

## On explicit relations between cyclotomic numbers

by

MARC CONRAD (Saarbrücken)

**1. Introduction.** For  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  let  $\varepsilon_n$  be a primitive  $n$ th root of unity and  $D^{(n)}$  the multiplicative group generated by the elements  $1 - \varepsilon_n^k$  with  $k \not\equiv 0 \pmod n$  modulo roots of unity in order to avoid torsion. We call  $D^{(n)}$  the group of *cyclotomic numbers*. This group and its subgroups, especially the group of *cyclotomic units*, have often been subject of investigation (see [1], [5]–[8], [11]). Here, we focus on the relations between the generators  $1 - \varepsilon_n^k$ .

In  $D^{(n)}$  there are two types of relations which we call the *obvious relations*.

- Relations arising by relative norms in cyclotomic fields. They can be deduced from the polynomial identity

$$(1) \quad \prod_{\nu=0}^{p-1} (1 - x\varepsilon_p^\nu) = 1 - x^p$$

where  $p$  is a prime, by inserting an appropriate root of unity for  $x$ .

- Relations arising by complex conjugation:

$$(2) \quad 1 - \varepsilon_n = -\varepsilon_n \overline{(1 - \varepsilon_n)} = -\varepsilon_n (1 - \varepsilon_n^{-1}).$$

Surprisingly, Ennola [5] gave for  $n = 105$  a relation that is not generated by the obvious relations. In the following we will call such a relation an *Ennola relation*. Schmidt investigated in [8] the gap between the obvious relations and all relations. He connected this gap to cohomology groups which have been computed in [10].

Here, we will give explicit algorithms on how to construct all Ennola relations in  $D^{(n)}$  for an arbitrary  $n$ . This will be done in a general context. We consider a free  $\mathbb{Z}$ -module  $M$  with an involution  $\sigma$  operating on it and introduce algorithms focusing on the construction of special bases of  $M$ , the so called  $\sigma$ -bases, that lead to generators of the torsion group of  $M/(1 - \sigma)M$

---

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: 11R18, 20F05.

and  $M/(1 + \sigma)M$ . Then we introduce a module  $\mathcal{L}(n)$  for which we have by [2] an exact sequence

$$(3) \quad 0 \rightarrow T(n) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(n)/(1 - \sigma)\mathcal{L}(n) \rightarrow D^{(n)} \rightarrow 1$$

where  $T(n)$  is the torsion group of  $\mathcal{L}(n)/(1 - \sigma)\mathcal{L}(n)$ . The relations in (1) correspond to relations in  $\mathcal{L}(n)$  and the relations in (2) correspond to the factorization by  $(1 - \sigma)\mathcal{L}(n)$ . Thus, the Ennola relations are implicitly given in the torsion group  $T(n)$ , and the construction of a  $\sigma$ -basis of  $\mathcal{L}(n)$  allows the construction of the elements of  $T(n)$  explicitly.

In the last two sections we present similar results for the group of *relative* cyclotomic numbers and the Stickelberger ideal.

**2.  $\sigma$ -bases.** In the following all modules are finitely generated  $\mathbb{Z}$ -modules. When we speak of a basis we mean a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -basis. We assume further that an involution  $\sigma$  operates on each module. The homomorphisms between two modules will always be compatible with the action of  $\sigma$ . So, we will have in fact  $\mathbb{Z}[\sigma]$ -modules and  $\mathbb{Z}[\sigma]$ -homomorphisms.

For a set  $B$ , a module  $M$  and a mapping  $\xi : B \rightarrow M$  we say that  $B$  *induces* a basis of  $M$  if the set  $\{\xi(b) : b \in B\}$  is a basis of  $M$ . Saying that some set is a basis of  $M$  includes that  $M$  is free.

A  $\sigma$ -*basis* of a module  $M$  is defined as a triple  $[E^0, E^+, E^-]$  of subsets of  $M$  such that the union  $B = E^0 \cup \sigma E^0 \cup E^+ \cup E^-$  is disjoint,  $B$  is a basis of  $M$  and the two conditions

- (i)  $\sigma e = e$  for  $e \in E^+$ ,
- (ii)  $\sigma e = -e$  for  $e \in E^-$

hold. We write  $B = [E^0, E^+, E^-]$  for short, regarding the triple as a subset of  $M$ . Note that the structure of  $\mathbb{Z}[G]$ -modules where  $G$  is an abelian group is well known [4] and therefore the existence of a  $\sigma$ -basis for every  $\mathbb{Z}[\sigma]$ -module is obvious. See also Remark 2.8.

