

Holomorphic non-holonomic differential systems on complex manifolds

by S. DIMIEV (Sofia)

Abstract. We study coherent subsheaves \mathcal{D} of the holomorphic tangent sheaf of a complex manifold. A description of the corresponding \mathcal{D} -stable ideals and their closed complex subspaces is sketched. Our study of non-holonomicity is based on the Noetherian property of coherent analytic sheaves. This is inspired by the paper [3] which is related with some problems of mechanics.

1. Systems of holomorphic vector fields and integral subspaces.

Let M be a complex ν -manifold ($\dim_{\mathbb{C}} M = \nu$). Let \mathcal{O}_M be the structure sheaf of M and let \mathcal{T}_M be the holomorphic tangent sheaf of M ($\mathcal{T}_M = \text{Der}_{\mathbb{C}} \mathcal{O}_M$). We say that each submodule \mathcal{D} of \mathcal{T}_M of finite type defines a *holomorphic differential system of first order* or a *system of holomorphic vector fields* on M . In fact, \mathcal{D} is a coherent (\mathcal{O}_M -coherent) subsheaf of \mathcal{T}_M , as \mathcal{T}_M is a locally free sheaf. The local sections of \mathcal{D} are differential operators of first order with holomorphic coefficients, i.e. holomorphic vector fields. For each open subset U of M , $\mathcal{D}(U)$ is an $\mathcal{O}_M(U)$ -module, i.e. if $\Delta \in \mathcal{D}(U)$ and $f \in \mathcal{O}_M(U)$ then $f\Delta \in \mathcal{D}(U)$ etc.

We denote by \mathcal{LD} the minimal Lie algebra subsheaf of \mathcal{T}_M which contains \mathcal{D} , i.e. $\mathcal{D} \subset \mathcal{LD} \subset \mathcal{T}_M$. This means that for every $p \in M$ the stalk \mathcal{D}_p is contained in the stalk $(\mathcal{LD})_p$ and the following condition is satisfied: if \mathfrak{J} is a Lie algebra subsheaf of \mathcal{T}_M such that $\mathcal{D}_p \subset \mathfrak{J}_p$ for each $p \in M$, then $(\mathcal{LD})_p \subset \mathfrak{J}_p$ for each $p \in M$.

Let G be a subset of M . We say that the differential system \mathcal{D} is *holonomic* on G iff $\mathcal{D}|_G = \mathcal{LD}|_G$. In the case $\mathcal{D}|_G \neq \mathcal{LD}|_G \subsetneq \mathcal{T}_M|_G$, we say that \mathcal{D} is a non-holonomic differential system. In the case $\mathcal{D}|_G \neq \mathcal{LD}|_G = \mathcal{T}_M|_G$, we say that \mathcal{D} is *completely non-holonomic*. Recall that $\mathcal{D}|_G$ denotes the restriction of \mathcal{D} on G .

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: Primary 32B99, 32L05.

Key words and phrases: holomorphic tangent sheaf, \mathcal{D} -stable ideal, power \mathcal{D} -expansion, involutive completion.

We also recall that a complex space X is called a *closed complex subspace* of M if there is a coherent ideal I of \mathcal{O}_M , $I \subset \mathcal{O}_M$, such that $X = \text{supp}(\mathcal{O}_M/I)$ and $\mathcal{O}_x = (\mathcal{O}_M/I)|_X$. In this case there is a canonical holomorphic map determined by the injection and denoted by $X \subset M$. The tangent space of X , denoted by TX , is defined as usual [1]. If G is an open subset of M and \mathcal{O}_G is the induced structure sheaf, we assume that the ideal I is generated on G by $f_1, \dots, f_\nu \in \mathcal{O}_G(G)$. If $(z_1, \dots, z_\nu, s_1, \dots, s_\nu)$ are coordinates on $G \times \mathbb{C}^\nu$, then $TX \subset G \times \mathbb{C}^\nu$ is defined as the closed subspace generated by $f_1, \dots, f_\nu, (\partial f_k / \partial z_1) s_1, \dots, (\partial f_k / \partial z_\nu) s_\nu$, $k = 1, \dots, \nu$, where f_k and $\partial f_k / \partial z_j$ are viewed as holomorphic functions on $G \times \mathbb{C}^\nu$ via the canonical projection $G \times \mathbb{C}^\nu \rightarrow G$.

