

On the difference of consecutive numbers prime to n

by

C. HOOLEY (Bristol)

1. Introduction. In 1940 Erdős [1] made the following conjecture. Let n be any positive integer greater than 1, and let $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_{\varphi(n)}$, where $a_i < a_{i+1}$, be the $\varphi(n)$ integers not exceeding n that are relatively prime to n . Then

$$\sum_{i=1}^{\varphi(n)-1} (a_{i+1} - a_i)^2 = O\left(\frac{n^2}{\varphi(n)}\right);$$

In this paper we prove that for any fixed real number α such that $1 \leq \alpha < 2$, we have

$$\sum_{i=1}^{\varphi(n)-1} (a_{i+1} - a_i)^\alpha = O\left\{n\left(\frac{n}{\varphi(n)}\right)^{\alpha-1}\right\}.$$

A proof of Erdős' conjecture would involve the extension of this result to the case $\alpha = 2$. Here, however, the method just fails, but we are able to modify the argument in order to prove the weaker result

$$\sum_{i=1}^{\varphi(n)-1} (a_{i+1} - a_i)^2 = O(n \log \log n).$$

Throughout this paper the constants implied by the O notation depend at most on α .

2. Estimation of $G(n, h)$. Let

$$f(m) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } (m, n) = 1, \\ 0, & \text{if } (m, n) > 1, \end{cases}$$

and let $F(m, h)$ be defined for any positive integer h by

$$F(m, h) = \sum_{r=m}^{m+h-1} f(r).$$

We first obtain an upper bound for the sum

$$G(n, h) = \sum_{m=1}^n \left(F(m, h) - h \frac{\varphi(n)}{n} \right)^2.$$

Erdős [2] has recently given an upper bound for a similar sum in connection with another problem related to the Euler φ function, and has outlined a proof. In this paper, however, the upper bound needs to be sharper and to hold uniformly with respect to h , so it has been considered advisable to give the estimations in some detail.

Since

$$\sum_{m=1}^n F(m, h) = h \sum_{r=1}^n f(r) = h\varphi(n),$$

we have

$$(1) \quad G(n, h) = \sum_{m=1}^n F^2(m, h) - h^2 \frac{\varphi^2(n)}{n}.$$

Now

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{m=1}^n F^2(m, h) &= \sum_{m=1}^n \sum_{r,s=m}^{m+h-1} f(r)f(s) \\ &= h \sum_{r=1}^n f^2(r) + 2 \sum_{k=1}^{h-1} (h-k) \sum_{r=1}^n f(r)f(r+k), \end{aligned}$$

since $f(l) = f(l+n)$ for any integer l . Hence

$$(2) \quad \begin{aligned} \sum_{m=1}^n F^2(m, h) &= h\varphi(n) + 2 \sum_{k=1}^{h-1} (h-k) \sum_{r=1}^n f(r)f(r+k) \\ &= h\varphi(n) + S(n, h), \quad \text{say.} \end{aligned}$$

We now estimate $S(n, h)$. The proof is given for odd values of n ; only; the proof for even values of n is similar in principle, but different in minor details. A straightforward application of the sieve method gives for n of either parity

$$\sum_{r=1}^n f(r)f(r+k) = n \prod_{\substack{p|n \\ p \nmid k}} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p}\right) \prod_{\substack{p|n \\ p \nmid k}} \left(1 - \frac{2}{p}\right).$$

Therefore, if n be odd,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{r=1}^n f(r)f(r+k) &= n \prod_{p|n} \left(1 - \frac{2}{p}\right) \prod_{\substack{p|n \\ p \nmid k}} \left(1 + \frac{1}{p-2}\right) \\ &= n\varphi(n) \theta[[n, k]], \quad \text{say,} \end{aligned}$$

where (n, k) is the highest common factor of n and k . Now for any square free number $m = p_1 p_2 \dots p_t$ define $\varrho(m)$ to be $(p_1 - 2)(p_2 - 2) \dots (p_t - 2)$. Then for any odd integer N we have

$$\theta(N) = \sum_{d|N} \frac{|\mu(d)|}{\varrho(d)}.$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k \leq x} \theta[[n, k]] &= \sum_{\substack{d|n \\ d \leq x}} \sum_{\substack{d|n \\ d|k}} \frac{|\mu(d)|}{\varrho(d)} \\ &= \sum_{d|n} \frac{|\mu(d)|}{\varrho(d)} \sum_{\substack{d'|d \\ d' \leq x/d}} 1 \\ &= \sum_{\substack{d|n \\ (A)}} \frac{|\mu(d)|}{\varrho(d)} \left(\frac{x}{d} + O(1) \right), \end{aligned}$$

where (A) denotes the condition that d have no prime factors exceeding x . Thus

$$(3) \quad \begin{aligned} \sum_{k \leq x} \theta[[n, k]] &= x \sum_{d|n} \frac{|\mu(d)|}{d\varrho(d)} + O\left(x \sum_{d \geq x} \frac{|\mu(d)|}{d\varrho(d)}\right) + O\left(\sum_{\substack{d|n \\ (A)}} \frac{|\mu(d)|}{\varrho(d)}\right) \\ &= x \prod_{p|n} \left(1 + \frac{1}{p(p-2)}\right) + O(1) + O(\log(x+2)), \end{aligned}$$

since

$$\frac{|\mu(d)|}{\varrho(d)} = O\left(\frac{\sigma_{-1}(d)}{d}\right) \quad \text{and} \quad \prod_{2 < p \leq x} \left(1 + \frac{1}{p-2}\right) = O(\log(x+2)).$$

