

On the greatest prime factors of certain products

by S. KNAPOWSKI (Wrocław)

P. L. Chebyshev has proved a theorem about the greatest prime factors of the products $\prod_{n=1}^x (1+n^2)$ (see [2], p. 559). This theorem has been generalized by Ivanov ([2], p. 562). The consequence of Chebyshev's theorem is Stormer's theorem about the numbers $i(i-1)(i-2)\dots(i-x)$ ([2], p. 561).

The purpose of this paper is to prove some theorems about the greatest prime divisors of certain products. Theorem 1 is a generalization of the theorem of Ivanov, theorem 2 is a generalization of that of Stormer.

Note that another generalization of Ivanov's theorem is given by P. Erdős [1].

THEOREM 1 (Ivanov's case: $a_n = n$, Chebyshev's case: $a_n = n$, $A=1$). Let $\{a_n\}$ be a sequence of integers $0 < a_1 < a_2 < \dots < a_n < \dots$ and A a positive integer. Let P_x be the greatest prime factor of

$$(1) \quad \prod_{n=1}^x (A + a_n^2) \quad (x\text{-integer and } \geq 1),$$

$$(2) \quad \text{if } \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log(a_1 a_2 \dots a_n)}{a_n \log a_n} > \frac{1}{2}, \text{ then } \lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{P_x}{a_x} = \infty,$$

$$(3) \quad \text{if } \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log(a_1 a_2 \dots a_n)}{a_n \log n} > \frac{1}{2}, \text{ then } \lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{P_x}{x} = \infty$$

$$(\text{in particular, for } a_n = n \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log n!}{n \log n} = 1).$$

Proof of (2). The numbers $k < 4A$, $(k, 4A) = 1$ can be divided into two classes U, V with equal numbers of elements, such that if $p \equiv l_1 \pmod{4A}$ for some $l_1 \in U$, then the congruence $y^2 \equiv -A \pmod{p^m}$ is solvable (p denotes a prime, m positive integer), if $p \equiv l_2 \pmod{4A}$ for some $l_2 \in V$, then the congruence is unsolvable. In the first case the congruence has exactly two roots mod p^m (see e. g. [3], p. 121-127).

If $p \nmid 4A$, then the number of roots of the congruence $y^2 \equiv -A \pmod{p^m}$ is $\leq C_p$, where C_p does not depend on m (see e. g. [2], p. 563).

If, for instance $A=1$, then the congruence $y^2 \equiv -1 \pmod{p}$ is solvable for $p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ and unsolvable for $p \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$ (see e. g. [5], p. 82). In the case $p=2$ this congruence has one root for $m=1$ and no roots for $m > 1$ (see e. g. [5], p. 84). Denoting by $D_{p^m x}$ the number of those factors $(A + a_i^2)$ ($i=1, 2, \dots, x$) which are divisible by p^m , we easily find that

$$D_{p^m x} \begin{cases} \leq C_p \left(\frac{a_x}{p^m} + 1 \right) & \text{for } p \nmid 4A, \\ \leq 2 \left(\frac{a_x}{p^m} + 1 \right) & \text{for } p \equiv l_1 \pmod{4A}, \quad l_1 \in U, \\ = 0 & \text{for } p \equiv l_2 \pmod{4A}, \quad l_2 \in V. \end{cases}$$

Suppose that the theorem is false. Thus there exists such $g > 0$ and such a sequence $x \rightarrow \infty$ that

$$(4) \quad \frac{P_x}{a_x} \leq g.$$

For these x we have

$$\prod_{n=1}^x (A + a_n^2) \leq \prod_{p \mid 4A} p^{c_p x} \prod_{\substack{p \leq g a_x, l_1 \in U \\ p \equiv l_1 \pmod{4A}}} p^{2u},$$

where

$$u = \{(a_x/p + 1) + (a_x/p^2 + 1) + \dots\}.$$

The sums in the exponents have

$$m_0 = \left[\frac{\log(A + a_x^2)}{\log p} \right]$$

terms, because if $p^m \mid A + a_k^2$ then $p^m \leq A + a_k^2 \leq A + a_x^2$. We try to estimate the logarithm of (1)