We say that X is an *integral subspace* for \mathcal{D} or a *singular integral* of \mathcal{D} if each vector field $\Delta \in \mathcal{D}$ admits a restriction to a vector field on X , i.e. to a vector field of the type $X \rightarrow TX$. The following proposition is well known.

PROPOSITION 1.1. *The closed complex subspace X defined by I is an integral subspace for the differential system \mathcal{D} iff the ideal I is stable relative to \mathcal{D} , i.e. $\mathcal{D}(I) \subset I$, which means that $\Delta(I) \subset I$ for every vector field $\Delta \in \mathcal{D}$.*

Such an ideal will be called a *\mathcal{D} -stable ideal*.

2. Involutive completion. If \mathcal{A}_U and \mathcal{B}_U are submodules of \mathcal{T}_M , U being an open subset of M , we denote by $[\mathcal{A}_U, \mathcal{B}_U]$ the submodule of $\mathcal{T}_M(U)$ generated by all vector fields $\Delta \in \mathcal{A}_U$, $\Delta' \in \mathcal{B}_U$ and all brackets $[\Delta, \Delta']$.

We shall consider the following increasing sequence of submodules of $\mathcal{T}_M(U)$

$$(2.1) \quad \begin{aligned} \mathcal{D}_1(U) &:= \mathcal{D}(U), & \mathcal{D}_2(U) &:= [\mathcal{D}_1(U), \mathcal{D}_1(U)], \dots, \\ \mathcal{D}_j(U) &:= [\mathcal{D}_{j-1}(U), \mathcal{D}_1(U)], \dots \end{aligned}$$

For each $j \in \mathbb{N}$ the presheaf $\mathcal{D}_j = \{\mathcal{D}_j(U), \rho_V^U\}$ (where ρ_V^U is as usual the restriction operator from U to V , $V \subset U$) is a (canonical) sheaf, which is a subsheaf of \mathcal{T}_M .

Since by assumption \mathcal{D}_1 is of finite type, the same is true for \mathcal{D}_2 . One proves by induction that for each $j \in \mathbb{N}$ the sheaf \mathcal{D}_j is of finite type. It follows that \mathcal{D}_j is also an \mathcal{O}_M -coherent subsheaf of \mathcal{T}_M .

PROPOSITION 2.2. *Every increasing sequence of coherent sheaves $\{\mathcal{D}_j\}$ on a complex space Y is stationary over any relatively compact subset of Y .*

The proof is by induction (see [2]). The proposition holds for empty spaces (of dimension less than 0). Assume it is true for all complex spaces of dimension less than $\mu \geq 0$. As $\dim_y Y \leq \mu$ is equivalent to there being an open neighborhood U of y and a finite holomorphic map $f : U \rightarrow D$, where D is a connected open set in \mathbb{C}^μ , by using the reduction steps of [2]

(Ch. 5) it is enough to verify the proposition for the structure sheaf \mathcal{O}_Y and for connected domains D in \mathbb{C}^μ , i.e. for \mathcal{O}_D . Finally, we use the fact that closed complex subspaces of D are nowhere dense in D , which implies that their dimension is strictly less than μ . Indeed, in this case all sheaves \mathcal{D}_j are coherent ideals. Let $\mathcal{D}_{j_0} \neq 0$. The complex space Y_{j_0} of D defined by the ideal \mathcal{D}_{j_0} is different from D and according to the above remark we have $\dim Y_{j_0} < \mu$. Taking the sequence of all ideals \mathcal{D}_j such that $\mathcal{D}_j \supset \mathcal{D}_{j_0}$ we conclude by the induction hypothesis that the family $\{\mathcal{D}_j\}$ is stationary over any relatively compact subset of Y . Of course, we have in mind that all ideals \mathcal{D}_j with $\mathcal{D}_j \supset \mathcal{D}_{j_0}$ are coherent over Y_{j_0} in a natural way. The proof is finished.

