

## On approximation of analytic functions and generalized orders

by ADAM JANIK (Kraków)

**Abstract.** A characterization of a generalized order of analytic functions of several complex variables by means of polynomial approximation and interpolation is established.

We say that a differentiable function  $\alpha$  defined on  $[0, \infty)$  is *slowly growing* if it is positive, strictly increases to infinity and for every positive constant  $c$

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \alpha(cx)/\alpha(x) = 1.$$

In the sequel  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  are two fixed slowly growing functions.

Let  $K$  be a compact set in  $\mathbb{C}^N$ ,  $N \geq 1$ , such that the Siciak extremal function of  $K$  ([6])

$\Phi_K(z) := \sup\{|p(z)|^{1/\deg p} : p \text{ a polynomial, } \deg p \geq 1, \|p\| \leq 1\}$ ,  $z \in \mathbb{C}^N$ ,  
is continuous,  $\| \cdot \|$  being the supremum norm on  $K$ . Given a function  $g$  analytic in

$$K_R := \{z \in \mathbb{C}^N : \Phi_K(z) < R\}$$

for some  $R > 1$ , we put

$$M(r) := \sup\{|g(z)| : \Phi_K(z) = r\}, \quad 1 < r < R.$$

The quantity

$$\varrho := \limsup_{r \rightarrow R} \frac{\alpha(\log^+ M(r))}{\beta(R/(R-r))}$$

is called the  $(\alpha, \beta)$ -order of  $g$  in the sense of Sheremeta ([4], [3]). If  $\alpha = \beta = \log^+$  (suitably modified near 0) and  $K$  is a ball, we obtain the classical definition of the order of an analytic function.

The aim of this paper is to characterize the  $(\alpha, \beta)$ -order of a function  $g$  analytic in  $K_R$  by means of polynomial approximation and interpolation to

$g$  on  $K$ . A characterization of a similar generalized order of entire functions was established in [2].

Given a function  $f$  defined and bounded on  $K$ , we put for  $n \in \mathbb{N}$

$$\begin{aligned} E_n^{(1)} &= E_n^{(1)}(f, K) := \|f - t_n\|, \\ E_n^{(2)} &= E_n^{(2)}(f, K) := \|f - l_n\|, \\ E_{n+1}^{(3)} &= E_{n+1}^{(3)}(f, K) := \|l_{n+1} - t_n\|, \end{aligned}$$

where  $t_n$  denotes the  $n$ th Chebyshev polynomial of the best approximation to  $f$  on  $K$  and  $l_n$  denotes the  $n$ th Lagrange interpolation polynomial for  $f$  with nodes at extremal points of  $K$  ([5]).

**THEOREM.** *Let  $K$  be a balanced compact set in  $\mathbb{C}^N$  such that  $\Phi_K$  is continuous. For positive  $x$  and  $c$  write*

$$F(x, c) := \beta^{-1}(c\alpha(x)).$$

Assume that for every positive  $c$

$$\limsup_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{d \log F(x, c)}{d \log x} < 1,$$

$$\alpha(x/F(x, c)) = (1 + o(x))\alpha(x) \quad \text{as } x \rightarrow \infty.$$

Then a function  $f$  defined and bounded on  $K$  is the restriction to  $K$  of a function  $g$  analytic in  $K_R$  for some  $R$  and of finite  $(\alpha, \beta)$ -order  $\varrho$  if and only if

$$\varrho = \limsup_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\alpha(n)}{\beta(n/\log^+(E_n^{(j)} R^n))}, \quad j = 1, 2, 3$$

(with the obvious conventions  $1/0 = \infty$  and  $1/\infty = 0$ ).

We begin by proving the following

**LEMMA.** *Let the assumptions of the Theorem hold and let  $(p_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  be a sequence of polynomials in  $\mathbb{C}^N$ . Assume that*

- (i)  $\deg p_n \leq n$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,
- (ii) there exist  $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $\mu > 0$  and  $R > 1$  such that

$$\log^+(\|p_n\|R^n) \leq n/F(n, 1/\mu) \quad \text{provided } n \geq n_0.$$

Then  $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} p_n$  is an analytic function in  $K_R$  and its  $(\alpha, \beta)$ -order  $\varrho$  does not exceed  $\mu$ .

