

**A bound for the discrepancy of digital nets and its  
application to the analysis of certain  
pseudo-random number generators**

by

GERHARD LARCHER (Salzburg)

**1. Introduction.** The concept of digital nets is at the moment the most effective method for the construction of low-discrepancy point sets in the  $s$ -dimensional unit cube. Furthermore, by recent work it turned out that digital nets also play an important role in the analysis of certain pseudo-random number generators.

Until now the discrepancy of digital nets essentially was estimated by using discrepancy bounds valid for arbitrary nets. In this paper we give a more sensible—in some sense—discrepancy bound, especially for digital nets generated over a finite field of prime order, and we apply this bound for improving some results concerning the serial test of certain pseudo-random number generators.

The serial test is a test for the statistical independence of successive pseudo-random numbers. For a pseudo-random number sequence  $x_0, x_1, \dots, x_{N-1}$  in  $[0, 1)$  and a fixed dimension  $s \geq 2$  let the *serial set*  $(\mathbf{x}_n)_{n \geq 0}$  of dimension  $s$  be defined by  $\mathbf{x}_n := (x_n, x_{n+1}, \dots, x_{n+s-1}) \in [0, 1)^s$  for  $n = 0, 1, \dots, N-1$ . (Here we consider the sequence  $(x_n)_{n \geq 0}$  to be periodic with period  $N$ .) We then consider the usual star-discrepancy  $D_N^*$  of this sequence in  $[0, 1)^s$ .  $D_N^*$  is defined by

$$D_N^* = \sup_B \left| \frac{A_N(B)}{N} - \lambda(B) \right|,$$

where the supremum is over all subintervals  $B$  in  $[0, 1)^s$  with one vertex at the origin,  $A_N(B)$  denotes the number of elements of the sequence belonging to  $B$ , and  $\lambda(B)$  is the  $s$ -dimensional volume of  $B$ .

Small discrepancy guarantees good statistical independence properties of the successive elements of the pseudo-random sequence.

---

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: 11K38, 11K45.

K. F. Roth [11] has shown that for every dimension  $s \geq 2$  there exists a constant  $c_s > 0$  such that for every  $N \geq 2$  and each sequence  $y_0, y_1, \dots, y_{N-1}$  in  $[0, 1)^s$ , for the corresponding star-discrepancy  $D_N^*$  of the sequence we have

$$D_N^* \geq c_s \frac{(\log N)^{(s-1)/2}}{N}.$$

It is a famous conjecture that this still holds if the exponent  $(s-1)/2$  of the logarithm is replaced by  $s-1$ . Until now this was only proved for the dimensions  $s=1$  and  $s=2$  (see [12]). So by “small discrepancy” we mean a discrepancy of an order  $(\log N)^A/N$  with  $A$  not much larger than  $s-1$ .

In this paper we consider three widely used pseudo-random number generation methods: the recursive matrix method (combined with the  $p$ -adic digit method), the digital multistep method, and the generalized feedback shift-register method. These methods have the property that their serial sets show in some sense a “net property” and even a “digital net property”. For the theory of nets and for more details and a discussion concerning the serial test see the excellent monograph [4] of Niederreiter, and the various references given there.

For all these generation methods we show the existence of parameters which provide pseudo-random number sequences with large period and with an extremely small discrepancy for its serial sets. We thereby improve results which are given in, or can be deduced from, [6], [3] and [2].

Note that it is not the intention of this paper to discuss or to evaluate different pseudo-random number generation methods or to give comments on advantages and disadvantages of various pseudo-random number tests.

**2. A discrepancy bound for digital nets.** The concept of digital nets over a certain ring is at the moment the most effective method for the construction of low-discrepancy sequences in an  $s$ -dimensional unit cube. We just mention the powerful construction methods given by Niederreiter and Xing for example in [8]–[10] which are based on the digital construction concept over a finite field. In this section we recall the notion of digital nets and we give the new discrepancy bound in Proposition 1.

Let  $p$  be a prime, let  $F_p$  be the finite field of order  $p$  and use the natural identification between the elements of the field and the digits between 0 and  $p-1$ .

For integers  $s \geq 2$ ,  $m \geq 2$  and  $N = p^m$  the sequence  $\mathbf{x}_0, \dots, \mathbf{x}_{N-1} \in [0, 1)^s$  with  $\mathbf{x}_n := (x_n(1), \dots, x_n(s))$  is called a *digital net* over  $F_p$  if there exist  $s$   $m \times m$  matrices  $A_1, \dots, A_s$  over  $F_p$  such that for all  $n = 0, \dots, N-1$  and  $i = 1, \dots, s$  we have

$$x_n(i) = \frac{1}{N} \tau(A_i \cdot \tau^{-1}(n)).$$

Here we denote by  $\tau$  the following bijection between  $F_p^m$  and  $\{0, \dots, p^m - 1\}$ :

$$\tau((a_0, \dots, a_{m-1})) := a_0 + a_1 p + \dots + a_{m-1} p^{m-1}.$$

The quality of the distribution of a digital net of course essentially depends on the properties of the defining matrices  $A_i$  (see for example Theorem 4.28 of [4]).

Let  $A_1, \dots, A_s$  be given and denote by  $a_j^{(i)} \in F_p^m$  with  $j = 1, \dots, m$  the rows of the matrix  $A_i$  for  $i = 1, \dots, s$ . For  $0 \leq w \leq s$ , a  $w$ -tuple  $(d_1, \dots, d_w)$  of non-negative integers is called *admissible with respect to  $A_1, \dots, A_s$*  if the system  $\{a_j^{(i)} : j = 1, \dots, d_i, i = 1, \dots, w\}$  is linearly independent over  $F_p$ . For  $w = 0$  we define the “zero-tuple”  $()$  to be admissible. For  $w \leq s - 1$  and  $(d_1, \dots, d_w)$  admissible we set  $h(d_1, \dots, d_w) := \max\{h \geq 0 \mid (d_1, \dots, d_w, h) \text{ is admissible}\}$ .