So, for a compact subset K of M there exist integers j such that for every $p \in K$, $\mathcal{D}_j(p) = \mathcal{D}_{j+1}(p) = \dots$. The minimal such j will be denoted by $h(K)$. In the case $h(K) = 1$, the system \mathcal{D} is holonomic (or involutive) on K . If $h(K) = 1$ for all compact subsets of M , the system is holonomic on M in the usual sense. If $h(K) > 1$, the system \mathcal{D} is non-holonomic on K . The integer $h(K)$ is called the index of non-holonomicity on K . In the case $(\mathcal{L}\mathcal{D})_p = (\mathcal{T}_M)_p$ for every $p \in K$, the system \mathcal{D} is completely non-holonomic on K .

PROPOSITION 2.3. *Let U be an open connected domain in M and let $\{\mathcal{D}_j\}$ be the sequence (2.1), which is by assumption non-holonomic on U . Then the subset $\mathcal{Q}_n(U, \mathcal{D}) = \{p \in U : h(\{p\}) = n\}$, where n is a positive integer, is an analytic subset of U .*

Proof. Denoting by $\{\Delta_1, \dots, \Delta_k\} = B_1$ the base of $\mathcal{D}_1(U) = \mathcal{D}(U)$, we consider the following base B_2 for $\mathcal{D}_1(U)$:

$$B_2 = \{\Delta_1, \dots, \Delta_k, [\Delta_{j_1}, \Delta_{j_2}] : j_1, j_2 = 1, \dots, k\}$$

(the order of the vector fields included in B_2 is fixed), etc. The base B_l is defined by induction:

$$B_l = \{\Delta_1, \dots, \Delta_k, [\Delta_{j_1}, \Delta_{j_2}], [[\Delta_{j_1}, \Delta_{j_2}], \Delta_{j_3}], \dots\}, \quad l \in \mathbb{N}.$$

In such a way we obtain an increasing sequence of bases $\{B_l\}$.

Now, the condition that $h(\{p\}) = n$ can be formulated by means of the last member of the base B_{n+1} . In fact, $B_{n+1} = B_n$ implies the equality

$$(2.4) \quad [\dots [[\Delta_{j_1}, \Delta_{j_2}], \Delta_{j_3}] \dots \Delta_{j_{n+1}}] \dots] = \sum_{j_1, \dots, j_n} C_{j_1 \dots j_n} \Delta_{j_1 \dots j_n},$$

where $\Delta_{j_1 \dots j_n} \in B_n$. Having in mind that (2.4) is satisfied for every $f \in \mathcal{O}(U)$, and calculating the explicit coordinate representation of all relevant vector fields, we conclude that the coefficients on the right and left side are zero at p . But they are holomorphic functions on U and this zero-set is an analytic set in U .

3. Power \mathcal{D} -expansions. Locally we shall work with power \mathcal{D} -expansions. For this purpose we can assume that U is an open neighborhood of the origin O in \mathbb{C}^ν with coordinates (z_1, \dots, z_ν) . As in the previous paragraph, $\Delta_1, \dots, \Delta_k$ are holomorphic vector fields on U which are generators for the \mathcal{O}_M -module $\mathcal{D}(U)$. The notion of power \mathcal{D} -expansion or power \mathcal{D} -series is based on the coordinate representation of the generators Δ_j :

$$(3.1) \quad \Delta_j = \sum_{i=1}^{\nu} \Delta_j^i(z) \frac{\partial}{\partial z_i}, \quad (z_i) = z \in U, \quad \Delta_j^i(z) \in \mathcal{O}(U).$$

For a given multi-index $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k)$ we denote by Δ^α the composition

$$(3.2) \quad \Delta^\alpha := \Delta_1^{\alpha_1} \dots \Delta_k^{\alpha_k}.$$

In the case $\Delta_j = \partial/\partial z_j$ we write D^α instead of Δ^α .

