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1. Introduction. Every irrational number in $(0, 1)$ can be uniquely expanded into an Engel series

$$x = \frac{1}{q_1} + \frac{1}{q_1q_2} + \ldots + \frac{1}{q_1q_2 \ldots q_n} + \ldots$$

where the $q_i$ are positive integers satisfying $2 \leq q_1 \leq q_2 \leq \ldots$. We denote the series in (1) by $\langle q_1, q_2, \ldots \rangle_E$. Erdős, Rényi and Szüsz [2] studied the metrical properties of Engel’s expansions completing the results announced by Borel [1] and Lévy [6].

The alternated version of Engel’s series is known as Pierce expansion: for an irrational $x$ in $(0, 1]$ we have the unique expansion

$$x = \frac{1}{q_1} - \frac{1}{q_1q_2} + \ldots + \frac{(-1)^{n+1}}{q_1q_2 \ldots q_n} + \ldots$$

In this case, the $q_n$ are positive integers satisfying $1 \leq q_1 < q_2 < \ldots$. We denote the right-hand side of (2) by $\langle q_1, q_2, \ldots \rangle_P$. The $q_n$, in both Engel and Pierce cases, are called elements or partial quotients.

Both expansions were studied by Sierpiński [14]. The metrical theory of Pierce expansions was studied by Shallit [12]. More recent contributions to the subject are found in [7, 8, 11, 13].

In the first part of a 1962 paper [9], Rényi provided a shorter way of reaching most of the results of [2]. Of these, the more spectacular is initially due to Borel [1], and states that for almost all $x \in (0, 1]$, the elements of their Engel series satisfy

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \sqrt[n]{q_n(x)} = e.$$ 

In [12] Shallit proved that the same holds for Pierce expansions. These (and other) coincident results indicate that the growth of the sequence of elements
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of Engel’s series and Pierce expansions is about the same (with measure 1). This had already been noted by Rényi and by Williams [15].

In the second part of [9], Rényi proved for Engel’s series that:

(i) For almost all \( x \) the sequence \( q_n(x) \) is strictly increasing for \( n \geq n_0(x) \).

(ii) The probability of \( q_n(x) \) being strictly increasing from the very beginning is exactly \( 1/2 \).

The first problem cannot be directly translated into Pierce expansions as the sequence of partial quotients \( q_n \) is already strictly increasing. Nevertheless, we can ask about the measure of the set of those \( x \) at which the “jump” between two consecutive elements is greater than a fixed positive integer \( k \). Of course, this generalization also applies to Engel’s series. Galambos [4, p. 298] proved that for almost all \( x \in (0,1] \),

\[
\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{\log(q_n - q_{n-1})}{n} = 1,
\]

a result that obviously implies the generalization of Rényi’s first problem.

Rényi’s problem (ii) in its original setting is easy to solve, but its generalization is not so easy to tackle. That is the aim of the present article: to extend result (ii) above, for both Engel and Pierce cases, by computing the exact measure of the set of all \( x \) at which the jump between consecutive elements is greater than or equal to \( k \) from the very beginning (Section 4).

In Section 2 we summarize some results on Pierce and Engel series, and Section 3 consists of two lemmas needed in the following.

2. Some metrical results. The results of this section alongside with their proofs can be found in [2, 12]. All values \( x \) will always refer to real numbers in \((0,1] \), and \( \lambda A \) will denote the Lebesgue measure of the set \( A \subset [0,1] \).