In the following we state some results about  $\sigma$ -bases and give algorithms how to construct them. The proofs of the lemmata and the verification of the algorithms are straightforward.

LEMMA 2.1. *The set  $(1 - \sigma)E^0 \cup E^-$  is a basis of  $\ker_M(1 + \sigma)$  and the set  $(1 + \sigma)E^0 \cup E^+$  is a basis of  $\ker_M(1 - \sigma)$ .*

LEMMA 2.2. *The set  $E^-$  generates the torsion subgroup of  $M/(1 - \sigma)M$ , that is, the torsion elements are of the form  $\sum_{e \in E^-} \delta_e e + (1 - \sigma)M$  with  $\delta_e \in \{0, 1\}$ . Similarly,  $E^+$  generates the torsion subgroup of  $M/(1 + \sigma)M$ .*

REMARK 2.3. The values  $m^+ = m^+(M) = |E^+|$  and  $m^- = m^-(M) = |E^-|$  are invariants of  $M$ . They are independent of a special choice of  $E^+$  or  $E^-$ . More concretely,  $m^+$  is the dimension of the  $\mathbb{F}_2$ -vector space  $H^0(\sigma, M)$ , and  $m^-$  is the dimension of  $H^1(\sigma, M)$ .

ALGORITHM 2.4. Let  $C = [F^0, F^+, F^-]$  be a  $\sigma$ -basis of another module  $L$ . Then  $[G^0, G^+, G^-] \subseteq M \times L$  with

$$\begin{aligned} G^0 &= (E^0 \times C) \cup (E^+ \times F^0) \cup (E^- \times F^0), \\ G^+ &= (E^+ \times F^+) \cup (E^- \times F^-), \\ G^- &= (E^+ \times F^-) \cup (E^- \times F^+) \end{aligned}$$

induces a  $\sigma$ -basis of  $M \otimes L$ .

A direct consequence of Algorithm 2.4 is the following lemma.

LEMMA 2.5. For  $i = 1, \dots, r$  let  $M_i$  be a module with a  $\sigma$ -basis  $[E_i^0, \emptyset, E_i^-]$ . The repeated application of Algorithm 2.4 leads to a  $\sigma$ -basis  $[F^0, F^+, F^-]$  of  $\bigotimes_{i=1}^r M_i$  with

$$\begin{cases} F^+ = E_1^- \times \dots \times E_r^- & \text{and } F^- = \emptyset & \text{if } r \text{ is even,} \\ F^+ = \emptyset & \text{and } F^- = E_1^- \times \dots \times E_r^- & \text{if } r \text{ is odd.} \end{cases}$$

ALGORITHM 2.6. Given sets  $B, C$  and an exact sequence of modules

$$(4) \quad 0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow L \rightarrow K \rightarrow 0$$

such that  $B$  is a  $\sigma$ -basis of  $M$  and  $\emptyset \neq C \subseteq L$  induces a  $\sigma$ -basis of  $K$ . We show how to construct sets  $B'$  and  $C'$  such that

- (i)  $B'$  is a  $\sigma$ -basis of  $M' = \langle B' \rangle$ ,
- (ii)  $C'$  is a  $\sigma$ -basis of  $K' = \langle C' \rangle$ ,
- (iii) the sequence  $0 \rightarrow M' \rightarrow L \rightarrow K' \rightarrow 0$  is exact,
- (iv)  $|C'| < |C|$ .

We write  $B = [F^0, F^+, F^-]$  and  $C = [E^0, E^+, E^-]$ . Without loss of generality we assume that  $M$  is a submodule of  $L$ . If  $E^0 \neq \emptyset$  we define  $B' = [F^0 \cup E^0, F^+, F^-]$  and  $C' = [\emptyset, E^+, E^-]$ . Otherwise we choose an  $e \in C$  and set  $C' = C \setminus \{e\}$ . The basis  $B' = [G^0, G^+, G^-]$  is now defined through the following cases.

