

Dirichlet character sums

by

CHUNLEI LIU (Zhengzhou)

0. Introduction. Exponential sums have a very long history and many applications. Gauss sums, which appeared already in the work of Lagrange ([10]), are instrumental in proving reciprocity laws ([3], [14]). Jacobi sums are a very convenient tool to determine the number of points on certain varieties ([9], [7], [13]). And trigonometric sums play an important role in Waring's problem ([4]). Such applications have made exponential sums an interesting topic in number theory.

For some exponential sums in a finite field, Weil's estimate is established ([12]). For some trigonometric sums in a number field, Hua's estimate is obtained ([5], [6]). Hua's estimate is believed by experts to hold also for some character sums. The main result in this paper will confirm this belief.

D. Ismoilov ([8]) had studied some Dirichlet character sums to the modulus of a prime power. He proved

PROPOSITION 1 ([8]). *Let p be a prime number, let χ be a character of conductor p^n , and let $f(x) = a_0 + a_1x + \dots + a_kx^k$ be an integral polynomial such that $k > 3$ and $(p, a_1, \dots, a_k) = 1$. If $\chi(f(x))$ is not a constant function, then*

$$p^{-n(1-1/k)} \left| \sum_{0 \leq x < p^n} \chi(f(x)) \right| \leq k^{2.5}.$$

In this paper we shall establish an iteration for the estimation of some Dirichlet character sums. It is a sharpened analogy of the iteration for the estimation of some trigonometric sums. This iteration enables us to obtain sharper estimates for a more general class of Dirichlet character sums.

THEOREM 1. *Let p be a prime number, let χ be a character of conductor p^n , and let $f(x) = a_0 + a_1x + \dots + a_kx^k$ be an integral polynomial such that $k > 3$ and $(p^n, a_1, \dots, a_k) = p^m$. Then*

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: Primary 11L15.

Key words and phrases: exponential sums, Dirichlet characters.

This work was supported by NNSFC and MCSEC.

$$p^{-(n-m)(1-1/k)} \left| \sum_{0 \leq x < p^{n-m}} \chi(f(x)) \right| \leq a(p, k),$$

where

$$a(2, k) = \begin{cases} (k-1)p^{(k(p)+4)/k-1} & \text{if } k \leq 15, \\ (k-1)p^{(k(p)+1)/k-1} & \text{if } k > 15, \end{cases}$$

and for every $p > 2$,

$$a(p, k) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } (k-1)^{2k/(k-2)} \leq p, \\ (k-1)p^{-(k-2)/(2k)} & \text{if } (k-1)^2 \leq p < (k-1)^{2k/(k-2)}, \\ p^{1/k} & \text{if } (k-1)^{k/(k-2)} \leq p < (k-1)^2, \\ (k-1)p^{3/k-1} & \text{if } (k-1)^{k/(k-1)} < p < (k-1)^{k/(k-2)}, \\ (k-1)p^{(k(p)+2)/k-1} & \text{if } (k-1)^{k/(k+1)} < p \leq (k-1)^{k/(k-1)}, \\ (k-1)p^{(k(p)+1)/k-1} & \text{if } p \leq (k-1)^{k/(k+1)}, \end{cases}$$

with $k(p)$ denoting the largest integer not exceeding $\ln k / \ln p$. In particular,

$$p^{-(n-m)(1-1/k)} \left| \sum_{0 \leq x < p^{n-m}} \chi(f(x)) \right| \leq \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } p \geq (k-1)^{2k/(k-2)}, \\ k & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Theorem 1 enables us to obtain Hua’s estimate in the global case.

COROLLARY 1. *Let χ be a Dirichlet character of conductor q , and let $f(x) = a_0 + a_1x + \dots + a_kx^k$ be an integral polynomial such that $k > 3$ and $(q, a_1, \dots, a_k) = q/q_1$. Then*

$$q_1^{-(1-1/k)} \left| \sum_{0 \leq x < q_1} \chi(f(x)) \right| \leq e^{F(k)},$$

where $F(k) = \sum_p \ln a(p, k)$. In particular ⁽¹⁾,

$$q_1^{-(1-1/k)} \left| \sum_{0 \leq x < q_1} \chi(f(x)) \right| \leq e^{1.8k}.$$

1. An iteration. In this section we shall establish an iteration on which the estimation of character sums will be based.

