

## On a generalization of the Selberg trace formula

by

A. BIRÓ (Budapest)

**1. Introduction.** The Selberg trace formula (the original paper is [Se]; for a nice account see [I]) is obtained (e.g. for a co-compact Fuchsian group  $\Gamma$  with fundamental domain  $F$  in  $H$ , where  $H$  is the upper half-plane) by computing in two different ways (geometrically and spectrally) the integral

$$\mathrm{Tr} K = \int_F K(z, z) d\mu_z,$$

where  $K(z, w)$  is an automorphic kernel function. We take here instead of  $\mathrm{Tr} K$  an integral of the form

$$\mathrm{Tr}_u K = \int_F K(z, z)u(z) d\mu_z,$$

where  $u$  is an automorphic eigenfunction of the Laplace operator, so we write  $u(z)$  in place of the identically 1 function.

On the geometric side of our formula we get integrals of  $u$  on certain closed geodesics of the Riemann surface  $\Gamma \backslash H$ . On the spectral side integrals of the form

$$\int_{\bar{F}} |u_j(z)|^2 u(z) d\mu_z$$

appear (the  $u_j$  run over an orthonormal basis of automorphic Laplace-eigenforms), so our formula (Theorem 1) is a duality between such integrals and certain geodesic integrals of  $u$ . New integral transformations are involved depending on the Laplace-eigenvalue of  $u$ . We invert these integral transformations in Section 5, Theorem 2.

We develop the formula for finite volume Fuchsian groups, so (as in the case of the Selberg trace formula)  $\int_F K(z, z)u(z) d\mu_z$  will not be convergent, and we take instead

$$\mathrm{Tr}_u^Y K = \int_{F(Y)} K(z, z)u(z) d\mu_z,$$

---

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: Primary 11F72.

where  $F(Y)$  is obtained from  $F$  by cutting off the cuspidal zones at height  $Y$ . We let  $Y \rightarrow \infty$ , and the main term (which is in our case a power of  $Y$ , while in the case of the Selberg trace formula the main term is  $\log Y$ ) will cancel out. An interesting feature of our formula is the appearance of the Riemann zeta-function in the contribution of the parabolic conjugacy classes.

In Section 6 we prove lemmas on special functions needed in Section 5.

**Acknowledgements.** I would like to thank Professor G. Halász for introducing me to the Selberg trace formula.

**2. Notations and statement of the main result.** Let  $H$  be the open upper half-plane. The elements  $\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}$  of the group  $\mathrm{PSL}(2, \mathbb{R})$  act on  $H$  by the rule  $z \rightarrow (az + b)/(cz + d)$ . The hyperbolic Laplace operator is given by

$$\Delta = y^2 \left( \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial y^2} \right).$$

It is well known that  $\Delta$  commutes with the action of  $\mathrm{PSL}(2, \mathbb{R})$ .

Let  $\Gamma \subset \mathrm{PSL}(2, \mathbb{R})$  be a finite volume Fuchsian group, i.e.  $\Gamma$  acts discontinuously on  $H$ , and it has a fundamental domain of finite volume.

The constants in the symbols  $O$  will depend on the group  $\Gamma$ . For a function  $f$  we denote its  $j$ th derivative by  $f^{(j)}$ .

We fix a complete set  $A$  of inequivalent cusps of  $\Gamma$ , and we will denote the elements of  $A$  by  $a, b$  or  $c$ , so e.g.  $\sum_a \sum_c$  or  $\bigcup_a$  will mean that  $a$  and  $c$  run over  $A$ . We say that  $\sigma_a$  is a *scaling matrix* of a cusp  $a$  if  $\sigma_a \infty = a$ ,  $\sigma_a^{-1} \Gamma_a \sigma_a = B$ , where  $\Gamma_a$  is the stability group of  $a$  in  $\Gamma$ , and  $B$  is the group of integer translations. The scaling matrix is determined up to composition with a translation from the right.

We also fix a complete set  $P$  of representatives of  $\Gamma$ -equivalence classes of the set  $\{z \in H : \gamma z = z \text{ for some } \mathrm{id} \neq \gamma \in \Gamma\}$ . For a  $p \in P$  let  $m_p$  be the order of the stability group of  $p$  in  $\Gamma$ .

Let

$$P(Y) = \{z = x + iy : 0 < x \leq 1, y > Y\},$$

and let  $Y_\Gamma$  be a constant (depending only on the group  $\Gamma$ ) such that for any fixed  $Y \geq Y_\Gamma$  the cuspidal zones  $F_a(Y) = \sigma_a P(Y)$  are disjoint, and the fixed fundamental domain  $F$  of  $\Gamma$  (it contains exactly one point of each  $\Gamma$ -equivalence class of  $H$ ) is partitioned into

$$F = F(Y) \cup \bigcup_a F_a(Y),$$

where  $F(Y)$  is the central part,

$$F(Y) = F \setminus \bigcup_a F_a(Y),$$

and  $F(Y)$  has compact closure.

Denote by  $\{u_j(z) : j \geq 0\}$  a complete orthonormal system of Maass forms for  $\Gamma$  for the discrete spectrum ( $u_0(z)$  is constant), with Laplace-eigenvalue  $\lambda_j = s_j(s_j - 1)$ ,  $\text{Re } s_j \geq 1/2$ ,  $s_j = 1/2 + it_j$  and Fourier expansion

$$u_j(\sigma_a z) = \beta_{a,j}(0)y^{1-s_j} + \sum_{n \neq 0} \beta_{a,j}(n)W_{s_j}(nz),$$

where  $W$  is the Whittaker function.

The Fourier expansion of the Eisenstein series (as in [I], (8.2)) is given by

$$E_c(\sigma_a z, 1/2 + ir) = \delta_{ac}y^{1/2+ir} + \varphi_{a,c}(1/2 + ir)y^{1/2-ir} + \sum_{n \neq 0} \varphi_{a,c}(n, 1/2 + ir)W_{1/2+ir}(nz).$$

Let  $\{s_l : l \in L\}$  be the set of the poles of the Eisenstein series for  $\Gamma$ . Then  $1/2 < s_l \leq 1$  for every  $l \in L$ , and  $L$  is a finite set. We have  $\beta_{a,j}(0) = 0$  if  $j > 0$ , and  $u_j(z)$  is not a linear combination of residues of Eisenstein series, so if  $j > 0$  is such that  $\beta_{a,j}(0) \neq 0$  for some  $a$ , then  $s_j = s_l$  for some  $l \in L$ . The functions  $\varphi_{a,a}(s)$  may have poles only at the points  $s_l$ . Let us denote the residue of  $\varphi_{a,a}(s)$  at  $s = s_l$  by  $R_{a,s_l}$ , when  $l \in L$ .

Let  $1/2 \leq \text{Re } s < 1$ , and let  $u(z)$  be a fixed  $\Gamma$ -automorphic eigenfunction of the Laplace operator with eigenvalue  $\lambda = s(s - 1)$ , and Fourier expansion at any cusp  $a$  of  $\Gamma$

$$u(\sigma_a z) = \beta_a(0)y^s + \tilde{\beta}_a(0)y^{1-s} + \sum_{n \neq 0} \beta_a(n)W_s(nz).$$

For simplicity we assume that  $s \neq 2s_l - 1$  for  $l \in L$ .

We introduce the notations

$$B_u = \sum_a \beta_a(0), \quad \tilde{B}_u = \sum_a \tilde{\beta}_a(0),$$

$$B_u(S) = \sum_a \beta_a(0)\varphi_{a,a}\left(\frac{1+S}{2}\right), \quad \tilde{B}_u(S) = \sum_a \tilde{\beta}_a(0)\varphi_{a,a}\left(\frac{1+S}{2}\right).$$

Let  $k$  be a function on  $[0, \infty)$ , and assume that it satisfies

(A)  $k$  is a compactly supported continuous function on  $[0, \infty)$ .