$c \in E^+$ : We have  $c - \sigma c \in \ker_M(1 + \sigma) \subseteq M$ . Therefore, by Lemma 2.1, we find  $a \in M$  and  $F' \subseteq F^-$  such that  $c - \sigma c = (1 - \sigma)a + \sum_{b \in F'} b$ . Let  $f = c - a$ .

- If  $F' = \emptyset$  we define  $G^0 = F^0$ ,  $G^+ = F^+ \cup \{f\}$  and  $G^- = F^-$ .
- If  $F' \neq \emptyset$  we choose  $f' \in F'$  and define  $G^0 = F^0 \cup \{f\}$ ,  $G^+ = F^+$  and  $G^- = F^- \setminus \{f'\}$ .

$c \in E^-$ : Analogously to the first case we have  $a \in M$  and  $F' \subseteq F^+$  such that  $c + \sigma c = (1 + \sigma)a + \sum_{b \in F'} b$ . Let  $f = c - a$ .

- If  $F' = \emptyset$  we define  $G^0 = F^0$ ,  $G^+ = F^+$  and  $G^- = F^- \cup \{f\}$ .
- If  $F' \neq \emptyset$  we choose  $f' \in F'$  and define  $G^0 = F^0 \cup \{f\}$ ,  $G^+ = F^+ \setminus \{f'\}$  and  $G^- = F^-$ .

ALGORITHM 2.7. Given sets  $B, C$  and an exact sequence  $0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow L \rightarrow K \rightarrow 0$  such that  $B$  is a  $\sigma$ -basis of  $M$  and  $C$  is a  $\sigma$ -basis of  $K$  we construct a  $\sigma$ -basis of  $L$  by successively applying Algorithm 2.6 until we have an exact sequence  $0 \rightarrow M' \rightarrow L \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow 0$  with a  $\sigma$ -basis of  $M' \cong L$ .

REMARK 2.8. Algorithm 2.7 gives an easy proof that every free module  $L$  has a  $\sigma$ -basis:

Let  $M = \{a \in L : \sigma a = a\}$  and  $B$  be a basis of  $M$ . Obviously,  $[\emptyset, B, \emptyset]$  is a  $\sigma$ -basis of  $M$  and any set  $C \subseteq L$  which induces a basis of  $L/M$  induces a  $\sigma$ -basis  $[\emptyset, \emptyset, C]$  of  $L/M$  (note that  $L/M$  is free). Algorithm 2.7 for the exact sequence

$$(5) \quad 0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow L \rightarrow L/M \rightarrow 0$$

leads then to a  $\sigma$ -basis of  $L$ .

We recall the definition of a  $M\mathcal{E}\mathfrak{n}$ -system as introduced in [2]. In the following let  $\Delta$  be a finite, partially ordered indexing set. For a set  $A$  we denote by  $\langle A \rangle$  the module generated by  $A$ .

DEFINITION 2.9. For every  $d \in \Delta$  let  $M_d$  be a module,  $\mathcal{E}_d$  a  $\sigma$ -invariant subset of  $M_d$  and  $\mathfrak{n}_d : \mathcal{E}_d \rightarrow \bigoplus_{t < d} M_t$  a mapping. We call such a system of triples  $(M_d, \mathcal{E}_d, \mathfrak{n}_d)_{d \in \Delta}$  a  $M\mathcal{E}\mathfrak{n}$ -system.

Let  $N'_d = \bigoplus_{t < d} M_t$  and  $Q'_d = \sum_{t < d} \langle r + \mathfrak{n}_t(r) : r \in \mathcal{E}_t \rangle \leq N'_d$ . The  $M\mathcal{E}\mathfrak{n}$ -system  $\Gamma$  is *combinable* if the mappings  $\mathfrak{n}_d$  can be extended to  $\sigma$ -homomorphisms

$$(6) \quad \bar{\mathfrak{n}}_d : \langle \mathcal{E}_d \rangle \rightarrow N'_d/Q'_d.$$

In this case  $\Gamma$  defines the module  $\mathcal{L} = N/Q$  with  $N = \bigoplus_{t \in \Delta} M_t$  and  $Q = \sum_{t \in \Delta} \langle r + \mathfrak{n}_t(r) : r \in \mathcal{E}_t \rangle$ . We call  $\mathcal{L}$  the *combination* of  $\Gamma$ .

