and  $Y$  is Polish then the extreme points of  $\Phi$  are exactly the deterministic Feller kernels (see [4] for details).

We endow  $\Phi$  with the least  $\sigma$ -algebra for which all the mappings  $p \rightarrow p(x, A)$  ( $x \in X, A \in \mathcal{B}_Y$ ) are measurable. In  $C(X, Y)$  we define the least  $\sigma$ -algebra  $\Sigma$  for which the embedding  $\varphi \rightarrow p_\varphi$  is measurable. In other words,  $\Sigma$  is the least  $\sigma$ -algebra which makes measurable all the evaluation mappings  $\varphi \rightarrow \varphi(x)$  ( $x \in X$ ).

We say that the Feller kernel  $p \in \Phi$  has an *integral representation on  $\Sigma$*  if there exists a probability measure  $\mu$  on  $\Sigma$  such that

$$p(x, A) = \int p_\varphi(x, A) d\mu(\varphi) \quad (x \in X, A \in \mathcal{B}_Y).$$

Equivalently,  $p(x, \cdot) = \pi_x(\mu)$  where  $\pi_x$  is the evaluation map  $\pi_x(\varphi) = \varphi(x)$

---

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: Primary 60J35; Secondary 28C20.

*Key words and phrases*: Feller kernel, integral representation.

on  $C(X, Y)$ . The above formula gives a Choquet-type integral representation for  $p \in \Phi$ .

In  $C(X, Y)$  we can also consider the  $\sigma$ -algebra  $\mathcal{C}$  of Borel sets for the compact-open topology in  $C(X, Y)$ . Clearly  $\Sigma \subset \mathcal{C}$ .

The integral representation problem for Feller kernels has been considered by Blumenthal and Corson in [1,2] (see also [3]–[5]). In [1] they proved the following theorem:

*Let  $X$  be a 0-dimensional compact Hausdorff space and let  $Y$  be complete metrizable. Then for every Feller kernel  $p$  on  $X \times \mathcal{B}_Y$  there is a Radon measure  $\mu$  on  $\mathcal{C}$  such that  $p(x, \cdot) = \pi_x(\mu)$  for all  $x$  in  $X$ .*

Hence if  $X$  and  $Y$  satisfy the assumptions of the above theorem, the existence of the integral representation on  $\Sigma$  also follows for every  $p \in \Phi$ .

In Section 1 we show that the 0-dimensionality assumption on  $X$  is in fact necessary in the Blumenthal and Corson theorem and we prove that the representation of every  $p \in \Phi$  by means of a Radon measure on  $\mathcal{C}$  is in fact equivalent to the integral representation on  $\Sigma$  for every  $p \in \Phi$  under rather mild conditions on  $X$  and  $Y$ .

Section 2 shows that the existence of an integral representation on  $\Sigma$  for a single Feller kernel is equivalent to the existence of a certain non-direct product measure on  $Y^{\mathbb{N}}$ .

**1. Necessary conditions for integral representation.** We begin by showing that the 0-dimensionality assumption on  $X$  in the Blumenthal–Corson integral representation theorem is in fact necessary. This makes precise a remark in [1], p. 194.

Indeed, assume that  $X$  is a Tikhonov space and  $Y$  is a Hausdorff space containing at least two points. We prove that if every Feller kernel  $p$  on  $X \times \mathcal{B}_Y$  has an integral representation by a Radon measure  $\mu$  on  $\mathcal{C}$  then  $X$  is 0-dimensional. To this end, take an open neighbourhood  $U$  of  $x_0$  in  $X$ . Without loss of generality we may assume  $U \neq X$ . Fix a continuous function  $g$  from  $X$  into the unit interval such that  $g(x_0) = 1$  and  $g(x) = 0$  on  $X \setminus U$ . Then  $x_0 \in Z(1 - g) \subset U$  and  $Z(g) \cap Z(1 - g) = \emptyset$ , where  $Z(h)$  denotes the zero set of  $h$ .