We assume in the sequel that the vector fields Δ_j appear in a fixed order in the sequence $\Delta_1, \dots, \Delta_k$.

In the case when U is a polydisc in \mathbb{C}^ν with center at the origin O and equal radii ($r_1 = \dots = r_\nu = r$) we have the Cauchy inequality

$$(3.3) \quad |D^\alpha g(0)| \leq C \alpha! / r^{|\alpha|}$$

for every holomorphic function g on U .

LEMMA 3.4 (Cauchy inequality for $\Delta^\alpha g$). *Under the above assumptions we have*

$$|(\Delta^\alpha g)(0)| \leq C^{|\alpha|+1} \nu^{|\alpha|} (|\alpha|!)^2 / r^{|\alpha|}.$$

Proof. It is not difficult to prove by induction on the length of the multi-index α that $\Delta^\alpha(f)$ contains $|\alpha|! \nu^{|\alpha|}$ summands of the type

$$(3.5) \quad \Delta_{i_0}^{j_0}(z) (D^{\beta^1} \Delta_{i_1}^{j_1}(z)) \dots (D^{\beta^{n-1}} \Delta_{i_{n-1}}^{j_{n-1}}(z)) D^{\beta^n} f(z),$$

where $n := |\alpha|$ and β^1, \dots, β^n is a multi-index such that $|\beta^1| + \dots + |\beta^n| = |\alpha|$. From (3.3) it follows that

$$|\Delta_{i_0}^{j_0}(0) D^{\beta^1} \Delta_{i_1}^{j_1}(0) \dots D^{\beta^{n-1}} \Delta_{i_{n-1}}^{j_{n-1}}(0) D^{\beta^n} f(0)| \leq C^{n+1} |\alpha|! / r^{|\alpha|},$$

where C is the common constant in (3.3) for every pair (i, j) , $j = 1, \dots, k$ and $i = 1, \dots, n$, i.e. for every $g = \Delta_j^i$.

Combining the above remark on the number of summands of $\Delta^\alpha(f)$ with the last inequality we obtain (3.4).

In the sequel we also need the inequality

$$(3.6) \quad |\alpha|! / \alpha! \leq C_1 |\alpha| \nu^{|\alpha|},$$

where $\alpha! = \alpha_1! \dots \alpha_k!$, which can be proved with the help of the Stirling formula.

Having a differential system \mathcal{D} on U , we consider the formal power series

$$(3.7) \quad T_{\mathcal{D}}(f) := \sum_{\alpha} \frac{\Delta^{\alpha}(f)(0)}{|\alpha|! \nu^{|\alpha|} \alpha!} z^{2\alpha},$$

where 2α is the multi-index $(2\alpha_1, \dots, 2\alpha_{\nu})$. On the polydisc with common radius r (i.e. for $|z_j| < r$, $j = 1, \dots, \nu$) we have $|2\alpha| = 2|\alpha|$, etc.

In the classical case of the Frobenius system $(\partial/\partial z_1, \dots, \partial/\partial z_{\nu})$ the following remark holds. Since the ordinary Taylor expansion of f about the origin is

$$\sum_{\alpha} \frac{D^{\alpha}(f)(0)}{\alpha!} z^{\alpha},$$

we see that in the case of convergent series, $T_{\mathcal{D}}(f)$ converges faster than the ordinary Taylor expansion. In fact, in this case we have

$$T_{\mathcal{D}}(f) := \sum_{\alpha} \frac{D^{\alpha}(f)(0)}{\alpha!} z^{2\alpha}.$$