ALGORITHM 2.10. We show how to construct explicitly a  $\sigma$ -basis of  $\mathcal{L}$  from  $\sigma$ -bases of the modules  $M_d/\langle \mathcal{E}_d \rangle$ .

We complete the ordering of  $\Delta$  and may assume  $\Delta = \{1, \dots, n\}$ . For  $i \in \Delta$  let  $N_i = M_1 \oplus \dots \oplus M_i$  and

$$(7) \quad Q_i = \sum_{j=1}^i \langle r + \mathfrak{n}_j(r) : r \in \mathcal{E}_j \rangle \leq N_i.$$

From [2] we know that the sequences

$$(8) \quad 0 \rightarrow N_{i-1}/Q_{i-1} \rightarrow N_i/Q_i \rightarrow M_i/\langle \mathcal{E}_i \rangle \rightarrow 0$$

(with  $N_0 = Q_0 = \{0\}$ ) are exact. Starting with  $i = 1$  and using Algorithm 2.7 we successively construct a  $\sigma$ -basis of  $N_i/Q_i$  from  $\sigma$ -bases of  $M_i/\langle \mathcal{E}_i \rangle$  and  $N_{i-1}/Q_{i-1}$ . The algorithm ends for  $i = n$  and we obtain a  $\sigma$ -basis of  $\mathcal{L} = N_n/Q_n$ .

**3. The cyclotomic system.** In this section we recall the definitions and the properties of the cyclotomic module and the cyclotomic system. More details and proofs of the lemmata can be found in [2]. For a subset  $S$  of a module  $M$  we write  $\Sigma S$  for  $\sum_{s \in S} s$ . Further, let  $G_d = \{1 \leq a < d : (a, d) = 1\}$  and for each  $d > 2$  we fix a subset  $H_d \subseteq G_d$  such that for all  $a \in G_d$  either  $a \in H_d$  or  $d - a \in H_d$ . For instance we can choose  $H_d = \{1, \dots, \lfloor d/2 \rfloor\} \cap G_d$ .

DEFINITION 3.1. For  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  we define the *cyclotomic module*  $Z(n)$  as follows:

If  $n = p$  prime then  $Z(p) = \langle G_p \rangle / \langle \Sigma G_p \rangle$ .

If  $n = q = p^\alpha$  with  $\alpha > 1$  then

$$Z(q) = \langle G_{q/p} \rangle \otimes \langle A_p \rangle / \langle \Sigma A_p \rangle \quad \text{with } A_p = \{0, \dots, p - 1\}.$$

If  $n = q_1 \dots q_r$  where the  $q_i$  are powers of distinct primes then

$$Z(n) = Z(q_1) \otimes \dots \otimes Z(q_r).$$

We define the operation of  $\sigma$  on  $b \in G_d$  by  $\sigma b = d - b$  and on  $a \in A_p$  by  $\sigma a = p - 1 - a$ . By this  $Z(n)$  becomes a module with an involution  $\sigma$ .

LEMMA 3.2. We have  $Z(n) \cong \langle G_n \rangle / R_n$  where the submodule  $R_n$  of  $\langle G_n \rangle$  is generated by  $\mathcal{E}_n = \{s(n, p, a) : p \mid n \text{ with } p \text{ prime, } a \in G_{n/p}\}$  with

$$(9) \quad s(n, p, a) = \Sigma \{x \in G_n : x \equiv a \pmod{(n/p)}\}.$$

REMARK 3.3. The isomorphism in Lemma 3.2 can be described explicitly: We order the prime factors  $p_i$  of  $n$  such that  $n = p_1^{\alpha_1} \dots p_t^{\alpha_t} p_{t+1} \dots p_r$  with  $\alpha_i > 1$  for  $i = 1, \dots, t$ . Further, we set  $q_i = p_i^{\alpha_i}$  if  $i = 1, \dots, t$  and  $q_i = p_i$  otherwise. Let

$$(10) \quad S = G_{q_1/p_1} \times A_{p_1} \times \dots \times G_{q_t/p_t} \times A_{p_t} \times G_{p_{t+1}} \times \dots \times G_{p_r}.$$