As usual (see [I], (1.62)), let

$$g(a) = 2q\left(\frac{e^a + e^{-a} - 2}{4}\right), \quad \text{where } q(\nu) = \int_0^\infty \frac{k(\nu + \tau)}{\sqrt{\tau}} d\tau,$$

and let  $h$  be the Fourier transform of  $g$ ,

$$h(r) = \int_{-\infty}^\infty g(a)e^{ira} da.$$

We assume that

- (B)  $h(r)$  is even, it is holomorphic in the strip  $|\operatorname{Im} r| \leq 1/2 + \varepsilon$ , and  $h(r) = O((1 + |r|)^{-2-\varepsilon})$  in this strip for some  $\varepsilon > 0$ .

The point-pair invariant determined by  $k$  is

$$k(z, w) = k\left(\frac{|z - w|^2}{4 \operatorname{Im} z \operatorname{Im} w}\right)$$

for  $z, w \in H$ . The automorphic kernel function  $K(z, w)$  is given by

$$K(z, w) = \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} k(z, \gamma w).$$

Define

$$\operatorname{Tr}_u^Y K = \int_{F(Y)} K(z, z) u(z) d\mu_z.$$

We will determine the asymptotic behaviour of  $\operatorname{Tr}_u^Y K$  as  $Y \rightarrow \infty$  in two different ways. Firstly, by partitioning  $\Gamma$  into conjugacy classes, and secondly, using the spectral theorem (which is applicable by our conditions on  $k$  and  $h$ ), since introducing the notations

$$I_u^Y(r) = \sum_c \int_{F(Y)} |E_c(z, 1/2 + ir)|^2 u(z) d\mu_z, \quad I_u^Y(u_j) = \int_{F(Y)} |u_j(z)|^2 u(z) d\mu_z,$$

we have by the spectral theorem

$$\operatorname{Tr}_u^Y K = \sum_j h(t_j) I_u^Y(u_j) + \frac{1}{4\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} h(r) I_u^Y(r) dr.$$

We give here the statement of our Lemma 4 (which will be proved in Section 4 below), because to state Theorem 1 we need the quantities  $I_u(u_j)$  and  $I_u(r)$  defined in that lemma.

LEMMA 4. *Define*

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_a^Y(r, s) &= \frac{Y^s}{s} + \varphi_{a,a}(1/2 + ir) \frac{Y^{s-2ir}}{s - 2ir}, \\ \tilde{I}_u^Y(r) &= I_u^Y(r) - \left( \sum_a \beta_a(0) (\psi_a^Y(r, s) + \psi_a^Y(-r, s)) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \tilde{\beta}_a(0) (\psi_a^Y(r, 1 - s) + \psi_a^Y(-r, 1 - s)) \right), \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\tilde{I}_u^Y(u_j) = I_u^Y(u_j) - \sum_a |\beta_{a,j}(0)|^2 \left( \beta_a(0) \frac{Y^{1+s-2s_j}}{1 + s - 2s_j} + \tilde{\beta}_a(0) \frac{Y^{2-s-2s_j}}{2 - s - 2s_j} \right).$$

Then

$$\sum_{|t_j| \leq R} |\tilde{T}_u^Y(u_j)| + \int_{-R}^R |\tilde{T}_u^Y(r)| dr = O(R^2),$$

uniformly in  $Y$ . The limits

$$I_u(r) = \lim_{Y \rightarrow \infty} \tilde{T}_u^Y(r), \quad I_u(u_j) = \lim_{Y \rightarrow \infty} \tilde{T}_u^Y(u_j)$$

obviously exist, and then, of course,

$$\sum_{|t_j| \leq R} |I_u(u_j)| + \int_{-R}^R |I_u(r)| dr = O(R^2).$$

If  $u_j(z)$  is not a linear combination of residues of Eisenstein series, then

$$I_u(u_j) = \int_F |u_j(z)|^2 u(z) d\mu_z.$$

In particular  $I_u(u_0) = 0$ .

With the above notations and assumptions our formula is the following:

THEOREM 1. Assume that  $k$  satisfies condition (A) and  $h$  satisfies condition (B). Let

$$\Sigma_{\text{hyp}} = \sum_{\substack{[\gamma] \\ \gamma \text{ hyperbolic}}} \left( \int_{C_\gamma} u dS \right) \int_{-\pi/2}^{\pi/2} k \left( \frac{N(\gamma) + N(\gamma)^{-1} - 2}{4 \cos^2 \vartheta} \right) f_\lambda(\vartheta) \frac{d\vartheta}{\cos^2 \vartheta},$$

where the summation is over the hyperbolic conjugacy classes of  $\Gamma$ ,  $N(\gamma)$  is the norm of (the conjugacy class of)  $\gamma$ ,  $C_\gamma$  is the closed geodesic obtained by factorizing the noneuclidean line connecting the fixed points of  $\gamma$  by the action of the centralizer of  $\gamma$  in  $\Gamma$ ,  $dS = |dz|/y$  is the hyperbolic arc length, and  $f_\lambda(\vartheta)$  is the solution of the differential equation

$$f^{(2)}(\vartheta) = \frac{\lambda}{\cos^2 \vartheta} f(\vartheta), \quad \vartheta \in (-\pi/2, \pi/2),$$

with  $f_\lambda(0) = 1$ ,  $f_\lambda^{(1)}(0) = 0$ . Let

$$\Sigma_{\text{ell}} = \sum_{p \in P} \frac{2\pi}{m_p} u(p) \sum_{l=1}^{m_p-1} \int_0^\infty k \left( \sin^2 \frac{l\pi}{m_p} \sinh^2 r \right) g_\lambda(r) \sinh r dr,$$

where  $g_\lambda(r)$  ( $r \in [0, \infty)$ ) is the unique solution of

$$g^{(2)}(r) + \frac{\cosh r}{\sinh r} g^{(1)}(r) = \lambda g(r)$$

with  $g_\lambda(0) = 1$ . Let

$$\Sigma_{\text{par}} = B_u 2^{1-s} \zeta(1-s) \int_0^\infty k(\nu) \nu^{-(1+s)/2} d\nu + \tilde{B}_u 2^s \zeta(s) \int_0^\infty k(\nu) \nu^{(s-2)/2} d\nu,$$

where  $\zeta$  is the Riemann zeta-function. Then the equality

$$\begin{aligned} \Sigma_{\text{hyp}} + \Sigma_{\text{ell}} + \Sigma_{\text{par}} &= \frac{1}{2} h\left(i \frac{s}{2}\right) B_u(s) + \frac{1}{2} h\left(i \frac{1-s}{2}\right) \tilde{B}_u(1-s) \\ &\quad + \sum_{j>0} h(t_j) I_u(u_j) + \frac{1}{4\pi} \int_{-\infty}^\infty h(r) I_u(r) dr \end{aligned}$$

holds, where  $I_u(u_j)$  and  $I_u(r)$  is given in Lemma 4.