Hence, integrating (3) in the range $0 \leq x \leq h$, we have

$$2 \sum_{k=1}^{h-1} (h-k) \theta[[n, k]] = h^2 \prod_{p|n} \left(1 + \frac{1}{p(p-2)}\right) + O(h \log 2h),$$

and so

$$(4) \quad \begin{aligned} S(n, h) &= h^2 n \varphi(n) \prod_{p|n} \left(1 + \frac{1}{p(p-2)}\right) + O(n \varphi(n) h \log 2h) \\ &= h^2 n \prod_{p|n} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p}\right)^2 + O(n \varphi(n) h \log 2h) \\ &= h^2 \frac{\varphi^2(n)}{n} + O\left(h \log 2h \frac{\varphi^2(n)}{n}\right). \end{aligned}$$

This result also holds for n even.

We deduce from (1), (2), and (4),

$$(5) \quad G(n, h) = h\varphi(n) + O\left(h \log 2h \frac{\varphi^2(n)}{n}\right).$$

3. **The final inequalities.** Let $N_r \equiv N_r(n)$ be the number of intervals $a_{i+1} - a_i$ of length r , and let

$$S_r^{(0)} \equiv S_r^{(0)}(n) = N_r + 2^l N_{r+1} + 3^l N_{r+2} + \dots$$

Setting $h = r - 1$ in (5) we have

$$(r-1)^2 \frac{\varphi^2(n)}{n^2} S_r^{(1)} \leq (r-1)\varphi(n) + O\left(r \log 2r \cdot \frac{\varphi^2(n)}{n}\right),$$

and therefore

$$(6) \quad S_r^{(1)} = O\left(\frac{1}{r} \cdot \frac{n^2}{\varphi(n)}\right) + O\left(\frac{\log 2r}{r} \cdot n\right).$$

Now

$$(7) \quad \begin{aligned} \sum_{l \geq r} N_l l^\alpha &= \sum_{l \geq r} (S_l^{(0)} - S_{l+1}^{(0)}) l^\alpha \\ &= O\left(r^\alpha S_r^{(0)} + \sum_{l \geq r} S_l^{(0)} l^{\alpha-1}\right) \\ &= O\left(r^\alpha S_r^{(0)} + r^{\alpha-1} S_r^{(1)} + \sum_{l \geq r} S_l^{(1)} l^{\alpha-2}\right). \end{aligned}$$

Let $r = \left\lfloor \frac{n}{\varphi(n)} \right\rfloor + 1$. Then, since $S_r^{(0)} = O(\varphi(n))$ and $S_r^{(1)} = O(n)$, we have, by (6) and (7), when $1 \leq \alpha < 2$,

$$(8) \quad \begin{aligned} \sum_{l \geq r} N_l l^\alpha &= O\left\{n \left(\frac{n}{\varphi(n)}\right)^{\alpha-1}\right\} + O\left\{\sum_{l \geq r} \frac{n^2}{\varphi(n)} l^{\alpha-3}\right\} + O\left\{\sum_{l \geq r} n \log 2l \cdot l^{\alpha-3}\right\} \\ &= O\left\{n \left(\frac{n}{\varphi(n)}\right)^{\alpha-1}\right\} + O\left\{n \left(\frac{n}{\varphi(n)}\right)^{\alpha-2} \log \left(\frac{2n}{\varphi(n)}\right)\right\} = O\left\{n \left(\frac{n}{\varphi(n)}\right)^{\alpha-1}\right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Also

$$(9) \quad \sum_{l < r} N_l l^\alpha \leq r^{\alpha-1} \sum_{l < r} N_l l \leq r^{\alpha-1} n = O\left\{n \left(\frac{n}{\varphi(n)}\right)^{\alpha-1}\right\}.$$

Combining (8) and (9) we obtain

$$\sum_{i=1}^{\varphi(n)-1} (a_{i+1} - a_i)^\alpha = \sum_i N_i l^\alpha = \sum_{l < r} N_l l^\alpha + \sum_{l \geq r} N_l l^\alpha = O\left\{n \left(\frac{n}{\varphi(n)}\right)^{\alpha-1}\right\}.$$

We only sketch the procedure for the case $\alpha = 2$. A standard application of Brun's method shows that there is a positive absolute constant C such that

$$F(m, h) > 0 \quad \text{for} \quad h > \log^C 2n = R, \quad \text{say.}$$

Hence $N_l = 0$ for $l > R + 1$, and we obtain easily

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=1}^{\varphi(n)-1} (a_{i+1} - a_i)^2 &= \sum_l N_l l^2 \\ &= O\left(\frac{n^2}{\varphi(n)}\right) + O\left(\sum_{l \leq R+1} \frac{n^2}{\varphi(n)} l^{-1}\right) + O\left\{\sum_{l \leq R+1} n \log 2l \cdot l^{-1}\right\} \\ &= O\left(\frac{n^2}{\varphi(n)} \log \log n\right) + O(n(\log \log n)^2) \\ &= O(n(\log \log n)^2). \end{aligned}$$

References

[1] P. Erdős, *The difference of consecutive primes*, Duke Math. 6 (1940), pp. 438-441.

[2] — *On the integers relatively prime to n and on a number-theoretic function considered by Jacobsthal*, Math. Scand. 10 (1962), pp. 163-170.

BRISTOL UNIVERSITY, ENGLAND

Reçu par la Rédaction le 26. 1. 1963