It is obvious, by the definition of the tensor product, that  $Z(n)$  is isomorphic to  $\langle S \rangle$  modulo suitable relations. We obtain  $S \cong G_n$  by a combination of isomorphisms  $\xi_i$  and  $\eta$  in the following way:

$$(11) \quad \begin{array}{ccccccc} \underbrace{G_{q_1/p_1} \times A_{p_1}} & \times \dots \times & \underbrace{G_{q_t/p_t} \times A_{p_t}} & \times & G_{p_{t+1}} & \times \dots \times & G_{p_r} \\ \downarrow \xi_1 & & \downarrow \xi_t & & \downarrow \text{id} & & \downarrow \text{id} \\ G_{q_1} & \times \dots \times & G_{q_t} & \times & G_{q_{t+1}} & \times \dots \times & G_{q_r} \\ & & & \downarrow \eta & & & \\ & & & G_n & & & \end{array}$$

The maps  $\xi_i$  for  $i = 1, \dots, t$  are explicitly given by  $\xi_i(b, a) = ap_i^{\alpha_i - 1} + b$ . The map  $\eta$  is defined by the Chinese remainder theorem, that is,  $\eta^{-1}$  is the map  $a \mapsto a \pmod{q_i}$  in each component  $G_{q_i}$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, r$ .

DEFINITION 3.4. For  $d \in \mathbb{N}$  let  $M_d = \langle G_d \rangle$ . If  $d$  is not a prime we denote by  $\mathcal{E}_d \subseteq M_d$  the set of the sums  $s(d, p, a)$  as in Lemma 3.2. For  $d$  prime we define  $\mathcal{E}_d = \emptyset$ . On  $\mathcal{E}_d$  we define the mapping

$$(12) \quad \mathbf{n}_d : \mathcal{E}_d \rightarrow \bigoplus_{t|d, t \neq d} M_t, \quad s(d, p, a) \mapsto \begin{cases} -[d/p; a] & \text{if } p^2 \mid d, \\ [d/p; p^{-1}a] - [d/p; a] & \text{if } p^2 \nmid d, \end{cases}$$

where  $[m; x]$  denotes  $y \in G_m$  with  $x \equiv y \pmod{m}$ .

For  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  we call the  $M\mathcal{E}\mathbf{n}$ -system  $\Gamma(n) = (M_d, \mathcal{E}_d, \mathbf{n}_d)_{d|n}$  the  $n$ th *cyclotomic system*.

LEMMA 3.5. (a)  $\Gamma(n)$  is combinable. Let  $\mathcal{L}(n)$  be the combination of  $\Gamma(n)$ .

(b) Let  $n > 2$  and  $r$  be the number of prime factors of  $n$ . Then

$$(i) \quad H^0(\sigma, \mathcal{L}(n)) \cong \begin{cases} \mathbb{F}_2^{2^{r-1}-1} & \text{if } n \not\equiv 2 \pmod{4}, \\ \mathbb{F}_2^{2^{r-2}} & \text{if } n \equiv 2 \pmod{4}. \end{cases}$$

$$(ii) \quad H^1(\sigma, \mathcal{L}(n)) \cong \begin{cases} \mathbb{F}_2^{2^{r-1}-r} & \text{if } n \not\equiv 2 \pmod{4}, \\ \mathbb{F}_2^{2^{r-2}-r+1} & \text{if } n \equiv 2 \pmod{4}. \end{cases}$$

(c) The sequences in (8) for the cyclotomic system split over  $\sigma$  (with one exception for  $n = 4$ ). This has in particular the consequence that in Algorithm 2.6 only the case  $F' = \emptyset$  occurs.

(d) The sequence

$$(13) \quad 0 \rightarrow T(n) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(n)/(1-\sigma)\mathcal{L}(n) \xrightarrow{\bar{\mu}} D^{(n)} \rightarrow 1$$

where  $T(n)$  is the torsion subgroup of  $\mathcal{L}(n)/(1-\sigma)\mathcal{L}(n)$ , is exact. The homomorphism  $\bar{\mu}$  is defined by the maps  $\mu_d : G_d \rightarrow D^{(n)}$ ,  $a \mapsto 1 - \varepsilon_d^a$ , for  $d|n$  where  $\varepsilon_d$  is a primitive  $d$ th root of unity such that  $\varepsilon_d = \varepsilon_t^{t/d}$  whenever  $d|t$ .