**3. The geometric trace.** For the first computation of  $\text{Tr}_u^Y K$  we partition  $\Gamma$  into conjugacy classes  $[\gamma] = \{\tau^{-1}\gamma\tau : \tau \in \Gamma\}$ . Let  $\text{id} \neq \gamma \in \Gamma$ , and

$$T_\gamma^Y = \sum_{\delta \in [\gamma]} \int_{F(Y)} k(z, \delta z) u(z) d\mu_z.$$

We have  $\tau_1^{-1}\gamma\tau_1 = \tau_2^{-1}\gamma\tau_2$  if and only if  $\tau_2\tau_1^{-1} \in C(\gamma)$ , where  $C(\gamma)$  is the centralizer of  $\gamma$  in  $\Gamma$ . So

$$T_\gamma^Y = \sum_{\tau \in C(\gamma) \backslash \Gamma} \int_{F(Y)} k(z, \tau^{-1}\gamma\tau z) u(z) d\mu_z.$$

Since  $k(z, \tau^{-1}\gamma\tau z) = k(\tau z, \gamma\tau z)$  and  $u(z) = u(\tau z)$ , we obtain

$$T_\gamma^Y = \int_{C(\gamma) \backslash H(Y)} k(z, \gamma z) u(z) d\mu_z,$$

where  $H(Y) = \bigcup_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \gamma F(Y)$ . Let  $h \in \text{SL}(2, \mathbb{R})$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} (1) \quad T_\gamma^Y &= \int_{h^{-1}(C(\gamma) \backslash H(Y))} k(hz, \gamma hz) u(hz) d\mu_z \\ &= \int_{(h^{-1}C(\gamma)h) \backslash (h^{-1}H(Y))} k(z, h^{-1}\gamma hz) u(hz) d\mu_z. \end{aligned}$$

So far this is valid for every  $\text{id} \neq \gamma \in \Gamma$ . We now examine separately the case of hyperbolic, elliptic or parabolic transformations.

If  $\gamma$  is hyperbolic or elliptic, then  $T_\gamma = \lim_{Y \rightarrow \infty} T_\gamma^Y$  exists, and by (1) we get

$$(2) \quad T_\gamma = \int_{(h^{-1}C(\gamma)h) \backslash H} k(z, h^{-1}\gamma hz) u(hz) d\mu_z.$$

If  $\gamma$  is hyperbolic, then we choose  $h \in \text{SL}(2, \mathbb{R})$  so that  $h^{-1}\gamma h$  is a dilation, i.e.  $h^{-1}\gamma hz = N(\gamma)z$  for  $z \in H$ , where  $N(\gamma) > 1$  ( $N(\gamma)$  is the “norm”

of  $\gamma$ ). Then, if the fixed points of  $\gamma$  are  $z_1$  and  $z_2$ , then  $C(\gamma) = \{\sigma \in \Gamma : \sigma z_1 = z_1, \sigma z_2 = z_2\}$ . This is an infinite cyclic group. Let  $\gamma_0$  be the generator of  $C(\gamma)$  with the property that  $\gamma = \gamma_0^l$  with a positive integer  $l$ . Then  $h^{-1}C(\gamma)h$  is the group generated by the dilation  $z \rightarrow N(\gamma_0)z$ , and a fundamental domain of this group in  $H$  is  $\{z \in H : 1 \leq |z| < N(\gamma_0)\}$ , so by the substitution  $z = re^{i(\pi/2+\vartheta)}$  ( $r \in (1, N(\gamma_0))$ ,  $\vartheta \in (-\pi/2, \pi/2)$ ) we deduce (since  $d\mu_z = \frac{dx dy}{y^2} = \frac{r dr d\vartheta}{r^2 \cos^2 \vartheta}$ ) by (2) that

$$T_\gamma = \int_{-\pi/2}^{\pi/2} \int_1^{N(\gamma_0)} k\left(\frac{N(\gamma) + N(\gamma)^{-1} - 2}{4 \cos^2 \vartheta}\right) u(h(re^{i(\pi/2+\vartheta)})) \frac{dr d\vartheta}{r \cos^2 \vartheta}.$$

Introduce the notation

$$F(z) = \int_1^{N(\gamma_0)} u(h(rz)) \frac{dr}{r} \quad (z \in H).$$

Then

$$T_\gamma = \int_{-\pi/2}^{\pi/2} k\left(\frac{N(\gamma) + N(\gamma)^{-1} - 2}{4 \cos^2 \vartheta}\right) F(e^{i(\pi/2+\vartheta)}) \frac{d\vartheta}{\cos^2 \vartheta}.$$

Now,  $F$  is constant on euclidean lines through the origin, i.e.  $F(z) = F(rz)$  for all  $r > 0$ , because  $u(h(z))$  is automorphic with respect to  $h^{-1}\Gamma h$ . In particular,

$$u(h(N(\gamma_0)z)) = u(h(z)) \quad \text{for } z \in H.$$

So  $F$  depends only on  $\vartheta$  (if  $z = re^{i(\pi/2+\vartheta)}$ ), i.e.  $F(z) = F(\vartheta)$ , where  $F$  is a function on  $(-\pi/2, \pi/2)$ .

On the other hand, since  $u$  is an eigenfunction of  $\Delta$  with eigenvalue  $\lambda$ , so is  $F(z)$  (because  $\Delta$  commutes with the group action). Using the form of the Laplace operator in polar coordinates ( $\Delta = (r \cos \vartheta)^2(\partial^2/\partial r^2 + r^{-1}\partial/\partial r + r^{-2}\partial^2/\partial \vartheta^2)$ ), we find that  $F(\vartheta)$  satisfies a second order ordinary differential equation, which depends only on  $\lambda$ :

$$F^{(2)}(\vartheta) = \frac{\lambda}{\cos^2 \vartheta} F(\vartheta) \quad (\vartheta \in (-\pi/2, \pi/2)).$$

Let  $f_\lambda(\vartheta)$  be the solution of this differential equation with  $f_\lambda(0) = 1$ ,  $f_\lambda^{(1)}(0) = 0$ , and  $\tilde{f}_\lambda(\vartheta)$  the one with  $\tilde{f}_\lambda(0) = 0$ ,  $\tilde{f}_\lambda^{(1)}(0) = 1$ . Then  $F(\vartheta) = F(0)f_\lambda(\vartheta) + F^{(1)}(0)\tilde{f}_\lambda(\vartheta)$ , and  $\tilde{f}_\lambda(\vartheta)$  is an odd function, so it gives 0 in  $T_\gamma$ , i.e.

$$T_\gamma = F(0) \int_{-\pi/2}^{\pi/2} k\left(\frac{N(\gamma) + N(\gamma)^{-1} - 2}{4 \cos^2 \vartheta}\right) f_\lambda(\vartheta) \frac{d\vartheta}{\cos^2 \vartheta}.$$

Here  $F(0) = \int_1^{N(\gamma_0)} u(h(ri)) \frac{dr}{r} = \int_{C_\gamma} u dS$ , where  $dS = |dz|/y$  is the hyper-

bolic arc length, and  $C_\gamma$  is the closed geodesic  $C_\gamma = C(\gamma) \setminus l_\gamma$ , where  $l_\gamma$  is the noneuclidean line connecting the fixed points ( $z_1$  and  $z_2$ ) of  $\gamma$ , and we factorize it by the action of  $C(\gamma)$  (so we can take for  $C_\gamma$  any segment of length  $\log N(\gamma_0)$  on  $l_\gamma$ ). Hence

$$(3) \quad T_\gamma = \left( \int_{C_\gamma} u \, dS \right) \int_{-\pi/2}^{\pi/2} k \left( \frac{N(\gamma) + N(\gamma)^{-1} - 2}{4 \cos^2 \vartheta} \right) f_\lambda(\vartheta) \frac{d\vartheta}{\cos^2 \vartheta},$$

when  $\gamma$  is hyperbolic.