**Proof.** From (ii)

$$\log^+(\|p_n\|r^n) \leq n \log(r/R) + n/F(n, 1/\mu)$$

provided  $n \geq n_0$  and  $1 < r < R$ . By the methods of calculus we find that the maximum of the function

$$\mathbb{R}_+ \ni x \rightarrow x \log(r/R) + x/F(x, 1/\mu)$$

is reached for  $x = x_r$ , where  $x_r$  is the solution of the equation

$$x = \alpha^{-1} \left( \mu\beta \left( \frac{1 - d \log F(x, 1/\mu)/d \log x}{\log(R/r)} \right) \right).$$

From the assumptions of the Theorem and the properties of  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  we obtain

$$x_r = (1 + o(1))\alpha^{-1}(\mu\beta(R/(R - r))) \quad \text{as } r \rightarrow R.$$

Thus for  $r$  sufficiently close to  $R$

$$(1) \quad \log^+(\|p_n\|r^n) \leq \text{const.} \alpha^{-1}(\mu\beta(R/(R - r))), \quad n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

For every polynomial  $p$  we have ([6])

$$|p(z)| \leq \|p\| \Phi_K^{\deg p}(z), \quad z \in \mathbb{C}^N.$$

So for every  $r \in (1, R)$  the series  $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} p_n$  is convergent in  $K_r$ , whence  $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} p_n$  is analytic in  $K_R$ .

Write

$$M^*(r) := \sup\{\|p_n\|r^n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}, \quad r \geq 0,$$

$$\varrho^* := \limsup_{r \rightarrow R} \frac{\alpha(\log^+ M^*(r))}{\beta(R/(R - r))}.$$

According to inequality (1) we have

$$\log^+ M^*(r) \leq \text{const.} \alpha^{-1}(\mu\beta(R/(R - r)))$$

for  $r$  sufficiently close to  $R$ . This immediately yields  $\varrho^* \leq \mu$ . Moreover (see [1], 2.3(1)),

$$\log^+ M(r) \leq \log^+ M^*(\sqrt{rR}) - \log(1 - \sqrt{r/R}).$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{\alpha(\log^+ M(r))}{\beta\left(\frac{R}{R-r}\right)} \\ & \leq \frac{\alpha(\log^+ M^*(\sqrt{rR}) - \log(1 - \sqrt{r/R}))}{\beta\left(\frac{R}{R-\sqrt{rR}}\right)} \cdot \frac{\beta\left(\frac{R}{R-\sqrt{rR}}\right)}{\beta\left(\frac{R}{R-r}\right)}, \end{aligned}$$

which gives (after passing to the upper limit)  $\varrho \leq \varrho^*$  and consequently  $\varrho \leq \mu$ .

**Proof of Theorem.** Let  $g$  be a function analytic in  $K_R$ , of  $(\alpha, \beta)$ -order  $\varrho$ . Write

$$\gamma_j := \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\alpha(n)}{\beta(n/\log^+(E_n^{(j)} R^n))}, \quad j = 1, 2, 3;$$

here  $E_n^{(j)}$  stands for  $E_n^{(j)}(g, K)$ . We claim that  $\gamma_j = \varrho$ ,  $j = 1, 2, 3$ . It is known (see e.g. [7]) that

$$(2) \quad E_n^{(1)} \leq E_n^{(2)} \leq (n_* + 2)E_n^{(1)}, \quad n \geq 0,$$

$$(3) \quad E_n^{(3)} \leq 2(n_* + 2)E_{n-1}^{(1)}, \quad n \geq 1,$$

where  $n_* := \binom{n+N}{n}$ . Thus  $\gamma_3 \leq \gamma_2 = \gamma_1$  and it suffices to prove that  $\gamma_1 \leq \varrho \leq \gamma_3$ .