(e) Let  $K^{(n)} = \prod_{d|n, d \neq n} D^{(d)}$ . We call  $\widehat{D}^{(n)} = D^{(n)}/K^{(n)}$  the group of  $n$ th relative cyclotomic numbers. Then for  $n \neq 4$  we have an exact sequence

$$(14) \quad 0 \rightarrow \widehat{T}(n) \rightarrow Y(n)/(1-\sigma)Y(n) \xrightarrow{\bar{\mu}} \widehat{D}^{(n)} \rightarrow 1$$

where  $Y(n) = M_n/\langle \mathcal{E}_n \rangle$  with  $M_n$  and  $\mathcal{E}_n$  as in Definition 3.4.

**Table 1.** Some examples of  $\sigma$ -bases

Module	$\sigma$ -basis
$\langle G_2 \rangle$	$[\emptyset, \{1\}, \emptyset]$
$\langle G_p \rangle$ , $p \neq 2$ , $p$ prime	$[H_p, \emptyset, \emptyset]$
$Z(2)$	$[\emptyset, \emptyset, \emptyset]$
$Z(p)$ , $p \neq 2$ , $p$ prime	$[\{2, \dots, (p-1)/2\}, \emptyset, \Sigma H_p]$
$Z(4)$	$[\emptyset, \emptyset, \{(1, 0)\}]$
$Z(q)$ , $q = p^\alpha$ , $\alpha > 1$ , $p$ prime, $q \neq 4$	$[\{(b, a) : b \in H_{q/p}, 1 \leq a < p\}, \emptyset, \emptyset]$

Note that using Algorithm 2.10 we can construct a  $\sigma$ -basis of  $\mathcal{L}(n)$  from  $\sigma$ -bases of the modules  $Y(d)$  for  $d|n$ . Using the isomorphism of Lemma 3.2 and Algorithm 2.4 we are able to construct  $\sigma$ -bases of the  $Y(d)$  from the  $\sigma$ -bases from Table 1.

**4. Ennola relations.** In this section we have a closer look at the exact sequence (13). Note that the norm relations as in (1) are given implicitly in (13) as the relations of the form  $s(d, p, a) + \mathbf{n}_d(s(d, p, a))$  in the definition of  $\mathcal{L}(n)$ . The relations (2) correspond to factoring by  $(1 - \sigma)\mathcal{L}(n)$ .

ALGORITHM 4.1. We construct Ennola relations for  $D^{(n)}$  performing the following steps.

1. With the algorithms of Section 2 compute a  $\sigma$ -basis  $[E^0, E^+, E^-]$  of  $\mathcal{L}(n)$ .
2. Each element of  $E^-$  gives by Lemma 2.2 a nontrivial element of  $T(n)$  which is a relation in  $D^{(n)}$  via the mapping  $\bar{\mu}$  in (13).

Note that Algorithm 4.1 has been implemented in a C++ extension of the computer algebra system SIMATH [9]. A description of the implementation and examples can be found in [3].

The smallest number where  $T(n)$  is nontrivial is  $n = 60$ . An Ennola relation in  $D^{(60)}$  is

$$(15) \quad (1 - \varepsilon_{60})(1 - \varepsilon_{60}^{37})(1 - \varepsilon_{20}^{17})^{-1}(1 - \varepsilon_{15})^{-1}(1 - \varepsilon_{12})^{-1} = \varepsilon_{15}$$

where  $\varepsilon_d = \varepsilon_n^{n/d}$  for  $d|n$ .

THEOREM 4.2. For  $n > 2$  let  $r$  be the number of prime factors of  $n$  and

$$(16) \quad c = \begin{cases} 2^{r-1} - r & \text{for } n \not\equiv 2 \pmod{4}, \\ 2^{r-2} - r + 1 & \text{for } n \equiv 2 \pmod{4}. \end{cases}$$

Then there exist in  $D^{(n)}$  exactly  $2^c - 1$  different Ennola relations which are generated by  $c$  relations. More exactly, we have elements  $d_1, \dots, d_c$  in the free group generated by the set  $\{1 - \varepsilon_d^a : d|n, a \in G_d\}$  such that the Ennola relations are of the form  $\prod_{i=1}^c d_i^{\delta_i}$  with  $\delta_i \in \{0, 1\}$ . The  $d_i$  for  $i = 1, \dots, c$  can be constructed explicitly with Algorithm 4.1.