If  $\gamma$  is elliptic, then by conjugation in  $\Gamma$  we may assume that its fixed point is a  $p \in P$ . Then  $C(\gamma) = \Gamma_p = \{\sigma \in \Gamma : \sigma p = p\}$ ; this is a finite set,  $|\Gamma_p| = m_p$ . We choose  $h \in \text{SL}(2, \mathbb{R})$  such that  $h(i) = p$ , then  $h^{-1}\gamma h = R(l\vartheta_p)$  for some integer  $0 < l < m_p$ , where  $\vartheta_p = \pi/m_p$ , and

$$R(\varphi) = \begin{pmatrix} \cos \varphi & \sin \varphi \\ -\sin \varphi & \cos \varphi \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then, by (2),

$$T_\gamma = \frac{1}{m_p} \int_H k(z, R(l\vartheta_p)z) u(hz) \, d\mu_z.$$

We use the substitution  $z = R(\varphi)e^{-r}i$ , i.e. we use geodesic polar coordinates (see [I], Sections 1.3 and 10.6), where  $r \in (0, \infty)$ ,  $\varphi \in (0, \pi)$ , getting

$$T_\gamma = \frac{1}{m_p} \int_0^\infty k(\sin^2 l\vartheta_p \sinh^2 r) \left( \int_0^\pi u(h(R(\varphi)e^{-r}i)) \, d\varphi \right) (2 \sinh r) \, dr,$$

because  $R(\varphi)$  commutes with  $R(l\vartheta_p)$ , and with  $z = e^{-r}i$  we have

$$\frac{|z - R(l\vartheta_p)z|^2}{4 \operatorname{Im} z \operatorname{Im} R(l\vartheta_p)z} = \sin^2 l\vartheta_p \sinh^2 r,$$

and furthermore  $d\mu_z = (2 \sinh r) \, dr \, d\varphi$ . Define

$$G(z) = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^\pi u(h(R(\varphi)z)) \, d\varphi.$$

One obtains  $G(z)$  by averaging the function  $u(h(z))$  over the stability group of  $i$  in  $\text{SL}(2, \mathbb{R})$  (or what amounts to the same, by averaging over noneuclidean circles around  $i$ ), so  $G(z)$  is radial at  $i$ , i.e. it depends only on the noneuclidean distance of  $z$  and  $i$  (see [I], Lemma 1.10). On the other hand, since  $u$  is an eigenfunction of  $\Delta$  with eigenvalue  $\lambda$ , so is  $G(z)$  (because  $\Delta$  commutes with the group action). A radial (at  $i$ ) eigenfunction of  $\Delta$  of eigenvalue  $\lambda$  is determined up to a constant factor ([I], Lemma 1.12), so using the form of the Laplace operator in geodesic polar coordinates ( $\Delta = \partial^2/\partial r^2 + (\cosh r/\sinh r)\partial/\partial r + (2 \sinh r)^{-2}\partial^2/\partial \varphi^2$ , see [I], (1.20)), we



find that if  $g_\lambda(r)$  ( $r \in [0, \infty)$ ) is the unique solution of

$$g^{(2)}(r) + \frac{\cosh r}{\sinh r} g^{(1)}(r) = \lambda g(r)$$

with  $g_\lambda(0) = 1$ , then  $G(z) = u(p)g_\lambda(r)$  for  $z = R(\varphi)e^{-r}i$ , since  $h(i) = p$ . This shows

$$(4) \quad T_\gamma = \frac{2\pi}{m_p} u(p) \int_0^\infty k(\sin^2 l \vartheta_p \sinh^2 r) g_\lambda(r) \sinh r \, dr,$$

when  $\gamma$  is elliptic.

If  $\gamma$  is parabolic, then by conjugation in  $\Gamma$  we may assume that its fixed point is an  $a \in A$ . Then  $C(\gamma) = \Gamma_a = \{\sigma \in \Gamma : \sigma a = a\}$ . Let  $\gamma_a$  be a generator of  $\Gamma_a$ . Then  $\gamma = \gamma_a^l$  for some  $l \neq 0$ . In this case we choose  $h = \sigma_a$ , the scaling matrix, i.e.  $\sigma_a \infty = a$ ,  $\sigma_a^{-1} \gamma_a \sigma_a = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ . Then by (1) we have

$$(5) \quad T_\gamma^Y = \int_{B \setminus (\sigma_a^{-1} H(Y))} k(z, z+l) u(\sigma_a z) \, d\mu_z,$$

where  $B$  is the set of integer translations.

LEMMA 1. *There is a constant  $c_\Gamma$  such that*

$$\{z \in H : c_\Gamma/Y \leq \text{Im } z \leq Y\} \subseteq \sigma_a^{-1} H(Y) \subseteq \{z \in H : \text{Im } z \leq Y\}.$$

PROOF. If  $z \in (\sigma_a^{-1} H(Y)) \cap P(Y)$ , then  $\gamma \sigma_a z \in F(Y) \subseteq F$  for some  $\gamma \in \Gamma$  and  $\sigma_a z \in F_a(Y) \subseteq F$ , so  $\gamma \sigma_a z = \sigma_a z \in F(Y) \cap F_a(Y) = \emptyset$ , a contradiction. This proves one half of the lemma, because  $\sigma_a^{-1} H(Y)$  is invariant under  $B = \sigma_a^{-1} \Gamma_a \sigma_a$ .

If  $z \notin \sigma_a^{-1} H(Y)$ , then  $\text{Im } \sigma_b^{-1} \gamma \sigma_a z > Y$  for some  $\gamma \in \Gamma$  and  $b \in A$ . Let  $\sigma_b^{-1} \gamma \sigma_a = \begin{pmatrix} C & D \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ . Then either  $C = 0$ , and in this case  $\sigma_b^{-1} \gamma \sigma_a \infty = \infty$ , so  $a = b$ ,  $\sigma_a^{-1} \gamma \sigma_a \in \sigma_a^{-1} \Gamma_a \sigma_a = B$ , and  $\text{Im } z > Y$ , or  $C \neq 0$ , when  $\text{Im } \sigma_b^{-1} \gamma \sigma_a z = \text{Im } z / |Cz + D|^2 \leq 1 / (C^2 \text{Im } z)$ , which means  $\text{Im } z < 1 / (C^2 Y)$ . This proves the lemma, because  $\min \{C > 0 : \begin{pmatrix} C & D \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \in \sigma_b^{-1} \Gamma \sigma_a\}$  exists (see [1], pp. 53–54),  $a, b \in A$ ,  $A$  is finite.

This shows that for  $\gamma$  parabolic and  $Y$  large enough we can integrate in (5) over  $\{z = x + iy : 0 \leq y \leq Y, 0 \leq x \leq 1\}$ , because  $k(z, z+l) = k(l^2/(4y^2))$ , and this is 0 for  $y$  small, since  $l \neq 0$ , and  $k$  has compact support. So

$$T_\gamma^Y = \int_0^Y k\left(\frac{l^2}{4y^2}\right) (\beta_a(0)y^s + \tilde{\beta}_a(0)y^{1-s}) \frac{dy}{y^2},$$

and with the substitution  $\nu = l^2/(4y^2)$  this is

$$|l|^{-1} \int_{l^2/(4Y^2)}^\infty k(\nu) (\beta_a(0)(|l|/(2\nu^{1/2}))^s + \tilde{\beta}_a(0)(|l|/(2\nu^{1/2}))^{1-s}) \nu^{-1/2} \, d\nu.$$

LEMMA 2. *If  $0 < \operatorname{Re} S < 1$ , then, as  $Y \rightarrow \infty$ ,*

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{l \neq 0} |l|^{S-1} \int_{l^2/(4Y^2)}^{\infty} k(\nu)(4\nu)^{-S/2} \nu^{-1/2} d\nu \\ = g(0) \frac{Y^S}{S} + 2^{1-S} \zeta(1-S) \int_0^{\infty} k(\nu) \nu^{-(1+S)/2} d\nu + O(Y^{\operatorname{Re} S-1} \log Y), \end{aligned}$$

where  $\zeta$  is the Riemann zeta-function.