LEMMA 3.8 (Convergence lemma). *The formal power series (3.7) is convergent near the origin, i.e. on polydiscs with common radius r sufficiently small.*

Proof. The series (3.7) can be represented as an expansion into homogeneous polynomials

$$\sum_n \left(\sum_{|\alpha|=n} \frac{\Delta^{\alpha}(f)(0)}{|\alpha|! \nu^{|\alpha|} \alpha!} z^{2\alpha} \right).$$

First, we give an estimate for each homogeneous member. Having in mind that if $|A_{\alpha}| \leq A$ then $|\sum_{|\alpha|=n} A_{\alpha}| \leq n^{\nu} A$ (recall that ν is the number of components of the multi-index α) we get

$$\left| \sum_{|\alpha|=n} \frac{\Delta^{\alpha}(f)(0)}{|\alpha|! \nu^{|\alpha|} \alpha!} z^{2\alpha} \right| \leq n^{\nu} \frac{C^{|\alpha|+1} |\alpha|!}{\alpha!} r^{|\alpha|} \leq CC_1 n^{\nu+1} (C\nu r)^n$$

in view of (3.6).

Finally, the series (3.7) is convergent on the mentioned polydiscs with $r < 1/(C\nu)$.

4. Construction of \mathcal{D} -stable ideals. According to (1.1) the integral subspaces of the holomorphic differential system (M, \mathcal{D}) are defined by \mathcal{D} -stable ideals of \mathcal{O}_M . Denote by $(f_{r+1}, \dots, f_{\nu})$ the ideal generated by $\nu - r$ holomorphic functions f_j defined on a neighborhood U of the origin in \mathbb{C}^{ν} . We suppose that this ideal defines a germ of integral subspace which passes

through the origin ($f_{r+1}(0) = \dots = f_\nu(0) = 0$). Let $J_{\mathcal{D}}$ be the ideal of all $f \in \mathcal{O}_M(U)$ such that $\Delta^\alpha(f)(0) = 0$ for all multi-indices α .

PROPOSITION 4.1. *Every \mathcal{D} -stable ideal (f_{r+1}, \dots, f_ν) is contained in the ideal $J_{\mathcal{D}}$.*

PROOF. If (f_{r+1}, \dots, f_ν) is \mathcal{D} -stable, then for every $f \in (f_{r+1}, \dots, f_\nu)$ we have $\Delta^\alpha(f) \in (f_{r+1}, \dots, f_\nu)$, which implies that $\Delta^\alpha(f)(0) = 0$ for all multi-indices α .

In the sequel we need the notion of embedding dimension of a closed complex subspace X , and also the well known Jacobi Criterion. For every $p \in X$ there exists a smallest positive integer, denoted by $\text{emb}_p X$, such that a neighborhood V of p is holomorphic to a closed complex subspace of a domain in $\mathbb{C}^{\text{emb}_p X}$.

LEMMA 4.2 (Jacobi criterion). *Let X be a closed subspace of a domain $D \in \mathbb{C}^\nu$. If $p \in X$ and $f_1, \dots, f_l \in \mathcal{O}(D)$ are such that*

$$\mathcal{O}_{X,p} = \mathcal{O}_{D,p}/(f_{1p}, \dots, f_{lp})\mathcal{O}_{D,p}$$

then

$$\text{emb}_p X + \text{rank}_p(f_1, \dots, f_l) = \mu.$$

(Here f_{jp} , $j = 1, \dots, l$, denote the germs of f_j at p .)

The proof is based on the implicit function theorem.

In general, $\dim_p X \leq \text{emb}_p X$ for all $p \in X$. The following proposition is also well known.

LEMMA 4.3 (Criterion of smoothness). *A point $p \in X$ is smooth iff $\text{emb}_p X = \dim_p X$.*

Recall that $p \in X$ is *smooth* if there exists a neighborhood of p in X which is biholomorphic to an open neighborhood in \mathbb{C}^μ for some μ .