For any two different points  $y$  and  $z$  in  $Y$  we define a Feller kernel  $p$  by

$$p(x, \cdot) = g(x)\delta_y + (1 - g(x))\delta_z$$

and take a probability Radon measure  $\mu$  on  $\mathcal{C}$  which represents  $p$ . Now, since  $\mu$  is Radon, we have  $\mu(\{\varphi : \varphi(X) \subset \{y, z\}\}) = 1$  and clearly  $\mu(\{\varphi : \varphi(x) = y\}) = 1$  on  $Z(1 - g)$  while  $\mu(\{\varphi : \varphi(x) = z\}) = 1$  on  $Z(g)$ . Hence there is a mapping  $\varphi \in C(X, Y)$  such that  $\varphi(Z(g)) = \{z\}$ ,  $\varphi(Z(1 - g)) = \{y\}$  and  $\varphi(X) = \{y, z\}$ . This gives a partition of  $X$  into two closed-and-open sets  $V$ ,

$W$  such that  $Z(1-g) \subset V$  and  $Z(g) \subset W$ . Finally, since  $x_0 \in V \subset U$ , we see that  $X$  is 0-dimensional.

In general  $\Sigma \neq \mathcal{C}$ , so there is no reason for the measure  $\mu$  on  $\Sigma$  which represents  $p \in \Phi$  to have an extension to some Radon measure on the larger  $\sigma$ -algebra  $\mathcal{C}$ . Nevertheless, we have a similar result for integral representation on  $\Sigma$  under an additional separability condition.

**THEOREM 1.** *Let  $X$  be a separable metrizable space and let  $Y$  be Hausdorff with at least two elements. If every Feller kernel on  $X \times \mathcal{B}_Y$  has an integral representation on  $\Sigma$  then  $X$  is 0-dimensional.*

**PROOF.** Let  $g$  and  $p$  be as in the above proof and assume that  $p$  has an integral representation on  $\Sigma$ . By using, instead of the Radon property, the fact that  $X, Z(g)$  and  $Z(1-g)$  are separable, we obtain as before  $\varphi(X) = \{y, z\}$ ,  $\varphi(Z(1-g)) = \{y\}$  and  $\varphi(Z(g)) = \{z\}$  for some  $\varphi \in C(X, Y)$ . This yields the 0-dimensionality of  $X$ .

Now by combining the Blumenthal–Corson theorem and Theorem 1 we have

**COROLLARY.** *Let  $X$  and  $Y$  be metric spaces with  $X$  compact and  $Y$  complete. Assume  $Y$  has at least two elements. Then the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (1)  $X$  is 0-dimensional.
- (2) Every  $p \in \Phi$  has an integral representation on  $\mathcal{C}$  by a Radon measure.
- (3) Every  $p \in \Phi$  has an integral representation on  $\Sigma$ .

**2. Integral representation of Feller kernels.** Let  $X$  be an infinite separable Hausdorff space and let  $Y$  be metrizable. For every  $\varphi \in C(X, Y)$  let  $T\varphi = (\varphi(x_1), \varphi(x_2), \dots) \in Y^{\mathbb{N}}$ , where  $\{x_n\}$  is a fixed dense subset of  $X$  with  $x_i \neq x_j$  for  $i \neq j$ . Then  $T$  is 1-1 but need not be onto  $Y^{\mathbb{N}}$  and we denote by  $\text{im}(T)$  the image of  $C(X, Y)$  in  $Y^{\mathbb{N}}$  under  $T$ . It is easy to check that  $T^{-1}(\mathcal{B}_{Y^{\mathbb{N}}}) = \Sigma$ , where  $\mathcal{B}_{Y^{\mathbb{N}}}$  denotes the Borel  $\sigma$ -algebra in  $Y^{\mathbb{N}}$  endowed with the product topology.

The last observation allows us to give an alternative description of the representing measure in terms of a non-direct product measure on  $\mathcal{B}_{Y^{\mathbb{N}}}$ .