Let p be a prime number, and let χ be a character of conductor p^n . For every integral polynomial $f(x) = a_0 + a_1x + \dots + a_kx^k$, we denote by $c(f)$ the order at p of the greatest common divisor (a_0, a_1, \dots, a_k) . We write $c_0(f) = c(f - f(0))$ and $c_1(f) = \min(n, c_0(f))$.

For every pair (f, l) , where f is an integral polynomial and l is an integer no greater than $c_1(f)$, we write

$$S(f, l) = \sum_{0 \leq x < p^{n-l}} \chi(f(x)).$$

We also write $S(f) = S(f, c_1(f))$.

⁽¹⁾ This can be proved by methods employed in [2].

LEMMA 1. *If f is an integral polynomial such that*

$$\min(c(f') + \text{ord}_p(2), 2c(f') - c_0(f)) < n - 1,$$

then

$$S(f) = \sum_{\xi \in R(f)} p^{c_1(f_\xi) - c_0(f) - 1} S(f_\xi),$$

where $f_\xi(y) = f(\xi + py)$ and

$$R(f) = \{0 \leq \xi < p \mid p^{-c(f')} f'(\xi) \equiv 0 \pmod{p}\}.$$

PROOF. First we observe that, for every $i > 0$, $p^{-c(f')} f^{(i)}(\xi)/(i-1)!$ is an integer since it is the coefficient of y^{i-1} in the integral polynomial $p^{-c(f')} f'(\xi + y)$. So for every $i > 0$,

$$\text{ord}_p \left(\frac{f^{(i)}(\xi)}{i!} p^i \right) \geq i - \text{ord}_p(i) + c(f') \geq 1 + c(f').$$

Hence $c_0(f_\xi) \geq c(f') + 1 \geq c_0(f) + 1$.

Secondly we observe that

$$S(f) = \sum_{0 < \xi \leq p} S(f_\xi, c_0(f) + 1) = \sum_{0 < \xi \leq p} p^{c_1(f_\xi) - c_0(f) - 1} S(f_\xi).$$

Therefore it suffices to show that $S(f_\xi)$ vanishes if $\xi \notin R(f)$.

So assume that $\xi \notin R(f)$. We observe that the order at p of $pf'(\xi)$, which is the constant term of the polynomial $(f_\xi)'$, is $c(f') + 1$. So

$$c_0(f_\xi) \leq c((f_\xi)') \leq c(f') + 1,$$

which along with the inequality $c_0(f_\xi) \geq c(f') + 1$ shows that

$$c((f_\xi)') = c(f') + 1 = c_0(f_\xi).$$

We now proceed to prove that $S(f_\xi)$ vanishes. It suffices to show that the subsum over every coset of $(p^{n-c(f')-2})$ vanishes. The subsum over the coset $b + (p^{n-c(f')-2})$ is

$$\sum_{0 \leq y < p} \chi(f(\xi + pb + p^{n-c(f')-1}y)).$$

As at the beginning of this proof, we see that, for every $i > 2$,

$$\text{ord}_p \left(\frac{f^{(i)}(\xi + pb)}{i!} p^{(n-c(f')-1)i} \right) \geq i(n - c(f') - 1) - \text{ord}_p(i) + c(f') \geq n.$$

For $i = 2$, we see that

$$\begin{aligned} \text{ord}_p \left(\frac{f^{(2)}(\xi + pb)}{2!} p^{(n-c(f')-1)2} \right) \\ \geq \max(2n - c(f') - 2 - \text{ord}_p(2), 2n - 2c(f') + c_0(f)) \geq n. \end{aligned}$$

So $f(\xi + pb + p^{n-c(f')-1}y)$ differs from $f(\xi + pb) + p^{n-c(f')-1}f'(\xi + pb)y$ by p^n times an integral polynomial. Hence the subsum over the coset $b + (p^{n-c(f')-2})$ equals

$$\sum_{0 \leq y < p} \chi(f(\xi + pb) + p^{n-c(f')-1}f'(\xi + pb)y).$$

We may assume that p does not divide $f(\xi + pb)$ since otherwise this subsum vanishes trivially. Let y_0 be an integer such that $y_0f(\xi + pb)$ is in the unit coset $1 + (p^n)$. The subsum then equals

$$\chi(f(\xi + pb)) \sum_{0 \leq y < p} \chi(1 + p^{n-c(f')-1}f'(\xi + pb)y_0y).$$

Since

$$\text{ord}_p(p^{n-c(f')-1}f'(\xi + pb)) = n - 1 \geq n/2,$$

$\chi(1 + p^{n-c(f')-1}f'(\xi + pb)y_0y)$, as a function in y , is a nontrivial additive character to the modulus p . Therefore the subsum vanishes as required. The proof of Lemma 1 is complete.