Then we have:

PROPOSITION 1. *Let  $D^*$  denote the star-discrepancy of the digital net  $\mathbf{x}_0, \dots, \mathbf{x}_{p^m-1}$  over  $F_p$  defined by  $A_1, \dots, A_s$ . Then*

$$D^* \leq \sum_{w=0}^{s-1} (p-1)^w \sum_{\substack{(d_1, \dots, d_w) \text{ admissible} \\ d_i > 0}} p^{-(d_1 + \dots + d_w + h(d_1, \dots, d_w))}.$$

Proof. By the definitions, if  $(d_1, \dots, d_w)$  is admissible and we let

$$B \subseteq [0, 1]^s \quad \text{with} \quad B = \prod_{i=1}^w \left[ \frac{a_i}{p^{d_i}}, \frac{b_i}{p^{d_i}} \right) \times [0, 1]^{s-w}$$

with integers  $0 \leq a_i < b_i \leq p^{d_i}$  (we call such an interval an *admissible interval*), then  $B$  contains exactly

$$p^{m-(d_1 + \dots + d_w)} \prod_{i=1}^w (b_i - a_i)$$

of the net points.

Let  $M = \prod_{i=1}^s [0, \alpha_i) \subseteq [0, 1]^s$  with  $\alpha_i := \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \alpha_j^{(i)} / p^j$  for  $i = 1, \dots, s$  be taken arbitrarily. (If the representation of some  $\alpha_i$  is not unique then we use an infinite representation.) Then on the one hand we have

$$\widetilde{M} := \bigcup_{\substack{(d_1, \dots, d_s) \text{ admissible} \\ d_i > 0}} \prod_{i=1}^s \left[ \sum_{j=1}^{d_i-1} \frac{\alpha_j^{(i)}}{p^j}, \sum_{j=1}^{d_i} \frac{\alpha_j^{(i)}}{p^j} \right) \subseteq M.$$

The intervals in the above union are pairwise disjoint and admissible. On

the other hand, we will show by induction on  $s$  that

$$\begin{aligned} M \subseteq \widetilde{M} \cup \bigcup_{w=0}^{s-1} \bigcup_{\substack{(d_1, \dots, d_w) \text{ admissible} \\ d_i > 0}} & \left( \prod_{i=1}^w \left[ \sum_{j=1}^{d_i-1} \frac{\alpha_j^{(i)}}{p^j}, \sum_{j=1}^{d_i} \frac{\alpha_j^{(i)}}{p^j} \right) \right. \\ & \times \left[ \sum_{j=1}^{h(d_1, \dots, d_w)} \frac{\alpha_j^{(w+1)}}{p^j}, \sum_{j=1}^{h(d_1, \dots, d_w)} \frac{\alpha_j^{(w+1)}}{p^j} + \frac{1}{p^{h(d_1, \dots, d_w)}} \right) \\ & \left. \times [0, 1)^{s-w-1} \right). \end{aligned}$$

(Again all intervals in the second union above are admissible.) For  $s = 1$  the right hand side above is

$$\begin{aligned} \bigcup_{d_1 \text{ admissible}} \left[ \sum_{j=1}^{d_1-1} \frac{\alpha_j^{(1)}}{p^j}, \sum_{j=1}^{d_1} \frac{\alpha_j^{(1)}}{p^j} \right) \cup \left[ \sum_{j=1}^{h()} \frac{\alpha_j^{(1)}}{p^j}, \sum_{j=1}^{h()} \frac{\alpha_j^{(1)}}{p^j} + \frac{1}{p^{h()}} \right) \\ = \left[ 0, \sum_{j=1}^{h()} \frac{\alpha_j^{(1)}}{p^j} + \frac{1}{p^{h()}} \right), \end{aligned}$$

which contains  $M = [0, \alpha_1)$ . Assume the assertion is true up to dimension  $s - 1$  and consider

$$M = \prod_{i=1}^{s-1} [0, \alpha_i) \times [0, \alpha_s).$$

By induction,

$$\begin{aligned} \prod_{i=1}^{s-1} [0, \alpha_i) \subseteq \bigcup_{\substack{(d_1, \dots, d_{s-1}) \text{ admissible} \\ d_i > 0}} \prod_{i=1}^{s-1} \left[ \sum_{j=1}^{d_i-1} \frac{\alpha_j^{(i)}}{p^j}, \sum_{j=1}^{d_i} \frac{\alpha_j^{(i)}}{p^j} \right) \\ \cup \bigcup_{w=0}^{s-2} \bigcup_{\substack{(d_1, \dots, d_w) \text{ admissible} \\ d_i > 0}} \left( \prod_{i=1}^w \left[ \sum_{j=1}^{d_i-1} \frac{\alpha_j^{(i)}}{p^j}, \sum_{j=1}^{d_i} \frac{\alpha_j^{(i)}}{p^j} \right) \right. \\ \times \left[ \sum_{j=1}^{h(d_1, \dots, d_w)} \frac{\alpha_j^{(w+1)}}{p^j}, \sum_{j=1}^{h(d_1, \dots, d_w)} \frac{\alpha_j^{(w+1)}}{p^j} + \frac{1}{p^{h(d_1, \dots, d_w)}} \right) \\ \left. \times [0, 1)^{s-w-2} \right). \end{aligned}$$

We extend each of the  $(s - 1)$ -dimensional intervals  $J$  on the right hand side above to an  $s$ -dimensional interval  $J'$  such that  $M$  is contained in the union of these extensions.

If  $J$  is part of the first big union above, that is, if it is of the form

$$\prod_{i=1}^{s-1} \left[ \sum_{j=1}^{d_i-1} \frac{\alpha_j^{(i)}}{p^j}, \sum_{j=1}^{d_i} \frac{\alpha_j^{(i)}}{p^j} \right)$$

for some admissible  $(d_1, \dots, d_{s-1})$ , then we take

$$\begin{aligned} J' := & \prod_{i=1}^{s-1} \left[ \sum_{j=1}^{d_i-1} \frac{\alpha_j^{(i)}}{p^j}, \sum_{j=1}^{d_i} \frac{\alpha_j^{(i)}}{p^j} \right) \\ & \times \left( \bigcup_{k=1}^{h(d_1, \dots, d_{s-1})} \left[ \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} \frac{\alpha_j^{(s)}}{p^j}, \sum_{j=1}^k \frac{\alpha_j^{(s)}}{p^j} \right) \right. \\ & \left. \cup \left[ \sum_{j=1}^{h(d_1, \dots, d_{s-1})} \frac{\alpha_j^{(s)}}{p^j}, \sum_{j=1}^{h(d_1, \dots, d_{s-1})} \frac{\alpha_j^{(s)}}{p^j} + \frac{1}{p^{h(d_1, \dots, d_{s-1})}} \right) \right) \Big). \end{aligned}$$

If  $J$  is part of the second big union then we just extend by  $[0, 1)$ .