Given a finite sequence of positive integers of length \( r \), \( a_1, \ldots, a_r \), satisfying \( a_1 < \ldots < a_r \) in the case of Pierce and \( 2 \leq a_1 \leq \ldots \leq a_r \) in the case of Engel (admissible or realizable sequences), a cylinder of rank \( r \) is the set

\[
C_r(a_1, \ldots, a_r) = \{ x : q_1(x) = a_1, \ldots, q_r(x) = a_r \}.
\]

In the case of Pierce expansions, cylinders are intervals with endpoints \( \langle a_1, \ldots, a_r \rangle_P \) and \( \langle a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_r + 1 \rangle_P \) taken in proper order, and length

\[
|C_r(a_1, \ldots, a_r)| = \frac{1}{a_1 \ldots a_r(a_r + 1)}.
\]

(\( |I| \) denotes the length of the interval \( I \).)
For Engel’s case, cylinders are intervals with endpoints \((a_1, \ldots, a_r)_E\) and \((a_1, \ldots, a_r - 1)_E\) and length

\[ |C_r(a_1, \ldots, a_r)| = \frac{1}{a_1 \ldots a_r (a_r - 1)}. \]

The sets with which the metrical theory concerns itself are, in general, expressed as unions of disjoint cylinders. In the case of Pierce expansions, this computation depends on the value of sums of the type

\[ A_r(m, n) = \sum_{m \leq q_1 < \cdots < q_r \leq n} \frac{1}{q_1 \cdots q_r}, \]

where \(m\) and \(n\) are two positive integers \((m < n)\) and \(0 < r \leq n - m\). That is, the sum extends over the set of Pierce admissible sequences of length \(r\) whose elements are in the range \([m, n]\). The consideration of Engel’s admissible sequences gives rise to the same type of sums, where repetitions are allowed, that is, sums of the type

\[ B_r(m, n) = \sum_{m \leq q_1 \leq \cdots \leq q_r \leq n} \frac{1}{q_1 \cdots q_r}. \]

In this case, each sum depends on \(r\), \(0 \leq r < \infty\).

Since for fixed \(m, n\) the numbers \(A_r\) are nothing but the elementary symmetric functions for the polynomial whose roots are \(\left\{ \frac{1}{m}, \frac{1}{m+1}, \ldots, \frac{1}{n} \right\}\), the generating function of the complex variable \(z\) for the sequence \(\{A_r\}\) is

\[ (1 + \frac{z}{m})(1 + \frac{z}{m+1}) \cdots (1 + \frac{z}{n}) = A_0 + A_2 z^2 + \ldots + A_{n-m+1} z^{n-m+1}. \]

It can also be proved that, in the case of an Engel admissible sequence the generating function for \(\{B_r\}\) is the rational function

\[ \frac{1}{(1 - \frac{z}{m})(1 - \frac{z}{m+1}) \cdots (1 - \frac{z}{n})} = B_0 + B_1 z + B_2 z^2 + \ldots \]

2.1. The shift transform. An alternative approach to the theory of Engel’s and Pierce’s series is based on the introduction of the shift transforms \(T_P\) and \(T_E\) of which we shall make a very limited use. This is the bridge which links these systems of representation of real numbers to ergodic theory and dynamical systems (see [3, Ch. 5] or the more recent [10]). If \(x = \langle q_1, q_2, \ldots \rangle\) and if \(T\) refers to either \(T_P\) or \(T_E\), the equation

\[ T \langle q_1, q_2, \ldots \rangle = \langle q_2, q_3, \ldots \rangle \]

may be taken as the definition of each of the shift transforms. It is easy to see that the restriction of the transforms to a cylinder \(C_1(m)\) takes the
forms $T_E(x) = mx - 1$ and $T_P(x) = 1 - mx$. Now, if $I = (x, y)$ is an open interval, $I \subset C_1(m)$, its image under $T$ is an interval of length

$$|Ty - Tx| = m(y - x) \Leftrightarrow |TI| = m|I|.$$ 

As this last equality is true for intervals it must be true for Lebesgue measurable sets. Thus for every measurable set $A$,

$$A \subset C_1(m) \Rightarrow \lambda TA = m \lambda A.$$ 

3. Some preliminary lemmas. Consider a fixed positive integer $k \geq 1$.

**Lemma 1 (Pierce expansions).** For positive integers $m$ and $n$ ($m \leq n$), let $X_{m,n} = \{x : q_1(x) \geq m \text{ and } \exists j \ (j \geq 1), q_j(x) = n \text{ and } q_{j+1}(x) < n + k\}$. Then