If f is an integral polynomial such that

$$\min(c(f') + \text{ord}_p(2), 2c(f') - c_0(f)) < n - 1,$$

we call f a *father* and f_ξ a *child* of f for every $\xi \in R(f)$. We call (f_1, \dots, f_r) a *family chain* of *height* r with *ancestor* f_1 if f_r is a father and for every $1 < i \leq r$, f_i is a child of f_{i-1} . The maximum height of family chains with ancestor f is called the *height* of f and is denoted by $h(f)$. We write $h(f) = 0$ if f is not a father.

LEMMA 2. *Let f be an integral polynomial, and let $\xi \in R(f)$ be of multiplicity m_ξ . Then*

- (i) $2 \leq c_0(f_\xi) - c_0(f) \leq \deg f$.
- (ii) $c_0(f_\xi) \geq c(f') + 2 - \text{ord}_p(2)$, and equality holds if $m_\xi = 1$.
- (iii) If $m_\xi = 1$, then $f_\xi(y) = b_0 + b_1p^\theta y + b_2p^\theta y^2 + b_3p^\theta y^3 + p^{\theta+1}y^4g(y)$, where b_0, b_1, b_2 and b_3 are integers, $p \mid b_1$ if $p = 2$, p does not divide b_2 , $p \mid b_3$ if $p \neq 3$, and g is an integral polynomial.
- (iv) $c((f_\xi)') \leq c(f') + m_\xi + 1$, and equality holds if $m_\xi = 1$.
- (v) Counting multiplicities, the number of roots η of the congruence

$$p^{-c((f_\xi)')} (f_\xi)'(\eta) \equiv 0 \pmod{p},$$

does not exceed m_ξ .

Proof. We first observe that

$$c_0(f(\xi + y)) \geq c(f(\xi + y) - f(0)) = c(f - f(0)) = c_0(f),$$

where $f(\xi+y)$ is regarded as a polynomial in y . Similarly $c_0(f) \geq c_0(f(\xi+y))$. So $c_0(f) = c_0(f(\xi+y))$. Therefore, $p^{c_0(f)} \mid \frac{f^{(i)}(\xi)}{i!}$ if $i > 0$, and there exists an integer i_0 with $0 < i_0 \leq \deg f$ such that $p^{c_0(f)+1} \nmid \frac{f^{(i_0)}(\xi)}{i_0!}$.

The coefficient of y^i in the polynomial $f_\xi(y) = f(\xi+py)$ is $\frac{f^{(i)}(\xi)}{i!}p^i$. Trivially $p^{c_0(f)+2} \mid \frac{f^{(i)}(\xi)}{i!}p^i$ if $i > 1$. For $i = 1$, since $\xi \in R(f)$, we also have $p^{c_0(f)+2} \mid \frac{f^{(i)}(\xi)}{i!}p^i$. So $c_0(f_\xi) \geq c_0(f)+2$. On the other hand, the order at p of $\frac{f^{(i_0)}(\xi)}{i_0!}p^{i_0}$ is no greater than $i_0+c_0(f)$. So $c_0(f_\xi) \leq i_0+c_0(f) \leq \deg f+c_0(f)$, and (i) is proved.