By inserting we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} M \subseteq & \bigcup_{\substack{(d_1, \dots, d_{s-1}) \text{ admissible} \\ d_i > 0}} \left( \prod_{i=1}^{s-1} \left[ \sum_{j=1}^{d_i-1} \frac{\alpha_j^{(i)}}{p^j}, \sum_{j=1}^{d_i} \frac{\alpha_j^{(i)}}{p^j} \right) \right. \\ & \times \left. \bigcup_{k=1}^{h(d_1, \dots, d_{s-1})} \left[ \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} \frac{\alpha_j^{(s)}}{p^j}, \sum_{j=1}^k \frac{\alpha_j^{(s)}}{p^j} \right) \right) \\ & \cup \bigcup_{\substack{(d_1, \dots, d_{s-1}) \text{ admissible} \\ d_i > 0}} \left( \prod_{i=1}^{s-1} \left[ \sum_{j=1}^{d_i-1} \frac{\alpha_j^{(i)}}{p^j}, \sum_{j=1}^{d_i} \frac{\alpha_j^{(i)}}{p^j} \right) \right. \\ & \times \left. \left[ \sum_{j=1}^{h(d_1, \dots, d_{s-1})} \frac{\alpha_j^{(s)}}{p^j}, \sum_{j=1}^{h(d_1, \dots, d_{s-1})} \frac{\alpha_j^{(s)}}{p^j} + \frac{1}{p^{h(d_1, \dots, d_{s-1})}} \right) \right) \\ & \cup \bigcup_{w=0}^{s-2} \bigcup_{\substack{(d_1, \dots, d_w) \text{ admissible} \\ d_i > 0}} \left( \prod_{i=1}^w \left[ \sum_{j=1}^{d_i-1} \frac{\alpha_j^{(i)}}{p^j}, \sum_{j=1}^{d_i} \frac{\alpha_j^{(i)}}{p^j} \right) \right. \\ & \times \left. \left[ \sum_{j=1}^{h(d_1, \dots, d_w)} \frac{\alpha_j^{(w+1)}}{p^j}, \sum_{j=1}^{h(d_1, \dots, d_w)} \frac{\alpha_j^{(w+1)}}{p^j} + \frac{1}{p^{h(d_1, \dots, d_w)}} \right) \right) \\ & \times [0, 1)^{s-w-1} \Big), \end{aligned}$$

and the induction is finished.

So we obtain

$$\left| \frac{A_N(M)}{N} - \lambda(M) \right| \leq \sum_{w=0}^{s-1} (p-1)^w \sum_{\substack{(d_1, \dots, d_w) \\ \text{admissible} \\ d_i > 0}} p^{-(d_1 + \dots + d_w + h(d_1, \dots, d_w))}$$

and the result follows. ■

**3. The recursive matrix method.** The recursive matrix method was introduced in full generality by Niederreiter in [5], and it was studied in detail for example in [6] and [7]. Here we only consider the case of recursive matrix methods of order one. This is a combination of the classical matrix method for the generation of pseudo-random vectors (see [4]), combined with a  $p$ -adic digit method.

The method is the following. Let  $p$  be a prime and let  $F_p$  be again the finite field of order  $p$ . Let  $m$  be a positive integer and let  $A$  be a non-singular  $m \times m$  matrix over  $F_p$ . A sequence  $\mathbf{z}_0, \mathbf{z}_1, \dots$  of row vectors from  $F_p^m$  is generated by choosing an initial vector  $\mathbf{z}_0$  different from  $\mathbf{0}$  and by

$$\mathbf{z}_{n+1} := \mathbf{z}_n \cdot A \quad \text{for } n = 0, 1, \dots$$

We now derive pseudo-random numbers  $x_n$  in  $[0, 1)$  from  $\mathbf{z}_n := (z_n^{(1)}, \dots, z_n^{(m)}) \in F_p^m$  in the following way. We identify the elements  $z \in F_p$  in the natural way with digits  $z \in \{0, \dots, p-1\}$ . Then

$$x_n := \sum_{j=1}^m z_n^{(j)} p^{-j} \quad \text{for } n = 0, 1, \dots$$

The sequence  $(\mathbf{z}_n)_{n \geq 0}$  and therefore  $(x_n)_{n \geq 0}$  is purely periodic because of the non-singularity of the matrix  $A$ , with (least) period at most  $p^m - 1$ . This maximal (least) period is attained if and only if the polynomial  $\det(x \cdot I_m - A)$  of degree  $m$  is a primitive polynomial over  $F_p$ . (Here  $I_m$  is the  $m \times m$  identity matrix.) This is shown for example in Theorem 10.2 of [4]. In the following we restrict ourselves to this, for practical purposes most important, case of maximal period.

Let in the following  $q := p^m$ . In Theorem 2 of [6] it was shown that a sequence  $(\mathbf{z}_n)_{n \geq 0}$  with  $\mathbf{z}_n := (z_n^{(1)}, \dots, z_n^{(m)}) \in F_p^m$  is a recursive vector sequence of the above form of period  $T := p^m - 1$  if and only if there is a primitive element  $\sigma$  of  $F_q$  and a basis  $\beta_1, \dots, \beta_m$  of  $F_q$  over  $F_p$  such that  $z_n^{(j)} = \text{Tr}(\beta_j \sigma^n)$  for  $1 \leq j \leq m$  and  $n \geq 0$ . Here  $\text{Tr}$  is the trace function from  $F_q$  to  $F_p$ .

Concerning the star-discrepancy  $D_T^{*(s)}$  of the serial sets of dimension  $s$  of these sequences, the following was shown in [6].

