

On the distribution of the sequence $(n\alpha)$ with transcendental α

by

CHRISTOPH BAXA (Wien)

1. Introduction. Let $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ be irrational with regular continued fraction expansion $\alpha = [a_0, a_1, a_2, \dots]$ (i.e. $a_0 \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $a_i \in \mathbb{N}$ for all $i \geq 1$) and convergents $p_n/q_n = [a_0, a_1, \dots, a_n]$. (Sometimes we write $a_n(\alpha)$ and $p_n(\alpha)/q_n(\alpha)$ to stress the dependence on α .) It is a classic result of P. Bohl [5], W. Sierpiński [15], [16] and H. Weyl [17], [18] that the sequence $(n\alpha)_{n \geq 1}$ is uniformly distributed modulo 1. This property is studied from a quantitative viewpoint by means of the speed of convergence in the limit relation $\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} D_N^*(\alpha) = 0$ where the quantity

$$D_N^*(\alpha) = \sup_{0 \leq x \leq 1} \left| \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N c_{[0,x]}(\{n\alpha\}) - x \right|$$

is called *discrepancy*. According to a theorem of W. M. Schmidt [11] the convergence is best possible if $D_N^*(\alpha) = O((\log N)/N)$. It was first observed by H. Behnke [4] that this estimate is satisfied if and only if α is of *bounded density*, i.e. $\sum_{i=1}^m a_i = O(m)$ as $m \rightarrow \infty$. For α of bounded density the map $\alpha \mapsto \nu^*(\alpha) = \limsup_{N \rightarrow \infty} ND_N^*(\alpha)/\log N$ is used to obtain more detailed information. It was proved by Y. Dupain and V. T. Sós [6] that $\inf_{\alpha \in B} \nu^*(\alpha) = \nu^*(\overline{[2]})$ where B denotes the set of numbers of bounded density and $\overline{[2]} = [2, 2, 2, \dots] = 1 + \sqrt{2}$ is used as a convenient shorthand notation. J. Schoißengeier [14] expressed $\nu^*(\alpha)$ in terms of the continued fraction expansion of α after he had obtained partial results in [13]. Employing these results C. Baxa [3] showed the following:

(1) Let $B^q := \{\alpha \in B \mid \alpha \text{ is a quadratic irrationality}\}$. Then we have $\nu^*(B) = \nu^*(B^q) = [\nu^*(\overline{[2]}), \infty)$.

(2) Let $b \geq 4$ be an even integer, $B_b := \{\alpha = [a_0, a_1, a_2, \dots] \in B \mid a_i \geq b \text{ for all } i \geq 1\}$ and $B_b^q := \{\alpha \in B_b \mid \alpha \text{ is a quadratic irrationality}\}$. Then $\nu^*(B_b) = \nu^*(B_b^q) = [\nu^*(\overline{[b]}), \infty)$.

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: 11K31, 11K38, 11J81.

It is the purpose of the present paper to strengthen these results and to prove:

THEOREM 1. *Let $B^t := \{\alpha \in B \mid \alpha \text{ is transcendental}\}$ and $B^u := \{\alpha \in B \mid \alpha \text{ is a } U_2\text{-number}\}$. Then*

$$\nu^*(B^t) = \nu^*(B^u) = [\nu^*(\overline{[2]}), \infty).$$

THEOREM 2. *Let $B_b^t := \{\alpha \in B_b \mid \alpha \text{ is transcendental}\}$ and $B_b^u := \{\alpha \in B_b \mid \alpha \text{ is a } U_2\text{-number}\}$ (where again $b \geq 4$ is assumed to be an even integer). Then*

$$\nu^*(B_b^t) = \nu^*(B_b^u) = [\nu^*(\overline{[b]}), \infty).$$

REMARKS. (1) For a more detailed and leisurely exposition of the problem and its history the reader is referred to [3].

(2) In contrast to Theorem 1 we see that $\nu^*(B^q) \subsetneq [\nu^*(\overline{[2]}), \infty)$ since $\nu^*(\alpha)$ is transcendental if α is a quadratic irrationality. This follows from Theorem 1 in §4 of [14] as the logarithm of an algebraic number $\neq 1$ is always transcendental.

2. Criteria for transcendence. Our criteria are a variant of a method used by E. Maillet [7, Chapter 7] and A. Baker [1], [2] (see also [8, §36]). We will follow rather closely parts of [1] and [2] with two major differences:

(1) We will use a theorem by W. M. Schmidt which became available only a few years later [9] and was generalized in [10] (compare also with [12]).