We secondly observe that, for every $i > 0$, $p^{-c(f')} \frac{f^{(i)}(\xi)}{(i-1)!}$ is an integer since it is the coefficient of y^{i-1} in the integral polynomial $p^{-c(f')}f'(\xi+y)$. So

$$\text{ord}_p \left(\frac{f^{(i)}(\xi)}{i!}p^i \right) \geq i - \text{ord}_p(i) + c(f') \geq c(f') + 2 - \text{ord}_p(2)$$

if $i > 1$, where strict inequality holds if $i > 2 + \text{ord}_p(3)$ and equality holds if $i = 2$ and $m_\xi = 1$. For $i = 1$, since $\xi \in R(f)$, we have

$$\text{ord}_p \left(\frac{f^{(i)}(\xi)}{i!}p^i \right) \geq c(f') + 2.$$

Therefore we see that $c_0(f_\xi) \geq c(f') + 2 - \text{ord}_p(2)$, where equality holds if $m_\xi = 1$. And if $m_\xi = 1$, we also see that

$$f_\xi(y) = b_0 + b_1p^\theta y + b_2p^\theta y^2 + b_3p^\theta y^3 + p^{\theta+1}y^4g(y),$$

where b_0, b_1, b_2 and b_3 are integers, $p \mid b_1$ if $p = 2$, p does not divide b_2 , $p \mid b_3$ if $p \neq 3$, and g is an integral polynomial. Thus (ii) and (iii) are proved.

To prove (iv) and (v), we observe that

$$p^{-c(f')}f'(x) = (x-\xi)^{m_\xi}h(x) + pu(x)$$

where u is an integral polynomial of degree less than m_ξ and h is an integral polynomial such that $p \nmid h(\xi)$. So

$$(f_\xi)'(y) = pf'(\xi+py) = p^{m_\xi+c(f')+1}y^{m_\xi}h(\xi+py) + p^{2+c(f')}u(\xi+py),$$

from which (iv) follows. The above equalities also show that the reduction of $p^{-c((f_\xi)')} (f_\xi)'$ at p is of degree m_ξ , which implies (v). The proof of Lemma 2 is complete.

2. The case $p \geq (k-1)^{k/(k-2)}$. In this section we prove the estimate of Theorem 1 by induction on $h(f)$ in the case $p \geq (k-1)^{k/(k-2)}$.

We observe that $2 < k < p$ and $c(f') = c_0(f)$ for every integral polynomial f . If $h(f) = 0$, then $c_0(f) \geq n-1$. So the desired estimate follows from the trivial estimate and Weil's estimate ([12]).

If now $h(f) = h > 0$ and the desired estimate holds for polynomials of height less than h , then, by Lemma 1, Lemma 2(iv) and the assumed estimate for $S(f_\xi)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} p^{-(n-c_0(f))(1-1/k)}|S(f)| &\leq a(p, k) \sum_{\xi \in R(f)} p^{(c_1(f_\xi)-c_0(f))/k-1} \\ &\leq a(p, k) \sum_{\xi \in R(f)} p^{(m_\xi+1)/k-1}. \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 4 of [1], the inequality $\sum_{\xi \in R(f)} m_\xi \leq k - 1$, and the fact that $p \geq (k - 1)^{k/(k-2)}$, we have

$$\sum_{\xi \in R(f)} p^{m_\xi/k} \leq \max((k - 1)p^{1/k}, p^{(k-1)/k}) \leq p^{(k-1)/k}.$$

So

$$p^{-(n-c_0(f))(1-1/k)}|S(f)| \leq a(p, k).$$

The estimate in Theorem 1 is now proved in the case $p \geq (k - 1)^{k/(k-2)}$.

3. The case $(k - 1)^{k/(k-1)} < p < (k - 1)^{k/(k-2)}$. In this section we prove the estimate of Theorem 1 in the case $(k - 1)^{k/(k-1)} < p < (k - 1)^{k/(k-2)}$.

Again, $2 < k < p$ and $c(f') = c_0(f)$ for every integral polynomial f . If $h(f) = 0$, then the desired estimate follows from the trivial one as well as the fact that $c_0(f) \geq n - 1$. If $h(f) > 0$, then the estimate follows from Lemmas 1, 2(v) and the following.

LEMMA 3. *Let g be an integral polynomial of degree $k > 3$ which is a child of some polynomial, and let p be a prime such that $(k - 1)^{k/(k-1)} < p < (k - 1)^{k/(k-2)}$. If $g = f_\xi$ is a child of f , then*

$$(1) \quad p^{-(n-c_0(f))(1-1/k)} p^{c_1(f_\xi)-c_0(f)-1} |S(f_\xi)| \leq p^{3/k-1} m_\xi.$$

Proof. First assume that $h(f_\xi) = 0$. If $m_\xi = 1$, then (1) follows from the trivial estimate for $S(f_\xi)$ and the fact that $n \leq 2 + c_0(f) + m_\xi$. If $m_\xi > 1$, then (1) follows from the fact that $n \leq 2 + c_0(f) + m_\xi$, the trivial estimate for $S(f_\xi)$, and Lemma 2.1 of [11], which says that $p^{m_\xi/k} \leq m_\xi p^{1/k}$.