Let  $2 \leq s \leq m$  and let  $\sigma$  be a fixed primitive element of  $F_q$ . Then for  $D_T^{*(s)}$  we have on the average

$$D_T^{*(s)} \leq c(s) \frac{(\log T)^s}{T}$$

with an implied constant depending only on  $s$ , where the average is taken over all ordered bases of  $F_q$  over  $F_p$ .

From this we at once deduce the following. Let  $2 \leq s \leq m$ , let  $\sigma$  be a fixed primitive element of  $F_q$  and let  $\mathcal{B}$  be the set of ordered bases of  $F_q$  over  $F_p$ . Let  $0 < \gamma < 1$  be given. Then the number of bases  $B \in \mathcal{B}$  for which for the discrepancy  $D_T^{*(s)}(B)$  of the  $s$ -dimensional serial set of the corresponding sequence we have

$$D_T^{*(s)}(B) \leq \frac{1}{1-\gamma} c(s) \frac{(\log T)^s}{T}$$

is at least  $\gamma|\mathcal{B}|$ .

We improve this result (at least for small  $p$ ) by almost one logarithmic factor in the following way:

**THEOREM 1.** *Let  $2 \leq s \leq m$ , let  $\sigma$  be a primitive element of  $F_q$  and let  $\mathcal{B}$  be the set of ordered bases of  $F_q$  over  $F_p$ . Let  $0 < \gamma < 1$  be given. Then the number of bases  $B \in \mathcal{B}$  for which for the discrepancy  $D_T^{*(s)}(B)$  of the  $s$ -dimensional serial set  $\mathbf{x}_0, \dots, \mathbf{x}_{T-1}$  of the corresponding sequence we have*

$$\begin{aligned} D_T^{*(s)}(B) &\leq \frac{1}{T} + \frac{1}{p^m} \sum_{w=0}^{s-1} (p-1)^w \binom{m}{w} \\ &\quad \times \left[ (s-1) \left( \frac{p}{p-1} \right)^2 \frac{2}{1-\gamma} p \log m \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \left( \frac{p}{p-1} \right)^2 \frac{2}{1-\gamma} \left( 1 + {}_p \log \frac{4}{1-\gamma} \right) + \frac{1+\gamma}{1-\gamma} \right] \\ &= \mathcal{O} \left( \frac{(\log T)^{s-1} \log \log T}{T} \right) \end{aligned}$$

is at least  $\gamma|\mathcal{B}|$ . (Here we denote by  ${}_p \log$  the logarithm to base  $p$ .)

**Remark 1.** Note that the constant in the  $\mathcal{O}$ -result of Theorem 1 does also depend on  $p$ .

**Remark 2.** For example, in the case  $p = 2$  for at least half the bases  $B$  in  $\mathcal{B}$ , we have

$$D_T^{*(s)}(B) \leq 68 \frac{1}{2^m} \sum_{w=0}^{s-1} \binom{m}{w} + 16(s-1) \frac{2^{\log m}}{2^m} \sum_{w=0}^{s-1} \binom{m}{w}.$$

**Remark 3.** The above discrepancy estimates coincide up to the  $\log \log T$  factors with the conjectured general lower bound for the discrepancy of point sets in  $[0, 1]^s$ .

**Proof of Theorem 1.** Let the recursive matrix sequence  $x_0, \dots, x_{T-1}$  be defined by the primitive element  $\sigma$  of  $F_q$  and by the ordered basis  $B = \{\beta_1, \dots, \beta_m\}$  of  $F_q$  over  $F_p$ . The  $\beta_i$  are viewed as vectors of  $F_q$  over  $F_p$ . By Theorem 5 of [6], the set  $\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{x}_0, \mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_{T-1}$  forms a digital net over  $F_p$  which is generated by certain matrices, say  $C_1, \dots, C_s$ . Let  $c_j^{(i)} \in F_p^m$  for  $1 \leq j \leq m$  be rows of  $C_i$  for  $1 \leq i \leq s$ .

It is shown in the proof of that Theorem 5 that these  $C_1, \dots, C_s$  have the following property: for any non-negative integers  $d_i \leq m$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, s$ , the system of vectors  $\{c_j^{(i)} : 1 \leq j \leq d_i, 1 \leq i \leq s\}$  is linearly dependent over  $F_p$  if and only if the system  $\{\beta_j \sigma^{i-1} : 1 \leq j \leq d_i, 1 \leq i \leq s\}$  is. In the following we consider admissible  $w$ -tuples of integers with respect to the matrices  $A_i(B)$  with rows  $\beta_j \sigma^{i-1}$ ,  $j = 1, \dots, m$ , for  $i = 1, \dots, s$  and we call them (for fixed  $\sigma$ ) *admissible for B*. Then by Proposition 1 for the star-discrepancy  $D_T^{*(s)}(B)$  of the set  $\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{x}_0, \mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_{T-1}$  we have

$$D_T^{*(s)}(B) \leq \sum_{w=0}^{s-1} (p-1)^w \sum_{\substack{(d_1, \dots, d_w) \\ \text{admissible for } B \\ d_i > 0}} p^{-(d_1 + \dots + d_w + h(d_1, \dots, d_w))}.$$

For a non-negative integer  $c$  let  $\mathcal{M}(c)$  be the set of  $B \in \mathcal{B}$  such that there exist positive integers  $d_1, \dots, d_s$  with  $d_1 + \dots + d_s = m - c$  and with  $\beta_j \sigma^{i-1}$ ,  $j = 1, \dots, d_i$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, s$ , linearly dependent over  $F_p$ . We have

$$|\mathcal{M}(c)| \leq \sum_{\substack{\mathbf{d} := (d_1, \dots, d_s) \\ d_1 + \dots + d_s = m - c \\ d_i > 0}} \sum_{\substack{\lambda := (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_{m-c}) \in \\ F_p^{m-c} \setminus \{\mathbf{0}\}}} |\mathcal{M}(\lambda, \mathbf{d})|$$

with

$$\mathcal{M}(\lambda, \mathbf{d}) := \{B \in \mathcal{B} \mid \lambda_1 \beta_1 \sigma^0 + \dots + \lambda_{d_1} \beta_{d_1} \sigma^0 + \dots \\ \dots + \lambda_{d_1 + \dots + d_{s-1} + 1} \beta_1 \sigma^{s-1} + \dots + \lambda_{m-c} \beta_{d_s} \sigma^{s-1} = 0\}.$$