PROPOSITION 4.4. *If the rank at the origin of the (globally non-holonomic) differential system (M, \mathcal{D}) is r , then*

1) *there exist $\nu - r$ convergent power \mathcal{D} -expansions $g_j(z_1, \dots, z_r)$, $j = r + 1, \dots, \nu$, such that the ideal generated by $w_j - g_j(z_1, \dots, z_r)$, $j = r + 1, \dots, \nu$, is \mathcal{D} -stable only if*

$$\Delta^\alpha(g_j)(0) = \Delta^\alpha(z_j)(0), \quad j = r + 1, \dots, \nu,$$

for all multi-indices α ,

2) *the closed complex subspace defined by the above ideal $(w_j - g_j(z_1, \dots, z_r))$ is a complex manifold.*

PROOF. As the dimension of the stalk $\mathcal{D}(0)$ of \mathcal{D} does not depend on the chosen coordinates $(z_1, \dots, z_r, w_{r+1}, \dots, w_\nu)$, we can suppose that after

some renumbering, the vectors $(\Delta_1(0), \dots, \Delta_r(0))$ form a base for $\mathcal{D}(0)$. This means that the matrix

$$\|\Delta_j^i(0)\|, \quad i, j = 1, \dots, r,$$

is non-singular. By means of a suitable change of coordinates the following canonical form for the generators Δ_j can be obtained:

$$(4.5) \quad \begin{aligned} \Delta_1 &= \frac{\partial}{\partial z_1} + \Delta_{r+1}^1 \frac{\partial}{\partial z_{r+1}} + \Delta_\nu^1 \frac{\partial}{\partial z_\nu}, \\ &\dots\dots\dots \\ \Delta_r &= \frac{\partial}{\partial z_r} + \Delta_{r+1}^r \frac{\partial}{\partial z_{r+1}} + \Delta_\nu^r \frac{\partial}{\partial z_\nu}, \\ \Delta_{r+1} &= \Delta_{r+1}^{r+1} \frac{\partial}{\partial z_{r+1}} + \Delta_\nu^{r+1} \frac{\partial}{\partial z_\nu}, \\ &\dots\dots\dots \\ \Delta_k &= \Delta_{r+1}^k \frac{\partial}{\partial z_{r+1}} + \Delta_\nu^k \frac{\partial}{\partial z_\nu}, \quad z_j = w_j, \end{aligned}$$

where $\Delta_j^i(0) = 0$ for every $i = r + 1, \dots, k$ and $j = r + 1, \dots, \nu$.

Indeed, taking the inverse matrix of $\|\Delta_j^i(0)\|$, i.e. $\|\Delta_j^i(0)\|^{-1} := \|\delta_j^i\|$, we introduce the new vector fields

$$\Delta'_j = \sum_{j+1}^r \delta_j^i \Delta_j, \quad i = 1, \dots, r,$$

as generators. After easy calculations, we obtain the required form for the generators.

Now, set

$$f(z_1, \dots, z_r, w_{r+1}, \dots, w_\nu) = z_j - g_j(z_1, \dots, z_r), \quad z_j = w_j,$$

for $j = r + 1, \dots, \nu$, where the g_j are formal power series

$$g_j(z) = \sum a_\alpha \zeta^\alpha, \quad z^2 = (z_1^2, \dots, z_r^2), \quad \zeta = z^2,$$

$\alpha := (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_r, 0, \dots, 0)$ and $a_\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$. Having in mind (4.5) we obtain

$$\Delta_i(g_j) = \partial g_j / \partial z_i \quad \text{for } 1 \leq i \leq r.$$

Then in view of the ordinary Taylor formula, we set

$$\frac{\partial^{\alpha_1 + \dots + \alpha_r} g}{\partial \zeta_1^{\alpha_1} \dots \partial \zeta_r^{\alpha_r}}(0) = a_\alpha \alpha! |\alpha! \nu^{|\alpha|}.$$