If now $h(f_\xi) = h > 0$ and (1) holds for polynomials of height less than h which are children of some polynomials, then (1) follows from Lemma 2(i), (v). The proof of Lemma 3 is complete.

4. The case $p > 2$ and $(k - 1)^{k/(k+1)} < p \leq (k - 1)^{k/(k-1)}$. In this case $c(f') \leq c_0(f) + k(p)$ for every integral polynomial f . If $h(f) = 0$, then the estimate of Theorem 1 follows from the trivial one as well as the fact that $n \leq 1 + c(f')$. If $h(f) > 0$, then the estimate follows from Lemmas 1, 2(v) and the following.

LEMMA 4. Let g be an integral polynomial of degree $k > 3$ which is a child of some polynomial, and let p be an odd prime such that $(k - 1)^{k/(k+1)} < p \leq (k - 1)^{k/(k-1)}$. If $g = f_\xi$ is a child of f , then

$$(2) \quad p^{-(n-c_0(f))(1-1/k)} p^{c_1(f_\xi)-c_0(f)-1} |S(f_\xi)| \leq p^{(k(p)+2)/k-1} m_\xi.$$

PROOF. First we assume that $h(f_\xi) = 0$. We observe that

$$n \leq 1 + c((f_\xi)') \leq 2 + c(f') + m_\xi.$$

If $m_\xi > 1$, then (2) follows from the trivial estimate for $S(f_\xi)$ and Lemma 2.1 of [11], which says that $p^{m_\xi/k} \leq m_\xi$. So we may suppose that $m_\xi = 1$. By Lemma 2(ii), (iv), we have $c((f_\xi)') = c_0(f_\xi) = c(f') + 2$. If $n \leq c(f') + 2$, then (2) follows from the trivial estimate for $S(f_\xi)$. If $n = c(f') + 3$, then by Lemma 2(iii), we have

$$f_\xi(y) = b_0 + b_1 p^{n-1} y + b_2 p^{n-1} y^2 + b_3 p^{n-1} y^3 + p^n y^4 g(y),$$

where b_0, b_1, b_2 and b_3 are integers, p does not divide b_2 , $p | b_3$ if $p \neq 3$, and g is an integral polynomial. Therefore we have

$$S(f_\xi) = \sum_{0 \leq y < p} \chi(b_0 + b_1 p^{n-1} y + b_2 p^{n-1} y^2),$$

where $b'_1 = b_1$ if $p \neq 3$ and $b'_1 = b_1 - b_3$ if $p = 3$. We may assume that p does not divide b_0 since otherwise this sum vanishes and (2) is proved. Let y_0 be an integer such that $y_0 b_0$ is in the unit coset $1 + (p^n)$. Then

$$S(f_\xi) = \chi(b_0) \sum_{0 \leq y < p} \chi(1 + p^{n-1} y_0 (b'_1 y + b_2 y^2)).$$

Since $n = c(f') + 3 > 1$, $\chi(1 + p^{n-1} y_0 y)$, as a function in y , is a nontrivial additive character to the modulus p . Therefore $S(f_\xi)$ is a Gauss sum, and we have $|S(f_\xi)| \leq \sqrt{p}$. Hence

$$p^{(n-c_0(f))/k-1} p^{-(n-c_1(f_\xi))} |S(f_\xi)| \leq p^{(k(p)+3)/k-1} / \sqrt{p} \leq p^{(k(p)+2)/k-1} m_\xi.$$

If now $h(f_\xi) = h > 0$ and (2) holds for polynomials of height less than h which are children of some polynomials, then (2) follows from Lemma 2(i), (v). The proof of Lemma 4 is complete.

5. The case $2 < p \leq (k - 1)^{k/(k+1)}$. In this section, $c(f') \leq c_0(f) + k(p)$ for every integral polynomial f . If $h(f) = 0$, then the estimate of Theorem 1 follows from the trivial one as well as the fact that $n \leq 1 + c(f')$.