PROOF. Summing the left-hand side over  $l$  gives

$$2 \int_{1/(4Y^2)}^{\infty} k(\nu)(4\nu)^{-S/2} \nu^{-1/2} \left( \sum_{1 \leq l \leq 2Y\sqrt{\nu}} l^{S-1} \right) d\nu.$$

Since

$$\sum_{1 \leq l \leq 2Y\sqrt{\nu}} l^{S-1} = (2Y\sqrt{\nu})^S / S + \zeta(1-S) + O((Y\sqrt{\nu})^{\operatorname{Re} S-1}),$$

the lemma follows, because  $k$  has compact support,  $k(0)$  is finite,  $k$  is continuous at 0, and  $2 \int_0^{\infty} k(\nu) \nu^{-1/2} d\nu = g(0)$ .

Summing over the parabolic conjugacy classes means summing over  $l \neq 0$  and  $a \in A$ , so by the above lemma we have proved the following.

LEMMA 3. *Define*

$$T_{\text{par}}^Y = \sum_{\substack{\delta \in \Gamma \\ \delta \text{ parabolic}}} \int_{F(Y)} k(z, \delta z) u(z) d\mu_z.$$

Then the difference of  $T_{\text{par}}^Y$  and

$$g(0) \left( \frac{Y^s}{s} B_u + \frac{Y^{1-s}}{1-s} \tilde{B}_u \right)$$

tends to

$$B_u 2^{1-s} \zeta(1-s) \int_0^{\infty} k(\nu) \nu^{-(1+s)/2} d\nu + \tilde{B}_u 2^s \zeta(s) \int_0^{\infty} k(\nu) \nu^{(s-2)/2} d\nu,$$

as  $Y \rightarrow \infty$ .

**4. The spectral trace—end of the proof of Theorem 1.** We now compute  $\operatorname{Tr}_u^Y K$  in another way, based on the spectral theorem. Remember that

$$(6) \quad \operatorname{Tr}_u^Y K = \sum_j h(t_j) I_u^Y(u_j) + \frac{1}{4\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} h(r) I_u^Y(r) dr.$$

We need several lemmas. Firstly we give the proof of the lemma stated in Section 2.

*Proof of Lemma 4.* We get the main term in  $I_u^Y(r)$  if we substitute the constant terms of  $E_c(z, 1/2 + ir)$  and of  $u(z)$  in the cuspidal zones  $F_a(Y_\Gamma)$ ; this gives the sum of

$$\sum_a \sum_c \left( \delta_{ac} + \left| \varphi_{a,c} \left( \frac{1}{2} + ir \right) \right|^2 \right) \left( \beta_a(0) \frac{Y^s}{s} + \tilde{\beta}_a(0) \frac{Y^{1-s}}{1-s} \right),$$

$$\sum_a \sum_c \overline{\delta_{ac} \varphi_{a,c} \left( \frac{1}{2} + ir \right)} \left( \beta_a(0) \frac{Y^{s+2ir}}{s+2ir} + \tilde{\beta}_a(0) \frac{Y^{1-s+2ir}}{1-s+2ir} \right)$$

and

$$\sum_a \sum_c \delta_{ac} \varphi_{a,c} \left( \frac{1}{2} + ir \right) \left( \beta_a(0) \frac{Y^{s-2ir}}{s-2ir} + \tilde{\beta}_a(0) \frac{Y^{1-s-2ir}}{1-s-2ir} \right).$$

Using  $\sum_c |\varphi_{a,c}(1/2 + ir)|^2 = 1$  ([I], Theorem 6.6) and  $\overline{\varphi_{a,a}(1/2 + ir)} = \varphi_{a,a}(1/2 - ir)$ , we find that this main term will be  $I_u^Y(r) - \tilde{I}_u^Y(r)$ . Similarly, the main term of  $I_u^Y(u_j)$  will be  $I_u^Y(u_j) - \tilde{I}_u^Y(u_j)$ . Applying Lemmas 5 and 6 below we get the result.

We need the following crude bound.

LEMMA 5. For  $R \geq 1$  and  $Y > 0$  we have

$$\int_{-R}^R \sum_c \int_{F(Y)} |E_c(z, 1/2 + ir)|^2 d\mu_z dr = O(R^2(1 + \log Y)).$$

Proof. This follows easily from [I], formulas (10.9), (6.24) and (10.13).

LEMMA 6. For  $R \geq 1$  we have

$$\int_{-R}^R \left( \int_{Y_\Gamma}^{\infty} \int_0^1 |E_c(\sigma_a z, 1/2 + ir) - \delta_{ac} y^{1/2+ir} - \varphi_{a,c}(1/2 + ir) y^{1/2-ir}|^2 y^{\text{Re } s} \frac{dx dy}{y^2} \right) dR = O(R^2),$$

and

$$\sum_{|t_j| \leq R} \left( \int_{Y_\Gamma}^{\infty} \int_0^1 |u_j(\sigma_a z) - \beta_{a,j}(0) y^{1-s_j}|^2 y^{\text{Re } s} \frac{dx dy}{y^2} \right) = O(R^2).$$

Proof. This follows easily by Parseval's identity, Lemma 7 below, and [I], (8.27) (see also (8.4) and (8.5) there). (We use the Fourier expansions, fix an  $n \neq 0$  and sum over the spectrum.)

LEMMA 7. *If  $T$  is real and  $n \neq 0$  is an integer, then*

$$\int_{Y_\Gamma}^\infty |W_{1/2+iT}(iny)|^2 y^{\operatorname{Re} s} \frac{dy}{y^2} = \begin{cases} O((|T|/|n|)^{\operatorname{Re} s-1} e^{-\pi|T|}) & \text{if } |n| = O(|T|), \\ O(e^{-c_\Gamma|n|}) & \text{if } |T|/|n| \text{ is sufficiently small (depending on } \Gamma), \end{cases}$$

where  $c_\Gamma$  is a positive constant depending on  $\Gamma$ .

PROOF. By the definition of  $W$  we have

$$\int_{Y_\Gamma}^\infty |W_{1/2+iT}(iny)|^2 y^{\operatorname{Re} s} \frac{dy}{y^2} = O\left(|n|^{1-\operatorname{Re} s} \int_{2\pi|n|Y_\Gamma} |K_{iT}(y)|^2 y^{\operatorname{Re} s} \frac{dy}{y}\right),$$

and the lemma follows by [I], p. 228, the formula above (B.37), and [Le], (5.10.24).

We need one more lemma for the computation of  $\operatorname{Tr}_u^Y K$ .

LEMMA 8. *Let  $0 < \operatorname{Re} S < 1$  and  $S \neq 2s_l - 1$  for  $l \in L$ . Then the difference of*

$$\int_{-\infty}^\infty h(r) \varphi_{a,a}(1/2 + ir) \frac{Y^{S-2ir}}{S-2ir} dr$$

and

$$\pi \varphi_{a,a}\left(\frac{1+S}{2}\right) h\left(i\frac{S}{2}\right) - 2\pi \sum_{\substack{1/2 < s_l \leq (1+\operatorname{Re} S)/2 \\ l \in L}} h\left(i\left(s_l - \frac{1}{2}\right)\right) \frac{Y^{1+S-2s_l}}{1+S-2s_l} R_{a,s_l}$$

tends to 0 as  $Y \rightarrow \infty$ .