(2) We do not aim at criteria of great generality but at specific ones which are well suited for our purpose. This explains the special shape of Corollary 6 below.

DEFINITION. If β is algebraic then $H(\beta)$ denotes the classical *absolute height*. This means, if $p(X) = \sum_{i=0}^m a_i X^i \in \mathbb{Z}[X] \setminus \{0\}$ with $\gcd(a_0, \dots, a_m) = 1$ and $p(\beta) = 0$ (and $\deg p$ minimal with this property) then

$$H(\beta) = \max_{0 \leq i \leq m} |a_i|.$$

THEOREM 3 (W. M. Schmidt). *Let $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ be algebraic but neither rational nor a quadratic irrationality and $\delta > 0$. Then there exist only finitely many $\beta \in \mathbb{R}$ which are rational or quadratic irrationalities such that $|\alpha - \beta| < H(\beta)^{-3-\delta}$.*

COROLLARY 4. *Let $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ have “quasiperiodic” but not periodic continued fraction expansion*

$$\alpha = [0, a_1, \dots, a_{\nu_1-1}, \overline{a_{\nu_1}, \dots, a_{\nu_1+k_1-1}}^{\lambda_1}, \overline{a_{\nu_2}, \dots, a_{\nu_2+k_2-1}}^{\lambda_2}, \dots]$$

(i.e. $\nu_n = \nu_1 + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \lambda_i k_i$). *If α is algebraic then $\limsup_{i \rightarrow \infty} q_{\nu_{i+1}-1} q_{\nu_i+k_i-1}^{-3-\delta} < \infty$. (Here $\overline{a_{\nu}, \dots, a_{\nu+k}}^{\lambda}$ indicates that the partial quotients $a_{\nu}, \dots, a_{\nu+k}$*

should be repeated λ times. For example $[0, \overline{1}, 2, \overline{3^2}, \overline{5^3}, 7, \dots] = [0, 1, 2, 3, 1, 2, 3, 5, 5, 5, 7, \dots]$.)

Proof. For $i \geq 1$ we define the quadratic irrationality

$$\beta_i := [0, a_1, \dots, a_{\nu_i-1}, \overline{a_{\nu_i}, \dots, a_{\nu_i+k_i-1}}^{\lambda_1}, \dots, \dots, \overline{a_{\nu_{i-1}}, \dots, a_{\nu_{i-1}+k_{i-1}-1}}^{\lambda_{i-1}}, \overline{a_{\nu_i}, \dots, a_{\nu_i+k_i-1}}].$$

For $k \leq \nu_{i+1} - 1$ we have $a_k(\alpha) = a_k(\beta_i)$ and we may write p_k/q_k for $p_k(\alpha)/q_k(\alpha) = p_k(\beta_i)/q_k(\beta_i)$. Now

$$L_i\beta_i^2 + M_i\beta_i + N_i = 0$$

with

$$L_i = q_{\nu_i-2}q_{\nu_i+k_i-1} - q_{\nu_i-1}q_{\nu_i+k_i-2},$$

$$M_i = q_{\nu_i-1}p_{\nu_i+k_i-2} + p_{\nu_i-1}q_{\nu_i+k_i-2} - p_{\nu_i-2}q_{\nu_i+k_i-1} - q_{\nu_i-2}p_{\nu_i+k_i-1},$$

$$N_i = p_{\nu_i-2}p_{\nu_i+k_i-1} - p_{\nu_i-1}p_{\nu_i+k_i-2},$$

and therefore

$$H(\beta_i) \leq \max\{|L_i|, |M_i|, |N_i|\} < 2q_{\nu_i+k_i-1}^2.$$

Theorem 3 implies

$$q_{\nu_{i+1}-1}^{-2} > |\alpha - \beta_i| > C(\alpha, \delta)H(\beta_i)^{-3-\delta} > C(\alpha, \delta)2^{-3-\delta}q_{\nu_i+k_i-1}^{-6-2\delta}$$

for a certain $C(\alpha, \delta) > 0$. The corollary follows immediately.