LEMMA 5. Let f be an integral polynomial of degree $k > 3$, let p be an odd prime such that $2 < p \leq (k - 1)^{k/(k+1)}$, and let f_ξ be a child of f such that $h(f_\xi) = 0$. If $m_\xi > 1$ or $n > c_0(f)$, then

$$p^{-(n-c_0(f))(1-1/k)} p^{c_1(f_\xi)-c_0(f)-1} |S(f_\xi)| \leq p^{(k(p)+1)/k-1} m_\xi.$$

PROOF. If $m_\xi > 1$, this follows from the trivial estimate for $S(f_\xi)$, the fact that $n \leq 1 + c((f_\xi)') \leq 2 + c(f') + m_\xi$ and Lemma 2.1 of [2], which says that $p^{(m_\xi+1)/k} \leq m_\xi$.

If $m_\xi = 1$, then by Lemma 2(ii), (iv) we have

$$n = c((f_\xi)') + 1 = c_0(f_\xi) + 1 = c(f') + 3.$$

By Lemma 2(iii), we have

$$f_\xi(y) = b_0 + b_1 p^{n-1} y + b_2 p^{n-1} y^2 + b_3 p^{n-1} y^3 + p^n y^4 g(y),$$

where b_0, b_1, b_2 and b_3 are integers, p does not divide b_2 , $p \mid b_3$ if $p \neq 3$, and g is an integral polynomial. As in the proof of Lemma 4 we get $|S(f_\xi)| \leq \sqrt{p}$. Hence

$$p^{(n-c_0(f))/k-1} p^{-(n-c_1(f_\xi))} |S(f_\xi)| \leq p^{(k(p)+3)/k-1} / \sqrt{p} \leq p^{(k(p)+1)/k-1} m_\xi.$$

The proof of Lemma 5 is complete.

We now turn back to our main concern. If $h(f) = 1$, and there is a child f_ξ of f such that $m_\xi = 1$ and $n \leq c_0(f_\xi)$, then the desired estimate follows from the trivial estimate for $S(f)$ and the fact that $n \leq c_0(f_\xi) \leq 2 + c(f')$. If $h(f) = 1$ and for every child f_ξ of f , $m_\xi > 1$ or $n > c_0(f)$, then the desired estimate follows from Lemmas 1, 5 and 2(v). If $h(f) > 1$, then the estimate follows from Lemmas 1, 2(v) and the following.

LEMMA 6. *Let g be an integral polynomial of degree $k > 3$ which is a child of some polynomial of height greater than 1, and let p be an odd prime such that $2 < p \leq (k-1)^{k/(k+1)}$. If $g = f_\xi$ is a child of f with $h(f) > 1$, then*

$$(3) \quad p^{-(n-c_0(f))(1-1/k)} p^{c_1(f_\xi)-c_0(f)-1} |S(f_\xi)| \leq p^{(k(p)+1)/k-1} m_\xi.$$

PROOF. First assume that $h(f_\xi) = 0$. If $m_\xi > 1$, then (3) follows from Lemma 5. If $m_\xi = 1$, then by Lemma 2(ii), we have $c_0(f_\xi) = c(f') + 2 \leq c_0(f_\eta) < n$, where f_η is a child of f such that $h(f_\eta) > 0$. (3) follows from Lemma 5 again.

Secondly we assume that $h(f_\xi) = 1$. If $m_\xi = k - 1$, then (3) follows from Lemma 2(i) and the desired estimate for $S(f_\xi)$. So we may suppose that $m_\xi < k - 1$. By Lemmas 1 and 2(v), it suffices to prove that, for every child $(f_\xi)_\eta$ of f_ξ ,

$$p^{-(n-c_0(f))(1-1/k)} p^{c_1((f_\xi)_\eta)-c_0(f)-2} |S((f_\xi)_\eta)| \leq p^{(k(p)+1)/k-1} m_\eta.$$

If $m_\eta > 1$ or $n > c_0((f_\xi)_\eta)$, then this follows from Lemmas 5 and 2(i). If $m_\eta = 1$ and $n \leq c_0((f_\xi)_\eta)$, then it follows from the trivial estimate for $S((f_\xi)_\eta)$ and the fact that $n \leq c_0((f_\xi)_\eta) \leq 2 + c((f_\xi)') \leq c(f') + k + 1$.