PROOF. This follows by replacing the line of integration to  $\operatorname{Im} r = -\operatorname{Re} S/2 - \varepsilon$  with some  $\varepsilon > 0$ , passing through simple poles at  $r = -iS/2$ , and  $r = -i(s_l - 1/2)$  lying in this strip for  $l \in L$ .

This means that if  $h$  satisfies condition (B), then with the notations

$$\begin{aligned} \Sigma_{u,h}^Y(S) &= \sum_{\substack{1/2 < s_l \leq (1+\operatorname{Re} S)/2 \\ l \in L}} h(t_l) \frac{Y^{1+S-2s_l}}{1+S-2s_l} \sum_a \beta_a(0) \left( \sum_{\substack{j \\ s_j = s_l}} |\beta_{a,j}(0)|^2 - R_{a,s_l} \right), \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\Sigma}_{u,h}^Y(S) &= \sum_{\substack{1/2 < s_l \leq (1+\operatorname{Re} S)/2 \\ l \in L}} h(t_l) \frac{Y^{1+S-2s_l}}{1+S-2s_l} \sum_a \tilde{\beta}_a(0) \left( \sum_{\substack{j \\ s_j = s_l}} |\beta_{a,j}(0)|^2 - R_{a,s_l} \right), \end{aligned}$$

where  $t_l = i(s_l - 1/2)$ , we have proved by (6), Lemmas 4 and 8, using

$$\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} h(r) dr = g(0)$$

the following:

LEMMA 9. *The difference of  $\text{Tr}_u^Y K$  and*

$$g(0) \left( \frac{Y^s}{s} B_u + \frac{Y^{1-s}}{1-s} \tilde{B}_u \right) + \frac{1}{2} h \left( i \frac{s}{2} \right) B_u(s) + \frac{1}{2} h \left( i \frac{1-s}{2} \right) \tilde{B}_u(1-s) + \Sigma_{u,h}^Y(s) + \tilde{\Sigma}_{u,h}^Y(1-s)$$

tends to

$$\sum_j h(t_j) I_u(u_j) + \frac{1}{4\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} h(r) I_u(r) dr,$$

as  $Y \rightarrow \infty$ .

Since  $k$  has compact support, it is obvious that

$$T_{\text{hyp}}^Y = \sum_{\substack{\delta \in \Gamma \\ \delta \text{ hyperbolic}}} \int_{F(Y)} k(z, \delta z) u(z) d\mu_z$$

and

$$T_{\text{ell}}^Y = \sum_{\substack{\delta \in \Gamma \\ \delta \text{ elliptic}}} \int_{F(Y)} k(z, \delta z) u(z) d\mu_z$$

have finite limits as  $Y \rightarrow \infty$  (and of course  $T_{\text{id}}^Y = \int_{F(Y)} k(z, z) u(z) d\mu_z$  tends to 0 as  $Y \rightarrow \infty$ , because  $\int_F u(z) d\mu_z = 0$ ), so by Lemmas 3 and 9 we see that  $\Sigma_{u,h}^Y(s) + \tilde{\Sigma}_{u,h}^Y(1-s)$  tends to a finite limit as  $Y \rightarrow \infty$ . But this sum is a finite linear combination of  $Y$ -powers with nonzero exponents, and every exponent has nonnegative real part. So the fact that this sum has a finite limit as  $Y \rightarrow \infty$  implies that this sum is identically 0. (It is not hard to see that in fact  $\sum_{j, s_j = s_l} |\beta_{a,j}(0)|^2 - R_{a,s_l} = 0$ , but we do not need it.)

This last remark, together with (3), (4), Lemmas 3, 4 and 9, proves Theorem 1.

**5. The inversion of the integral transformations.** The transformation formulas between the functions  $k$ ,  $g$  and  $h$  are well known, but we now have a new integral transformation for every  $\lambda < 0$ , namely

$$(7) \quad R(y) = \int_{-\pi/2}^{\pi/2} k \left( \frac{y}{\cos^2 \vartheta} \right) f_\lambda(\vartheta) \frac{d\vartheta}{\cos^2 \vartheta}$$

for  $y > 0$ . Our aim is now to invert this transformation, i.e. to express  $h$  (and in our way  $k, q$  and  $g$ ) in terms of  $R$ .

To this end let  $R$  be a smooth, compactly supported function on  $(0, \infty)$  (i.e. it is 0 in a neighbourhood of 0 as well as in a neighbourhood of  $\infty$ ). Denote the Mellin transform of  $R$  by

$$\widehat{R}(s) = \int_0^\infty R(y)y^{s-1} dy,$$

and assume that  $\widehat{R}(0) = 0$  (one needs this insignificant restriction).

By Mellin inversion

$$R(y) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{(\sigma)} y^{-s} \widehat{R}(s) ds$$

for  $y > 0$  and for any real  $\sigma$ . We see from this that (7) is satisfied with the function  $k(\tau)$  ( $\tau > 0$ ) defined by

$$(8) \quad k(\tau) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{(\sigma)} \frac{\tau^{-s}}{F_\lambda(s)} \widehat{R}(s) ds,$$

where  $\sigma > 1/2$ , and  $F_\lambda(s) = \int_{-\pi/2}^{\pi/2} f_\lambda(\vartheta) \cos^{2s-2} \vartheta d\vartheta$ . We know  $F_\lambda(s)$  explicitly,

$$F_\lambda(s) = \sqrt{\pi} \frac{\Gamma(s - z_1)\Gamma(s - z_2)}{\Gamma^2(s)},$$

with  $z_1 = 1/4 + it/2, z_2 = 1/4 - it/2, 1/4 + t^2 = -\lambda$  (see Lemma 11).

It is easy to see from (8) that  $k$  is continuous on  $[0, \infty)$ ,  $k(0)$  is finite (replace the line of integration by  $\sigma = -\varepsilon$  with some  $\varepsilon > 0$ , and use  $\widehat{R}(0) = 0$ ), and  $k$  has compact support (this follows from the fact that  $R$  has compact support, letting  $\sigma \rightarrow \infty$ ), i.e.  $k$  satisfies condition (A).

For this  $k$  by (8) we get

$$q(\nu) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_0^\infty \int_{(\sigma)} \frac{(\nu + \tau)^{-s} F_\lambda^{-1}(s) \widehat{R}(s) ds}{\sqrt{\tau}} d\tau$$

for  $\nu > 0$ . With the substitution  $\tau = \nu \sin^2 \vartheta / \cos^2 \vartheta, \vartheta \in (0, \pi/2)$ , we have

$$\int_0^\infty \frac{(\nu + \tau)^{-s}}{\sqrt{\tau}} d\tau = 2\nu^{1/2-s} \int_0^{\pi/2} \cos^{2s-2} \vartheta d\vartheta = \nu^{1/2-s} E(s),$$

where  $E(s) = \sqrt{\pi} \Gamma(s - 1/2) / \Gamma(s)$  by the Corollary to Lemma 11. So

$$(9) \quad q(\nu) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{(\sigma)} \nu^{1/2-s} \frac{E(s)}{F_\lambda(s)} \widehat{R}(s) ds$$

for  $\nu > 0$ , with

$$\frac{E(s)}{F_\lambda(s)} = \frac{\Gamma(s)\Gamma(s - 1/2)}{\Gamma(s - z_1)\Gamma(s - z_2)}.$$

Since  $R$  has compact support (as a function on  $(0, \infty)$ ), we see by (9) that  $q$  is smooth on  $(0, \infty)$ ,  $g(\nu) = 0$  for  $\nu$  large enough (by letting  $\sigma \rightarrow \infty$ ), and in a neighbourhood of 0 it has an absolutely convergent expansion of the type  $q(\nu) = \sum_{n=0}^\infty c_n \nu^{n/2}$  with  $c_1 = 0$ , i.e. the coefficient of  $\nu^{1/2}$  is 0 (we see this by letting  $\sigma \rightarrow -\infty$ , and using  $\widehat{R}(0) = 0$ ). This implies that the function  $g$  (which is even and defined on  $(-\infty, \infty)$ ) is smooth on  $[0, \infty)$ ,  $g(a) = 0$  for  $a$  large enough, and for small positive  $a$  it has an absolutely convergent expansion of the type  $g(a) = \sum_{n=0}^\infty d_n a^n$  with  $d_1 = 0$ . These properties of  $g$  imply (after three-fold integration by parts) that  $h$  satisfies condition (B).