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=1}^x \log(A + a_n^2) &\leq \sum_{p \mid 4A} C_p \log p \left\{ \sum_{m=1}^{m_0} \left(\frac{a_x}{p^m} + 1 \right) \right\} + \\ &\quad + \sum_{\substack{p \leq g a_x, l_1 \in U \\ p \equiv l_1 \pmod{4A}}} 2 \log p \left\{ \sum_{m=1}^{m_0} \left(\frac{a_x}{p^m} + 1 \right) \right\}, \end{aligned}$$

$$\sum_{p \mid 4A} C_p \log p \left\{ \sum_{m=1}^{m_0} \left(\frac{a_x}{p^m} + 1 \right) \right\} = O \left\{ \sum_{p \mid 4A} \left(\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_x}{p^m} \right) \right\} + O(\log a_x) = O(a_x),$$

$$\sum_{\substack{p \leq \theta a_x, \theta \in U \\ p \equiv 1 \pmod{4A}}} 2 \log p \left\{ \sum_{m=1}^{m_0} \left(\frac{a_x}{p^m} + 1 \right) \right\} \leq 2a_x \sum_{\substack{p \leq \theta a_x, \theta \in U \\ p \equiv 1 \pmod{4A}}} \log p \left\{ \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{p^m} \right\} + \\ + O \left(\sum_{p \leq \theta a_x} \log p \cdot \frac{\log a_x}{\log p} \right).$$

But $\pi(ga_x) = O\left(\frac{a_x}{\log a_x}\right)$, hence $O\left(\sum_{p \leq \theta a_x} \log a_x\right) = O(\log a_x \cdot \pi(ga_x)) = O(a_x)$.

We know also that

$$\sum_{\substack{p \leq \theta a_x \\ p \equiv 1 \pmod{4A}}} \frac{\log p}{p} = \frac{1}{\varphi(4A)} \log a_x + O(1)$$

([2], p. 450). Hence

$$\sum_{\substack{p \leq \theta a_x, \theta \in U \\ p \equiv 1 \pmod{4A}}} 2 \log p \left\{ \sum_{m=1}^{m_0} \left(\frac{a_x}{p^m} + 1 \right) \right\} \leq 2a_x \left(\frac{\varphi(4A)}{2} \cdot \frac{1}{\varphi(4A)} \cdot \log a_x + O(1) \right) + O(a_x) \\ = a_x \log a_x + O(a_x)$$

and finally

$$(5) \quad \sum_{n=1}^x \log(A + a_n^2) = a_x \log a_x + O(a_x).$$

Besides $\sum_{n=1}^x \log(A + a_n^2) \geq 2 \sum_{n=1}^x \log a_n$. But

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log(a_1 a_2 \dots a_n)}{a_n \log a_n} > \frac{1}{2}.$$

Let this limit be $1/2 + \varrho$ ($\varrho > 0$) (if it is ∞ , then the reasoning is analogous). Hence, for any $\varepsilon > 0$, we have

$$\frac{\sum_{n=1}^x \log a_n}{a_x \log a_x} > \frac{1}{2} + \varrho - \varepsilon$$

(for x sufficiently large). For $\varepsilon = \varrho/4$, we have

$$\frac{\sum_{n=1}^x \log(A + a_n^2)}{2a_x \log a_x} = \frac{\sum_{n=1}^x \log(A + a_n^2)}{2 \sum_{n=1}^x \log a_n} \cdot \frac{2 \sum_{n=1}^x \log a_n}{2a_x \log a_x} > \frac{1}{2} + \varrho - \frac{\varrho}{4}.$$

But (5) gives

$$\frac{\sum_{n=1}^x \log(A + a_n^2)}{a_x \log a_x} \leq 1 + O\left(\frac{1}{\log a_x}\right),$$

and for x sufficiently large

$$\frac{\sum_{n=1}^x \log(A + a_n^2)}{a_x \log a_x} \leq 1 + \frac{\varrho}{2}.$$

Hence $1 + 2\varrho - \varrho/2 < 1 + \varrho/2$, $2\varrho < \varrho$. The theorem is thus proved.

Proof of (3). Up to (4) we can repeat the reasoning without changes. In (4) a_x is to be replaced by x . In all the next formulas we have the sums and products for $p \leq gx$.

The modification of (5): $\sum_{n=1}^x \log(A + a_n^2) \leq a_x \log x + O(a_x)$. The proof is to be finished analogously to (2).