If now $h(f_\xi) = h > 1$ and (3) holds for all polynomials of height less than h which are children of some polynomials of height greater than 1, then (3) follows from Lemmas 1 and 2(i). This completes the proof of Lemma 6.

6. The case $p = 2$. By considering this case, we now complete the proof of Theorem 1.

We observe that $c(f') \leq c_0(f) + k(p)$ for every integral polynomial f . If $h(f) = 0$, then the desired estimate follows from the trivial one as well as the fact that $n \leq 1 + c(f')$. If $h(f) > 0$, then the estimate follows from Lemmas 1, 2(v) and the following.

LEMMA 7. *Let $p = 2$, and let g be an integral polynomial of degree $k > 3$ which is a child of some polynomial. If $g = f_\xi$ is a child of f , then*

$$(4) \quad p^{-(n-c_0(f))(1-1/k)} p^{c_1(f_\xi)-c_0(f)-1} |S(f_\xi)| \leq \begin{cases} p^{(k(p)+4)/k-1} m_\xi & \text{if } k \leq 15, \\ p^{(k(p)+1)/k-1} m_\xi & \text{if } k > 15. \end{cases}$$

PROOF. First assume that $h(f_\xi) = 0$. We observe that

$$n \leq 2 + c((f_\xi)') \leq 3 + c(f') + m_\xi.$$

If $m_\xi > 1$, then (4) follows from the trivial estimate for $S(f_\xi)$ and the fact that $p^{(m_\xi+2)/k} \leq m_\xi$. So we may suppose that $m_\xi = 1$. By Lemma 2(ii), (iv), we have $c((f_\xi)') = c(f') + 2 = c_0(f_\xi) + 1$. If $n \leq c(f') + 1$, then (4) follows from the trivial estimate for $S(f_\xi)$.

If $n = c(f') + 2$, then by Lemma 2(iii), we have

$$f_\xi(y) = b_0 + b_1 p^{n-1} y + b_2 p^{n-1} y^2 + p^n y^3 g(y),$$

where b_0, b_1 and b_2 are integers, p does not divide b_2 , and g is an integral polynomial. As in the proof of Lemma 4 we get $|S(f_\xi)| \leq \sqrt{p}$, from which (4) follows.

If $n = c(f') + 3 = 3$, then (4) follows from the trivial estimate for $S(f_\xi)$. If $n = c(f') + 3 > 3$, then by Lemma 2(iii), we have

$$f_\xi(y) = b_0 + b_2 p^{n-2} y^2 + p^{n-1} y g(y),$$

where b_0 , and b_2 are integers, p does not divide b_2 , and g is an integral polynomial. Therefore we have

$$\begin{aligned} S(f_\xi) &= \sum_{0 \leq y < p^2} \chi(b_0 + b_2 p^{n-2} y^2 + p^{n-1} y g(y)) \\ &= 2 \sum_{0 \leq y < 2} \chi(b_0 + b'_2 p^{n-2} y), \end{aligned}$$

where $b'_2 = b_2 + p g(1)$. We may assume that p does not divide b_0 since otherwise this sum vanishes and (4) is proved. Let y_0 be an integer such that $y_0 b_0$ is in the unit coset $1 + (p^n)$. Then

$$S(f_\xi) = 2\chi(b_0) \sum_{0 \leq y < 2} \chi(1 + p^{n-2} y_0 b'_2 y).$$

Since $n > 3$, $\chi(1 + p^{n-2}y_0b'_2y)$, as a function in y , is a nontrivial additive character to the modulus p^2 . Therefore $|S(f_\xi)| \leq 2\sqrt{2}$, from which (4) follows.

If $n = c(f') + 4$ and $k \leq 15$, then (4) follows from the trivial estimate for $S(f_\xi)$. If $n = c(f') + 4$, $k > 15$, and $c(f') < 2$, then (4) follows from the trivial estimate for $S(f_\xi)$. If $n = c(f') + 4$, $k > 15$, and $c(f') \geq 2$, then $n > 5$. As in the proof of Lemma 2(iii), we can verify that

$$f_\xi(y) = b_0 + b_1p^{n-2}y + b_2p^{n-3}y^2 + b_3p^{n-1}y^3 + b_4p^{n-2}y^4 + p^ny^5g(y),$$

where b_0, b_1, b_3, b_4 , and b_5 are integers, p does not divide b_2 , and g is an integral polynomial. We may write

$$f_\xi(y) = b_0 + b'_1p^{n-2}y + b'_2p^{n-3}y^2 + b_3p^{n-1}(y^3 - y) + b_4p^{n-2}(y^4 - y^2) + p^ny^5g(y).$$