We estimate the number of elements of  $\mathcal{M}(\lambda, \mathbf{d})$ . There is an  $i \in \{1, \dots, m - c\}$  with  $\lambda_i \neq 0$ . Without loss of generality assume  $\lambda_1 \neq 0$ . Since  $s \leq m$  and since  $\sigma$  is primitive, we have  $\lambda_1 \sigma^0 + \dots + \lambda_{d_1 + \dots + d_{s-1} + 1} \sigma^{s-1} \neq 0$ . So for arbitrarily chosen linearly independent  $\beta_2, \dots, \beta_m$  (there are  $(p^m - 1) \dots (p^m - p^{m-2})$  such choices) there is at most one  $\beta_1$  such that  $(\beta_1, \dots, \beta_m) \in \mathcal{B}$ . Consequently,

$$|\mathcal{M}(\lambda, \mathbf{d})| \leq (p^m - 1)(p^m - p) \dots (p^m - p^{m-2}) = |\mathcal{B}| \frac{1}{p^m - p^{m-1}}$$



and therefore

$$|\mathcal{M}(c)| \leq |\mathcal{B}| \frac{1}{p^c} \cdot \frac{p}{p-1} \binom{m-c-1}{s-1}.$$

Let  $\overline{\mathcal{M}}(c) := \mathcal{B} \setminus \mathcal{M}(c)$ . Then

$$|\overline{\mathcal{M}}(c)| \geq |\mathcal{B}|(1 - R(c)) \quad \text{with} \quad R(c) := \frac{1}{p^c} \cdot \frac{p}{p-1} \binom{m-c-1}{s-1}.$$

For a positive integer  $c$  we now consider

$$\begin{aligned} \sum &:= \frac{1}{|\overline{\mathcal{M}}(c)|} \sum_{B \in \overline{\mathcal{M}}(c)} D_T^{*(s)}(B) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{|\overline{\mathcal{M}}(c)|} \sum_{B \in \overline{\mathcal{M}}(c)} \sum_{w=0}^{s-1} (p-1)^w \sum_{\substack{d_1, \dots, d_w \\ \text{admissible for } B \\ d_i > 0}} p^{-(d_1 + \dots + d_w + h(d_1, \dots, d_w))} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{|\overline{\mathcal{M}}(c)|} \sum_{w=0}^{s-1} (p-1)^w \sum_{B \in \overline{\mathcal{M}}(c)} \sum_{\substack{d_1, \dots, d_w \\ \text{admissible for } B \\ d_i > 0}} p^{-(d_1 + \dots + d_w)} \\ &\quad \times \left( \left( \sum_{i=m-(d_1+\dots+d_w)-c+1}^{m-(d_1+\dots+d_w)} \sum_{\lambda}^* \frac{p}{p-1} \cdot \frac{1}{p_i} \right) + \frac{1}{p^{m-(d_1+\dots+d_w)}} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Here  $\sum_{\lambda}^*$  means summation over all

$$\lambda := (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_{d_1+\dots+d_w+i}) \in F_p^{d_1+\dots+d_w+i} \setminus \{\mathbf{0}\}$$

for which

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_1 \beta_1 + \dots + \lambda_{d_1} \beta_{d_1} + \dots + \lambda_{d_1+\dots+d_{w-1}+1} \beta_1 \sigma^{w-1} + \dots + \lambda_{d_1+\dots+d_w} \beta_{d_w} \sigma^{w-1} \\ + \lambda_{d_1+\dots+d_w+1} \beta_1 \sigma^w + \dots + \lambda_{d_1+\dots+d_w+i} \beta_i \sigma^w = 0. \end{aligned}$$

The summand  $1/p^{m-(d_1+\dots+d_w)}$  comes from the case where  $h(d_1, \dots, d_w) = m-(d_1+\dots+d_w)$  and the factor  $p/(p-1)$  comes from the fact that whenever for given  $w$ ,  $B$ ,  $(d_1, \dots, d_w)$  and  $i$  there is a possible summand  $\lambda$  then there are at least  $p-1$  such  $\lambda$ .

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \sum &\leq \frac{1}{p^m} \sum_{w=0}^{s-1} (p-1)^w \binom{m}{w} \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{|\overline{\mathcal{M}}(c)|} \cdot \frac{p}{p-1} \sum_{w=0}^{s-1} (p-1)^w \sum_{\substack{d_1, \dots, d_w > 0 \\ d_1 + \dots + d_w \leq m}} p^{-(d_1 + \dots + d_w)} \\ &\quad \times \sum_{i=\max(0, m-(d_1 + \dots + d_w) - c + 1)}^{m-(d_1 + \dots + d_w)} \frac{1}{p^i} \sum_{\lambda \in F_p^{d_1 + \dots + d_w + i} \setminus \{\mathbf{0}\}} |\mathcal{M}(\lambda, \mathbf{d}, w)|, \end{aligned}$$

where  $\mathcal{M}(\lambda, \mathbf{d}, w)$  is defined like  $\mathcal{M}(\lambda, \mathbf{d})$  above but with  $w$  instead of  $s-1$ . Estimating  $|\mathcal{M}(\lambda, \mathbf{d}, w)|$  in the same way as  $|\mathcal{M}(\lambda, \mathbf{d})|$  above, we obtain  $|\mathcal{M}(\lambda, \mathbf{d}, w)| \leq |\mathcal{B}|/(p^m - p^{m-1})$ , and

$$\begin{aligned} \sum &\leq \frac{1}{p^m} \sum_{w=0}^{s-1} (p-1)^w \binom{m}{w} \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{|\overline{\mathcal{M}}(c)|} \cdot \frac{p}{p-1} \cdot c \cdot \frac{|\mathcal{B}|}{p^m - p^{m-1}} \sum_{w=0}^{s-1} (p-1)^w \binom{m}{w} \\ &= \frac{1}{p^m} \sum_{w=0}^{s-1} (p-1)^w \binom{m}{w} \left[ 1 + \left( \frac{p}{p-1} \right)^2 c \frac{|\mathcal{B}|}{|\overline{\mathcal{M}}(c)|} \right] =: A(c). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore for  $\Gamma \geq 1$  the number of  $B \in \mathcal{B}$  with  $D_T^{*(s)}(B) \leq \Gamma A(c)$  is at least  $(1 - 1/\Gamma)(1 - R(c))|\mathcal{B}|$ .