PROOF. Let  $[E^0, E^+, E^-]$  be a  $\sigma$ -basis of  $\mathcal{L}(n)$ . Then we have  $c = |E^-|$  by Lemma 2.2. Because  $|E^-|$  is the dimension of  $H^1(\sigma, \mathcal{L}(n))$  the claim follows from Lemma 3.5. ■

As noted in Lemma 3.5, we see that in the process of constructing a  $\sigma$ -basis of  $\mathcal{L}(n)$  in Algorithm 2.6 for  $n > 4$  always the subcase  $F' = \emptyset$  happens. So we can force  $T(d) \subseteq T(n)$  for  $d|n$  and get immediately the following corollary.

**COROLLARY 4.3.** *Let  $D^{(\infty)} = \bigcup_{d \in \mathbb{N}} D^{(d)}$ . Then there exist elements  $d_1, d_2, \dots$  in the free group generated by the set  $\{1 - \varepsilon_d^a : d \in \mathbb{N}, a \in G_d\}$  such that the Ennola relations are of the form  $\prod_{i \in I} d_i^{\delta_i}$  with  $\delta_i \in \{0, 1\}$  where  $I$  is a finite subset of  $\mathbb{N}$ . The  $d_i$  for  $i \in \mathbb{N}$  can be constructed explicitly with Algorithm 4.1.*

A closer look at the explicit structure of the Ennola relations is taken in the next section.

**5. Ennola relations in  $\widehat{D^{(n)}}$ .** Let  $n \neq 4$ . We write  $n = q_1 \dots q_r$  where the  $q_i$  are powers of distinct primes.

**LEMMA 5.1.** *The torsion group  $\widehat{T}(n)$  in (14) is isomorphic to  $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$  in the following two cases:*

$$(17) \quad \begin{aligned} & r \text{ odd, } r \neq 1 \text{ and } n = u, \\ & r \text{ odd, } r \neq 1 \text{ and } n = 4u, \end{aligned}$$

where  $u$  is odd and square free. In all other cases  $\widehat{T}(n)$  is trivial.

**Proof.** By Lemma 2.2,  $\widehat{T}(n)$  is generated by the set  $E^-$  where  $[E^0, E^+, E^-]$  is a  $\sigma$ -basis of  $Y(n)$ . If  $n = p$  is a prime then  $Y(p) = \langle G_p \rangle$  and Table 1 shows  $E^- = \emptyset$ . In the other cases  $Y(n) \cong Z(q_1) \otimes \dots \otimes Z(q_r)$  by Lemma 3.2. Here, Lemma 2.5 shows how we obtain a  $\sigma$ -basis of  $Z(n)$  from  $\sigma$ -bases of the  $Z(q_i)$  which are given in Table 1. Note that  $E^- = \emptyset$  if for at least one of the  $\sigma$ -bases  $[E_i^0, \emptyset, E_i^-]$  of the modules  $Z(q_i)$  we have  $E_i^- = \emptyset$ . ■

**THEOREM 5.2.** *The relations in the group of relative cyclotomic numbers  $\widehat{D^{(n)}} = D^{(n)}/K^{(n)}$  are the obvious relations (as in (1) and (2)) and in the cases of (17) Ennola relations. The latter are given implicitly by the set  $\widehat{T}(n)$  in (14) and can be explicitly written as*

$$(18) \quad \prod_{a \in V_n} (1 - \varepsilon_n^a) \in K^{(n)}$$

where  $V_n \cong H_{q_1} \times \dots \times H_{q_r}$  via the isomorphism  $\eta$  given in (11).

**Proof.** Lemma 5.1 describes  $\widehat{T}(n)$  and how it can be constructed explicitly from a  $\sigma$ -basis of the modules  $Z(q_i)$ . The isomorphism in Remark 3.3 shows (18). ■

**6. Stickelberger elements.** Let  $I_n$  be the fractional ideal in the group ring  $\mathbb{Q}[G_n]$  which is generated by the Stickelberger elements

$$(19) \quad \theta(a) = \sum_{\tau \in G_n} \langle -a\tau/n \rangle \tau^{-1}$$



and  $\omega_n = \Sigma G_n$  for  $n$  odd and  $\omega_n = \frac{1}{2}\Sigma G_n$  for  $n$  even. There is a strong connection between the relations of cyclotomic numbers and the relations between Stickelberger elements (see [6], [8]). In our context, in analogy to the exact sequence (13), the sequence

$$(20) \quad 0 \rightarrow T'(n) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(n)/(1 + \sigma)\mathcal{L}(n) \xrightarrow{\bar{\nu}} I_n/\langle \omega_n \rangle \rightarrow 0$$

is exact where the homomorphism  $\bar{\nu}$  is defined by the maps  $\nu_d : G_d \rightarrow I_n$ ,  $a \mapsto \theta(an/d)$ .