**THEOREM 2.** *Let  $X$  be an infinite separable Hausdorff space and let  $Y$  be metrizable. For every Feller kernel  $p$  on  $X \times \mathcal{B}_Y$  the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (1)  $p$  has an integral representation on  $\Sigma$ .
- (2) There exists a probability measure  $\lambda$  on  $\mathcal{B}_{Y^{\mathbb{N}}}$  with  $n$ -th marginal  $\lambda_n$  equal to  $p(x_n, \cdot)$  and the outer measure  $\lambda^*(\text{im } T)$  equal to one.

**Proof.** (1) $\Rightarrow$ (2). The equality  $\Sigma = T^{-1}(\mathcal{B}_{Y^{\mathbb{N}}})$  implies  $\lambda^*(\text{im } T) = 1$  for  $\lambda := T(\mu)$ . Since for every  $n = 1, 2, \dots$  and  $A \in \mathcal{B}_Y$  we have  $\lambda_n(A) = (T(\mu))_n(A) = p(x_n, A)$ , the condition (2) is satisfied.

(2) $\Rightarrow$ (1). Note that the condition  $\lambda^*(\text{im } T) = 1$  allows us to define a probability measure  $\mu$  on  $\Sigma$  such that  $T(\mu) = \lambda$ . In particular, for every Borel set  $A$  in  $Y$  and every  $n$  we have  $\mu(\{\varphi : \varphi(x_n) \in A\}) = \lambda_n(A) = p(x_n, A)$ . Fix  $x_0 \in X$  and choose a sequence  $z_n \rightarrow x_0$  selected from  $\{x_n\}$ . For any nonempty closed subset  $F$  in  $Y$  define  $V_n = \{y : d(y, F) < 1/n\}$  and  $F_n = \{y : d(y, F) \leq 1/n\}$  where  $d(y, F)$  is the distance of  $y$  from  $F$ . Since for every open (closed) set  $A$  the function  $x \rightarrow p(x, A)$  is lower (upper) semicontinuous, the Fatou lemma implies

$$\begin{aligned} \int 1_F(\varphi(x_0)) d\mu(\varphi) &\leq \int 1_{V_n}(\varphi(x_0)) d\mu(\varphi) \leq \int \liminf_k 1_{V_n}(\varphi(z_k)) d\mu(\varphi) \\ &\leq \limsup_k \int 1_{V_n}(\varphi(z_k)) d\mu(\varphi) \leq \limsup_k p(z_k, F_n) \leq p(x_0, F_n) \end{aligned}$$

for every  $n$ . Consequently, for every closed set  $F$  in  $Y$  and every  $x$  in  $X$  we have  $\int 1_F(\varphi(x)) d\mu(\varphi) \leq p(x, F)$ . Since the left hand side is a probability measure on the metric space  $Y$ , this implies that  $\mu$  in fact represents  $p$ .

### References

- [1] R. M. Blumenthal and H. H. Corson, *On continuous collections of measures*, Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble) 20 (2) (1970), 193–199.
- [2] —, —, *On continuous collections of measures*, in: Proc. of the Sixth Berkeley Sympos. on Math. Statistics and Probability, Vol. II, Berkeley and Los Angeles, Univ. of Calif. Press, 1972, 33–40.
- [3] N. Ghoussoub, *An integral representation of randomized probabilities and its applications*, in: Séminaire de Probabilités XVI, Lecture Notes in Math. 920, Springer, Berlin 1982, 519–543.
- [4] A. Iwanik, *Integral representations of stochastic kernels*, in: Aspects of Positivity in Functional Analysis, R. Nagel, U. Schlotterbeck and M. P. H. Wolff (eds.), Elsevier, 1986, 223–230.
- [5] Y. Kifer, *Ergodic Theory of Random Transformations*, Progr. Probab. Statist. 10, Birkhäuser, Boston 1986.

INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS  
TECHNICAL UNIVERSITY OF WROCLAW  
WYBRZEŻE WYSPIAŃSKIEGO 27  
50-370 WROCLAW, POLAND

*Reçu par la Rédaction le 20.11.1990*  
*Révisé le 23.1.1991*