LEMMA 5. Keeping all notations of Corollary 4 we have

$$0 < |L_i\alpha^2 + M_i\alpha + N_i| < 8q_{\nu_i+k_i+1}^4q_{\nu_{i+1}-1}^{-2}.$$

Proof. Let $\bar{\beta}_i$ denote the conjugate of β_i . If $|\bar{\beta}_i| \geq 1$ it follows from $L_i\bar{\beta}_i^2 + M_i\bar{\beta}_i + N_i = 0$ that

$$\begin{aligned} |\bar{\beta}_i|^2 &\leq |L_i\bar{\beta}_i^2| = |M_i\bar{\beta}_i + N_i| < 2q_{\nu_i+k_i-1}^2(|\bar{\beta}_i| + 1) \\ &\leq 4q_{\nu_i+k_i-1}^2|\bar{\beta}_i| \end{aligned}$$

and therefore $|\bar{\beta}_i| < 4q_{\nu_i+k_i-1}^2$, which remains true even if $|\bar{\beta}_i| < 1$. This implies $|\alpha - \bar{\beta}_i| \leq 1 + |\bar{\beta}_i| < 1 + 4q_{\nu_i+k_i-1}^2 < 8q_{\nu_i+k_i-1}^2$ and thus

$$\begin{aligned} |L_i\alpha^2 + M_i\alpha + N_i| &= |L_i| \cdot |\alpha - \beta_i| \cdot |\alpha - \bar{\beta}_i| \\ &< q_{\nu_i+k_i-1}^2 \cdot q_{\nu_{i+1}-1}^{-2} \cdot 8q_{\nu_i+k_i-1}^2 = 8q_{\nu_i+k_i-1}^4q_{\nu_{i+1}-1}^{-2}. \end{aligned}$$

COROLLARY 6. (1) Let $b > a > 1$ be integers and $\alpha = [0, \bar{a}^{\lambda_1}, \bar{b}^{\lambda_2}, \bar{a}^{\lambda_3}, \bar{b}^{\lambda_4}, \dots]$. If

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(\lambda_{n+1} - 13 \frac{\log b}{\log a} (\lambda_1 + \dots + \lambda_n) \right) = \infty$$

then α is transcendental.

(2) If even $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \lambda_{n+1}/(\lambda_1 + \dots + \lambda_n) = \infty$ then α is a U_2 -number.

Proof. If $i > 1$ then

$$\begin{aligned} q_{\nu_i+k_i-1} &= q_{\nu_i} \leq (b+1)^{1+\lambda_1+\dots+\lambda_{i-1}} \\ &\leq (b^2)^{2(\lambda_1+\dots+\lambda_{i-1})} = a^{4\frac{\log b}{\log a}(\lambda_1+\dots+\lambda_{i-1})} \end{aligned}$$

and therefore

$$q_{\nu_{i+1}-1} q_{\nu_i+k_i-1}^{-13/4} \geq a^{\lambda_i-13\frac{\log b}{\log a}(\lambda_1+\dots+\lambda_{i-1})}$$

and (1) follows immediately from Corollary 4.

We have

$$H(L_i X^2 + M_i X + N_i) = \max\{|L_i|, |M_i|, |N_i|\} < 2q_{\nu_i+k_i-1}^2 \leq 2b^{4(\nu_i+k_i-1)}$$

where H denotes the height of a polynomial just for once. Now estimating $q_{\nu_i+k_i-1} \leq b^{2(\nu_i+k_i-1)}$ and $q_{\nu_{i+1}-1} \geq a^{\nu_{i+1}-1}$ we deduce from Lemma 5 that

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &< |L_i \alpha^2 + M_i \alpha + N_i| \\ &< b^{-(2(\nu_{i+1}-1) \log a - 8(\nu_i+k_i-1) \log b - 3 \log 2) / \log b} = (2b^{4(\nu_i+k_i-1)})^{-\Psi_i} \end{aligned}$$

with

$$\Psi_i = \frac{2(\nu_{i+1}-1) \log a - 8(\nu_i+k_i-1) \log b - 3 \log 2}{4(\nu_i+k_i-1) \log b + \log 2}.$$

Obviously $\limsup_{i \rightarrow \infty} \Psi_i = \infty$ is equivalent to $\limsup_{i \rightarrow \infty} \nu_{i+1}/\nu_i = \infty$ and therefore to $\limsup_{i \rightarrow \infty} \lambda_i/(\lambda_1 + \dots + \lambda_{i-1}) = \infty$.

3. Values of $\nu^*(\alpha)$ for transcendental α

LEMMA 7. Let $a < b$ be even positive integers and $\nu^*([\bar{a}]) < \mu < \nu^*([\bar{b}])$. Then there exists a transcendental $\alpha = [0, a_1, a_2, \dots]$ (and even a U_2 -number α) such that $a_i \in \{a, b\}$ for all $i \geq 1$ and $\nu^*(\alpha) = \mu$.