Let now  $\Gamma = (1 + \gamma)/(1 - \gamma)$  and choose  $c \geq 1$  such that  $R(c) \leq (1 - \gamma)/2$ , that is,

$$\frac{1}{p^c} \cdot \frac{p}{p-1} \binom{m-c-1}{s-1} \leq \frac{1-\gamma}{2},$$

which is satisfied for

$$c \geq \left\lceil p \log \left( \frac{2p}{(1-\gamma)(p-1)} m^{s-1} \right) \right\rceil$$

(here  $\lceil x \rceil$  means the smallest integer larger than or equal to  $x$ ). By inserting the choices for  $c$  and  $\Gamma$  and by noting that the discrepancies of the point sets  $\mathbf{x}_0, \dots, \mathbf{x}_{T-1}$  and  $\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{x}_0, \dots, \mathbf{x}_{T-1}$  differ by at most  $1/T$ , we obtain the result. ■

**4. Shift-register sequences.** In this section we consider both the digital multistep method and the generalized feedback shift-register (GFSR) method. For details see again [4], especially Chapter 9.

(a) *The digital multistep method.* This method was introduced by Tausworthe in [13]. Let  $p$  be a prime, let  $k \geq 2$  be an integer and generate a  $k$ th order linear recurring sequence  $y_0, y_1, \dots \in F_p$  by

$$y_{n+k} \equiv \sum_{l=0}^{k-1} a_l y_{n+l} \pmod{p} \quad \text{for } n = 0, 1, \dots$$

where  $y_0, \dots, y_{k-1}$  are initial values not all zero, and where the coefficients  $a_0, \dots, a_{k-1} \in F_p$  are chosen in such a way that the characteristic polynomial  $f(x) := x^k - \sum_{l=0}^{k-1} a_l x^l \in F_p[x]$  is a primitive polynomial over  $F_p$ . We then have a maximal possible period of length  $p^k - 1$  for the sequence  $(y_n)_{n \geq 0}$ .

In the digital multistep method we construct a pseudo-random number sequence  $x_0, x_1, \dots$  in  $[0, 1)$  by choosing an integer  $m$  with  $2 \leq m \leq k$  and by putting

$$x_n := \sum_{j=1}^m y_{mn+j} p^{-j} \quad \text{for } n = 0, 1, \dots$$

This sequence has a period  $(p^k - 1)/(m, p^k - 1)$ . (See [4], Lemma 9.1.) For various reasons it is most convenient to choose  $m = k$  and to choose  $k$  such that  $(k, p^k - 1) = 1$ . For given  $k$  and  $m$  the sequences  $(x_n)_{n \geq 0}$  are uniquely determined by the primitive polynomial  $f$  and by the initial values  $y_0, \dots, y_{k-1}$ . Concerning the star-discrepancy  $D_T^{*(s)}(f)$  of the  $s$ -dimensional serial set  $\mathbf{x}_n := (x_n, \dots, x_{n+s+1})$ ,  $n = 0, \dots, T - 1$ , it was shown in [3] that for  $m = k$  and  $(k, p^k - 1) = 1$  (and therefore  $T = p^k - 1$ ), and initial values  $y_0, \dots, y_{k-1}$  not all zero, we have, on the average,

$$D_T^{*(s)}(f) \leq c(s, p) \frac{(\log T)^{s+1} \log \log T}{T}$$

with an implied constant depending only on  $p$  and  $s$ , where the average is taken over all primitive polynomials  $f$  over  $F_p$  of degree  $k$ . From this for arbitrary  $\gamma$ ,  $0 < \gamma < 1$ , we again immediately get the following. Let  $\mathcal{Q}$  be the set of primitive polynomials  $f$  over  $F_p$  of degree  $k$ . Then the number of  $f \in \mathcal{Q}$  for which the discrepancy  $D_T^{*(s)}(f)$  of the  $s$ -dimensional serial set of the corresponding sequence satisfies

$$D_T^{*(s)}(f) \leq \frac{1}{1-\gamma} c(s, p) \frac{(\log T)^{s+1} \log \log T}{T}$$

is at least  $\gamma |\mathcal{Q}|$ .

We improve this result in the following:

**THEOREM 2.** *For a prime  $p$  let  $s \geq 2$ ,  $m = k$  and  $T := p^k - 1$  with  $(k, T) = 1$  and  $y_0, \dots, y_{k-1}$  in  $F_p$ , not all zero, be given. For fixed  $\gamma$ ,  $0 < \gamma < 1$ , the number of  $f \in \mathcal{Q}$  for which the star-discrepancy  $D_T^{*(s)}(f)$  of the*

$s$ -dimensional serial set of the corresponding digital multistep shift-register sequence defined by  $f$  and the initial values  $y_0, \dots, y_{k-1}$  satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} D_T^{*(s)}(f) &\leq \frac{1}{T} + \frac{1}{p^k} \sum_{w=0}^{s-1} (p-1)^w \binom{k}{w} \\ &\quad \times \left[ s(s-1) \frac{p}{p-1} \cdot \frac{2}{1-\gamma} k \frac{p^k}{\phi(T)^p} \log \left( k \frac{p^k}{\phi(T)} \right) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + (s-1) \frac{p}{p-1} \cdot \frac{2}{1-\gamma} k \frac{p^k}{\phi(T)} \left( 1 + {}_p\log \frac{2(s-1)}{1-\gamma} \right) + \frac{1+\gamma}{1-\gamma} \right] \\ &= \mathcal{O} \left( \frac{(\log T)^s (\log \log T)^2}{T} \right) \end{aligned}$$

is at least  $\gamma|\mathcal{Q}|$ . (Here  $\phi$  is Euler's totient function.)