$$\lambda X_{m,n} = \frac{1}{m} \left( \frac{1}{n+1} - \frac{1}{n+k} \right).$$

**Proof.** $X_{m,n}$ is the disjoint union of the intervals whose endpoints are $(q_1, \ldots, q_{j-1}, n, n + 1)$, $(q_1, \ldots, q_{j-1}, n, n + k)$, for all admissible values of $q_1, \ldots, q_{j-1}$. Consequently, the total measure is

$$\frac{1}{n} \left( \frac{1}{n+1} - \frac{1}{n+k} \right) \sum_{m \leq q_1 < \ldots < q_{j-1} \leq n-1} \frac{1}{q_1 \ldots q_{j-1}};$$

setting $z = 1$ in (4), this last sum is

$$\left( 1 + \frac{1}{m} \right) \left( 1 + \frac{1}{m+1} \right) \ldots \left( 1 + \frac{1}{n-1} \right) = \frac{n}{m}.$$ 

Thus, the measure we seek equals

$$\frac{1}{n} \left( \frac{1}{n+1} - \frac{1}{n+k} \right) \frac{n}{m} = \frac{1}{m} \left( \frac{1}{n+1} - \frac{1}{n+k} \right). \qed$$

For Engel’s series we obtain:

**Lemma 2 (Engel’s series).** For positive integers $m$ and $n$ ($m \leq n$), let $Y_{m,n} = \{x : q_1(x) \geq m \text{ and } \exists j \ (j \geq 1), q_j(x) = n \text{ and } q_{j+1}(x) < n + k\}$. Then

$$\lambda Y_{m,n} = \frac{1}{m} \left( 1 - \frac{1}{n} \right) \left( \frac{1}{n-1} - \frac{1}{n-1+k} \right).$$

4. Rényi’s second problem generalized. When dealing with Pierce expansions, the closest one can get to the second of Rényi’s problems is to ask for the measure of the set $G$ of numbers whose partial quotients jump more than two units from the very beginning. A direct argument based on
the inclusion and exclusion formula may be used to show that the measure of the complement of $G$ in $[0,1]$ is $1/e$. Thus

$$\lambda G = 1 - \frac{1}{e}. \tag{7}$$

Besides being tedious in its details, the proof in terms of the inclusion and exclusion formula is impossible to extend to larger values of the jump $k$, so we are forced to take a different route. We give the details only for Pierce expansions as the modifications for Engel’s series are trivial.

We call

$$E_m^{(k)} = \{x : q_1(x) \geq m, \forall j, q_{j+1}(x) \geq q_j(x) + k\}.$$  

With this notation, the set $G$ above is $E_2^{(2)}$. Let us write $p_m^{k} = \lambda E_m^{(k)}$. In the following we consider $k$ as a given positive integer, and to simplify notation, we drop the superscript $(k)$ in $p_m^{k}$ and in $E_m^{(k)}$.

Since for each $m$, $E_m - E_{m+1} \subset C_1(m)$ and $T_p(E_m - E_{m+1}) = E_{m+k}$, by (6) we have $\lambda E_{m+k} = m \cdot \lambda (E_m - E_{m+1})$. That is to say, $p_{m+k} = m(p_m - p_{m+1})$, which rendered into homogeneous form gives

$$p_{m+k} + mp_{m+1} - mp_m = 0. \tag{8}$$

This is a finite difference equation of order $k$, linear with polynomial coefficients, which we will solve after establishing a few necessary lemmas.