We first prove  $\gamma_1 \leq \varrho$ . By definition of the  $(\alpha, \beta)$ -order we have for every  $\mu > \varrho$

$$\log^+ M(r) \leq \alpha^{-1}(\mu\beta(R)/(R-r))$$

provided  $r$  is sufficiently close to  $R$ . By Lemma 3.4 of [1]

$$E_n^{(1)} \leq \frac{M(r)}{(r-1)r^n}, \quad 1 < r < R,$$

so

$$\log^+(E_n^{(1)}R^n) \leq -\log(r-1) - n\log(r/R) + \alpha^{-1}(\mu\beta(R)/(R-r))$$

for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and for  $r$  sufficiently close to  $R$ . Substituting  $r = r_n$ , where

$$r_n := R[1 - 1/F(n/F(n, 1/\mu), 1/\mu)],$$

yields

$$\begin{aligned} \log^+(E_n^{(1)}R^n) &\leq -\log(r_n - 1) - n\log[1 - 1/F(n/F(n, 1/\mu), 1/\mu)] \\ &\quad + n/F(n, 1/\mu). \end{aligned}$$

On account of the assumptions and the properties of the logarithm we obtain

$$\log^+(E_n^{(1)}R^n) \leq 4n/F(n, 1/\mu)$$

for sufficiently large  $n$ . Hence, by the properties of slowly growing functions, for every  $\varepsilon > 0$  and for sufficiently large  $n$

$$\frac{\alpha(n)}{\beta(n/\log^+(E_n^{(1)}R^n))} \leq \mu + \varepsilon.$$

Owing to the arbitrariness of  $\varepsilon > 0$  and  $\mu > \varrho$  we get after passing to the upper limit  $\gamma_1 \leq \varrho$ .

Next we claim  $\varrho \leq \gamma_3$ . Suppose  $\gamma_3 < \varrho$ . Then for every  $\mu \in (\gamma_3, \varrho)$

$$\frac{\alpha(n)}{\beta(n/\log^+(E_n^{(3)}R^n))} \leq \mu$$

provided  $n$  is sufficiently large. Thus

$$\log^+(E_n^{(3)}R^n) \leq n/F(n, 1/\mu)$$

and by the Lemma  $\varrho \leq \mu$ , which contradicts the assumption  $\mu < \varrho$ .

Now let  $f$  be a function defined and bounded on  $K$ . Put

$$\gamma_j := \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\alpha(n)}{\beta(n/\log^+(E_n^{(j)} R^n))}, \quad j = 1, 2, 3.$$

We claim that if  $\gamma_k$  is finite for  $k = 1, 2$  or  $3$ , then

$$g := l_0 + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (l_{n+1} - l_n)$$

is the required analytic continuation of  $f$  to  $K_R$  and its  $(\alpha, \beta)$ -order  $\varrho$  is  $\gamma_j, j = 1, 2, 3$ . Indeed, for every  $\mu > \gamma_k$

$$\frac{\alpha(n)}{\beta(n/\log^+(E_n^{(k)} R^n))} \leq \mu$$

provided  $n$  is sufficiently large. Hence

$$E_n^{(k)} R^n \leq \exp(n/F(n, 1/\mu)).$$

By (2), (3) and the Lemma,  $g$  is analytic in  $K_R$  and its  $(\alpha, \beta)$ -order  $\varrho$  is finite. So by the first part of the proof  $\varrho = \gamma_j, j = 1, 2, 3$ , as claimed.

### References

- [1] A. Janik, *A characterization of the growth of analytic functions by means of polynomial approximation*, Univ. Iagel. Acta Math. 24 (1984), 295–319.
- [2] —, *On approximation of entire functions and generalized orders*, *ibid.*, 321–326.
- [3] O. P. Juneja and G. P. Kapoor, *Analytic Functions—Growth Aspects*, Res. Notes in Math. 104, Pitman, Boston 1985.
- [4] M. N. Sheremeta, *On the connection between the growth of a function analytic in a disc and moduli of the coefficients of its Taylor series*, Visnik L'viv. Derzh. Univ. Ser. Mekh.-Mat. 2 (1965), 101–110 (in Ukrainian).
- [5] J. Siciak, *On some extremal functions and their applications in the theory of analytic functions of several complex variables*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 105 (1962), 322–357.
- [6] —, *Extremal plurisubharmonic functions in  $\mathbb{C}^N$* , in: Proc. First Finnish-Polish Summer School in Complex Analysis at Podlesice (Łódź 1977), University of Łódź, 115–152.
- [7] T. Winiarski, *Application of approximation and interpolation methods to the examination of entire functions of  $n$  complex variables*, Ann. Polon. Math. 28 (1973), 97–121.

INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS  
JAGIELLONIAN UNIVERSITY  
REYMONTA 4  
30-059 KRAKÓW, POLAND

*Reçu par la Rédaction le 10.9.1990*