

On some majorization of derivatives in the class $S^*(\gamma)$

by ANDRZEJ WRZESIEŃ (Łódź)

Abstract. We give a generalization of some result of J. Janowski and J. Stankiewicz [2].

Introduction. Let $S^*(\gamma)$ ($|\gamma| < \pi/2$) denote the class of γ -spiral-starlike functions, i.e., functions $F(z)$ holomorphic in the disc $K_1 = \{z; |z| < 1\}$ and satisfying the conditions

$$F(0) = 0, \quad F'(0) = 1, \quad \operatorname{Re} \left\{ e^{-i\gamma} \frac{zF'(z)}{F(z)} \right\} > 0, \quad z \in K_1.$$

Among the many results concerning this class we have the sharp estimation [1]

$$(1) \quad m_1(r, \gamma) \leq \left| \frac{zF'(z)}{F(z)} \right| \leq m_2(r, \gamma),$$

where

$$(2) \quad m_1(r, \gamma) = \frac{\sqrt{1 + 2r^2 \cos 2\gamma + r^4} - 2r \cos \gamma}{1 - r^2},$$

$$(3) \quad m_2(r, \gamma) = \frac{\sqrt{1 + 2r^2 \cos 2\gamma + r^4} + 2r \cos \gamma}{1 - r^2},$$
$$r = |z| < 1, \quad F \in S^*(\gamma).$$

Let H denote the class of holomorphic functions in K_1 . Define $\Omega = \{w \in H; |w(x)| \leq 1 \text{ for } z \in K_1\}$.

Let f_1, f_2 be two holomorphic functions in K_1 . We say that f_1 is *majorized* by f_2 in $K_R = \{z; |z| < R\}$ and write $f_1 \ll f_2$ if there exists a holomorphic function ϕ such that $|\phi(z)| \leq 1$ and $f_1(z) = \phi(z)f_2(z)$, $z \in K_R$.

J. Janowski and J. Stankiewicz [2] considered the following problem. Let A, B be two fixed classes of holomorphic functions in K_1 . Find the smallest

function $T(r) = T(r, A, B)$, $r \in [0, 1)$, such that for every pair of functions $f \in A$, $F \in B$ we have the implication

$$f \ll F \text{ in } K_1 \Rightarrow |f'(z)| \leq T(r, A, B)|F'(z)| \text{ for } |z| = r < 1.$$

Main result. Let $f \in H$ and $F \in S^*(\gamma)$.

THEOREM. If $f \ll F$ in K_1 and $|z| = r < 1$, then

$$(4) \quad |f'(z)| \leq T(r, H, S^*(\gamma))|F'(z)|, \text{ where}$$

$$(5) \quad T(r, H, S^*(\gamma)) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{for } r \in [0, r^*], \\ \frac{r^4 + 8r^2 \cos^2 \gamma + 2r^2 - 4rP(r, \gamma) \cos \gamma + 1}{4r(P(r, \gamma) - 2r \cos \gamma)} & \text{for } r \in (r^*, 1), \end{cases}$$

$$(6) \quad r^* = \sqrt{3 + 4 \cos \gamma - 2\sqrt{2 + 6 \cos \gamma + 4 \cos^2 \gamma}},$$

$$(7) \quad P(r, \gamma) = \sqrt{1 + 2r^2 \cos 2\gamma + r^4}.$$

The result is sharp.

Proof. If $f \ll F$ in K_1 then there exists $\phi \in \Omega$ such that

$$(8) \quad f(z) = \phi(z)F(z) \quad \text{for } z \in K_1.$$

Differentiating (8) and dividing by $F'(z)$ we obtain

$$(9) \quad \frac{f'(z)}{F'(z)} = \phi'(z) \frac{F(z)}{F'(z)} + \phi(z).$$

Applying the well-known estimation for $\phi \in \Omega$,

$$(10) \quad |\phi'(z)| \leq \frac{1 - |\phi(z)|^2}{1 - |z|^2} \quad \text{for } z \in K_1,$$

we have from (9), (1) and (10)

$$(11) \quad \left| \frac{f'(z)}{F'(z)} \right| \leq \frac{1 - |\phi(z)|^2}{1 - r^2} \cdot \frac{r(1 - r^2)}{\sqrt{1 + 2r^2 \cos 2\gamma + r^4} - 2r \cos \gamma} + |\phi(z)|.$$

Define $u = |\phi(z)|$ and denote the right hand side of the above inequality by $G(u)$. Thus

$$G(u) = -\frac{r}{P(r, \gamma) - 2r \cos \gamma} u^2 + u + \frac{r}{P(r, \gamma) - 2r \cos \gamma}$$

where $P(r, \gamma) = \sqrt{1 + 2r^2 \cos 2\gamma + r^4}$.

The function $G(u)$ attains its maximum at $u = 1$ when $r \in [0, r^*]$ and at

$$u = \frac{P(r, \gamma) - 2r \cos \gamma}{2r} \quad \text{when } r \in (r^*, 1),$$

where

$$r^* = \sqrt{3 + 4 \cos \gamma - 2\sqrt{2 + 6 \cos \gamma + 4 \cos^2 \gamma}}.$$

Therefore

$$(12) \quad \max_{u \in [0,1]} G(u) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{for } r \in [0, r^*], \\ \frac{r^4 + 8r^2 \cos^2 \gamma + 2r^2 - 4rP(r, \gamma) \cos \gamma + 1}{4r(P(r, \gamma) - 2r \cos \gamma)} & \text{for } r \in (r^*, 1). \end{cases}$$

Thus by (12) and (11) we have (4).

The result is sharp. For $r \in (0, r^*]$ and for every pair of functions $\{f(z) = e^{i\theta} F(z), F(z)\}$, $F \in S^*(\gamma)$, θ an arbitrary real number, we have $T(r, H, S^*(\gamma)) = 1$.

If $r \in (r^*, 1)$ equality is achieved at $z_0 = re^{i\theta_0}$ for the functions $\hat{f}(z) = \hat{\phi}(z)\hat{F}(z)$ and $\hat{F}(z)$, where

$$\hat{\phi}(z) = \frac{z + \alpha}{1 + \alpha z}, \quad \alpha = \frac{-2r^2 - 2r \cos \gamma + P(r, \gamma)}{r(2 + 2r \cos \gamma - P(r, \gamma))},$$

$$\hat{F}(z) = \frac{z}{(1 + ze^{-i\theta_0})^{2e^{i\gamma} \cos \gamma}}, \quad \hat{F} \in S^*(\gamma).$$

By simple calculation we can check that

$$|\hat{f}'(z_0)/\hat{F}'(z_0)| = T(r, H, S^*(\gamma))$$

and this completes the proof.

Remark. For $\gamma = 0$ we obtain a result of [2] (Theorem 2, p. 54).

References

- [1] C. Bucka and K. Ciozda, *Some estimations and problems of the majorization in the classes of functions $S_{(\alpha, \beta)}^k$* , Ann. Univ. Mariae Curie-Skłodowska 29 (4) (1975), 29–41.
- [2] J. Janowski and J. Stankiewicz, *A relative growth of modulus of derivatives for majorized functions*, ibid. 32 (4) (1978), 51–61.

INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS
TECHNICAL UNIVERSITY OF ŁÓDŹ
AL. POLITECHNIKI 11
90-924 ŁÓDŹ, POLAND

Reçu par la Rédaction le 14.9.1990