EXAMPLE. Every sequence $a_n = [\tau n]$ ($1 < \tau < 2$) satisfies the conditions of (3). $\{a_n\}$ is a monotonous sequence $a_n \leq \tau n < \tau(n+1) - 1 < a_{n+1}$. Besides

$$\frac{\log n!}{\tau n \log n} \leq \frac{\log([\tau \cdot 1][\tau \cdot 2] \dots [\tau n])}{[\tau n] \log n} \leq \frac{\log(\tau^n n!)}{(\tau n - 1) \log n} \\ = \frac{n \log \tau}{(\tau n - 1) \log n} + \frac{\log n!}{(\tau n - 1) \log n}.$$

$$\text{Hence } \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log(a_1 a_2 \dots a_n)}{a_n \log n} = \frac{1}{\tau} > \frac{1}{2}, \text{ q. e. d.}$$

For instance $a_n = \left[\frac{3}{2} n \right]$ ($1, 3, 4, 6, 7, 9, 10, 12, \dots$) satisfies theorem

1 (3).

THEOREM 2 (Stormer's case: $a_n = n$). Let a sequence of integers $\{a_n\}$ $0 < a_1 < a_2 < \dots < a_n < \dots$ satisfy the condition

$$1^\circ \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log(a_1 a_2 \dots a_n)}{a_n \log a_n} > \frac{1}{2}$$

or

$$2^\circ \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log(a_1 a_2 \dots a_n)}{a_n \log n} > \frac{1}{2} \quad \text{and} \quad a_n = O(n).$$

Then, among the numbers $i(i - a_1)(i - a_2) \dots (i - a_x)$ (x -integer and ≥ 1), at most finitely many are real or pure imaginary.

Proof. For a x let the number $i(i-a_1)(i-a_2)\dots(i-a_x)$ be real or pure imaginary.

Then $-i(-i-a_1)(-i-a_2)\dots(-i-a_x)$ and also $(i+a_1)(i+a_2)\dots(i+a_x)$ are real or pure imaginary numbers. We have

$$(i+a_1)(i+a_2)\dots(i+a_x) = \pm (a_1-i)(a_2-i)\dots(a_x-i).$$

$$(6) \quad \begin{aligned} Q_{nx} &= (a_1+a_n)(a_2+a_n)\dots(a_x+a_n) \\ &\equiv (a_1-i)(a_2-i)\dots(a_x-i) \pmod{a_n+i} \\ &\equiv \pm (a_1+i)(a_2+i)\dots(a_x+i) \pmod{a_n+i} \\ &\equiv 0 \pmod{a_n+i} \quad (1 \leq n \leq x). \end{aligned}$$

If $p > 2$ is a real prime and $p|(1+a_1^2)(1+a_2^2)\dots(1+a_x^2)$, then there exists a positive integer n ($1 \leq n \leq x$), so that $p|1+a_n^2 = (a_n+i)(a_n-i)$. We represent p as a product of a complex prime and its conjugate (see e. g. [4], p. 393)

$$p = p\bar{p}.$$

We can order these p and \bar{p} in such a way that $p|a_n+i$. (6) gives $p|Q_{nx} = (a_1+a_n)(a_2+a_n)\dots(a_x+a_n)$. Hence $p|Q_{nx}$,

$$(7) \quad p \leq a_x + a_n \leq 2a_x.$$

If a_n satisfies the condition 1^o, then for x sufficiently large we have $P_x/a_x > 2$. But (7) gives $P_x/a_x \leq 2$.

If a_n satisfies the condition 2^o, then for x sufficiently large we have $P_x/x > 2C$. But (7) gives $P_x \leq 2a_x$ ($a_n \leq Cn$).

We can apply theorem 1 also in another way. Namely we take such a sequence $\{a_n\}$ that $P_x/a_x \neq \infty$. Then we know that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log(a_1 a_2 \dots a_n)}{a_n \log a_n} \leq \frac{1}{2}.$$

For instance

THEOREM 3. Let $\{x_n\}$ be a sequence of integers $0 < x_1 < x_2 < \dots < x_n < \dots$ satisfying the following conditions:

1^o There exists a sequence $\{q_n\}$ of integers $0 < q_1 < q_2 < \dots < q_n < \dots$ such that

$$x_n^2 + A \equiv 0 \pmod{q_n} \quad (A \text{ denotes a positive integer}),$$

2^o

$$\frac{q_n}{2} \leq x_n \leq q_n.$$

Then

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log(x_1 x_2 \dots x_n)}{x_n \log x_n} \leq \frac{1}{2}.$$

Proof. The greatest prime factor of $\prod_{k=1}^n (A+x_k^2)$ we denote by P_n .