**Lemma 3.** For all $m$,

$$mp_m + p_{m+1} + p_{m+2} + \ldots + p_{m+k-1} = C, \quad C \text{ constant.}$$

**Proof.** Write the recurrence (8) as

$$mp_m = mp_{m+1} + p_{m+k}. \tag{9}$$

Use (9) recursively to get

$$mp_m + p_{m+1} + \ldots + p_{m+k-1} = mp_{m+1} + p_{m+1} + \ldots + p_{m+k-1} + p_{m+k}$$

$$= (m+1)p_{m+1} + p_{m+2} + \ldots + p_{m+k}.$$

Thus, for all $m$, $mp_m + p_{m+1} + \ldots + p_{m+k-1} = C$. \hfill \Box

**Lemma 4.** The sequence $p_m$ satisfies:

(a) $\lim_{m \to \infty} p_m = 0$;

(b) $\lim_{m \to \infty} mp_m = 1$.

**Proof.** Assertion (a) is trivial as $p_m = \lambda E_m$ and $E_m \subset (0,1/m]$. As for (b), if $q_1(x) \geq m$ and $x \notin E_m$, then there exists a place $j$ such that $q_j(x) = n$ and $q_{j+1}(x) < n + k$, that is, $x \in X_{m,n}$ for some $n$. By the covering rule,

$$\lambda \left( \left[ 0, \frac{1}{m} \right] - E_m \right) \leq \lambda \bigcup_{n=m}^{\infty} X_{m,n} \leq \sum_{n=m}^{\infty} \lambda X_{m,n}.$$
By Lemma 1, this last sum is
\[
\sum_{n=m}^{\infty} \frac{1}{m} \left( \frac{1}{n+1} - \frac{1}{n+k} \right) = \frac{1}{m} \left( \frac{1}{m+1} + \ldots + \frac{1}{m+k-1} \right).
\]
Consequently,
\[
p_m \geq \frac{1}{m} \left( \frac{1}{m+1} + \ldots + \frac{1}{m+k-1} \right),
\]
and (b) follows. ■

An immediate consequence of Lemma 4 is:

**Lemma 5.** The constant in Lemma 3 is 1, that is, for all \( m \) we have
\[
(10) \quad mp_m + p_{m+1} + p_{m+2} + \ldots + p_{m+k-1} = 1.
\]
For the particular values \( m = 2 \) and \( k = 1 \) the expression above gives
\[2p_2 = 1,\]
that is, \( \lambda E_2^{(1)} = p_2 = 1/2 \), which is result (ii) of Rényi’s paper for Engel’s series.

We now turn to solve the recurrence (8).

**Proposition 1.**
\[
p_m = C \int_0^1 t^{m-1} e^{t^2/2 + \ldots + t^{k-1}/(k-1)} \, dt
\]
is a particular solution of the recurrence equation
\[
(11) \quad p_{m+k} + mp_{m+1} - mp_m = 0,
\]
where \( C \) is an arbitrary constant.

**Proof.** We will use Laplace’s method (see [5, pp. 579–583]) which assumes that there exists a solution of the form
\[
(12) \quad p_m = \int_a^b t^{m-1} \omega(t) \, dt,
\]
where the limits \( a \) and \( b \) and the function \( \omega(t) \) are to be determined. Using (12) and integrating by parts we get
\[
p_{m+k} = \int_a^b t^{m-1} (t^k \omega(t)) \, dt,
\]
\[
mp_m = [t^m \omega(t)]_a^b - \int_a^b t^m \omega'(t) \, dt,
\]
\[
mp_{m+1} = [t^m (t \omega(t))]_a^b - \int_a^b t^m (\omega(t) + t \omega'(t)) \, dt.
\]
Replacing all these expressions in the original equation (11) yields
\[
\int_a^b t^{m-1}(t^k \omega(t)) \, dt + \left[ t^m(t \omega(t)) \right]_a^b - \int_a^b t^m(\omega(t) + t \omega'(t)) \, dt
\]
\[
- \left( [t^m \omega(t)]_a^b - \int_a^b t^m \omega'(t) \, dt \right) = 0.
\]

Grouping integrated parts and integrals we obtain
\[
[t^{m+1} \omega(t) - t^m \omega(t)]_a^b + \int_a^b t^{m-1} \{ (t - t^2) \omega'(t) + (t^k - t) \omega(t) \} \, dt = 0.
\]

The method is to annihilate the integrand in order to obtain \( \omega(t) \) and to annihilate the bracketed part to determine the possible values of \( a \) and \( b \).

