

On foliations in Sikorski differential spaces with Brouwerian leaves

by WŁODZIMIERZ WALISZEWSKI (Łódź)

Abstract. The class of locally connected and locally homeomorphically homogeneous topological spaces such that every one-to-one continuous mapping of an open subspace into the space is open has been considered. For a foliation F [3] on a Sikorski differential space M with leaves having the above properties it is proved that for some open sets U in M covering the set of all points of M the connected components of $U \cap \underline{L}$ in the topology of M coincide with the connected components in the topology of L for $L \in F$.

1. Brouwerian topological spaces. For a topological space X the set of all points of X will be denoted by \underline{X} . A continuous mapping $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is said to be *open* iff for any open set A in X the set $f(A)$ is open in Y . A topological space X is said to be *locally homeomorphically homogeneous* (l.h.h.) iff for any $p, q \in \underline{X}$ there exists a homeomorphism $h : U \rightarrow V$ such that $p \in U, q \in V, h(p) = q$, U and V are open subspaces of X . A set \mathcal{T} of topological spaces will be called l.h.h. iff the disjoint union $\bigoplus \mathcal{T}$ of \mathcal{T} is l.h.h. A locally connected l.h.h. topological space X such that every continuous 1-1 mapping $f : V \rightarrow X$ of an open subspace V of X into X is open will be called *Brouwerian*.

A set \mathcal{T} of topological spaces such that the disjoint union $\bigoplus \mathcal{T}$ is Brouwerian will be called *Brouwerian*. By Brouwer's well-known theorem on open mappings in \mathbb{R}^n every topological manifold is Brouwerian.

EXAMPLE 1. $\underline{X} = \{0, 1\}$. The topology of X is of the form $\{\emptyset, \underline{X}\}$. X is Brouwerian but not a topological manifold.

The topological space induced by X in the set A is denoted by $X|A$. The set of all connected components of X will be denoted by $cc(X)$.

By an easy verification we have

PROPOSITION 1. *If X is l.h.h. and a non-empty open subspace of X is Brouwerian then X is Brouwerian.*

As an immediate corollary of Proposition 1 we get

PROPOSITION 2. *An l.h.h. set \mathcal{T} of topological spaces is Brouwerian iff there exists a Brouwerian space belonging to \mathcal{T} .*

Proposition 2 together with the remark that the disjoint union of a set of mutually homeomorphic Brouwerian spaces is Brouwerian allows us to construct a Brouwerian space with an arbitrary infinite cardinal number of the set of points being not a topological manifold. Moreover, we construct a Sikorski differential structure [1] with the topology having the above features.

EXAMPLE 2. Let I be any set and let I' be the set of all real functions α defined on $\{0, 1\} \times I$ and such that $\alpha(0, i) = \alpha(1, i)$ for $i \in I$.

It is easy to check that the set I' is a Sikorski differential structure on $\{0, 1\} \times I$. The topology of this structure, i.e. the weakest topology for which all the functions of I' are continuous, is the topology of $\bigoplus_{i \in I} I_i$, where I_i is the topological space with $\{0, 1\} \times \{i\}$ as the set of all points and the topology $\{\emptyset, \{0, 1\} \times \{i\}\}$, i.e. I_i is homeomorphic to the space in Example 1.

PROPOSITION 3. *If \mathcal{T} is a Brouwerian set of topological spaces such that $\underline{X} \cap \underline{X}' = \emptyset$ when $X \neq X'$, $X, X' \in \mathcal{T}$, T is a topological space satisfying*

$$(1) \quad \underline{T} = \bigcup_{X \in \mathcal{T}} \underline{X},$$

$$(2) \quad \text{id}_{\underline{X}} : X \rightarrow T \quad \text{for } X \in \mathcal{T},$$

and there exists a homeomorphism

$$(3) \quad g : T \rightarrow Y \times S,$$

where Y is Brouwerian, S is a topological space and

$$(4) \quad \bigcup_{X \in \mathcal{T}} \text{cc}(T|\underline{X}) = \{g^{-1}(\underline{Y} \times \{s\}); s \in \underline{S}\},$$

then

$$(5) \quad \bigcup_{X \in \mathcal{T}} \text{cc}(T|\underline{X}) = \bigcup_{X \in \mathcal{T}} \text{cc}(X).$$

Proof. Let $A \in \text{cc}(X)$, $X \in \mathcal{T}$. Because of the local connectedness of X we see that A is open in X and $X|A$ is connected.

So, by (2), $T|A$ is connected. Let $A \subset \tilde{A} \in \text{cc}(T|\underline{X})$. By (4) there is exactly one $w_A \in \underline{S}$ such that $\tilde{A} = g^{-1}(\underline{Y} \times \{w_A\})$. Therefore,

$$(6) \quad A \subset g^{-1}(\underline{Y} \times \{w_A\}).$$

Take any $C \in \bigcup_{X \in \mathcal{T}} \text{cc}(T|\underline{X})$. Setting

$$(7) \quad \widehat{C} = \left\{ A; A \in \bigcup_{X \in \mathcal{T}} \text{cc}(X) \text{ and } w_A = s \right\},$$