Now, let  $|\operatorname{Im} r| < 1/2$ . Then by (9), taking  $1/2 + |\operatorname{Im} r| < \sigma < 1$  (since the double integral is absolutely convergent in this case) we have

$$(10) \quad h(r) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{(\sigma)} 2^{2s} \frac{E(s)}{F_\lambda(s)} \widehat{R}(s) \left( \int_{-\infty}^\infty (e^a + e^{-a} - 2)^{1/2-s} e^{ira} da \right) ds.$$

We have to compute the inner integral. With the notations

$$G(A, B) = \int_0^\infty (e^a - 1)^A e^{Ba} da, \quad F(r, s) = G(1 - 2s, -1/2 + s + ir)$$

one obtains

$$(11) \quad \int_{-\infty}^\infty (e^a + e^{-a} - 2)^{1/2-s} e^{ira} da = F(r, s) + F(-r, s).$$

By Lemma 10 one has

$$(12) \quad F(r, s) = \pi \frac{\Gamma(-1/2 + s + ir)}{\Gamma(3/2 - s + ir)\Gamma(2s - 1)} \{ \cot \pi(1 - 2s) - \cot \pi(1/2 - s + ir) \}.$$

So we have determined  $h$ , but for the application of Theorem 1 we also need

$$\int_0^\infty k \left( \sin^2 \frac{l\pi}{m_p} \sinh^2 r \right) g_\lambda(r) \sinh r dr.$$

By (8) we have

$$\int_0^\infty k \left( \sin^2 \frac{l\pi}{m_p} \sinh^2 r \right) g_\lambda(r) \sinh r dr = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{(\sigma)} \frac{G_\lambda(s)}{F_\lambda(s)} \widehat{R}(s) \sin^{-2s} \frac{l\pi}{m_p} ds$$

for  $1/2 < \sigma < 1$ , where  $G_\lambda(s) = \int_0^\infty g_\lambda(r) \sinh^{1-2s} r \, dr$ . So by Lemma 11 we obtain

$$(13) \quad \int_0^\infty k\left(\sin^2 \frac{l\pi}{m_p} \sinh^2 r\right) g_\lambda(r) \sinh r \, dr$$

$$= \frac{1}{4i\sqrt{\pi}} \cdot \frac{1}{\Gamma(1-z_1)\Gamma(1-z_2)} \int_{(\sigma)} \frac{\widehat{R}(s)}{\sin \pi s} \sin^{-2s} \frac{l\pi}{m_p} \, ds,$$

where  $z_1 = 1/4 + it/2$ ,  $z_2 = 1/4 - it/2$ ,  $1/4 + t^2 = -\lambda$ .

We have proved the following.

**THEOREM 2.** *Let  $R$  be a smooth, compactly supported function on  $(0, \infty)$  (i.e. it is 0 in a neighbourhood of 0 as well as in a neighbourhood of  $\infty$ ). Denote the Mellin transform of  $R$  by*

$$\widehat{R}(s) = \int_0^\infty R(y)y^{s-1} \, dy,$$

and assume that  $\widehat{R}(0) = 0$ . Then the function  $k$  defined by (8) satisfies condition (A), the corresponding  $h$  satisfies condition (B), so Theorem 1 is applicable for them. The function  $h(r)$  for  $|\operatorname{Im} r| < 1/2$  is given in (10),

$$\int_0^\infty k\left(\sin^2 \frac{l\pi}{m_p} \sinh^2 r\right) g_\lambda(r) \sinh r \, dr$$

is given in (13) (for the functions  $E$ ,  $F_\lambda$ , and  $G_\lambda$  see Lemma 11 and its Corollary), and for  $y > 0$  we have

$$R(y) = \int_{-\pi/2}^{\pi/2} k\left(\frac{y}{\cos^2 \vartheta}\right) f_\lambda(\vartheta) \frac{d\vartheta}{\cos^2 \vartheta}.$$

### 6. Two lemmas on special functions

**LEMMA 10.** *Let*

$$G(A, B) = \int_0^\infty (e^a - 1)^A e^{Ba} \, da \quad \text{for } \operatorname{Re} A > -1, \operatorname{Re}(A + B) < 0.$$

Then

$$G(A, B) = \pi \frac{\Gamma(B)}{\Gamma(A + B + 1)\Gamma(-A)} \{\cot \pi A - \cot \pi(A + B)\},$$

where  $\cot = \cos / \sin$ .



Proof. We first fix  $-1 < A < 0$ , and consider  $G(A, B)$  as a function of  $B$ . In this case we have by partial integration

$$\begin{aligned} G(A, B) &= - \int_0^\infty \left( \frac{(e^a - 1)^{A+1}}{A+1} \right) (e^{(B-1)a} (B-1)) da \\ &= \frac{1-B}{1+A} (G(A, B) - G(A, B-1)), \end{aligned}$$

and this gives

$$G(A, B) = \frac{B-1}{A+B} G(A, B-1).$$

Now let  $\tilde{G}(A, B) = \Gamma(B)/\Gamma(A+B+1)$ . Then this satisfies the same functional equation as  $G$ , i.e.

$$\tilde{G}(A, B) = \frac{B-1}{A+B} \tilde{G}(A, B-1),$$

so  $G(A, B)/\tilde{G}(A, B)$  (as a function of  $B$ ) is periodic with respect to 1, and it is meromorphic on the whole plane. For  $\text{Re } B < -A$  the function  $G$  is regular, so in this region the only singularities of  $G/\tilde{G}$  are the roots of  $\tilde{G}$ , i.e.  $B = -A - 1, -A - 2, \dots$ . Now, it is easy to see from the integral representation that  $G(A, B)$  has a pole of order 1 with residue  $-1$  at  $B = -A$ , and  $\tilde{G}(A, -A) = \Gamma(-A)$ . From these considerations it follows that

$$\frac{G(A, B)}{\tilde{G}(A, B)} + \frac{\pi}{\Gamma(-A)} \cot \pi(A+B)$$

is an entire function of  $B$ , periodic with respect to 1, it has at most polynomial growth on vertical lines, so it is a constant. Its value at  $B = 0$  is  $\frac{\pi}{\Gamma(-A)} \cot \pi A$ . This proves the lemma for  $-1 < A < 0$ , and it is enough by analytic continuation.

For  $\lambda < 0$  let  $f_\lambda(\vartheta)$  ( $\vartheta \in (-\pi/2, \pi/2)$ ) be the solution of the differential equation

$$f^{(2)}(\vartheta) = \frac{\lambda}{\cos^2 \vartheta} f(\vartheta)$$

with  $f_\lambda(0) = 1, f_\lambda^{(1)}(0) = 0$ ; and let  $g_\lambda(r)$  ( $r \in [0, \infty)$ ) be the solution of

$$g^{(2)}(r) + \frac{\cosh r}{\sinh r} g^{(1)}(r) = \lambda g(r)$$

with  $g_\lambda(0) = 1$ .