It follows that

$$(4.6) \quad \Delta^\alpha(g_j)(0) = a_\alpha \alpha! |\alpha! \nu^{|\alpha|}.$$

On the other hand, $\Delta^\alpha(f_j) = \Delta^\alpha(z_j) - \Delta^\alpha(g_j)$. Thus by (4.1) the ideal (f_{r+1}, \dots, f_ν) is \mathcal{D} -stable only if $\Delta^\alpha(z_j)(0) - \Delta^\alpha(g_j)(0) = 0$ for each α . In

view of (4.6) $g_j(z)$ obtains the form

$$g_j(z) = \sum_{\alpha} \frac{\Delta^{\alpha}(g_j)(0)}{|\alpha|! \nu^{|\alpha|}} \zeta^{\alpha} = \sum_{\alpha} \frac{\Delta^{\alpha}(z_j)(0)}{|\alpha|! \nu^{|\alpha|}} z^{2\alpha}.$$

The local convergence follows from (3.8).

For the second statement we consider the product

$$\mathbb{C}^{\nu-r}(w_{r+1}, \dots, w_{\nu}) \times U,$$

where U is an open neighborhood in $\mathbb{C}^r(z_1, \dots, z_r)$ on which the holomorphic functions g_j are defined. Denote by Z the closed complex subspace of the above product, defined by the ideals generated by f_j . Then $\text{rank}_x(f_{r+1}, \dots, f_{\nu}) = \nu - r$ and $\dim_x Z = r$ for all $x \in Z$. The functions f_{r+1}, \dots, f_{ν} generate all ideals of Z , i.e. all $J(Z)_x$ for $x \in Z$, as every analytic set A is in a canonical way a closed complex subspace with structure sheaf $(\mathcal{O}_Z/J(A))|_A$. By (4.2) we get $\text{emb}_x Z + \nu - r = \nu - r + r$ for all $x \in Z$. Hence $\text{emb}_x Z = r = \dim_x Z$ for all $x \in Z$. By (4.3) the statement is proved.

5. Local holonomicity. Having the differential system (M, \mathcal{D}) take the sequence of subsheaves of \mathcal{T}_M

$$\mathcal{D} = \mathcal{D}_1 \subset \mathcal{D}_2 \subset \dots \subset \mathcal{D}_{h(K)} = \dots$$

To each system \mathcal{D}_j we assign the ideal of all germs f at the points p such that $\Delta^{\alpha}(f)(p) = 0$, where Δ^{α} is constructed from \mathcal{D}_j . We get $J_{\mathcal{D}_1} \supset J_{\mathcal{D}_2} \supset \dots \supset J_{\mathcal{D}_{h(K)}} = \dots$

PROPOSITION 5.1. *If an ideal I is \mathcal{D} -stable, then it is also \mathcal{D}_j -stable, $j = 1, \dots, h(K)$.*

Proof. If $\Delta, \Delta' \in \mathcal{D}$, we have $\Delta(I) \subset I$ and $\Delta'(I) \subset I$, which implies $(\Delta \circ \Delta' - \Delta' \circ \Delta)(I) \subset I$. So we obtain $\Delta''(I) \subset I$ for every $\Delta'' \in \mathcal{D}_2$, etc.

PROPOSITION 5.2. *The ideal $J_{\mathcal{D}_{h(K)}}$ is $\mathcal{D}_{h(K)}$ -stable.*

Proof. It is not difficult to see that

$$\Delta^{\alpha}(\Delta_i(f)) = \Delta^{\alpha+\gamma}(f) + P\Delta(f),$$

where $\gamma = (0, \dots, 1, \dots, 0)$ (1 is in the i th position) and $P\Delta$ is a polynomial of $\Delta_1, \dots, \Delta_k$ of degree less than $|\alpha + \gamma|$. The above equality is true because $\mathcal{D}_{h(K)}$ is a Lie algebra.