This situation can be handled as in the case of cyclotomic numbers. We call the elements of  $T'(n)$  Ennola relations.

ALGORITHM 6.1. We construct Ennola relations for  $I_n/\langle \omega_n \rangle$  performing the following steps.

1. As in Algorithm 4.1, compute a  $\sigma$ -basis  $[E^0, E^+, E^-]$  of  $\mathcal{L}(n)$ .
2. Each element of  $E^+$  leads to a nontrivial element of  $T'(n)$  which is a relation in  $I_n/\langle \omega_n \rangle$  via the mapping  $\bar{\nu}$  in (20).

THEOREM 6.2. For  $n > 2$  let  $r$  be the number of prime factors of  $n$  and

$$(21) \quad c' = \begin{cases} 2^{r-1} - 1 & \text{for } n \not\equiv 2 \pmod{4}, \\ 2^{r-2} & \text{for } n \equiv 2 \pmod{4}. \end{cases}$$

Then there exist in  $I_n/\langle \omega_n \rangle$  exactly  $2^{c'}$  different Ennola relations which are generated by  $c'$  different relations. These relations can be constructed explicitly with Algorithm 6.1.

As an example, we show an Ennola relation for  $n = 15$ . We have

$$(22) \quad \theta(1) + \theta(7) - \theta(3) - \theta(5) = 0.$$

REMARK 6.3. There is no canonical definition of a “relative Stickelberger ideal” as there is one for relative cyclotomic numbers. However, we can investigate the torsion group  $\widehat{T}'(n)$  of  $Y(n)/(1 + \sigma)Y(n)$  and get in analogy to Lemma 5.1 the following result:

The torsion group  $\widehat{T}'(n)$  is isomorphic to  $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$  in the following two cases:

$$(23) \quad \begin{aligned} &r \text{ even and } n = u, \\ &r \text{ even and } n = 4u, \end{aligned}$$

where  $u$  is odd and square free. In all other cases  $\widehat{T}'(n)$  is trivial.

### References

- [1] H. Bass, *Generators and relations for cyclotomic units*, Nagoya Math. J. 27 (1966), 401–407.
- [2] M. Conrad, *Construction of bases for the group of cyclotomic units*, J. Number Theory, to appear.

- [3] M. Conrad, *Basen von Moduln mit Anwendung auf Kreiseinheiten und Stickelberger-elemente*, Dissertation an der Universität des Saarlandes, Saarbrücken, 1997.
- [4] C. W. Curtis and I. Reiner, *Representation Theory of Finite Groups and Associative Algebras*, Interscience, New York, 1966.
- [5] V. Ennola, *On relations between cyclotomic units*, J. Number Theory 4 (1972), 236–247.
- [6] R. Kučera, *On bases of the Stickelberger ideal and of the group of circular units of a cyclotomic field*, *ibid.* 40 (1992), 284–316.
- [7] K. Ramachandra, *On the units of cyclotomic fields*, Acta Arith. 12 (1966), 165–173.
- [8] C.-G. Schmidt, *Die Relationsfaktorgruppen von Stickelberger-Elementen und Kreiszahlen*, J. Reine Angew. Math. 315 (1980), 60–72.
- [9] SIMATH, *Ein Computeralgebrasystem für algorithmische Zahlentheorie*, <http://simath.math.uni-sb.de>.
- [10] W. Sinnott, *On the Stickelberger ideal and the circular units of a cyclotomic field*, Ann. of Math. 108 (1978), 107–134.
- [11] L. C. Washington, *Introduction to Cyclotomic Fields*, Springer, New York, 1997.

Gärtnerstraße 5  
D-66117 Saarbrücken  
Germany  
E-mail: [marc@math.uni-sb.de](mailto:marc@math.uni-sb.de)  
Web: <http://emmy.math.uni-sb.de/~marc>

*Received on 22.3.1999  
and in revised form on 28.10.1999*

(3575)