Proof. The function

$$f_{ab}(x) = \frac{1}{8} \cdot \frac{a + xb}{\log([\bar{a}]) + x \log([\bar{b}])}$$

increases for positive x , $f_{ab}(0) = \nu^*([\bar{a}])$ and $\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} f_{ab}(x) = \nu^*([\bar{b}])$. Therefore there is a unique $Q \in (0, \infty)$ such that $\mu = f_{ab}(Q)$. Let $(\sigma_n)_{n \geq 1}$ be a strictly increasing sequence of integers such that $\sigma_1 Q \geq 1$ and

$$(1) \quad \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(\sigma_{n+1} - 13(Q+1) \frac{\log b}{\log a} (\sigma_1 + \dots + \sigma_n) \right) = \infty$$

or even

$$(2) \quad \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\sigma_{n+1}}{\sigma_1 + \dots + \sigma_n} = \infty$$

are satisfied. Let $\lambda_{2n-1} = 2\sigma_n$ and $\lambda_{2n} = 2[\sigma_n Q]$ for $n \geq 1$. Furthermore, let $\alpha = [0, \bar{a}^{\lambda_1}, \bar{b}^{\lambda_2}, \bar{a}^{\lambda_3}, \bar{b}^{\lambda_4}, \dots]$. Using Corollary 6 it is easy to check that α is transcendental if (1) and a U_2 -number if (2) is satisfied. Employing a special

case of Theorem 1 in §3 of [14] which was already stated as Theorem 1 in §4 of [13] we see that

$$\begin{aligned} \nu^*(\alpha) &= \frac{1}{4} \limsup_{m \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\log q_m} \max \left(\sum_{1 \leq i \leq m, 2|i} a_i, \sum_{1 \leq i \leq m, 2 \nmid i} a_i \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{8} \limsup_{m \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\log q_m} \sum_{i=1}^m a_i \end{aligned}$$

where we used the fact that $\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \log q_{m+1} / \log q_m = 1$ for numbers of bounded density and that

$$\max \left(\sum_{1 \leq i \leq m, 2|i} a_i, \sum_{1 \leq i \leq m, 2 \nmid i} a_i \right) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^m a_i + \Delta \quad \text{with } |\Delta| \leq b/2.$$

If $\lambda_1 + \dots + \lambda_{2k-1} < m \leq \lambda_1 + \dots + \lambda_{2k+1}$ then

$$\begin{aligned} \log q_m &= (\lambda_1 + \lambda_3 + \dots + \lambda_{2k-1} + r_{2k+1}) \log([\bar{a}]) \\ &\quad + (\lambda_2 + \lambda_4 + \dots + \lambda_{2k-2} + r_{2k}) \log([\bar{b}]) + O(k) \end{aligned}$$

with an implicit constant that depends on a and b only. Here

$$\begin{aligned} 1 \leq r_{2k} &= m - (\lambda_1 + \dots + \lambda_{2k-1}) \leq \lambda_{2k}, & r_{2k+1} &= 0 \\ & & & \text{if } m \leq \lambda_1 + \dots + \lambda_{2k}, \\ r_{2k} &= \lambda_{2k}, & 1 \leq r_{2k+1} &= m - (\lambda_1 + \dots + \lambda_{2k}) \leq \lambda_{2k+1} \\ & & & \text{if } m > \lambda_1 + \dots + \lambda_{2k}. \end{aligned}$$

(If the reader considers this step to be too sketchy he or she may want to consult the proof of Theorem 4.3 in [3].) Therefore $\nu^*(\alpha) = \frac{1}{8} \limsup_{m \rightarrow \infty} h(m)$ where

$$\begin{aligned} h(m) &= \frac{(\lambda_1 + \lambda_3 + \dots + \lambda_{2k-1} + r_{2k+1})a + (\lambda_2 + \lambda_4 + \dots + \lambda_{2k-2} + r_{2k})b}{(\lambda_1 + \lambda_3 + \dots + \lambda_{2k-1} + r_{2k+1}) \log([\bar{a}]) + (\lambda_2 + \lambda_4 + \dots + \lambda_{2k-2} + r_{2k}) \log([\bar{b}])}. \end{aligned}$$

Obviously $\max\{h(m) \mid \lambda_1 + \dots + \lambda_{2k-1} < m \leq \lambda_1 + \dots + \lambda_{2k+1}\} = h(\lambda_1 + \dots + \lambda_{2k})$ and thus

$$\begin{aligned} \nu^*(\alpha) &= \frac{1}{8} \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{m \geq k} h(m) = \frac{1}{8} \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{m > \lambda_1 + \dots + \lambda_{2k-1}} h(m) \\ &= \frac{1}{8} \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{m \geq k} h(\lambda_1 + \dots + \lambda_{2m}) = \frac{1}{8} \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \sup h(\lambda_1 + \dots + \lambda_{2k}) = \mu \end{aligned}$$

since $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} (\lambda_2 + \lambda_4 + \dots + \lambda_{2k}) / (\lambda_1 + \lambda_3 + \dots + \lambda_{2k-1}) = Q$.