We start with the integrand:
\[
(t - t^2) \omega'(t) + (t^k - t) \omega(t) = 0,
\]

a linear homogeneous differential equation with separable variables,
\[
\frac{\omega'}{\omega} = \frac{t - t^k}{t - t^2} = \frac{1 - t^{k-1}}{1 - t} = 1 + t^2 + \ldots + t^{k-2},
\]

and thus
\[
\omega(t) = C e^{t^2/2 + \ldots + t^{k-1}/(k-1)},
\]

where \( C \) is an arbitrary constant.

Now, replacing \( \omega(t) \) with the value just found, we annihilate the integrated part seeking values \( a \) and \( b \) of \( t \) that make
\[
t^m C e^{t^2/2 + \ldots + t^{k-1}/(k-1)}(t - 1) = 0.
\]

Besides \( \pm \infty \) depending on the parity of \( k \), the only solutions are \( a = 0 \) and \( b = 1 \), which are precisely the values we are going to use to obtain a particular solution of our equation:
\[
p_m = C \int_0^1 t^{m-1} e^{t^2/2 + \ldots + t^{k-1}/(k-1)} \, dt.
\]

We are now ready for the main result of this section:

**Theorem 1.** The measure of \( E_m \) is
\[
p_m = e^{-(1+1/2+\ldots+1/(k-1))} \int_0^1 t^{m-1} e^{t^2/2 + \ldots + t^{k-1}/(k-1)} \, dt.
\]

**Proof.** By (10) from Lemma 5, we have the following set of initial conditions:
\[
mp_m + p_{m+1} + p_{m+2} + \ldots + p_{m+k-1} = 1 \quad (m = 1, 2, \ldots).
\]
Replacing $p_m$ gives
\[
C \int_0^1 (mt^{m-1} + t^m + \ldots + t^{m+k-2}) e^{t^2/2 + \ldots + t^{k-1}/(k-1)} dt = 1.
\]

Since
\[
\frac{d}{dt} \{t^{m} e^{t^2/2 + \ldots + t^{k-1}/(k-1)}\} = (mt^{m-1} + t^m + \ldots + t^{m+k-2}) e^{t^2/2 + \ldots + t^{k-1}/(k-1)},
\]
we get
\[
C \int_0^1 (mt^{m-1} + t^m + \ldots + t^{m+k-2}) e^{t^2/2 + \ldots + t^{k-1}/(k-1)} dt = e^{1+1/2 + \ldots + 1/(k-1)}.
\]

Therefore, the infinite set of initial conditions above is consistent with the value of $C$ given by
\[
C = e^{-(1+1/2 + \ldots + 1/(k-1))}.
\]
The solution for this particular $C$ is thus unique and corresponds to the measure we sought.

5. Conclusions. We establish the measure of different sets of real numbers in $(0, 1]$ defined through properties satisfied by all the elements of their Pierce or Engel expansions. This settles the generalized version of a problem set by A. Rényi [9] concerning the measure of similar sets defined using Engel’s series. We come out with quite nice and neat measures between zero and one. Specifically, replacing $m$ by 1 in Theorem 1, the set $E_1^{(k)}$ of real numbers whose Pierce expansions present elements with a minimum jump of $k$ units has measure
\[
\lambda E_1^{(k)} = e^{-\sum_{j=1}^{k-1} 1/j} \int_0^1 e^{\sum_{j=1}^{k-1} t^j/j} dt.
\]
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