Then we have $p \nmid b'_2$ and

$$S(f_\xi) = \sum_{0 \leq y < p^3} \chi(b_0 + b'_1p^{n-2}y + b'_2p^{n-3}y^2).$$

By a linear transformation, we have

$$S(f_\xi) = \sum_{0 \leq y < p^3} \chi(b'_0 + b'_2p^{n-3}y^2).$$

We may assume that p does not divide b'_0 since otherwise this sum vanishes and (4) is proved. Let y_0 be an integer such that $y_0b'_0$ is in the unit coset $1 + (p^n)$. Then

$$S(f_\xi) = \chi(b_0) \sum_{0 \leq y < p^3} \chi(1 + y_0b'_2p^{n-3}y^2).$$

Since $n > 5$, $\chi(1 + p^{n-3}y_0b'_2y)$, as a function in y , is a nontrivial additive character to the modulus p^3 . We write $\chi(1 + p^{n-3}y_0b'_2) = e^{2\pi ir/8} = \varrho$, where r is an odd integer. Then we have $S(f_\xi) = 2\chi(b_0)(1 + 2\varrho + \varrho^4) = 4\varrho\chi(b_0)$, from which (4) follows.

If now $h(f_\xi) = h > 0$ and (4) holds for all polynomials of height less than h which are children of some polynomials, then (4) follows from Lemmas 1 and 2(i). The proof of Lemma 7 is complete.

The author thanks Professor Pan Chengbiao for his help.

References

[1] J.-R. Chen, *On Professor Hua's estimate of exponential sums*, Sci. Sinica 20 (1977), 711-719.

- [2] P. Ding and M.-G. Qi, *Further estimate of complete trigonometric sums*, J. Tsinghua Univ. 29 (1989), no. 6, 74–85.
- [3] C. F. Gauss, *Summatio quarundam serierum singularium*, Comment. Soc. Reg. Sci. Gottingensis 1 (1811); Werke, Vol. 2, Königl. Gesellschaft der Wissenschaften, Göttingen, 1876, 9–45.
- [4] G. H. Hardy and J. E. Littlewood, *Some problems of 'Partitio Numerorum': IV. The singular series in Waring's Problem and the value of the number $G(k)$* , Math. Z. 12 (1922), 161–188.
- [5] L.-K. Hua, *On exponential sums*, J. Chinese Math. Soc. 2 (1940), 301–312.
- [6] —, *On exponential sums over an algebraic number field*, Canad. J. Math. 3 (1951), 44–51.
- [7] L.-K. Hua and H. S. Vandiver, *On the existence of solutions of certain equations in a finite field*, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 35 (1949), 481–487.
- [8] D. Ismoilov, *Estimates of complete character sums of polynomials*, Trudy Mat. Inst. Steklov. 200 (1991), 171–184 (in Russian).
- [9] C. G. J. Jacobi, *Brief an Gauss vom 8. Februar 1827*, Gesammelte Werke, Vol. 7, Reimer, Berlin, 1891, 393–400.
- [10] J.-L. Lagrange, *Réflexions sur la résolution algébrique des équations*, Nouv. Mémoires Acad. Roy. Berlin 1770, 134–215; *ibid.* 1771, 138–254; Oeuvres, Vol. 3, Gauthier-Villars, Paris, 1869, 205–421.
- [11] M.-G. Lu, *Estimate of a complete trigonometric sum*, Sci. Sinica 28 (1985), 561–578.
- [12] A. Weil, *On some exponential sums*, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 34 (1948), 204–207.
- [13] —, *Numbers of solutions of equations in finite fields*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 55 (1949), 497–508.
- [14] —, *La cyclotomie jadis et naguère*, Enseign. Math. (2) 20 (1974), 247–263.

P.O. Box 1001-46
Zhengzhou 450002
China
E-mail: zeng@public2.ha.zz.cn

*Received on 7.4.1997
and in revised form on 6.7.1998*

(3164)