Now let $\tilde{\Delta}_1, \dots, \tilde{\Delta}_k$ be a base of $\mathcal{D}_{h(K)}$. It is enough to show that $\tilde{\Delta}_i(f) \in J_{\mathcal{D}_{h(K)}}$, $i = 1, \dots, k$, for every $f \in J_{\mathcal{D}_{h(K)}}$. But this follows by induction, on the length of the multi-index α , from the equality

$$\Delta^{\alpha}(\tilde{\Delta}_i(f))(p) = \Delta^{\alpha+\gamma}(f)(p) + P\Delta(f)(p),$$

since $\Delta^{\alpha+\gamma}(f)(p) = P\Delta(f)(p) = 0$.

From Propositions 5.1 and 4.1 we conclude that $(f_{r+1}, \dots, f_\nu) \subset J_{\mathcal{D}_h(K)}$. Using the Weierstrass division theorem we can also prove the inverse inclusion. Indeed, if $f \in J_{\mathcal{D}_h(K)}$ we divide it by f_{r+1} . Since f_{r+1} is of order 1 in z_{r+1} we get $f = Q_{r+1}f_{r+1} + R_{r+1}$, where the remainder R_{r+1} does not depend on z_{r+1} . Dividing R_{r+1} by f_{r+2} and so on, we get finally $f = Q_{r+1}f_{r+1} + Q_{r+2}f_{r+2} + \dots + Q_\nu f_\nu + R_\nu$, where R_ν is 0.

Recapitulating, we find that (f_{r+1}, \dots, f_ν) is a $\mathcal{D}_h(K)$ -stable ideal.

Remark. In general, the obtained result is of local character. It is interesting to construct a maximal integral subspace.

EXAMPLES 5.3. 1) Consider (\mathbb{C}^3, Δ) , where $\Delta = \partial/\partial z_2 + z_1\partial/\partial z_3$. This is a holonomic holomorphic differential system whose singular integral is the closed subspace defined by $z_3 - z_1z_2 = 0$.

2) Now we take the holomorphic differential system $(\mathbb{C}^3, \mathcal{D})$ where \mathcal{D} is defined globally by the vector fields $\Delta_1 = \partial/\partial z_1$ and $\Delta_2 = \partial/\partial z_2 + z_1z_3\partial/\partial z_3$. It is easy to calculate that $[\Delta_1, \Delta_2] = z_3\partial/\partial z_3$ and, following the method of 4.4, that $\Delta^\alpha(g_3) = z_3\Delta^\alpha(z_1)$. So, we see that on every compact K in the vector subspace defined by $z_3 = 0$, the series g_3 is zero and $f_3 = z_3 - g_3$ is even zero on the whole subspace $z_3 = 0$. This means that $h(K) = h(z_3 = 0) = 1$, or that the maximal integral subspace is the complex manifold defined by $z_3 = 0$.

3) The system $(\mathbb{C}^3, \Delta_1 = \partial/\partial z_1, \Delta_2 = \partial/\partial z_2 + z_1\partial/\partial z_3)$ is not holonomic as $[\Delta_1, \Delta_2] = \partial/\partial z_3$. The completed system $\mathcal{D}_1 = \{\Delta_1, \Delta_2, \partial/\partial z_3\}$ defines a Lie algebra sheaf, i.e. the index of non-holonomicity is 1.

References

- [1] S. Fischer, *Complex Analytic Geometry*, Lecture Notes in Math. 538, Springer, 1976.
- [2] H. Grauert and R. Remmert, *Coherent Analytic Sheaves*, Springer, 1984.
- [3] A. M. Vershik and V. Ya. Gershkovich, *Nonholonomic dynamical systems. Geometry of distributions and variational problems*, in: *Sovrem. Probl. Mat. Fund. Napravl.* 16, VINITI, Moscow 1987, 5–85 (in Russian).

INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS
BULGARIAN ACADEMY OF SCIENCES
P.O. BOX 373
1090 SOFIA, BULGARIA

Reçu par la Rédaction le 12.9.1990