LEMMA 11. Let  $\lambda < 0$  and

$$F_\lambda(s) = \int_{-\pi/2}^{\pi/2} f_\lambda(\vartheta) \cos^{2s-2} \vartheta d\vartheta \quad \text{for } \text{Re } s > 1/2,$$

$$G_\lambda(s) = \int_0^\infty g_\lambda(r) \sinh^{1-2s} r \, dr \quad \text{for } 1/2 < \operatorname{Re} s < 1.$$

Then

$$F_\lambda(s) = \sqrt{\pi} \frac{\Gamma(s - z_1)\Gamma(s - z_2)}{\Gamma^2(s)},$$

$$G_\lambda(s) = \frac{\Gamma(s - z_1)\Gamma(s - z_2)}{\Gamma^2(s)} \cdot \frac{\pi}{2 \sin \pi s} \cdot \frac{1}{\Gamma(1 - z_1)\Gamma(1 - z_2)},$$

where  $z_1 = 1/4 + it/2$ ,  $z_2 = 1/4 - it/2$ ,  $1/4 + t^2 = -\lambda$ .

**Proof.** It is easy to see by elementary considerations (using the fact that  $\lambda/\cos^2 \vartheta$  is negative and it is decreasing for  $\vartheta \geq 0$ ) that  $|f_\lambda(\vartheta)| \leq 1$  for every  $\vartheta$ , and this implies that  $f_\lambda^{(1)}(\vartheta) \cos \vartheta$  is bounded for a fixed  $\lambda$  (since  $f_\lambda^{(2)}(\vartheta) \cos^2 \vartheta$  is bounded).

On the other hand, the function  $g_\lambda(r)$  is also bounded for a fixed  $\lambda$  (for example because there are nonzero bounded eigenfunctions of the Laplace operator on  $H$  with eigenvalue  $\lambda$  (e.g.  $f(z) = f_\lambda(\vartheta)$  for  $z = re^{i(\pi/2+\vartheta)}$ ), and we know ([I], Cor 1.13) that averaging any eigenfunction over hyperbolic circles around any point  $w$  in  $H$ , we get a multiple of  $g_\lambda(r(z, w))$ , where  $r$  is the hyperbolic distance), and then  $g_\lambda^{(1)}(r)$  is also bounded, because  $(g_\lambda^{(1)}(r) \sinh r)^{(1)} = \lambda g_\lambda(r) \sinh r$ . Observe also that  $g_\lambda^{(1)}(0) = 0$ . We will repeatedly use these remarks in the following calculations.

Using the differential equation for  $f_\lambda(\vartheta)$  and partial integration twice we have

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda F_\lambda(s) &= \int_{-\pi/2}^{\pi/2} f_\lambda^{(2)}(\vartheta) \cos^{2s} \vartheta \, d\vartheta \\ &= \int_{-\pi/2}^{\pi/2} f_\lambda(\vartheta) [2s(2s-1) \cos^{2s-2} \vartheta \sin^2 \vartheta - 2s \cos^{2s} \vartheta] \, d\vartheta, \end{aligned}$$

and this implies  $\lambda F_\lambda(s) = 2s(2s-1)F_\lambda(s) - (2s)^2 F_\lambda(s+1)$ , i.e.  $F_\lambda$  is a meromorphic function on the whole plane satisfying

$$F_\lambda(s+1) = F_\lambda(s) \frac{2s(2s-1) - \lambda}{(2s)^2}.$$

Using the differential equation for  $g_\lambda(r)$  and partial integration we have

$$\lambda G_\lambda(s) = \int_0^\infty (g_\lambda^{(1)}(r) \sinh r)^{(1)} \sinh^{-2s} r \, dr = 2s \int_0^\infty g_\lambda^{(1)}(r) \sinh^{-2s} r \cosh r \, dr,$$

and a new partial integration gives, by the equality  $\cosh^2 = 1 + \sinh^2$ , that

$$\lambda G_\lambda(s) = 2s(2s-1)G_\lambda(s) + 2s \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0+0} \left( 2s \int_\varepsilon^\infty g_\lambda(r) \sinh^{-2s-1} r \, dr - g_\lambda(\varepsilon) \sinh^{-2s} \varepsilon \cosh \varepsilon \right).$$

Now,  $g_\lambda(\varepsilon) = 1 + O(\varepsilon^2) = \cosh \varepsilon$ , from which it follows easily that this last limit is a regular function of  $s$  for  $-1/2 < \operatorname{Re} s < 1$  and it equals  $2sG_\lambda(s+1)$  for  $-1/2 < \operatorname{Re} s < 0$ . This shows that  $G_\lambda$  is a meromorphic function on the whole plane satisfying

$$G_\lambda(s+1) = -G_\lambda(s) \frac{2s(2s-1) - \lambda}{(2s)^2}.$$

and we also see that in  $1/2 < \operatorname{Re} s < 2$  the only pole of  $G_\lambda(s)$  is at  $s = 1$ , it is of first order and the residue is (from the integral representation)  $-1/2$ .

Let  $X_\lambda(s) = \Gamma(s-z_1)\Gamma(s-z_2)/\Gamma^2(s)$ . Then  $F_\lambda/X_\lambda$  is periodic with respect to 1, and it is regular for  $\operatorname{Re} s > 1/2$ , i.e. it is an entire function, and it has at most polynomial growth on vertical lines, so it is a constant. As  $s \rightarrow \infty$ , we see by Stirling's formula and by  $f_\lambda(0) = 1$  that this constant is  $\lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} \sqrt{s} \int_{-\pi/2}^{\pi/2} \cos^{2s-2} \vartheta \, d\vartheta$ , so it is independent of  $\lambda$ . For  $\lambda \rightarrow 0-0$  we have  $X_\lambda(s) \rightarrow \Gamma(s-1/2)/\Gamma(s)$  and  $f_\lambda(\vartheta) \rightarrow 1$  for every  $\vartheta$ , so

$$\frac{F_\lambda}{X_\lambda}(1) \rightarrow \frac{\pi}{\Gamma(1/2)} = \sqrt{\pi}.$$

On the other hand, for

$$Q_\lambda(s) = \frac{G_\lambda(s)}{X_\lambda(s)} - \frac{\pi}{2 \sin \pi s} \cdot \frac{1}{\Gamma(1-z_1)\Gamma(1-z_2)}$$

we have  $Q_\lambda(s+1) = -Q_\lambda(s)$ , and  $Q_\lambda$  is regular for  $1/2 < \operatorname{Re} s < 2$  (including  $s = 1$ ), so it is an entire function, it has at most polynomial growth on vertical lines, hence it is identically 0.

COROLLARY. For  $\operatorname{Re} s > 1/2$  let  $E(s) = \int_{-\pi/2}^{\pi/2} \cos^{2s-2} \vartheta \, d\vartheta$ . Then

$$E(s) = \sqrt{\pi} \frac{\Gamma(s-1/2)}{\Gamma(s)}.$$

PROOF. This follows by letting  $\lambda \rightarrow 0-0$ .

### References

- [I] H. Iwaniec, *Introduction to the Spectral Theory of Automorphic Forms*, Rev. Mat. Iberoamericana, 1995.
- [Le] N. N. Lebedev, *Special Functions and their Applications*, 2nd ed., Moscow, Leningrad, 1963.

- [Se] A. Selberg, *Harmonic analysis and discontinuous groups in weakly symmetric Riemannian spaces with applications to Dirichlet series*, J. Indian Math. Soc. 20 (1956), 47–87.

Mathematical Institute  
of the Hungarian Academy of Sciences  
Realtanoda u. 13-15  
H-1053 Budapest, Hungary  
E-mail: biroand@math-inst.hu

*Received on 15.9.1997*  
*and in revised form on 15.5.1998*

(3258)