We have

$$\begin{aligned} P_n &\leq \max \left[\frac{A+x_1^2}{q_1}, \frac{A+x_2^2}{q_2}, \dots, \frac{A+x_n^2}{q_n}, q_1, q_2, \dots, q_n \right], \\ \frac{A+x_k^2}{q_k} &\leq \frac{A+q_k^2}{q_k} \leq A+q_n \quad (k=1, 2, \dots, n). \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$P_n \leq A+q_n, \quad \frac{P_n}{x_n} \leq \frac{A+q_n}{q_n} = \frac{2A}{q_n} + 2 \leq 2A+2, \quad \frac{P_n}{x_n} \not\rightarrow \infty.$$

Theorem 1 gives $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log(x_1 x_2 \dots x_n)}{x_n \log x_n} \leq \frac{1}{2}$, q. e. d.

(e. g. $\{x_n\}: 3, 7, 8, 13, 18, 21, 31, \dots$ $\{q_n\}: 5, 10, 13, 17, 25, 26, 37, \dots$).

THEOREM 4. Let $\{\tau_n\}$ be a sequence of integers $0 < \tau_1 < \tau_2 < \dots < \tau_n < \dots$, $\tau_n = O(n)$. Let $a > 1$ be an integer. Denoting the greatest prime factor of

$\prod_{n=1}^x (a^{\tau_n} + 1)$ by P_x (x -integer and ≥ 1), we have

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{P_x}{x^a} = \infty \quad \text{for every } a < 1.$$

Proof. Let p be a prime and $(a, p) = 1$. Let δ_m be the least positive exponent such that $a^{\delta_m} \equiv 1 \pmod{p^m}$. Denoting the number of those numbers among a^1, a^2, \dots, a^x which are congruent to $-1 \pmod{p^m}$ by $D_{p^m \tau_x}$, we have $D_{p^m \tau_x} \leq \tau_x / \delta_m + 1$. In fact, if τ is the least positive exponent such that $a^\tau \equiv -1 \pmod{p^m}$, we have

$$D_{p^m \tau_x} \leq h + 1, \quad \text{where } h = \left[\frac{\tau_x - \tau}{\delta_m} \right].$$

Hence $D_{p^m \tau_x} \leq \tau_x / \delta_m + 1$. Thus, if $\tau_x / \delta_m \geq 1$,

$$(8) \quad D_{p^m \tau_x} \leq 2 \frac{\tau_x}{\delta_m}.$$

If $\tau_x / \delta_m < 1$, (8) follows from the remark that if for some $\tau \leq \tau_x$ we have $a^\tau \equiv -1 \pmod{p^m}$, then $a^{2\tau} \equiv 1 \pmod{p^m}$, whence $\delta_m \leq 2\tau$ and $2\tau_x / \delta_m \geq 1$.

Thus, in both cases we have $D_{p^m \tau_x} \leq 2\tau_x / \delta_m$.

Suppose that the theorem is false. Thus there exists such $g > 0$ and such a sequence $x \rightarrow \infty$ that $P_x \leq gx^a$. By (8) we have for these x

$$a^{\frac{x(x+1)}{2}} \leq \prod_{n=1}^x a^{\tau_n} \leq \prod_{n=1}^x (a^{\tau_n} + 1) \leq \prod_{\substack{p \leq gx^a \\ (p,a)=1}} p^{2\tau_x K_{px}},$$

where $K_{px} = \sum_{m=1}^{m_0} \frac{1}{\delta_m}$, $m_0 = \left\lceil \frac{\tau_x \log a^2}{\log p} \right\rceil$; in fact

$$p^m | 1 + a^{\tau_x}, \quad p^m \leq 1 + a^{\tau_x} < a^{\tau_x+1}, \quad m \leq \left\lceil \frac{\log a^{\tau_x+1}}{\log p} \right\rceil \leq m_0.$$