LEMMA 8. *Let a be an even positive integer. Then there exists a transcendental $\alpha = [0, a_1, a_2, \dots]$ (and even a U_2 -number α) such that $a_i \in \{a, a+2\}$ for all $i \geq 1$ and $\nu^*(\alpha) = \nu^*([\bar{a}])$.*

Proof. Let $\lambda_1 = 1$ and $\lambda_{2n+1} = n(\lambda_1 + \lambda_3 + \dots + \lambda_{2n-1})$ for $n \geq 1$. Finally, put $\alpha = [0, \bar{a}^{\lambda_1}, a+2, \bar{a}^{\lambda_3}, a+2, \bar{a}^{\lambda_5}, \dots]$. Then α is a U_2 -number according to Corollary 6 and $\nu^*(\alpha) = \nu^*([\bar{a}])$ by Theorem 5.1 in [3].

Proof of Theorems 1 and 2. Let b be a positive even integer. Then

$$[\nu^*([\bar{b}]), \infty) = \{\nu^*([\bar{b}])\} \cup \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} (\nu^*([\bar{b}]), \nu^*([\overline{b+2k}]))$$

and both theorems follow from Lemmata 7 and 8, the theorem of Y. Dupain and V. T. Sós [6] and Theorem 3.1 of [3].

References

- [1] A. Baker, *Continued fractions of transcendental numbers*, *Mathematika* 9 (1962), 1–8.
- [2] —, *On Mahler's classification of transcendental numbers*, *Acta Math.* 111 (1964), 97–120.
- [3] C. Baxa, *On the discrepancy of the sequence $(n\alpha)$* , *J. Number Theory* 55 (1995), 94–107.
- [4] H. Behnke, *Zur Theorie der diophantischen Approximationen I*, *Abh. Math. Sem. Univ. Hamburg* 3 (1924), 261–318.
- [5] P. Bohl, *Über ein in der Theorie der säkularen Störungen vorkommendes Problem*, *J. Reine Angew. Math.* 135 (1909), 189–283.
- [6] Y. Dupain and V. T. Sós, *On the discrepancy of $(n\alpha)$ sequences*, in: *Topics in Classical Number Theory*, Vol. 1, *Colloq. Math. Soc. János Bolyai* 34, G. Halász (ed.), North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1984, 355–387.
- [7] E. Maillet, *Introduction à la théorie des nombres transcendants et des propriétés arithmétiques des fonctions*, Gauthier-Villars, Paris, 1906.
- [8] O. Perron, *Die Lehre von den Kettenbrüchen, Band 1*, Teubner, Stuttgart, 1977.
- [9] W. M. Schmidt, *On simultaneous approximations of two algebraic numbers by rationals*, *Acta Math.* 119 (1967), 27–50.
- [10] —, *Simultaneous approximation to algebraic numbers by rationals*, *ibid.* 125 (1970), 189–201.
- [11] —, *Irregularities of distribution VII*, *Acta Arith.* 21 (1972), 45–50.
- [12] —, *Diophantine Approximation*, *Lecture Notes in Math.* 785, Springer, Berlin, 1980.
- [13] J. Schoißengeier, *On the discrepancy of $(n\alpha)$ II*, *J. Number Theory* 24 (1986), 54–64.
- [14] —, *The discrepancy of $(n\alpha)_{n \geq 1}$* , *Math. Ann.* 296 (1993), 529–545.
- [15] W. Sierpiński, *Sur la valeur asymptotique d'une certaine somme*, *Bull. Internat. Acad. Polon. Sci. Lettres Sér. A Sci. Math.* 1910, 9–11.
- [16] —, *On the asymptotic value of a certain sum*, *Rozprawy Akademii Umiejętności w Krakowie, Wydział mat. przyrod.* 50 (1910), 1–10 (in Polish).

- [17] H. Weyl, *Über die Gibbs'sche Erscheinung und verwandte Konvergenzphänomene*, Rend. Circ. Mat. Palermo 30 (1910), 377–407.
- [18] —, *Über die Gleichverteilung von Zahlen mod. Eins*, Math. Ann. 77 (1916), 313–352.

Institut für Mathematik
Universität Wien
Strudlhofgasse 4
A-1090 Wien, Austria
E-mail: baxa@pap.univie.ac.at

*Received on 8.10.1996
and in revised form on 3.4.1997*

(3057)