**Proof.** The proof runs along the same lines as the proof of Theorem 1. So it suffices to give the following details.

By Theorem 9.5 of [4], the  $p^k$  points  $\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{x}_0, \dots, \mathbf{x}_{T-1}$  form a digital net over  $F_p$  defined by  $s$  matrices  $C_1, \dots, C_s$  with rows  $c_j^i \in F_p^k$  with  $1 \leq j \leq k$  for  $1 \leq i \leq s$  with the following property: for non-negative integers  $d_i \leq k$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, s$ , the system of vectors  $\{c_j^i : 1 \leq j \leq d_i, 1 \leq i \leq s\}$  is linearly dependent over  $F_p$  if and only if the system  $\{\alpha^{(i-1)k+j-1} : 1 \leq j \leq d_i, 1 \leq i \leq s\}$  is. Here  $\alpha$  is a root of  $f$  in  $F_{p^k}$ , viewed as an element of the vector space  $F_{p^k}$  over  $F_p$ . In the following we consider admissible  $w$ -tuples of integers with respect to the matrices  $A_i(f)$  with rows  $\alpha^{(i-1)k+j}$ ,  $j = 0, \dots, k-1$ , for  $i = 1, \dots, s$ . For a non-negative integer  $c$ , for an  $s$ -tuple of non-negative integers  $\mathbf{d} := (d_1, \dots, d_s)$  with  $d_1 + \dots + d_s = k - c$  and  $\lambda := (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_{k-c}) \in F_p^{k-c} \setminus \{\mathbf{0}\}$  let  $\mathcal{M}(c, \lambda, \mathbf{d})$  be the set of  $f \in \mathcal{Q}$  satisfying

$$\begin{aligned} &\lambda_1 \alpha^0 + \dots + \lambda_{d_1} \alpha^{d_1-1} + \lambda_{d_1+1} \alpha^k + \dots + \lambda_{d_1+d_2} \alpha^{k+d_2-1} + \dots \\ &\dots + \lambda_{d_1+\dots+d_{s-1}+1} \alpha^{(s-1)k} + \dots + \lambda_{d_1+\dots+d_s} \alpha^{(s-1)k+d_s-1} = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$|\mathcal{M}(c, \lambda, \mathbf{d})| \leq \left\lceil \frac{(s-1)k + k - 1}{k} \right\rceil = s - 1.$$

This follows from the fact that the equation in the definition of  $\mathcal{M}(c, \lambda, \mathbf{d})$  has at most  $(s-1)k + d_s - 1$  solutions  $\alpha$ , and that for every such solution  $\alpha$ , all  $k$  simple roots of the defining primitive polynomial  $f$  of  $\alpha$  satisfy the equation.

Therefore, by proceeding quite analogously to the proof of Theorem 1, and since  $|\mathcal{Q}| = \phi(p^k - 1)/k$ , letting  $\mathcal{M}(c)$  be the set of  $f \in \mathcal{Q}$  such that there exist  $d_1, \dots, d_s > 0$  with  $d_1 + \dots + d_s = k - c$  and with  $\alpha^0, \dots, \alpha^{d_1-1}, \alpha^k, \dots, \alpha^{k+d_2-1}, \dots, \alpha^{(s-1)k}, \dots, \alpha^{(s-1)k+d_s-1}$  linearly dependent over  $F_p$ , we

have

$$|\mathcal{M}(c)| \leq |\mathcal{Q}| \frac{p^k}{\phi(p^k - 1)} k(s-1)p^{-c} \binom{k-c-1}{s-1} =: |\mathcal{Q}|R(c).$$

Let  $\overline{\mathcal{M}}(c) := \mathcal{Q} \setminus \mathcal{M}(c)$ . Then  $|\overline{\mathcal{M}}(c)| \geq |\mathcal{Q}|(1 - R(c))$ . Proceeding as in the proof of Theorem 1 we get

$$\begin{aligned} \sum &:= \frac{1}{|\overline{\mathcal{M}}(c)|} \sum_{f \in \overline{\mathcal{M}}(c)} D_T^{*(s)}(f) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{p^k} \sum_{w=0}^{s-1} (p-1)^w \binom{k}{w} \left[ \frac{p^k}{|\overline{\mathcal{M}}(c)|} c(s-1) \frac{p}{p-1} + 1 \right] =: A(c). \end{aligned}$$

We then easily finish the proof like the proof of Theorem 1. The  $\mathcal{Q}$ -result comes from the fact that  $x/\phi(x) = \mathcal{O}(\log \log x)$ . ■

(b) *The GFSR method.* This method is due to Lewis and Payne [1]. Let  $p$  be a prime, and let  $k \geq 2$  be an integer. For a primitive characteristic polynomial  $f$  of degree  $k$  over  $F_p$  we define the sequence  $(y_n)_{n=0, \dots, T-1}$  of period  $T = p^k - 1$  as in the digital multistep method. For  $m \geq 2$  we then choose integers  $h_1, \dots, h_m \geq 0$  and we put

$$x_n := \sum_{j=1}^m y_{n+h_j} p^{-j} \quad \text{for } n = 0, 1, \dots$$

This GFSR sequence has period  $T$ . In the following we again consider the case  $m = k$ .

It was shown in [2] (see also Theorem 9.17 of [4]) that for given  $f$  of degree  $k \geq s \geq 2$  and given initial values  $y_0, \dots, y_{k-1}$  not all zero (and for  $m = k$ ), for the star-discrepancy  $D_T^{*(s)}(h_1, \dots, h_k)$  of the  $s$ -dimensional serial set  $\mathbf{x}_n := (x_n, x_{n+1}, \dots, x_{n+s-1})$ ,  $n = 0, \dots, T-1$ , of the corresponding GFSR sequence  $(x_n)_{n=0, \dots, T-1}$  we have on the average

$$D_T^{*(s)}(h_1, \dots, h_k) \leq c(p, s) \frac{(\log T)^s}{T}$$

with an implied constant depending only on  $p$  and  $s$ , where the average is taken over all  $H = (h_1, \dots, h_k)$  with  $0 \leq h_j \leq T-1$  for  $1 \leq j \leq k$ . Let  $\mathcal{H}$  be the system of all such  $k$ -tuples  $H$ . Then again for every  $\gamma$  with  $0 < \gamma < 1$ , the number of  $H$  for which  $D_T^{*(s)}(H)$  satisfies

$$D_T^{*(s)}(H) \leq \frac{1}{1-\gamma} c(s, p) \frac{(\log T)^s}{T}$$

is at least  $\gamma|\mathcal{H}|$ . The following Theorem 3 is an improvement of this result:

**THEOREM 3.** *For a prime  $p$  let  $s \geq 2$ ,  $m = k \geq s$ , a primitive polynomial  $f$  of degree  $k$  over  $F_p$ , and initial values  $y_0, \dots, y_{k-1}$ , not all zero, be given.*

Let  $T := p^k - 1$ . For fixed  $\gamma$ ,  $0 < \gamma < 1$ , the number of  $H \in \mathcal{H}$  for which the star-discrepancy  $D_T^{*(s)}(H)$  of the  $s$ -dimensional serial set of the GFSR sequence defined by  $f$ ,  $H$  and the initial values satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} D_T^{*(s)}(B) &\leq \frac{1}{T} + \frac{1}{p^k} \sum_{w=0}^{s-1} (p-1)^w \binom{k}{w} \\ &\quad \times \left[ (s-1) \left( \frac{p}{p-1} \right)^2 \frac{2}{1-\gamma} p \log k \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \left( \frac{p}{p-1} \right)^2 \frac{2}{1-\gamma} \left( 1 + p \log \frac{4}{1-\gamma} \right) + \frac{1+\gamma}{1-\gamma} \right] \\ &= \mathcal{O} \left( \frac{(\log T)^{s-1} \log \log T}{T} \right) \end{aligned}$$

is at least  $\gamma|\mathcal{H}|$ .

**Proof.** Again (see Theorem 9.14 of [4]),  $\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{x}_0, \dots, \mathbf{x}_{T-1}$  form a digital net over  $F_p$  with the matrices  $A_i(h)$  with rows  $\alpha^{i-1+h_j}$ ,  $j = 1, \dots, k$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, s$  ( $\alpha$  a root of  $f$  in  $F_{p^k}$ ), playing the role of  $A_i(B)$  and  $A_i(f)$  in the proofs of Theorems 1 and 2, respectively.

For a non-negative  $c$  we define the sets  $\mathcal{M}(\lambda, \mathbf{d})$  and  $\mathcal{M}(c)$  as in the proofs of the above theorems. The equation in the definition of  $\mathcal{M}(\lambda, \mathbf{d})$  is then equivalent to

$$\sum_{j=1}^k \xi_j \alpha^{h_j} = 0 \quad \text{with} \quad \xi_j := \sum_{i=0}^{s-1} \lambda_{d_1+\dots+d_i+j} \alpha^j.$$

Since  $s \leq k$  and since  $\alpha$  is a primitive element in  $F_{p^k}$ , we see that for  $\lambda \neq \mathbf{0}$  not all  $\xi_j$  are zero and therefore (again since  $\alpha$  generates  $F_{p^k}$  and since  $0 \leq h_j \leq p^k - 2$  for all  $j$ ) we have  $|\mathcal{M}(\lambda, \mathbf{d})| \leq T^{k-1}$ . Consequently,

$$|\mathcal{M}(c)| \leq |\mathcal{H}| \binom{k-c-1}{s-1} p^{k-c} \frac{1}{T} =: |\mathcal{H}| R(c)$$

and with  $\overline{\mathcal{M}}(c) := \mathcal{H} \setminus \mathcal{M}(c)$  we get

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{1}{|\overline{\mathcal{M}}(c)|} \sum_{H \in \overline{\mathcal{M}}(c)} D_T^{*(s)}(H) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{p^k} \sum_{w=0}^{s-1} (p-1)^w \binom{k}{w} \left[ 1 + \frac{|\mathcal{H}|}{|\overline{\mathcal{M}}(c)|} \cdot \frac{p}{p-1} \cdot \frac{1}{1-1/p^k} c \right] \\ &=: A(c). \end{aligned}$$

We finish the proof like the proofs of Theorems 1 and 2. ■

## References

- [1] T. G. Lewis and W. H. Payne, *Generalized feedback shift register pseudorandom number algorithm*, J. Assoc. Comput. Mach. 20 (1973), 456–468.
- [2] H. Niederreiter, *Point sets and sequences with small discrepancy*, Monatsh. Math. 104 (1987), 273–337.
- [3] —, *The serial test for digital  $k$ -step pseudorandom numbers*, Math. J. Okayama Univ. 30 (1988), 93–119.
- [4] —, *Random Number Generation and Quasi-Monte Carlo Methods*, CBMS-NSF Regional Conf. Ser. in Appl. Math. 63, SIAM, Philadelphia, 1992.
- [5] —, *Factorization of polynomials and some linear-algebra problems over finite fields*, Linear Algebra Appl. 192 (1993), 301–328.
- [6] —, *The multiple recursive matrix method for pseudorandom number generation*, Finite Fields Appl. 1 (1995), 3–30.
- [7] —, *Improved bounds in the multiple-recursive matrix method for pseudorandom number and vector generation*, *ibid.* 2 (1996), 225–240.
- [8] H. Niederreiter and C. P. Xing, *Low-discrepancy sequences obtained from algebraic function fields over finite fields*, Acta Arith. 72 (1995), 281–298.
- [9] —, —, *Low-discrepancy sequences and global function fields with many rational places*, Finite Fields Appl. 2 (1996), 241–273.
- [10] —, —, *Quasirandom points and global function fields*, in: S. Cohen and H. Niederreiter (eds.), *Finite Fields and Applications (Glasgow, 1995)*, London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser. 233, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1996, 269–296.
- [11] K. F. Roth, *On irregularities of distribution*, Mathematika 1 (1954), 73–79.
- [12] W. M. Schmidt, *Irregularities of distribution, VII*, Acta Arith. 21 (1972), 45–50.
- [13] R. C. Tausworthe, *Random numbers generated by linear recurrence modulo two*, Math. Comp. 19 (1965), 201–209.

Institut für Mathematik  
Universität Salzburg  
Hellbrunnerstr. 34  
A-5020 Salzburg, Austria  
E-mail: Gerhard.Larcher@sbg.ac.at  
Web: <http://www.mat.sbg.ac.at/people/larcher.html>

*Received on 8.10.1996  
and in revised form on 4.4.1997*

(3056)