Hence

$$\frac{x(x+1)}{2} \log a \leq \sum_{\substack{p \leq gx^a \\ (p,a)=1}} 2\tau_x K_{px} \log p.$$

There exists such a positive integer k that $1 + a + 1/k \leq 2$. There exists such a positive integer b that $\sqrt[b]{a} \leq 2$. Let

$$K_{px} = \sum_{m=1}^{\lfloor \sqrt[m_0]{a} \rfloor} \frac{1}{\delta_m} + \sum_{m=\lfloor \sqrt[m_0]{a} \rfloor+1}^{\lfloor \sqrt[m_0^2]{a} \rfloor} \frac{1}{\delta_m} + \dots + \sum_{m=\lfloor \sqrt[m_0^k]{a} \rfloor+1}^{m_0} \frac{1}{\delta_m}.$$

If $m \geq \sqrt[k]{m_0^r}$ ($1 \leq r \leq k$), then $\delta_m \geq \sqrt[k]{m_0^r}/b$. Supposing conversely that $\delta_m < \sqrt[k]{m_0^r}/b$, we have

$$p^m \geq p^{\frac{k}{\sqrt[k]{m_0^r}}}, \quad p^m | a^{\delta_m} - 1, \quad p^{\frac{k}{\sqrt[k]{m_0^r}}} \leq p^m \leq a^{\delta_m} - 1 < a^{\delta_m} < a^{\frac{k}{\sqrt[k]{m_0^r}}/b} \leq 2^{\frac{k}{\sqrt[k]{m_0^r}}} \leq p^{\frac{k}{\sqrt[k]{m_0^r}}},$$

which is a contradiction. Hence

$$K_{px} \leq \sqrt[k]{m_0} \cdot 1 + \sqrt[k]{m_0^2} \frac{1}{\sqrt[k]{m_0}} b + \sqrt[k]{m_0^3} \frac{1}{\sqrt[k]{m_0^2}} b + \dots + m_0 \frac{1}{\sqrt[k]{m_0^{k-1}}} b \leq kb \sqrt[k]{m_0},$$

$$K_{px} \leq kb \frac{\sqrt[k]{\log a^2}}{\sqrt[k]{\log p}} \sqrt[k]{\tau_x},$$

$$\frac{x(x+1)}{2} \log a \leq \sum_{p \leq gx^a} 2kb \tau_x^{1+1/k} \frac{k}{\sqrt[k]{\log a^2}} \sqrt[k]{\log^{k-1} p}$$

$$= 2kb \tau_x^{1+1/k} \sqrt[k]{\log a^2} \sum_{p \leq gx^a} \sqrt[k]{\log^{k-1} p}.$$

But, in view of $\pi(gx^a) = O(gx^a / \log gx^a) = O(x^a / \log x)$,

$$\sum_{p \leq gx^a} \sqrt[k]{\log^{k-1} p} \leq \pi(gx^a) \sqrt[k]{\log^{k-1} gx^a} = O\left(\frac{x^a}{\sqrt[k]{\log x}}\right).$$

Hence, by virtue of $\tau_x = O(x)$

$$\frac{x(x+1)}{2} \log a = O\left(\tau_x^{1+a+1/k} \frac{1}{\sqrt[k]{\log x}}\right).$$

Therefore

$$\frac{x(x+1)}{2} \log a = O\left(x^{1+a+1/k} \frac{1}{\sqrt[k]{\log x}}\right), \quad \frac{x(x+1)}{2x^2} \log a \leq O x^{1+a+1/k-2} \frac{1}{\sqrt[k]{\log x}}.$$

As $1 + a + 1/k - 2 \leq 0$, we have $\log a / 2 \leq 0$ — which is a contradiction. The proof is thus finished.

References

- [1] P. Erdős, *On the greatest prime factor of $\prod_{k=1}^n f(k)$* , J. London Math. Soc. 27 (1952), p. 379-384.
- [2] E. Landau, *Handbuch der Lehre von der Verteilung der Primzahlen*, Bd. 1, Leipzig u. Berlin 1909.
- [3] P. G. Lejeune-Dirichlet, *Vorlesungen über Zahlentheorie*, Braunschweig 1894.
- [4] W. Sierpiński, *Teoria liczb*, Warszawa-Wrocław 1950.
- [5] И. М. Виноградов, *Основы теории чисел*, Москва-Ленинград 1949.