where

$$(8) \quad C = g^{-1}(Y \times \{s\}), \quad s \in \underline{S},$$

by (6)–(8) we get $A \subset C$ for $A \in \widehat{C}$. Then $\bigcup \widehat{C} \subset C$. On the other hand, taking any $c \in C$, by (1) we get $X \in \mathcal{T}$ with $c \in \underline{X}$. Thus there exists $A \in \text{cc}(X)$ with $c \in A$. According to (6), $c \in g^{-1}(Y \times \{w_A\})$. Hence, by (8), $w_A = s$. Therefore, $c \in A \in \widehat{C}$. Thus, $C \subset \bigcup \widehat{C}$. Hence,

$$(9) \quad C = \bigcup \widehat{C}.$$

From local connectedness of all topological spaces belonging to \mathcal{T} , by (7) and (9) it follows that C is open in X . The homeomorphism (3) induces the following one:

$$g|C : T|C \rightarrow Y \times S|\{s\}.$$

Taking continuous 1-1 mappings $\text{id}_C : X|C \rightarrow T|C$ and $\text{pr}_1 : Y \times S|\{s\} \rightarrow Y$ we get

$$(10) \quad \text{pr}_1 \circ g|C \circ \text{id}_C : X|C \rightarrow Y.$$

From Proposition 2 we find that the mapping (10) is open. Therefore (10) is a homeomorphism. Thus $X|C$ is connected. To prove that $C \in \text{cc}(X)$ take any H connected in X with $C \subset H$. Then, by (2), H is connected in T . Therefore there is $C_0 \in \text{cc}(T|\underline{X})$ with $H \subset C_0$. By (4), we get $C_0 = g^{-1}(Y \times \{s_0\})$, $s_0 \in S$. From $\emptyset \neq C \subset C_0$ and (8) it follows that $s = s_0$. Thus $C_0 = C$. This yields $H \subset C$. Therefore $C \in \text{cc}(X)$. Thus,

$$(11) \quad \bigcup_{X \in \mathcal{T}} \text{cc}(T|\underline{X}) \subset \bigcup_{X \in \mathcal{T}} \text{cc}(X).$$

The families of sets on the left as well as on the right of the inclusion (11) are partitions of the same set \underline{T} . Hence it follows that the inverse inclusions is true. ■

2. Connected components in distinguished sets of a foliation.

For a Sikorski differential space (d.s.) M the set of all points of M and the differential structure of M are denoted by \underline{M} and $F(M)$, respectively. For any set $A \subset \underline{M}$ the d.s. induced by M on A , i.e. the d.s. $(A, F(M)_A)$, is denoted by M_A . We recall the concept of foliation in the category of d.s. [3].

Let M be a d.s. and let \mathcal{F} be a set of disjoint d.s. such that $\underline{M} = \bigcup_{L \in \mathcal{F}} \underline{L}$. \mathcal{F} is assumed to be locally homogeneous (l.h.), i.e. for any $K, L \in \mathcal{F}$, $p \in \underline{K}$ and $q \in \underline{L}$ there exists a diffeomorphism $h : K_A \rightarrow L_B$, where $p \in A \in \text{top } K$,

$q \in B \in \text{top } L$ and $h(p) = q$. A set $U \in \text{top } M$ will be called *distinguished* by \mathcal{F} iff there exist $K \in \mathcal{F}$, $V \in \text{top } K$, a d.s. N and a diffeomorphism

$$(12) \quad \Phi : M_U \rightarrow K_V \times N$$

such that

$$(13) \quad \bigcup_{L \in \mathcal{F}} \text{cc}(\text{top } M|U \cap \underline{L}) = \{\Phi^{-1}(V \times \{b\}); b \in \underline{N}\}.$$

The set \mathcal{F} is said to be a *foliation* on M iff

(i) L is connected and regularly lying [2] in M for $L \in \mathcal{F}$, i.e. $\text{id}_{\underline{L}} : L \rightarrow M$ is regular;

(ii) for any $p \in \underline{M}$ there exist $K \in \mathcal{F}$, $V \in \text{top } K$ with $p \in V$ and a diffeomorphism (12) satisfying (13).

From (ii) it follows that M is covered by open sets distinguished by \mathcal{F} .

THEOREM. *If \mathcal{F} is a Brouwerian foliation on M then for any open set U in M distinguished by \mathcal{F} we have*

$$(14) \quad \bigcup_{L \in \mathcal{F}} \text{cc}(\text{top } M|U \cap \underline{L}) = \bigcup_{L \in \mathcal{F}} \text{cc}(\text{top } L|U \cap \underline{L}).$$

Proof. For a set U distinguished by \mathcal{F} we have a diffeomorphism (12) with (13). Setting, in Proposition 3, $T = \text{top } M_U$, $Y = \text{top } K_V$, $S = \text{top } N$, $\mathcal{T} = \{\text{top } L|U \cap \underline{L}; L \in \mathcal{F}\}$ and the homeomorphism (3) as the one induced by the diffeomorphism (12) we get (4) and, consequently, (5). ■

Remark. In the proof of the Theorem the regularity of $\text{id}_{\underline{L}} : L \rightarrow M$ for $L \in \mathcal{F}$ has not been essential.

References

- [1] R. Sikorski, *Abstract covariant derivative*, Colloq. Math. 18 (1967), 251–272.
- [2] W. Waliszewski, *Regular and coregular mappings of differential spaces*, Ann. Polon. Math. 30 (1975), 263–281.
- [3] —, *Foliations of differential spaces*, Demonstratio Math. 18 (1) (1985), 347–352.

INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS
POLISH ACADEMY OF SCIENCES
LÓDŹ BRANCH
NARUTOWICZA 56
90-136 LÓDŹ, POLAND

Reçu par la Rédaction le 11.12.1989